		PROJEC								
	1	Part 1 — Proj	ject Req	uest (Page '	1 of 2)		Key Nu	nber:	Jurisdic	tion:
Section:							Region:	Area:		District:
State Highway No.:	Highway Name:					Mile Point From:		To:	Length:	(mi) (km)
Urban	City:	MPO: Within	Yes	County:		Road/Street N	ame:	10.		
Rural Route No.:		UGB HPMS:	FC:	Applicant /lf	other than State	۸.				
Roule No.:	NHS YES	HFW3:	FU:	Applicant (II):				
US Cong	ressional District	:		State S	enate District:			State Represent	ative Dist	rict:
Cost Estin	mates (x \$ 1,0	00)		Project	Components	6		Right O	f Way	
Preliminary Engineerin	g	\$0	Grading				Files		(#)	
Right Of Way		\$0	Paving				Hectare	s	(#)	
Utility Reimbursement			Structure	s			Relocat	ions	(#)	
			Signing				Acquisi	tions	(#)	
Roadway	\$0		Signals				Easeme	ents	(#)	
Structures	\$0		Illuminati	on			v	Vork By: State / Con	sultant / /	Applicant
Signals	\$0						Prelimin	ary Engineering	(S,C,A)	
Illumination	\$0						Constru	ction Engineering	(S,C,A)	
Temp. Protection	\$0						Right of	Way Descriptions	(S,C,A)	
Const. Contingencies	\$0						Right Of	Way Acquisitions	(S,C,A)	
Const. Engineering	\$0			Projec	t Categories			Construc	ted By	ļ
Remove Exist Bridge	\$0		Environn	nental Class	(1, 2, 3	, PCE)		Contract		County Force
Other	\$0		Design C	ategory	(1-	7)		contract		county rorce
Total CE and Construct	tion:	\$0	Work Typ	e Code	(1-′	13)		State Force		Other
Total Estimate:		\$-	Primary \$	STIP Work Typ	e:			City Force		
Recommended Let Date	e By Federal Fisc	al Year (Quarter	-Year):							
PE Fund:		R/W Fund:			UR Fund:			CE-CN Fund:		
PE EA:		R/W EA:			UR EA:			CE-CN EA:		
Item	Existing	Proposed	Define Th	e Problem:						
Travel Lanes (#)										
Structures (#)			1							
Signals (#)										
Bike Way (#)			1							
Average Daily Traffic			1							
Year of ADT										
Throughway Y/N			-							
			Describe	Proposed Sol	ution: - Attach S	ketch Map				
			1							
			1							
Prepared By: X			Date:		OTC Approval	Date:		Program Year:	Fundir	ig Amount:
(5-2003)										

PRO.	JECT PROSPECTUS				
Part 1 F	Project Request (Page 2 of 2)		Key Number:	Jurisdiction:	
Section: ()		Region: 0	Area:)	District: 0
	Project Justificat	tion			
				•	
Additional Infor	mation For Project Reque	ested By Loo	cal Jurisdict	ions	
Responsible Local Office To Be Cor	ntacted For The Following Activ	vities:			
1. Public Hearing /					
Citizen Involvement		(Office)		(Phone)	
2. Environmental / Planning 3. Pre-Engineering		(Office) (Office)		(Phone) (Phone)	
This Official Request is From:					
City of:	and/or			County	
By:	By:				
By:	By:				
	By:				
Applicable Intergovernmental Agreem					
IGA Number: Ju	risdiction Name:	Aare	ement Date:		
				_	
				_	

(5-2003)

