Subcommittee on Vehicle Code and Public Safety Minutes
April 8, 2019

Attendance
Subcommittee Members: Capt. Teresa Bloom, Marie Dodds, Daniel Fernández, Ritchie Huang (alternate for Sean Waters), Neil Jackson, Robert Nash, Carly Riter, Jeremiah Ross, Lt. Tim Tannenbaum
Other Task Force Members: Claire Prihoda (staff for Rep. Susan McLain)
ODOT Staff: Jenna Adams-Kalloch, Paul Duncan, Amy Joyce, Sarah Kelber, Ali Lohman
Public: Sharla Moffet (Oregon Business & Industry), Arthur Towers (Oregon Trial Lawyers Association)

Welcome and Review of Minutes
Subcommittee lead Lt. Tim Tannenbaum welcomed the group. The subcommittee reviewed and approved the minutes from the previous meeting on March 7, 2019.

Review of Vehicle User Definition Memo
Ali Lohman reviewed the levels of automation established by the International Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE). She summarized definitions for automated vehicles and users from the 2018 revision to SAE’s Recommended Practices J3016. She then reviewed a memo that outlines how SAE and other states have created new terminology or amended existing definitions to describe automated vehicle users, such as “driver,” “operator,” and “passenger.” The memo is available here on the task force website.

Law Enforcement Interactions Plans
Paul Duncan introduced a memo comparing different components of law enforcement interaction plans and law enforcement interaction plan requirements. Examples included plans from Nuro and Waymo, requirements from Arizona and California, and the recommended requirements from the first task force report. The memo is available here on the task force website, as are the example law enforcement interaction plans.

Lt. Tannenbaum suggested that law enforcement interaction plans not be limiting, allowing AV companies to provide information that they deem useful. Lt. Tannenbaum stated that the law enforcement interaction plans were presented for information only, but that if any members of the subcommittee had suggestions on components that should be added beyond the recommendations in the 2018 report, they should report that to the group.

User Roles and Responsibilities Discussion
Lt. Tannenbaum led a discussion about defining terms related to users of automated vehicles or other terms related to the vehicles themselves. The subcommittee members discussed issues related to liability of automated vehicle users and the different information needs and requirements in civil and criminal law.
The subcommittee agreed that a draft statement of intent or a list of important questions to for the Legislature to consider could be a final product for the subcommittee.

**Public Comment**

Arthur Towers of the Oregon Trial Lawyers Association informed the subcommittee about two bills pending in the state legislature relating to transportation network companies (TNCs). He stated that regulation of TNCs could inform the way AVs are eventually regulated.

**Next Steps**

Subcommittee members will prepare questions about how definitions of vehicles and users may affect their respective industries. The subcommittee will examine this list and determine if they want them to be part of a final product to be submitted to the legislature this September.

Daniel Fernández will reach out to private industry contacts about attending or presenting at future subcommittee meetings.

The full task force is tentatively scheduled to meet on May 2, 2019.