

# CONNECT OREGON 2021 FEASIBILITY REPORT FORM

---

Application Number: 1R0471

Applicant Name: Hampton Tree Farms – George Bonner

Project Name: Hampton Tree Farms

Mode: Rail

---

## Applicant Administrative Eligibility:

- The Applicant is a Public Body or Person within the state of Oregon.
  - The Applicant, if applicable, submitted the Tax Compliance Documentation in hand OR
    - Applicant will need to submit Tax Compliance Certification by December 31
  - The Applicant has sufficient management and financial capacity to complete the Project including without limitation the ability to contribute 30 percent of the eligible Project cost.
- 

## Project Administrative Eligibility:

- The project is a Transportation Project that involves one or more of the following modes of transportation: air, marine, rail.
  - The Project will assist in developing a multimodal transportation system that supports state and local government efforts to attract new businesses to Oregon or that keeps and encourages expansion of existing businesses.
  - The Project is eligible for funding with lottery bond proceeds under the Oregon Constitution and laws of the State of Oregon.
  - The Project will not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.
  - The Project is not a public road or other project that is eligible for funding from revenues described in section 3a, Article IX of the Oregon Constitution, i.e. the State Highway Trust Fund.
  - The Project is feasible, including the estimated cost of the Project, the expected results from the proposed Project for each of the considerations as prescribed in 731-035-0060, the Project schedule, and all applicable and required permits may be obtained within the Project schedule.
- 

## Technical Feasibility (to be completed as part of technical review later on)

Is the budget estimate complete?

Yes  No

If budget estimate information is complete, does the cost estimate appear reasonable?

Yes  No

Is timeline in relation to tasks not yet completed feasible?

Yes  No

Are there any elements of the project that could cause unanticipated delays?

Yes  No

Can all applicable and required permits be obtained as indicated in the schedule?

Yes  No

Does the application package include documentation of the desire for and support of the Project from the businesses and entities to be served by the Project?

Yes  No

---

Comments:

---

**No Conflict of Interest Certification:** I do not have any conflict of interest with the proposer submitting this project application. A conflict of interest may include any family members presently associated with a proposer, or any financial relationships with a proposer (does not include past employment). I have read and rated the project application independently, and without interference or pressure from anyone. I have not had conversation or other contact with the proposer concerning this project application since it was issued. I have noted any potential conflicts or concerns on this form."

**FEASIBILITY EVALUATOR(s):**

\_\_\_\_\_

Name

\_\_\_\_\_

Date

# Connect Oregon 2021 Economic Benefit Review

Project Number: 1R0471

Project Applicant: Hampton Tree Farms, DBA Hampton Lumber Sales, Inc

Project Name: Hampton Lumber Sales Track Expansion - Banks

## Section 1

Application Question #s	Evaluation Criteria	Individual Score
31*8  31d/[(20)/1,000,000]	Long-term jobs multiplied by projects useful life = long-term job-years  <b>OR</b> Private investment (\$) divided by [CO 2021 request/1 million] = Private investment per \$ million requested from Connect Oregon	7
<b>Point System:</b> 0 – no positive impacts; 1-2 – unlikely to make positive impacts; 3-4 – potential positive impacts; 5-6 – likely positive impacts; 7-8 – significant positive impacts		
38	Does this project serve one or more of Oregon’s Statewide Business Clusters?  [note in comments section which box(es) were checked and any other relevant details from the application]	3
<b>Point System:</b> 0 – the project does not serve the identified business clusters; 1 – the project has the potential to serve identified business clusters; 2 – the project is likely to serve identified business clusters; 3 – the project will serve identified business clusters		
<b>Calculations/Comments:</b> <b>Long term job years</b> (20 years x 7 jobs)= <b>140</b> Project serves following clusters:		