Bridge Prospectus Cost Estimate

		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
			NBIS		
			Bridge No.		
Applicant:	0				
Project /			Region:	Area:	District:
Section	0		0	0	0
New Bridge / Roadway Con	figuration:		Existing Bridge:		
Left Side Rail		feet	Bridge Length		feet
Left Sidewalk		feet	Bridge Width		feet
Shoulder		feet	Area		square yds.
Lane 2		feet			
Lane 1		feet	New AC Top Width		feet
CL		feet	New AC Depth		inches
Lane 1		feet	New Base Depth		inches
Lane 2		feet	Project Length		feet
Shoulder		feet	Net Road Work Length	ı	feet
Right Sidewalk		feet	X-S Side Slope		
Right Side Rail		feet	AC Avg Width		feet
g			Base Avg Width		feet
Bridge Length		feet	Asphalt Density		tons / yd
Bridge Width		feet	Base Density		tons / yd
New Area		square yds.	New AC Received		tons
New Alea		square yus.	New Base Required		tons
			New Base Required		10115
			Price		
COST ESTIMATE:	Quantity	Linit		Cost (tyle	000
	Quantity	Unit	per unit	Cost (\$x10	00S)
Right-of-Way	Deedwov	Acre		\$0	
Clear & Cruh	==Roadway=			ድር	
Clear & Grub		lump sum		\$0	
General Excavation		cubic yards		\$0	
Embankment in Place		cubic yards		\$0	
Pavement Removal		square feet		\$0	
Aggregate Base		tons		\$0	
Asphalt Concrete		tons		\$0	
Riprap		cubic yards		\$0	
Guardrail, Type 2A		feet		\$0	
Guardrail, Type 3		feet		\$0	
Guardrail Trans		feet		\$0	
Flared Terminals		each		\$0	
			Subtotal Roadway	\$0	
Structures		square feet		\$0	
Signals		lump sum		\$0	
Illumination		lump sum		\$0	
Temporary Protection		lump sum		\$0	
Remove Existing Bridge		square feet		\$0	
Other		lump sum		\$0	
Other		lump sum		\$0	
			Subtotal Structures	\$0	
			Subtotal Construction	\$0	
	==Engineerii				
Construction Engineering		percent of constru		\$0	
Contingency		percent of constru		\$0	
			Subtotal Const. Eng.	\$0	
Preliminary Engineering					
Consultant		percent of constru		\$0	
State		percent of constru		\$0	
County		percent of constru		\$0	
			Subtotal PE	\$0	
			Total Estimate	\$0	

Bridge Project Prospectus Additional Bridge Information

Applicant: 0		NBIS Bridge Nu 0	mber:	
Project Name / 0		Region:	Area:	District:
Section:		0	0	0
Funding	Heavy Vehicle Usage		Det	our
	Existing Proposed			
Preferred Source:	Truck AADT:		Detour Route:	
			Length: Map: (Please	attach man)
Federal HBRR			Map. (Flease	allach map)
	Fire Truck Usage:			
Acceptable Source:	YES, at least 25% of trips use bridge.			
ΟΤΙΑ ΙΙΙ				
Federal HBRR	No. Less than 25% of trips			
	-			
Regional Freight Corridor Analysis:				
Special Consideration:				

Bridge Project Prospectus Requested Changes to National Bridge Inventory System (NBIS) Data

(Form Optional)

Applicant: 0				Bridge Numbe	r: I	
Project Name / ₀ Section:				Region: 0	Area: 0	District: 0
must be in conform PD-96-001, Decen Changes proposed and used to compo The data listed bel	nance with t nber 1995. d to the Deto ute updated low are used	if an agency is proposing a change he Recording and Coding Guide for our Length, Average Daily Traffic a Federal Sufficiency Ratings and in d in the calculations of the Technic as to the reason for the change.	or the Structure Inventory and and Truck Average Daily Traffic the calculation of the Technic	Appraisal of the Nat will be acquired fro al Ranking Score.	ion's Bridges, Re	port No. FHWA-
lte	em 26	Functional Classification				
lte	em 28	A Lanes on Structure				
Ite	em 32	Approach Roadway Width				

Item 32	Approach Roadway Width	
Item 43	Structure Type, Main	
Item 51	Bridge Roadway Width	
Item 53	Vertical Clearance over Deck	
Item 54	Underclearance	
Item 55	Minimum Left	
Item 56	Minimum Right	
Item 100	Defense Highway Designation	

Items 58, 59, 60, 62, 67, 68, 69, 71 and 72 are used in the calculation of the Federal Sufficiency Rating. These data elements are supplied by ODOT and are not subject to corrections at this time.

The Inventory Rating (Item 66) must be provided by a Licensed Professional Engineer, based on calculations following ODOT's Load Rating Guidelines. The engineer's calculations must be included.

