Subcommittee on Cybersecurity and Privacy Minutes
June 24, 2019

Attendance
Subcommittee Members: David McMorries, Rick Blackwell, Daniel Fernández, Cheryl Hiemstra, Eliot Rose, Caleb Weaver
Other Task Force Members: Brett Morgan (staff for Rep. McLain), John Powell (alternate for Robert Nash)
ODOT Staff: Paul Duncan, Amy Joyce, Ali Lohman
Public: Evyan Jarvis Andries (representing TechNet), Jordan Bice (representing TechNet), Phil Donovan (representing Google), Justin Freeman (representing Tesla), Courtney Lee (representing TechNet), Hannah Proffitt-Allee (representing General Motors)

Welcome and Introductions
Subcommittee lead David McMorries welcomed the group and led introductions.

Review of Minutes from April 24 Meeting and White Paper #1.
The subcommittee approved the minutes from the April 24 meeting and the edited White Paper #1. The minutes and white paper can be found here and here on the task force website.

Privacy Presentation and Discussion
Caleb Weaver presented about Uber's approach to privacy. His presentation can be found here on the task force website.

David McMorries asked Weaver how Uber proves that requested account deletions have been carried out. Weaver said he was not sure and would follow up about it. McMorries asked if Uber had to date shared any information with government agencies. Weaver replied that he did not believe so, but that he would check. He mentioned Uber’s work with UC Berkeley as an example of collaboration with an outside party.

Eliot Rose asked Weaver how Uber decides how to draw the line between data they can share for public benefit and data that must be protected. Weaver explained that the user is Uber’s primary focus and that data, such as location data, can be highly sensitive. Weaver acknowledged the challenge of balancing protection of this sensitive data with the possible insights it could provide.

Cheryl Hiemstra asked for further examples of how Uber evaluates value for its users. Weaver mentioned personalization options as an example of added value for users that is enabled by user data. Hiemstra also asked for an example of a simple and powerful privacy choice offered to Uber users. Weaver gave the example of the option to share or not share location data.

Jessica Simmons of the Auto Alliance presented about the Auto Alliance Privacy Principles. Her presentation can be found here on the task force website.
McMorries asked how driver consent to information sharing might work in automated vehicles without any drivers. Simmons said that the owner of the vehicle would be the person required to give consent. She acknowledged that this approach leaves some unanswered questions for situations when the owner may be the automated vehicle manufacturer.

Rick Blackwell asked if the auto Alliance Privacy Principles would apply to vehicle dealers. Simmons said that they generally would not.

**Continued Discussion of Privacy Principles**

McMorries asked the subcommittee to consider if they wanted to develop any privacy principles for automated vehicles.

Eliot Rose suggested that location data could be considered personal information and afforded further protections.

Cheryl Hiemstra noted that there is an ongoing Task Force on Public Records and a coming Task Force on Consumer Privacy.

McMorries suggested the subcommittee produce several white papers of areas of concern for data privacy in automated vehicles. These topics are: the sensitivity of location data, the issue of account deletion/the right to be forgotten, and anonymization of data.

**Public Comment**

None.

**Next Steps**

This subcommittee will meet again in July, either in a joint meeting with the Land Use Subcommittee or on its own.

David McMorries will draft a white paper about anonymization/differential privacy.

Eliot Rose will draft a white paper about the sensitivity of location data.

Cheryl Hiemstra will draft a white paper about account deletion and the right to be forgotten.

McMorries also urged the subcommittee members to review the data framing homework document.