Research Stage 1 Problem Statement

PROPOSED TITLE: Post-Installed Resin-Bonded Anchors for MASH TL-4 Side-Mounted Bridge Rail Retrofit.

1. Concisely describe the transportation issue (including problems, improvements, or untested solutions) that Oregon needs to research.

ODOT and other state transportation agencies need a practical, MASH-compliant retrofit option for existing bridges using a steel side-mounted beam-and-post rail attached with post-installed resinbonded anchors. Existing MASH TL-4 side-mounted bridge rail systems were tested with cast-in-place anchors, and current AASHTO LRFD and ACI anchor design provisions for post-installed adhesive anchors are intentionally conservative and do not support the use of post-installed connections for this application. As a result, ODOT cannot currently use this MASH TL-4 side-mounted rail system to upgrade a large population of substandard side-mounted bridge rails. This project will perform targeted component (bogie) testing of post-installed resin-bonded anchor connections to develop validated design resistances and equations, enabling a consistent, crashworthy retrofit detail for Oregon bridges.

2. What final product or information needs to be produced to enable this research to be implemented?

This project needs to produce a practical, implementable design methodology for using post-installed resin-bonded anchors to attach a MASH TL-4 side-mounted beam-and-post bridge rail to existing bridge decks or box-beam sections. The primary final products should include:

- A peer-reviewed research report documenting:
 - Test matrix, instrumentation, and procedures for component (bogie) and static tests of posts attached with post-installed resin-bonded anchors.
 - Measured load-deformation behavior and failure modes of the anchored posts, including concrete breakout, bond, and combined cone-and-bond behavior.
 - Validated design equations and resistance factors for post-installed adhesive anchors in this side-mounted rail configuration, calibrated against the modified equations in report TRP-03-264-12 and compared to ACI/AASHTO LRFD baseline provisions.
 - Recommended minimum embedment depths, edge distances, bar diameters, and adhesive products appropriate for ODOT bridge rail retrofit projects.
- Design implementation tools for ODOT staff:
 - Draft revisions or additions to ODOT Bridge Design Manual / Bridge Design Drafting Manual details to incorporate a standard side-mounted TL-4 rail retrofit detail using post-installed resin-bonded anchors.
 - Draft changes or supplemental guidance for ODOT standard drawings and/or specifications (e.g., Section 00587 – Bridge Railings, adhesive anchor installation specifications) to capture installation and quality-control requirements.
 - A concise design example (or spreadsheet template) showing how to compute required anchor capacities and embedment using the validated equations for typical ODOT deck geometries.

With these products, ODOT bridge design staff can adopt a single, tested retrofit detail and avoid one-off analytical exceptions, while providing a clear, defensible basis for design exceptions where full MASH crash testing is not feasible.

3. (Optional) Are there any individuals in Oregon who will be instrumental to the success of implementing any solution that is identified by this research? If so, please list them below.

Name	Title	Email	Phone
Alex Lim	Steel Bridge Standards Engineer, ODOT Bridge Engineering	Alex.K.LIM@odot.oregon.gov	971-283-5518

4. Other comments:

ODOT has a large inventory of substandard side-mounted bridge rails that do not meet current MASH crashworthiness criteria. Many of these rails are located on facilities with heavy truck traffic and significant vertical drops, where penetration or rollover failures can result in severe or fatal crashes. At present, ODOT often has no cost-effective, constructible retrofit option: replacing the entire deck or using long, cast-in-place anchor rods is highly disruptive and expensive, while relying on conservative ACI/AASHTO LRFD adhesive anchor equations frequently results in "no-solution" designs or requires repeated project-specific design exceptions.

