From: Glenn Thompson
To: ODF_DL_Board of Forestry
Subject: Advance Durable Protections for State Forests
Date: Sunday, September 27, 2020 10:53:26 AM

Dear Oregon Board of Forestry,

Dear Members of the Oregon Board of Forestry,

With no intent to slight the remainder of those involved in this evaluation process, I suppose David & I are the ones with a more common view of coastal forests, whether or not we agree totally on policy. Recognizing the pressure exerted by logging interests, small & large, as well as revenue-starved local counties, the long-term health of the area's forests should be your primary concern, for everyone's benefit. In simplistic terms, the best management is none, as nature kept things in balance for millennia prior to increased settlement & commercial exploitation. The dangers of a mono-culture as well as uncontrolled under-story development & clear-cut erosion are among the issues that have emerged in the past 100+ years or so. Keeping a balanced view may not satisfy everyone, but if you were to consider the forests themselves as having a vote, consider what it might be when arriving at your decisions.

I write to strongly support moving ahead with the State Forest Habitat Conservation Plan (SFHCP) for Western Oregon. The plan is a compromise that seeks a balance between different interests. I urge you to vote yes and continue with the planning process.

As you know, state forests are managed for a broad goal of “greatest permanent value” to the state.

In this era of climate change and endangered species, it is critical to support a balanced plan that conserves our natural resources for the future while also providing a mix of benefits for today.

The SFHCP is a compromise that balances timber harvest with fish and wildlife habitat, recreation, clean water, and climate mitigation.

The SFHCP provides significant conservation in important salmon and steelhead strongholds: the Wilson, Salmonberry, Nehalem, Miami, Trask, and Kilchis rivers. Lands adjacent to the 17-mile Nehalem State Scenic Waterway corridor will get increased protections under this plan. These are positive measures.

The HCP will also reduce the state’s liability to endangered species lawsuits, and the plan will also save the state money in the long run. As you know, ODF currently spends $2.5 million annually just to survey and avoid endangered species habitat. This plan frees up these resources for the state, conservationists, and timber operators to focus on management, instead of on conflicts over endangered species.

We know there is more information and analysis coming in the NEPA process and afterward. But there has been a transparent two-year planning process to date. There is enough information to move forward.

I ask you to continue this process by advancing this important plan.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Glenn Thompson
28636 Brooks Road
Gold Beach, OR 97444