Item 66 Inventory Rating

Bridge Project Prospectus

Required Data For Bridges Not Listed in the National Bridge Inventory System (NBIS)

(Form Optional)

19 De 26 Fu 28 A 32 Ap 36 Tr 43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 55 M 56 M 100 De	I bridges submitted that are no ing and Coding Guide for the S etour Length unctional Classification Lanes on Structure oproach Roadway Width affic Safety Features rructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation and 72 must be provided by a	Structure Invento		of the Nation's E	Bridges, Report №	No. FHWA-PD-
ith the Record 995. 19 Da 26 Fu 28 A 32 Ag 36 Tr 51 Br 53 Va 54 Un 55 M 56 M 100 Da 67, 68, 69, 71	ing and Coding Guide for the S etour Length unctional Classification Lanes on Structure oproach Roadway Width affic Safety Features irructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Structure Invento		of the Nation's E	Bridges, Report №	No. FHWA-PD-
26 Fu 28 A 32 Ap 36 Tr 43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	unctional Classification Lanes on Structure oproach Roadway Width affic Safety Features irructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge				The inepector's
26 Fu 28 A 32 Ap 36 Tr 43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	unctional Classification Lanes on Structure oproach Roadway Width affic Safety Features irructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge				The inepecter's
28 A 32 Ap 36 Tr 43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 55 M 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	Lanes on Structure oproach Roadway Width affic Safety Features rructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge				The inspector's
32 Ap 36 Tr 43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 55 M 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	oproach Roadway Width affic Safety Features iructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge				The inspector's
36 Tr 43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 55 M 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	affic Safety Features ructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck nderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ingel Engineer -	The inspector's
43 St 51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 55 M 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	ructure Type, Main ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ingel Engineer -	The inspector's
51 Br 53 Ve 54 Ur 55 M 56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	ridge Roadway Width ertical Clearance over Deck inderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ional Engineer -	The inspector's
53 Ve 54 Un 55 M 56 M 100 Do 67, 68, 69, 71	ertical Clearance over Deck nderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ional Engineer -	The inspector's
54 Ui 55 M 56 M 100 Do 67, 68, 69, 71	nderclearance inimum Left inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ional Engineer -	The inspector's
56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	inimum Right efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ional Engineer -	The inspector's
56 M 100 De 67, 68, 69, 71	efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge				The inepector's
100 De	efense Highway Designation	Certified Bridge			ional Engineer	The inepector's
67, 68, 69, 71		Certified Bridge			ional Engineer -	The inspector's
58 De	eck Condition					
59 St	uperstructure Rating					
60 Su	ubstructure Rating					
	ructural Evaluation					
72 Ap	oproach Road Alignment					
neer's calculat	tions must be included.	rofessional Engir	neer, based on c	alculations follov	ving ODOT's Loa	ad Rating
	60 Si 62 Ci 67 St 68 Di 69 Ui 71 W 72 Aj (Item 66) mus ieer's calculat	60 Substructure Rating 62 Culverts 67 Structural Evaluation 68 Deck Geometry 69 Under-Clearance 71 Waterway Adequacy 72 Approach Road Alignment (Item 66) must be provided by a Licensed Pager's calculations must be included.	60 Substructure Rating 62 Culverts 67 Structural Evaluation 68 Deck Geometry 69 Under-Clearance 71 Waterway Adequacy 72 Approach Road Alignment (Item 66) must be provided by a Licensed Professional Engineer's calculations must be included.	60 Substructure Rating 62 Culverts 67 Structural Evaluation 68 Deck Geometry 69 Under-Clearance 71 Waterway Adequacy 72 Approach Road Alignment (Item 66) must be provided by a Licensed Professional Engineer, based on cheer's calculations must be included.	60 Substructure Rating 62 Culverts 67 Structural Evaluation 68 Deck Geometry 69 Under-Clearance 71 Waterway Adequacy 72 Approach Road Alignment (Item 66) must be provided by a Licensed Professional Engineer, based on calculations followneer's calculations must be included.	60 Substructure Rating 62 Culverts 67 Structural Evaluation 68 Deck Geometry 69 Under-Clearance 71 Waterway Adequacy 72 Approach Road Alignment (Item 66) must be provided by a Licensed Professional Engineer, based on calculations following ODOT's Loader's calculations must be included.