The proposed post-installed resin-bonded anchor retrofit for a MASH TL-4 side-mounted steel beam-and-post rail directly addresses this gap. Prior work TRP-03-264-12 has shown that post-installed resin-bonded anchors can achieve capacities significantly higher than those predicted by basic ACI provisions, particularly when combined cone-and-bond behavior is considered. However, that work was focused primarily on vertically installed anchors with limited test configurations. ODOT's need is specifically for side-mounted rail posts, where embedment is limited (~10–14 in.) and edge distance, moment eccentricity, and dynamic impact behavior may control.

This project will:

- Construct one or more short sections of bridge deck edge representative of typical ODOT bridge decks used for side-mounted rails.
- Install rigid or representative posts with post-installed resin-bonded anchors (e.g., a commonly used adhesive such as HIT-RE 500 V3 or equivalent) at selected embedment depths and edge distances.
- Conduct static and surrogate vehicle (bogie) impact tests (anticipated 3–5 days of testing) to determine the actual demand on the anchor groups and validate the combined cone-and-bond equations proposed in TRP-03-264-12.
- Compare measured capacities to analytical demands from the side-mounted TL-4 rail design, including realistic post plastic moment behavior and load distribution along the rail, to determine an adequate, economical anchor configuration.
- Evaluate whether full-scale crash testing is necessary, or if robust component-level testing provides sufficient basis for design and potential FHWA acceptance as a retrofit detail.

Estimated project cost and benefit (order-of-magnitude):

- Component bogie test cost per configuration has been rough-estimated at approximately \$30,000 (per correspondence with Prof. Joshua Steelman, MwRSF/UNL), including construction of a short deck section, installation, testing, demolition, and reporting.
- A program involving on the order of 5–8 different configurations (varying embedment depth and edge distance) leads to an expected direct research cost of approximately \$150,000 to \$240,000, plus ODOT staff time. A more comprehensive program with additional permutations and analysis may approach \$250,000 total, consistent with the prior draft problem statement.

By contrast, if ODOT is unable to develop a standard post-installed anchor retrofit detail, programmatic costs and risks will remain high:

- Assume that 20 bridges in Oregon will require side-mounted rail upgrades over the next 10–20 vears.
- If each project must pursue a project-specific design exception and bespoke analysis, and each exception consumes even 20–40 hours of combined ODOT and consultant engineering and review time at an average loaded cost of \$150/hour, the agency spends roughly \$3,000–\$6,000 per bridge just in design/approval effort. Across 20 bridges, this equates to approximately \$60,000–\$120,000 of staff and consultant cost.
- If a lack of a feasible retrofit detail forces more intrusive construction (deck modification, special details, or full deck replacement) on even 5–10 of those bridges, and if those more intrusive alternatives cost \$100,000 more per bridge than a post-installed anchor retrofit, that alone represents \$500,000–\$1,000,000 in additional construction cost.

Taken together, developing a single, tested retrofit detail has a realistic potential to avoid about \$560,000–\$1.12 million in combined design and construction costs over the program life, against an approximate research investment in the \$200k–250k range. This suggests a benefit–cost ratio on the order of 2:1 to 5:1, not counting safety benefits.

Safety benefits are even more significant but harder to quantify exactly. Preventing even one serious truck penetration or vaulting crash over the life of the upgraded inventory would likely justify the research from a societal cost perspective. Typical crash cost estimates for a single fatal crash range from \$10–15 million; a handful of serious injury crashes avoided through improved rail performance would easily overwhelm the research cost. Given Oregon's mix of freight routes and aging bridge inventory, the likelihood that better rail retrofits prevent at least one severe event over multiple decades is reasonable.

In summary, this project offers:

- A realistic, implementable path to a MASH-consistent retrofit for side-mounted rails using post-installed adhesive anchors.
- A strong economic justification at the program level, with expected savings far exceeding the research cost.
- A clear link to ODOT's safety and resilience goals and a straightforward implementation path through standard drawings and design manuals.

5. State of Oregon Decision Making Lenses

State decision making lenses are a part of the state of Oregon's policy structure. State policy and federal policy are not always aligned. The state will prioritize research according to state policy, however ODOT may be required to skip prioritized proposals based on constraints placed on the use of federal funds. If state funds are available ODOT will attempt to fund prioritized research that is deemed ineligible for federal funding.

