CONNECT OREGON 2021 FEASIBILITY REPORT FORM Application Number: 180433

Application Number: <u>1R0433</u>
Applicant Name: Oregon Rail Heritage Foundation – Roger Woehl
Project Name: Restoration & Reconstruction of 1924 Brooklyn Roundhouse
Mode: Rail
Applicant Administrative Eligibility:
 ☑ The Applicant is a Public Body or Person within the state of Oregon. ☐ The Applicant, if applicable, submitted the Tax Compliance Documentation in hand OR ☑ Applicant will need to submit Tax Compliance Certification by December 31 ☐ The Applicant has sufficient management and financial capacity to complete the Project including without limitation the ability to contribute 30 percent of the eligible Project cost.
Project Administrative Eligibility: The project is a Transportation Project that involves one or more of the following modes of
transportation: air, marine, rail. The Project will assist in developing a multimodal transportation system that supports state and local government efforts to attract new businesses to Oregon or that keeps and encourages expansion of existing businesses.
☐ The Project is eligible for funding with lottery bond proceeds under the Oregon Constitution and laws of the State of Oregon.
☐ The Project will not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations. ☐ The Project is not a public road or other project that is eligible for funding from revenues described in
☐ The Project is not a public road or other project that is eligible for funding from revenues described ir section 3a, Article IX of the Oregon Constitution, i.e. the State Highway Trust Fund. ☐ The Project is feasible, including the estimated cost of the Project, the expected results from the proposed Project for each of the considerations as prescribed in 731-035-0060, the Project schedule, and all applicable and required permits may be obtained within the Project schedule.
Technical Feasibility (to be completed as part of technical review later on) Is the budget estimate complete?
☐ Yes ☐ NoIf budget estimate information is complete, does the cost estimate appear reasonable?☐ Yes ☐ No
Is timeline in relation to tasks not yet completed feasible? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Are there any elements of the project that could cause unanticipated delays? Yes No Can all applicable and required permits be obtained as indicated in the schedule?
☐ Yes ☐ No Does the application package include documentation of the desire for and support of the Project from the businesses and entities to be served by the Project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

No Conflict of Interest Certification: I do not have any conflict of interest with interest may include any family members presently associated with a proposast employment). I have read and rated the project application independent and conversation or other contact with the proposer concerning this project conflicts or concerns on this form."	oser, or any financial relationships with a pently, and without interference or pressure	roposer (does not include e from anyone. I have not
FEASIBILITY EVALUATOR(s):		
Name	 Date	

Connect Oregon 2021 Economic Benefit Review

Project Number: 1R0433

Project Applicant: Oregon Rail Heritage Foundation

Project Name: <u>Brooklyn Roundhouse Turntable Restoration and Reconstruction</u>

Section 1

Application Question #s	Evaluation Criteria	Individual Score
31*8	Long-term jobs multiplied by projects useful life = long-term job-years	2
	OR	
31d/[(20)/1,000,000]	Private investment (\$) divided by [CO 2021 request/1 million] = Private investment per \$ million requested from Connect Oregon	

Point System:

0 – no positive impacts;

- 1-2 unlikely to make positive impacts;
- 3-4 potential positive impacts;
- 5-6 likely positive impacts:
- 7-8 significant positive impacts

38	Does this project serve one or more of Oregon's Statewide Business Clusters?	2		
	[note in comments section which box(es) were checked and any other relevant details from the application]			

Point System:

- 0 the project does not serve the identified business clusters;
- 1 the project has the potential to serve identified business clusters;
- 2 the project is likely to serve identified business clusters;
- 3 the project will serve identified business clusters

Calculations/Comments:

Long-term job years $(1.5 \times 40) = 60$

Note: While project has significant match, I utilized job impacts to score this section

Project will serve the following clusters:

Tourism and Hospitality	
Education Services and	Technology

Section 2

Application Question #s	Evaluation Criteria	Individual Score
25	Does this project improve Oregon's transportation system efficiency and/or utilization in specifically identified ways?	4
	[note in comments section which box(es) were checked and any other relevant details]	

Point System:

- 0 no positive impacts;
- 1-2 unlikely to make positive impacts;
- 3-4 likely positive impacts; and
- 5-6 significant positive impacts;

29	Does the project improve safety?	3
	[briefly note in comments section the documentation or explanation required for a "yes" answer that was provided]	

Point System:

- 0 no positive impacts;
- 1 unlikely to make positive impacts;
- 2 potential positive impacts;
- 3 likely positive impacts;

Comments:

Project will -

Increases system capacity

Improves geometrics

Relieves a bottleneck or congestion point

Reduces traffic or use conflicts

Economic analysis: Project will have minimal economic benefits based upon the information provided in the application. Other project benefits may make this a worthwhile investment.

Review of Economic Benefit to the State

Final Point Calculation

Total (no more than 20)	11 Points
Section 3 (no more than 9)	7 points
Section 1 (no more than 11)	4points

Reviewer Name: Colin Sears

Reviewer Agency: Business Oregon (OBDD)

Date of Review: <u>12/16/2021</u>

Connect Oregon 2021 – Statutory Considerations Review

Project Number: 1R0433					
Project Name: Brooklyn Roundhouse Turntable Restoration and Reconstruction					
Project Re	eviewer: Chris Malm, Bob Melbo, Kathy H	olmes			
identified of	owing pages, tables are provided indicatin consideration. A given question may relate der each relevant consideration.	•			
	ation (a) - Whether a proposed transpor Oregon businesses or improves access		=		
Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score		
27-28	Industrial or employments connections	5	0		
26a-c	Measurement of Success (Improved use and efficiency)	10	2		
29	Safety	5	1		
30	Serving Business Clusters	5	2		
TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration					
	"a"	25	5		
Doint Sys	tem for 27-28, 29, 30:	Point System for 26a			
	sitive benefit;	0 – No positive benefit			
· ·	ential positive benefit;	1-3 – Potential positive			
	ly positive benefit; and	4-7 – Likely positive be			
	cant positive benefit.	8-10 – Significant posi			
	·				
COMME	NTS				

Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.