Forestry and Wood Products  
Manufacturing

**Section 2**

<b>Application Question #s</b>	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Individual Score</b>
25	<p>Does this project improve Oregon’s transportation system efficiency and/or utilization in specifically identified ways?</p> <p>[note in comments section which box(es) were checked and any other relevant details]</p>	6
<p><b>Point System:</b></p> <p>0 – no positive impacts;            1-2 – unlikely to make positive impacts;            3-4 – likely positive impacts; and            5-6 – significant positive impacts;</p>		
29	<p>Does the project improve safety?</p> <p>[briefly note in comments section the documentation or explanation required for a “yes” answer that was provided]</p>	3
<p><b>Point System:</b></p> <p>0 – no positive impacts;            1 – unlikely to make positive impacts;            2 – potential positive impacts;            3 – likely positive impacts;</p>		
<p><b>Comments:</b></p> <p>Project will –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduces traffic or use conflicts</li> <li>Relieves a bottleneck or congestion point</li> <li>Removes an existing barrier</li> <li>Increases system capacity</li> </ul> <p>Economic analysis: Strong project with a very modest public investment request. Project will impact Metro region as well as North Coast region.</p>		

## Review of Economic Benefit to the State

### Final Point Calculation

Section 1 (no more than 11)	10 points
Section 3 (no more than 9)	9 points
<b>Total (no more than 20)</b>	<b>19 Points</b>

**Reviewer Name:** Colin Sears

**Reviewer Agency:** Business Oregon (OBDD)

**Date of Review:** 12/16/2021

# Connect Oregon 2021 – Statutory Considerations Review

**Project Number:** 1R0471 \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Hampton Lumber Sales Track Expansion \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Reviewer:** Chris Malm, Bob Melbo, Kathy Holmes \_\_\_\_\_

On the following pages, tables are provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

**Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>27-28</b>	Industrial or employments connections	5	5
<b>26a-c</b>	Measurement of Success (Improved use and efficiency)	10	8
<b>29</b>	Safety	5	3
<b>30</b>	Serving Business Clusters	5	4
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “a”</b>		25	20
<p><b>Point System for 27-28, 29, 30:</b>            0 – No positive benefit;            1-2 – Potential positive benefit;            3-4 – Likely positive benefit; and            5 – Significant positive benefit.</p>		<p><b>Point System for 26a-c</b>            0 – No positive benefit;            1-3 – Potential positive benefit;            4-7 – Likely positive benefit; and            8-10 – Significant positive benefit.</p>	
<b>COMMENTS</b>			
<p>26 b - What is current number of rail cars per switch?</p> <p>29 – Could result in potential safety concerns due to crossing issues.</p>			

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon’s transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score
24	Explanation of benefits	8	6
25	Improvement of efficiency checkboxes	6	5
26a-c	Measurement of Success (Improved use and efficiency)	8	7
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “c”</b>		20	18
<b>Point System for 25:</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1-2 – Potential positive benefit; 3-4 – Likely positive benefit; and 5-6 – Significant positive benefit.		<b>Point System for 24 and 26</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1-2 – Unlikely to make positive impact 3-4 – Potential positive benefits; 5-6 – Likely positive benefits; and 7-8 – Significant positive benefits.	
<b>COMMENTS</b>			

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant from any source other than the Connect Oregon Fund.**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score
20	Applicant will provide 30% match only	5	5
20	Applicant will provide between 31% to 40% match	7	
20	Applicant will provide between 41% to 50% match	9	

20	Applicant will provide > 50% match	10	
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “d”</b>		10	5
<b>COMMENTS</b>			

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction. A project will be considered ready for construction if the Applicant can demonstrate that:**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume OTC decision in <u>May/July</u> grant execution date of <u>September 2022</u></b>			
14	Community engagement/outreach	2	2
9-11	Completion within 3 years of award	6	6
21	Matching funds (30 days prior to OTC decision)	2	2
12	Site ownership or control (30 days prior to OTC decision)	4	3
16-17	Land Use to allow for use at location (within <b>2 months</b> of grant execution)	4	4
16-17	Limited Land Use decision; site plan review (within <b>6 months</b> of grant execution)		
15	Securing all permits needed for construction (within <b>9 months</b> of grant execution)	2	2
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “e”</b>		20	19