Please complete the following three sections. Your answers to these questions will be applied on a programmatic basis to support agency decisions. Answering yes to the questions below is not required. Resolving a narrowly focused technical research problem may meet agency needs without answering yes to any of the following questions. The ODOT Research Section will seek a balanced portfolio some projects will answer yes to one of the three categories below (e.g. climate, equity, and/ or safety) and other projects in a different category.

We are looking for an overall program balance and no one project is expected to balance all categories. Generally, a research problem statement is expected to be able to answer yes with clear and verifiable information in only one of the three categories below, some projects may be able to answer yes in two or even three categories. Some projects (i.e. needs focused on specific elements of infrastructure design), may have no 'yes' answers but may still be a high value research need.

Climate

Oregon recognizes the climate crisis and makes systemic changes to reduce emissions caused by travel. To that end, we seek research that reduces carbon emissions from construction activities and materials, and from maintenance equipment and operations. Oregon envisions a transportation system that is resilient, this means a system that is durable in the face of seismic events and extreme weather to avoid negative impacts, withstand them or bounce back quickly to resume system function. We seek research that improves the ability of the transportation system to adapt or cope with more frequent and extreme weather events. This may include innovations in data and data sharing, construction materials and project design, communication, emergency planning and response, and more. Similarly, we seek research that avoids negative impacts on key habitats and ecosystems that can buffer or reduce damage to infrastructure and improve environmental conditions for wildlife and native vegetation. For definitions and details please review the equity vision, goals, and objectives of the ODOT Strategic Action Plan and Oregon Transportation Plan.

J	•	ed as a need in Question 1 develop, onitoring of transportation generated	
□Yes	⊠No	□Unsure	
	HG analysis to transporta	ortation issue identified in this problation infrastructure, planning, operat	•
□Yes	⊠No	□Unsure	

5c. Will addressing the **transportation issue** include development or testing of construction practices, methods, or materials to establish potential reductions in greenhouse gas emissions?

⊠Yes	□No	□Unsure	
	ncy vehicle travel or sup	on 1 study or support the reduction of vehicle miles oport transition to electric vehicles (or other types of uels?	
□Yes	⊠No	□Unsure	
_	•	estion 1 lead to work that will support, measure, or nse to expected climate events, effects, or natural	
⊠Yes	□No	□Unsure	
5f. Will solving the transpor environmental conditions fo	•	n 1 lead to work that may result in better getation?	
□Yes	□No	⊠Unsure	
5g. If you answered yes to a	ny of the climate questi	ions above or can provide alternative details related to)

climate, please provide additional information:

While not a primary climate-focused project, the research has indirect climate and resilience benefits through construction methods and asset durability:

- By enabling a post-installed retrofit rather than full deck replacement or reconstruction, the
 research can reduce the amount of concrete and reinforcing steel that must be demolished and
 replaced. This reduction in material production, hauling, and demolition directly decreases GHG
 emissions associated with construction compared to more intrusive alternatives.
- A standard, tested retrofit detail promotes consistent, durable performance of upgraded bridge
 rails under heavy truck traffic and extreme events (e.g., icy conditions, higher intensity storms)
 that may increase crash frequency. Better-performing rails contribute to system resilience,
 helping infrastructure withstand more frequent or severe events without catastrophic failure and
 extended closure.
- The research will also support more efficient maintenance and rehabilitation strategies (e.g., avoiding repeated interim repairs or temporary barriers), reducing repeated construction mobilizations and associated emissions over the life of the asset.

