### **Research Stage 1 Problem Statement**

Use this form to propose new ODOT research. Research projects start with the identification of a specific problem affecting Oregon's transportation system. This form should be limited <u>seven pages</u> at 12 pt font <u>for ADA purposes</u>. At this stage, we are looking to identify the topic and do not need a detailed project proposal. (Given the agency workload the expectation is that **this form should take any one individual a couple of hours to complete,** extensive pre submittal collaboration is not required.)

PLEASE READ THE RESEARCH PROBLEM STATEMENT GUIDANCE ONLINE BEFORE STARTING THIS FORM.

INTERIM TITLE: DEVELOPMENT OF BETTER EFFICACY MODELS FOR WILDLIFE CROSSING STRUCTURES ON US-97 FOR HB 2834 COMPLIANCE

1. Concisely describe the transportation issue (including problems, improvements, or untested solutions) that Oregon needs to research.

HB 2834 (2019) required ODOT to establish a program by Dec. 31, 2023, to reduce wildlife-vehicle collisions in areas where wildlife corridors identified in ODFW's Corridor Action Plan (Plan) intersect with public roads. After implementation of the Plan, ORS 366.162 required ODOT to report to the legislature every two years on the number and types of wildlife passage projects and their effect on wildlife-vehicle collisions.

Two reporting requirements are laid out in ORS 192.245:

- Information concerning the number and types of wildlife corridor infrastructure projects established or planned
- The realized or expected effect of established or planned wildlife corridor infrastructure projects on the number of wildlife vehicle collisions

The Human and Ecosystem Resilience and Sustainability Lab, in partnership with the Oregon Department of Transportation, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Central Oregon LandWatch implemented a one and a half year effectiveness monitoring study of five wildlife crossing structures (WCS) and associated mitigation infrastructure (MI) (exclusion fencing, ungulate guards, and escape ramps) on U.S. South Highway 97 (US-97) between mileposts 145-185 in central Oregon. Additionally, this project included a seventeen-year analysis of deer-vehicle collisions associated with the highway reach.

Findings indicate that the combined passage rate at the five undercrossings was >70% for both ungulate species. However, exclusion fencing was limited in its utility to reduce deer-vehicle collisions. Deer vehicle collision data exhibit significant interannual variability, as does carcass count at fence ends. An additional caveat to both our reported passage rate and passage rates reported in previous studies is the extent to which individual ungulates captured by cameras are actually the same individual habitually using a crossing structure. A robust and consistent monitoring protocol is needed to determine buffer distances of fence ends and reporting of wildlife-vehicle collisions.

# 2. What final product or information needs to be produced to enable this research to be implemented?

The five wildlife crossing structures on US-97, while variable in their efficacy, allow for safe ungulate passage across the highway corridor. However, future work should center on the number of actual

individuals using the structures versus total counts of individuals to enhance metrics of success. Additionally, models that incorporate population estimates should also be considered, as they would allow for more comprehensive understanding of structure use on a population level. In addition, a better understanding of how variability in deer vehicle collision data and carcass count at fence ends contributes to overall expected effect of wildlife passage projects. Both are needed to ensure accurate reporting to the Oregon legislature, as required.

3. (Optional) Are there any individuals in Oregon who will be instrumental to the success of implementing any solution that is identified by this research? If so, please list them below.

| Name | Title | Email | Phone |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
|      |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |

## 4. Decision making lenses

Please complete the following three sections. Your answers to these questions will be applied on a programmatic basis to support agency decisions. Answering yes to the questions below is not required. Resolving a narrowly focused technical research problem may meet agency needs without answering yes to any of the following questions. The ODOT Research Section will seek a balanced portfolio some projects will answer yes to one of the three categories below (e.g. climate, equity, and/ or safety) and other projects in a different category.

We are looking for an overall program balance and no one project is expected to balance all categories. Generally, a research problem statement is expected to be able to answer yes with clear and verifiable information in only one of the three categories below, some projects may be able to answer yes in two or even three categories. Some projects (i.e. needs focused on specific elements of infrastructure design), may have no yes answers but may still be high value research need.

#### Climate

Oregon recognizes the climate crisis and makes systemic changes to reduce emissions caused by travel. Every mile driven in Oregon is powered by a clean source of fuel. We seek research that supports construction and maintenance operations are carbon neutral and investments in mobility that support travel by low and no emission modes. While every research project may not result in a reduction in emissions, transportation investments overall support emission reductions to achieve state goals. Oregon envisions a transportation system that is resilient in the face of seismic and climate events and impacts to the degradation of the natural environment are reduced. Our vision includes a transportation infrastructure is built in a way that avoids impacts on key habitat and results in better environmental conditions for wildlife and native vegetation. For definitions and details please review the equity vision, goals, and objectives of the ODOT Strategic Action Plan and Oregon Transportation Plan.

