

# Connect Oregon 2021 – Statutory Considerations Review

**Project Number:** 1A0437

**Project Name:** Mulino State Airport Waterline Improvements

**Project Reviewer:** Kristen Forest

On the following pages, tables are provided indicating which application questions relate to the identified consideration. A given question may relate to more than one consideration, and will appear under each relevant consideration.

**Consideration (a) - Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>27-28</b>	Industrial or employments connections	5	3
<b>26a-c</b>	Measurement of Success (Improved use and efficiency)	10	7
<b>29</b>	Safety	5	5
<b>30</b>	Serving Business Clusters	5	3
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “a”</b>		25	18
<b>Point System for 27-28, 29, 30:</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1-2 – Potential positive benefit; 3-4 – Likely positive benefit; and 5 – Significant positive benefit.		<b>Point System for 26a-c</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1-3 – Potential positive benefit; 4-7 – Likely positive benefit; and 8-10 – Significant positive benefit.	
<b>COMMENTS</b>			

**Consideration (c) - Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon’s transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Brief Description of Question (from Application</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Appraiser's Score</b>
<b>24</b>	Explanation of benefits	8	6
<b>25</b>	Improvement of efficiency checkboxes	6	6
<b>26a-c</b>	Measurement of Success (Improved use and efficiency)	8	7
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “c”</b>		20	19
<b>Point System for 25:</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1-2 – Potential positive benefit; 3-4 – Likely positive benefit; and 5-6 – Significant positive benefit.		<b>Point System for 24 and 26</b> 0 – No positive benefit; 1-2 – Unlikely to make positive impact 3-4 – Potential positive benefits; 5-6 – Likely positive benefits; and 7-8 – Significant positive benefits.	
<b>COMMENTS</b>			

**Consideration (d) - How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant from any source other than the Connect Oregon Fund.**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Brief Description of Question (from Application</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Appraiser's Score</b>
<b>20</b>	Applicant will provide 30% match only	5	
<b>20</b>	Applicant will provide between 31% to 40% match	7	7
<b>20</b>	Applicant will provide between 41% to 50% match	9	

20	Applicant will provide > 50% match	10	
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “d”</b>		10	7
<b>COMMENTS</b> The applicant will provide 32% match.			

**Consideration (e) - Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction. A project will be considered ready for construction if the Applicant can demonstrate that:**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>For this consideration, assume OTC decision in <u>May/July</u> grant execution date of <u>September 2022</u></b>			
14	Community engagement/outreach	2	2
9-11	Completion within 3 years of award	6	6
21	Matching funds (30 days prior to OTC decision)	2	2
12	Site ownership or control (30 days prior to OTC decision)	4	4
16-17	Land Use to allow for use at location (within <b>2 months</b> of grant execution)	4	4
16-17	Limited Land Use decision; site plan review (within <b>6 months</b> of grant execution)		
15	Securing all permits needed for construction (within <b>9 months</b> of grant execution)	2	2
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “e”</b>		20	20

<p><b>Point System for 9-11:</b>  0 – No positive benefit;  1 – Some outreach, insufficient; and  2 – Sufficient outreach or N/A.</p>	<p><b>Point System for 14:</b>  0 – No positive benefit;  1 – Some outreach, insufficient; and  2 – Sufficient outreach or N/A.  5-6 – Minimal concerns about completion in 3 years.</p>
<p><b>Point System for 21:</b>  0 – Doubtful match will be available;  1 – Match may be available; and  2 – Match available.</p>	<p><b>Point System for 12:</b>  0 – Doubtful site will be under control;  1-3 – Site may be under control; and  4 – Site is currently under control.</p>
<p><b>Point System for 15:</b>  0 – Doubtful permits will be secured;  1 – Permits may be secured; and  2 – Confident permits will be secured.</p>	<p><b>Point System for 16-17:</b>  0 – Doubtful land use decisions will be rendered;  1-3 – Land use decisions partially rendered; and  4 – Confident land use decisions will be rendered.</p>
<p><b>Comments:</b> For permits the answer was N/A.  Are there no permits needed for this?</p>	

Staff and review committees all the following information plus other knowledge when determining project readiness.

- Permitting • Match financing • Plan inclusion where necessary
- Land use approval • Applicant capacity

**Consideration (f) - Whether a proposed transportation project has a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the state.**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application	Points	Appraiser's Score
<b>The primary element of each project should be used in determining useful life.  See reviewer instructions for further direction.</b>			
31	Expected useful life is between 0 and 5 years	2	

31	Expected useful life is between 6 and 10 years	4	
31	Expected useful life is between 11 and 15 years	6	
31	Expected useful life is between 16 and 20 years)	8	
31	Expected useful life is > 20 years	10	10
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS – Consideration “f”</b>		10	
<b>COMMENTS</b> Useful life is over 100 years.			