Equity

Equity can have many dimensions and impacts relating to communities and transportation. It is important that problem statement proposals clearly explain the equity dimensions or impacts being examined. Oregon commits to social equity in the OTP, specifically to improve access to safe and affordable transportation for all, recognizing the unmet mobility needs of people who have been systemically excluded and underserved. Create an equitable and transparent engagement and communications decision-making structure that builds public trust. We seek research that studies elements of this goal or applies analysis to specific transportation topics to ensure the resulting research recommendation is consistent with agency equity goals. For definitions and details please review the equity vision, goals, and objectives of the ODOT Strategic Action Plan and Oregon Transportation Plan.

5h. Is the transportation is equity?	ssue identified as a need	n Question 1 specifically focused on transpo	rtation
□Yes	⊠No	□Unsure	
5i. If the transportation is for equity benefits or impa		sportation equity, will the primary topic be as pject?	sessed
□Yes	⊠No	□Unsure	
•	•	his research likely to directly involve participa equitable process or outcome?	tion
□Yes	\square No	⊠Unsure	
·	ne of the equity related of	cted to support ODOT's equity efforts (Includi ojectives of the <u>ODOT's Strategic Action Plan</u> o	•
□Yes	□No	⊠Unsure	
5l. If you answered yes to a equity, please provide add	, , ,	s above or can provide alternative details relat	ted to
can help ODOT upgrade olde historically underinvested co lower-cost, high-performanc	er structures on lower-volum ommunities—where full decl e retrofit may make it more t	ort. However, implementing a cost-effective retrof e, lower-resource corridors—including rural, coas a replacement would be difficult to fund. In that se easible to deliver safety improvements across a b ements only on a small subset of high-volume urb	stal, and ense, a proader
Safety			
of crashes or other causes severity of injury (including	s of transportation-related g prevention of death) afte equity vision, goals, and ob	countermeasures to prevent or reduce the freminjury or death; or may include measures to react a crash or other injurious event. For definition bjectives of the ODOT Strategic Action Plan, Opertation Plan.	educe ons and
5m. Will solving the transp transportation workers or t	•	n 1 support improving safety culture for eithe	r
⊠Yes	□No	□Unsure	
5n. Will the solving the tra communities?	nsportation issue suppor	t improving safety through healthy and livabl	le
⊠Yes	□No	□Unsure	
5o. Will solving the transp etechnologies?	ortation issue support im	proving safety through using best available	
⊠Yes	\square No	□Unsure	

5p. Will solvin	•	ation issue support	improving safety through communication and
\boxtimes Y	/es	□No	□Unsure
you answered	•	e safety questions a	improving safety through investing strategically ? 5r. If bove or can provide alternative details related to safety,
Safety is the p	orimary driver for	this research:	
penetriconsectives this per this per solely international formulation of the project of the proj	ration, rollover, a quences. Develor erformance level unding the retrof on conservative al safety culture- oject will employ ing modern bond nunication and con F/University of N a Bridge, and Mai etrofit detail is con a strategic invest a toward a standa than one-off, exp th typical societ ustify the \$200k-	nd passenger-car varing a tested, poston existing structur it detail in measured code provisions or extaff can rely on exact best available technology best available and maintenance staff to ending ment perspective, the ard, high-impact uppensive solutions. And crash costs in the 250k research investigations.	he research allows ODOT to target limited capital grade that can be replicated across many structures, voiding even a single severe crash at a retrofitted bridge \$10–15 million range for a fatal crash) would more tment.
_	Alex Lim	nitter's Contac	iniomation.
Name: Title:		ndards Engineer	
Affiliation:	ODOT – Bridge Sta		
Telephone:	971-283-5518	-ingilieetiiig	
Email:	Alex.K.LIM@odd	nt oregon gov	
	ponsor Cont		(Required if Submitter is not an ODOT
Name:			
Title: Crew			
Number:			
Telephone:			
retepriorie.	1		

Email:		

This form is not a grant application or contract document. Please do not include proprietary information on this form. Once this form is received ODOT may revise and publish the problem statement. If selected, ODOT will assign investigator(s) of the department's choosing to conduct research.