

FFRP Technical Assistance and Science Support Proposal Decisions - Fall 2019

Proposals Awarded Funding

FINAL Review Team Ranking	Raw Pre-meeting Score	National Forest Unit, BLM District, or Statewide Project	Project Title / Name	Grant Agreement Awarded To	Collaborative Beneficiary	FFR Funds Awarded	FFR Rationale for Selection
1	90.8	Ochoco NF	Dry Forest Restoration on Steep Terrain Pilot Project Development	Loren Kellogg Forestry Consulting	Ochoco Forest Restoration Collaborative - C.O. Forest Stewardship Foundation	\$ 29,907	Project creates opportunities to treat a greater proportion of dry forest landscapes needing restoration, improving the effectiveness of treatments and resiliency of forest values
2	89.3	Statewide Project	Pacific Northwest Forest Collaborative Workshop 2020	Sustainable Northwest	CSP, DCFP, LSG, NBFC, OFRC, Siuslaw SG, SOFRC, +	\$ 17,750	High value forum for collective learning. Funding provided is for 1st year of proposal - 2020 Workshop. <i>Partial funding, see Priorities for Additional Funding below.</i>
3	88.8	Umatilla and Wallowa-Whitman NFs	Fire History, Disturbance Patterns and Stand Structure in NE Oregon Blue Mountains Forests: Phase II	Portland State University	N Blues Forest Collaborative - The Nature Conservancy	\$ 60,000	Understanding of historic fire dynamics in moist mixed conifer forest is essential to designing restoration treatments in this region, where MMC is prevalent.
4	85.4	Malheur NF	Mapping Historical extent of forest openings on the southern Malheur NF	Oregon State University	Harney County Restoration Collaborative	\$ 30,000	Unique project with robust scientific design. Proposed as scalable. Project of high interest to ODFW re: game habitat. ODFW will match TASS fund award 1:1. <i>Partial funding, see Priorities for Additional Funding below.</i>
5	85.3	Willamette NF	Westside Fire Forests - Technical Assistance and Science Support	Oregon State University	Southern Willamette Forest Collaborative	\$ 67,951	Project refines and disseminates a new understanding of forest composition, structure, and process for historic oak and pine dominated landscapes in the western Cascades, enabling restoration of these increasingly rare forest types.
6	82.1	Mt Hood NF	Barlow Ranger District Oak Treatment Monitoring	Columbia Land Trust - E Cascades Oak Partnership	Wasco County Forest Collaborative	\$ 36,000	Project supports critical learning about the effects of treatments intended to restore and improve the resiliency of east Cascade white oak woodlands.
7	72.5	Deschutes and Ochoco NFs	Alternatives to Diameter-Limit-Based Methods for Conserving Old Growth Trees in Dry Forests	Andrew Merschel - OSU Researcher	Ochoco FRC + Deschutes CFP	\$ 9,360	Project analyzes how to more effectively conserve old growth trees and identify large, young, shade tolerant and fire intolerant trees that may adversely impact old growth trees.
8	74	Statewide Project	Stories from the Field: Communication and Storytelling Support	Rural Voices for Conservation Coalition	N Blues FC, S Willamette FC, +	\$ 15,450	Forest collaboratives are more effective when they can communicate their goals, approach, and drivers to a broader audience of interested stakeholders. Technical Assistance can improve their communications efforts.
						Total TASS Awards	\$ 266,418

Priorities for Additional Funding, if available throughout biennium

See #2 above	Statewide Project	Pacific Northwest Forest Collaborative Workshop 2021	Sustainable Northwest	CSP, DCFP, LSG, NBFC, OFRC, Siuslaw SG, SOFRC, +	\$ 17,750	Additional funds would support Year 2 workshop in 2021
See #4 above	Malheur NF	Mapping Historical extent of forest openings on the southern Malheur NF	Oregon State University	Harney County Restoration Collaborative	\$ 13,469	Additional funds would support remainder of initial TASS proposal, with 1:1 match from ODF&W
9	74.8	Statewide Project	Collaborative Involvement with Good Neighbor Authority	Rural Voices for Conservation Coalition	N Blues FC, S Willamette FC, +	\$15,000 Collaboratives need more awareness of GNA and engagement in projects. Reviewers voiced that the state should be ensuring collaboratives are aware of how GNA works, but also saw value in a neutral third party playing some role.
					Potential Additional Funding	\$ 46,219

Proposals not receiving funding

10	72.6	Statewide Project	Forest Service Planning and Implementation Flow Chart	Rural Voices for Conservation Coalition	N Blues FC, S Willamette FC, +	\$0	Project would provide useful information for collaboratives. Reviewers expressed less urgency for this project than other priorities.
11	78.5	Willamette NF	Post-Implementation Monitoring of Jim's Creek Oak Savanna Restoration Project	University of Oregon	S Willamette Forest Collaborative	\$0	Valuable monitoring project. After Review Team discussion, project did not rank as high as the other Willamette NF project focused on oak and pine forest restoration. Reviewers voiced concern about the integration of monitoring work into the learning and activities of the SWFC.
12	66.6	Rogue-Siskiyou NF	Rogue Basin Potential Operational Delineation (PODs) Collaborative Pre-Fire Spatial Planning: Prioritizing Proactive Treatments and Suppression Resources	Wildland Fire Associates	SO Forest Restoration Collaborative and Wild Rivers Coast FC	\$0	Reviewers commented that the Forest Service should be or already is funding PODS work. Disagreement among reviewers about if/how PODS would be utilized during fire suppression operations or contributed to fire suppression operations.
13	66.3	Wallowa-Whitman NF	Osborne Panoramas Project	John Marshall & Paul Hessburg	Wallowa Resources - N Blues Forest Collaborative	\$0	Valuable project to increase awareness among general public. Reviewers felt the NE Oregon moist mixed conifer analysis is a more urgent need for the N Blues collaborative. Proposal did not explain how the Collaborative would use the products of the project. Reviewers also believe the project will get done without TASS funding.
14	62.2	Statewide Project	Navigating Institutional Complexity	Rural Voices for Conservation Coalition	N Blues FC, S Willamette FC, +	\$0	Reviewers did not find enough detail in this proposal to really understand the project. A little too vague and abstract.
					Total Unmet Need	\$ 143,668	