

Survey Results re: Initial AMPC preferences for research agenda

This document provides the results of the survey, based on the 8 AMPC members who responded.

Survey Question Results

1. **Eastern Oregon Steep Slopes:** Please rank the following four options in order of priority (1 = high priority, 4 = low priority) for the Eastern Oregon Steep Slopes options provided by IRST in the scoping proposal.

| Option | 1 st place votes | 2 nd place votes | 3 rd place votes | 4 th place votes |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Full systematic map | 4 | 1 | 3 | |
| Rapid systematic map | 4 | 4 | | |
| Descriptive review | | 3 | 5 | |
| No further searching or review | | | | 8 |

Commented [TF1]: From Wendy:

"I would like the Rapid systematic map to include "what is known about covered species habitat vulnerabilities in eastern Oregon." (As stated in Full map description in scoping proposal exec. summary.)"

Commented [TF2]: From Amanda:

"Preferably, I would like something between the full and rapid systematic map. I am deeply concerned about the president set for gray literature, but understand the benefit of looking at monitoring reports completed by agencies, research stations, and industrial companies. However, this information needs more sideboards from the policy committee. At the end of the day, rule changes need to be based on peer reviewed research. If that data is used to identify gaps that need to be filled or verified by peer reviewed research, then this may be a simple middle ground."

2. **Road-Stream Hydrologic Connectivity:** Please rank the following four options in order of priority (1 = high priority, 2 = low priority) for the Road-Stream Hydrologic Connectivity options provided by IRST in the scoping proposal.

| Option | 1 st place votes | 2 nd place votes |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Survey 1. Hydrologic Connectivity Only | 4 | 4 |
| Survey 2. Hydrologic Connectivity + Sediment modeling | 4 | 4 |

Note that the Pre-survey options are not included in this table since they are potential additions to Survey options 1 & 2 and not stand-alone options. We will gain further clarity on the Pre-survey options when we converse with the IRST co-chairs on June 2nd.

Commented [TF3]: From Wendy:

"Ideally the pre-survey options would both be used to reduce costs"