**Consideration “f” Maximum Benefit Calculation Table**

For the purposes of Connect Oregon, “maximum benefit” is considered as the project benefits identified in scoring of considerations a, b, and c.

In order to take both “life expectancy” and “maximum benefit” of consideration f into account, *ConnectOregon* staff will utilize the following method to determine life expectancy vs. maximum benefit.

*(To be completed by ODOT Freight Planning Staff)*

Expected life score(Considerations a+b+c scores) / Possible Maximum Sum of Considerations a+b+c		
---	--	--

Example:

$$8(16+12+10)/60 = 304/60 = 5.06 = 5 \text{ (rounded to nearest whole number)}$$

**Consideration (g) - Whether a proposed transportation project is located near operations conducted for mining aggregate or processing aggregate as described in ORS 215.213 (2)(d) or 215.283 (2)(b).**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Points	Appraiser’s Score
----------	--	--------	-------------------

27	Project is within 10 miles of a site	3	
27	Project is within 5 miles of a site	5	
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS Consideration "g"</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>

**Additional Considerations- Briefly describe any expected project benefits or impacts in the outcome areas below.**

Item No.	Brief Description of Question (from Application)	Benefit Eval	Appraiser's Score
28a	Equity	SP	SP
28b	Climate Change/GHG Reduction	SP	NP
<b>QUALITATIVE SCORE –</b>		<b>SP/SP</b>	<b>SP/NP</b>
<p><b>*Qualitative Evaluation 28a-b:</b>            NP – No positive benefit;            LP – Limited positive benefit; and            SP – Significant positive benefits.</p>			
<p><b>COMMENT:</b></p>			

**Additional Considerations Benefits Note:**

The additional considerations questions are intended to capture ODOT's Strategic Action Plan priorities of taking into account benefits and/or impacts of projects upon equity and climate change/GHG emissions considerations. They are being scored separately than the statutory considerations and are being used to help break ties or prioritize among projects that score closely.

# Connect Oregon 2021 Economic Benefit Review

Project Number: 1A0437

Project Applicant: OR. Dept of Aviation

Project Name: Mulino State Airport Waterline Improvements

## Section 1

Application Question #s	Evaluation Criteria	Individual Score
31*8  31d/[(20)/1,000,000]	Long-term jobs multiplied by projects useful life = long-term job-years  <b>OR</b> Private investment (\$) divided by [CO 2021 request/1 million] = Private investment per \$ million requested from Connect Oregon	4
<b>Point System:</b> 0 – no positive impacts; 1-2 – unlikely to make positive impacts; 3-4 – potential positive impacts; 5-6 – likely positive impacts; 7-8 – significant positive impacts		
38	Does this project serve one or more of Oregon’s Statewide Business Clusters?  [note in comments section which box(es) were checked and any other relevant details from the application]	3
<b>Point System:</b> 0 – the project does not serve the identified business clusters; 1 – the project has the potential to serve identified business clusters; 2 – the project is likely to serve identified business clusters; 3 – the project will serve identified business clusters		
<b>Calculations/Comments:</b> 30% match/no jobs created Project will serve these clusters:		

Agriculture  
 Aviation  
 Forestry and Wood Products  
 Tourism and Hospitality

**Section 2**

Application Question #s	Evaluation Criteria	Individual Score
25	Does this project improve Oregon’s transportation system efficiency and/or utilization in specifically identified ways?  [note in comments section which box(es) were checked and any other relevant details]	3
<b>Point System:</b> 0 – no positive impacts; 1-2 – unlikely to make positive impacts; 3-4 – likely positive impacts; and 5-6 – significant positive impacts;		
29	Does the project improve safety?  [briefly note in comments section the documentation or explanation required for a “yes” answer that was provided]	3
<b>Point System:</b> 0 – no positive impacts; 1 – unlikely to make positive impacts; 2 – potential positive impacts; 3 – likely positive impacts;		
<b>Comments:</b> Increases system capacity Removes an existing barrier  Economic analysis: Key economic attribute of project is that it maintains usage of existing facility so that businesses operating there can continue to do so. Economic impacts appear to be modest based upon application review, however improving the facility in order to maintain operations may be enough of an economic impact to make this investment worthwhile.		



## Review of Economic Benefit to the State

### Final Point Calculation

Section 1 (no more than 11)	7 points
Section 3 (no more than 9)	6 points
<b>Total (no more than 20)</b>	<b>13 Points</b>

**Reviewer Name:** Colin Sears

**Reviewer Agency:** Business Oregon (OBDD)

**Date of Review:** 12/16/2021