<p><b>Point System for 9-11:</b>  0 – No positive benefit;  1 – Some outreach, insufficient; and  2 – Sufficient outreach or N/A.</p>	<p><b>Point System for 14:</b>  0 – No positive benefit;  1 – Some outreach, insufficient; and  2 – Sufficient outreach or N/A.  5-6 – Minimal concerns about completion in 3 years.</p>
<p><b>Point System for 21:</b>  0 – Doubtful match will be available;  1 – Match may be available; and  2 – Match available.</p>	<p><b>Point System for 12:</b>  0 – Doubtful site will be under control;  1-3 – Site may be under control; and  4 – Site is currently under control.</p>
<p><b>Point System for 15:</b>  0 – Doubtful permits will be secured;  1 – Permits may be secured; and  2 – Confident permits will be secured.</p>	<p><b>Point System for 16-17:</b>  0 – Doubtful land use decisions will be rendered;  1-3 – Land use decisions partially rendered; and  4 – Confident land use decisions will be rendered.</p>
<p><b>Comments:</b></p>	

Staff and review committees all the following information plus other knowledge when determining project readiness.

- Permitting • Match financing • Plan inclusion where necessary
- Land use approval • Applicant capacity

**Consideration (f) - Whether a proposed transportation project has a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the state.**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score
<p><b>The primary element of each project should be used in determining useful life.  See reviewer instructions for further direction.</b></p>			
31	Expected useful life is between 0 and 5 years	2	
31	Expected useful life is between 6 and 10 years	4	

31	Expected useful life is between 11 and 15 years	6	
31	Expected useful life is between 16 and 20 years)	8	8
31	Expected useful life is > 20 years	10	
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “f”</b>		10	
<b>COMMENTS</b>			

**Consideration “f” Maximum Benefit Calculation Table**

For the purposes of Connect Oregon, “maximum benefit” is considered as the project benefits identified in scoring of considerations a, b, and c.

In order to take both “life expectancy” and “maximum benefit” of consideration f into account, *ConnectOregon* staff will utilize the following method to determine life expectancy vs. maximum benefit.

*(To be completed by ODOT Freight Planning Staff)*

Expected life score(Considerations a+b+c scores) / Possible Maximum Sum of Considerations a+b+c		
---	--	--

Example:

$$8(16+12+10)/60 = 304/60 = 5.06 = 5 \text{ (rounded to nearest whole number)}$$

**Consideration (g) - Whether a proposed transportation project is located near operations conducted for mining aggregate or processing aggregate as described in ORS 215.213 (2)(d) or 215.283 (2)(b).**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser’s Score
27	Project is within 10 miles of a site	3	
27	Project is within 5 miles of a site	5	

<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS Consideration “g”</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>
---	----------	----------

**Additional Considerations- Briefly describe any expected project benefits or impacts in the outcome areas below.**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Brief Description of Question (from Application)</b>	<b>Benefit Eval</b>	<b>Appraiser’s Score</b>
<b>28a</b>	Equity	<b>SP</b>	<b>LP</b>
<b>28b</b>	Climate Change/GHG Reduction	<b>SP</b>	<b>SP</b>
<b>QUALITATIVE SCORE –</b>		<b>SP/SP</b>	<b>LP/SP</b>

**\*Qualitative Evaluation 28a-b:**  
 NP – No positive benefit;  
 LP – Limited positive benefit; and  
 SP – Significant positive benefits.

**COMMENT:**

**Additional Considerations Benefits Note:**

The additional considerations questions are intended to capture ODOT’s Strategic Action Plan priorities of taking into account benefits and/or impacts of projects upon equity and climate change/GHG emissions considerations. They are being scored separately than the statutory considerations and are being used to help break ties or prioritize among projects that score closely.