	Brief Description of Question		Appraiser's
Item No.	(from Application	Points	Score
24	Explanation of benefits	8	2
	Improvement of efficiency		
25	checkboxes	6	1
	Measurement of Success		
26a-c	(Improved use and efficiency)	8	1
TOTA	L AVAILABLE POINTS –		
	Consideration "c"	20	4
Point Syste	m for 25:	Point System for 24 and 26	
0 – No posit	ive benefit;	0 – No positive benefit;	
1-2 – Potential positive benefit; 1-2 – Unlikely to make positive impact		act	
3-4 – Likely positive benefit; and 3-4 – Potential positive benefits;			
5-6 – Signific	cant positive benefit.	5-6 – Likely positive benefits; and	
		7-8 – Significant positive benefits.	
COMMENT	S		

Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant from any source other than the Connect Oregon Fund.

Item			Appraiser's
No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Score
20	Applicant will provide 30% match only	5	
20	Applicant will provide between 31% to 40% match	7	
	Applicant will provide between 41% to 50% metab		
20	Applicant will provide between 41% to 50% match	9	

20	Applicant will provide > 50% match	10	10
	TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "d"	10	10
COMM	IENTS		

Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction. A project will be considered ready for construction if the Applicant can demonstrate that:

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score	
	For this consideration, assume OTC decision in May/July grant execution date of September 2022			
14	Community engagement/outreach	2	2	
9-11	Completion within 3 years of award	6	5	
21	Matching funds (30 days prior to OTC decision)	2	2	
12	Site ownership or control (30 days prior to OTC decision)	4	4	
16-17	Land Use to allow for use at location (within 2 months of grant execution)	4		
16-17	Limited Land Use decision; site plan review (within 6 months of grant execution)	4	4	
15	Securing all permits needed for construction (within 9 months of grant execution)	2	2	
TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "e"			19	

Point System for 9-11:	Point System for 14:
0 – No positive benefit;	0 – No positive benefit;
1 – Some outreach, insufficient; and	1 – Some outreach, insufficient; and
2 – Sufficient outreach or N/A.	2 – Sufficient outreach or N/A.
	5-6 – Minimal concerns about completion in 3
	years.
Point System for 21:	Point System for 12:
0 – Doubtful match will be available;	0 – Doubtful site will be under control;
1 – Match may be available; and	1-3 – Site may be under control; and
2 – Match available.	4 – Site is currently under control.
Point System for 15:	Point System for 16-17:
0 – Doubtful permits will be secured;	0 – Doubtful land use decisions will be rendered;
1 – Permits may be secured; and	1-3 – Land use decisions partially rendered; and
2 – Confident permits will be secured.	4 – Confident land use decisions will be rendered.
Comments:	

Staff and review committees all the following information plus other knowledge when determining project readiness.

- Permitting Match financing Plan inclusion where necessary
- Land use approval Applicant capacity

Consideration (f) - Whether a proposed transportation project has a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the state.

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score		
Т	The primary element of each project should be used in determining useful life. See reviewer instructions for further direction.				
31	Expected useful life is between 0 and 5 years	2			
31	Expected useful life is between 6 and 10 years	4			

31	Expected useful life is between 11 and 15 years	6			
31	Expected useful life is between 16 and 20 years)	8	8		
31	Expected useful life is > 20 years	10			
	TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration "f" 10 8				
COM	MENTS				

Consideration "f" Maximum Benefit Calculation Table

For the purposes of Connect Oregon, "maximum benefit" is considered as the project benefits identified in scoring of considerations a, b, and c.

In order to take both "life expectancy" and "maximum benefit" of consideration f into account, ConnectOregon staff will utilize the following method to determine life expectancy vs. maximum benefit.

(To be completed by ODOT Freight Planning Staff)

Expected life score(Considerations a+b+c scores) /	
Possible Maximum Sum of Considerations a+b+c	

Example:

8(16+12+10)/60 = 304/60 = 5.06 = 5 (rounded to nearest whole number)

Consideration (g) - Whether a proposed transportation project is located near operations conducted for mining aggregate or processing aggregate as described in ORS 215.213 (2)(d) or 215.283 (2)(b).

Item	Brief Description of Question		Appraiser's
No.	(from Application)	Points	Score
27	Project is within 10 miles of a site	3	0
27	Project is within 5 miles of a site	5	

	5	0
TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS Consideration "g"		U

Additional Considerations- Briefly describe any expected project benefits or impacts in the outcome areas below.

Item	Brief Description of Question		Appraiser's
No.	(from Application)	Benefit Eval	Score
28a	Equity	SP	LP
28b	Climate Change/GHG Reduction	SP	NP
	QUALITATIVE SCORE -	SP/SP	LP/NP
*Qualita	ative Evaluation 28a-b:		
	positive benefit;		
	nited positive benefit; and		
SP – Si	gnificant positive benefits.		
СОММ	ENT:		

Additional Considerations Benefits Note:

The additional considerations questions are intended to capture ODOT's Strategic Action Plan priorities of taking into account benefits and/or impacts of projects upon equity and climate change/GHG emissions considerations. They are being scored separately than the statutory considerations and are being used to help break ties or prioritize among projects that score closely.