| 4f. Will addressing the <b>transp</b> o | ortation issue identified as a need in Que | stion 1 develop, or validate    |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| methods for the estimation, m           | easurement, or monitoring of transportat   | ion generated greenhouse gasses |
| (GHG)?                                  |  |                                 |
| □Yes                                    | ⊠No  | □Unsure                         |

| _   | ocus of this <b>transportation issue</b> iden<br>nalysis to transportation infrastructure,                 | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---|--|---|
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                                 |
| _   | <b>sportation issue</b> include development<br>s to establish potential reductions in gr                   | _                                       |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                                 |
|   | ration issue in question 1 study or suppehicle travel or support transition to elearbon alternative fuels? |   |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                                 |
| •   | ration issue in question 1 lead to work resilience in response to expected clim                            | • •                                     |
| ⊠Yes  | □No  | □Unsure                                 |
| 4k. Will the solving the <b>transpor</b> t environmental conditions for wil | tation issue in question 1 lead to work dife and native vegetation?  | that may result in better               |
| ⊠Yes  | □No  | □Unsure                                 |
| 4l. If you answered yes to any of   | the climate questions above or can pro   | ovide alternative details related to    |

climate, please provide additional information:

Wildlife passage monitoring is crucial for adapting to climate change, as it helps identify and maintain habitat connectivity, allowing animals to migrate to new areas in response to changing conditions. By strategically placing and designing crossing structures with climate change in mind, ODOT can support wildlife movement, reduce wildlife-vehicle collisions, and increase ecosystem resilience to climate impacts like increased flooding, droughts, and wildfires. This requires integrating future climate projections into transportation and land-use planning and combining data from monitoring technologies like camera traps and deer vehicle collision data with landscape modeling.

## **Equity**

Equity can have many dimensions and impacts relating to communities, and transportation. It is important that problem statement proposals clearly explain in what capacities are equity dimensions or impacts being examined within problem statements. It is a goal of the OTP to "Improve access to safe and affordable transportation for all, recognizing the unmet mobility needs of people who have been systemically excluded and underserved. Create an equitable and transparent engagement and communications decision-making structure that builds public trust". Proposed research may have the intent of studying elements of this goal or apply analysis to specific transportation topics to ensure the resulting research recommendations is consistent with our equity goals. For definitions and details please review the equity vision, goals, and objectives of the ODOT Strategic Action Plan and Oregon Transportation Plan.

4a Is the transportation issue identified as a need in Question 1 specifically focused on transportation equity?

| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| 4b If the <b>transportation issue</b> is for equity benefits or impacts w | s not focused on transportation equity, ithin the research project?  | will the primary topic be assessed    |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
|   | ential findings from this research likely<br>ould benefit from an equitable process                                    |                                       |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
| ·   | or information expected to support OE the equity related objectives of the OD  |                                       |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
| 4e If you answered yes to any of equity, please provide additional        | the equity questions above or can proval information:  | vide alternative details related to   |
| Safety  |  |                                       |
| 4m. Will solving the <b>transportat</b> transportation workers or the tra | tion issue in question 1 support improvaveling public?   | ving <b>safety culture</b> for either |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
| 4n. Will the solving the <b>transpor communities</b> ?                    | rtation issue support improving safety   | through <b>healthy and livable</b>    |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
| 4o. Will solving the <b>transportati technologies</b> ?                   | i <b>on issue</b> support improving safety thro  | ough using <b>best available</b>      |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
| 4p. Will solving the <b>transportatic</b> collaboration?                  | ion issue support improving safety thro  | ough <b>communication and</b>         |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure                               |
| 4q. Will the solving the <b>transpor</b>                                  | rtation issue support improving safety   | through investing strategically?      |
| ⊠Yes  | □No  | □Unsure                               |
| 4r. If you answered yes to any of safety, please provide additional       | the safety questions above or can prov<br>l information:   | vide alternative details related to   |
| capacity to provide habitat conr  | Effectiveness Study measured rates of nectivity and limit deer-vehicle collision ve undercrossings was >70% for both u | ns. Findings indicate that the        |

exclusion fencing was limited in its utility to reduce deer-vehicle collisions. Critically, monitoring

identified specific features requiring adaptive management strategies due to complications resulting from existing WCS and MI design. Insights from this work provide context and recommendations for future projects aimed at prioritizing safe passage for ungulates and increasing driver safety statewide.

## 5. Other comments:

## **6. Corresponding Submitter's Contact Information:**

| Name:        | Cidney Bowman                   |  |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Title:       | Wildlife Passage Coordinator    |  |
| Affiliation: | ODOT                            |  |
| Telephone:   | 503-930-3352                    |  |
| Email:       | Cidney.n.bowman@odot.oregon.gov |  |

This form is not a grant application or contract document. Please do not include proprietary information on this form. Once this form is received ODOT may revise and publish the problem statement. If selected, ODOT will assign investigator(s) of the department's choosing to conduct research.