

**USING AUTOMATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL  
PERFORMANCE MEASURES TO  
IMPROVE SIGNAL TIMINGS**

**Final Report**

**PROJECT 304-881**



Oregon Department of Transportation



**USING AUTOMATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL PERFORMANCE  
MEASURES TO IMPROVE SIGNAL TIMINGS**

**Final Report**

**PROJECT 304-881**

by

Xiugang Li, Ph.D., P.E.  
Oregon Department of Transportation  
Research Section

for

Oregon Department of Transportation  
Research Section  
555 13<sup>th</sup> Street NE, Suite 1  
Salem OR 97301

and

Federal Highway Administration  
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE  
Washington, DC 20590

**September 2022**



1. Report No. FHWA-OR-RD-23-05	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Using Automated Traffic Signal Performance Measures to Improve Signal Timings		5. Report Date September 2022	6. Performing Organization Code
		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
7. Author(s) Xiugang Li, <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5966-8158">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5966-8158</a>		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Oregon Department of Transportation Research Section 555 13 <sup>th</sup> Street NE, Suite 1 Salem, OR 97301		11. Contract or Grant No.	
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Oregon Dept. of Transportation Research Section 555 13 <sup>th</sup> Street NE, Suite 1 Salem, OR 97301			
		15. Supplementary Notes	
16. Abstract This report describes the use of automated traffic signal performance measures for Oregon Department of Transportation. The report presents case studies of signal coordination and running yellow and red lights.			
17. Key Words Traffic, Signal		18. Distribution Statement Copies available from NTIS, and online at <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP_RES/">www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP_RES/</a>	
19. Security Classification (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classification (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 19	22. Price



## SI\* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS					APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS				
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
<b><u>LENGTH</u></b>					<b><u>LENGTH</u></b>				
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m	m	meters	3.28	feet	ft
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m	m	meters	1.09	yards	yd
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km	km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi
<b><u>AREA</u></b>					<b><u>AREA</u></b>				
in <sup>2</sup>	square inches	645.2	millimeters squared	mm <sup>2</sup>	mm <sup>2</sup>	millimeters squared	0.0016	square inches	in <sup>2</sup>
ft <sup>2</sup>	square feet	0.093	meters squared	m <sup>2</sup>	m <sup>2</sup>	meters squared	10.764	square feet	ft <sup>2</sup>
yd <sup>2</sup>	square yards	0.836	meters squared	m <sup>2</sup>	m <sup>2</sup>	meters squared	1.196	square yards	yd <sup>2</sup>
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha	ha	hectares	2.47	acres	ac
mi <sup>2</sup>	square miles	2.59	kilometers squared	km <sup>2</sup>	km <sup>2</sup>	kilometers squared	0.386	square miles	mi <sup>2</sup>
<b><u>VOLUME</u></b>					<b><u>VOLUME</u></b>				
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	ml	ml	milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	fl oz
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	L	L	liters	0.264	gallons	gal
ft <sup>3</sup>	cubic feet	0.028	meters cubed	m <sup>3</sup>	m <sup>3</sup>	meters cubed	35.315	cubic feet	ft <sup>3</sup>
yd <sup>3</sup>	cubic yards	0.765	meters cubed	m <sup>3</sup>	m <sup>3</sup>	meters cubed	1.308	cubic yards	yd <sup>3</sup>
~NOTE: Volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m <sup>3</sup> .									
<b><u>MASS</u></b>					<b><u>MASS</u></b>				
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g	g	grams	0.035	ounces	oz
lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms	2.205	pounds	lb
T	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams	1.102	short tons (2000 lb)	T
<b><u>TEMPERATURE (exact)</u></b>					<b><u>TEMPERATURE (exact)</u></b>				
°F	Fahrenheit	(F-32)/1.8	Celsius	°C	°C	Celsius	1.8C+32	Fahrenheit	°F

\*SI is the symbol for the International System of Measurement



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to acknowledge the support from ODOT Research Section.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Oregon Department of Transportation and the United States Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The State of Oregon and the United States Government assume no liability of its contents or use thereof.

The contents of this report reflect the view of the authors who are solely responsible for the facts and accuracy of the material presented. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Oregon Department of Transportation or the United States Department of Transportation.

The State of Oregon and the United States Government do not endorse products of manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear herein only because they are considered essential to the object of this document.

This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2	COMPARISON OF SYNCHRO AND ATSPM .....	1
<b>2.0</b>	<b>CASE STUDIES.....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	SIGNAL COORDINATION ON ARTERIAL ROADWAYS .....	3
2.2	RUNNING YELLOW AND RED LIGHTS .....	5
<b>3.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>7</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Purdue coordination diagram of Phase 2.....	3
Figure 2.2:	Split monitor of Phase 2 .....	4
Figure 2.3:	Purdue split failure of Phase 1 .....	4
Figure 2.4:	Yellow and red actuations of Phase 6 .....	5



# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 BACKGROUND**

Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has started to work on the Automated Traffic Signal Performance Measures (ATSPMs), for example, integrated ATSPMs into the Advanced Traffic Management System named MaxView. The ATSPMs provide signal performance measures, such as split monitor and Purdue Coordination Diagrams, from the traffic controller data. The ATSPM help diagnose issues of existing signal timing and make adjustments.

The objective of this study is to use ATSPMs, which is relatively new, to help ODOT signal timings.

## **1.2 COMPARISON OF SYNCHRO AND ATSPM**

ODOT has used Synchro for over twenty years in the traffic signal project planning and development and also uses it for signal operations, such as producing signal timing coordination on arterial roadways. Synchro is a widely used traffic signal timing optimization and modeling software. Synchro estimates traffic performance by using deterministic models while SimTraffic in the same software package uses computer simulations. Synchro also needs inputs of hourly traffic volumes.

ATSPMs are different from Synchro. ATSPMs are produced from data collected by a signal controller, which is a device installed at the signalized intersection. ATSPMs are reported in real-time and can be produced for a selected time range, such as the last week. ATSPMs are suitable for monitoring the signal timing performance and diagnosing problems, so the problems can be solved proactively to improve driver experience and reduce delays.

ODOT uses MaxView software to report ATSPMs, which include the following parameters: Purdue phase termination, split monitor, pedestrian delay, preemption details, turning movements counts, Purdue coordination diagram, approach volume, approach delay, arrivals on red, yellow and red actuations, and Purdue split failure. These parameters are described in the North Carolina DOT Guide on ATSPMs (Tanaka et al, 2019). The ATSPMs are reported in graphs for easier understanding and diagnosis.




## 2.0 CASE STUDIES

### 2.1 SIGNAL COORDINATION ON ARTERIAL ROADWAYS

This case study evaluated two intersections: OR34 and 53rd, OR34 and Technology Loop.

The signals of these two intersections were coordinated. The ATSPMs were reviewed for the week of January 21, 2020. Figure 1 is the Purdue Coordination Diagram that shows about 87% of the going-through vehicles on OR34 arrived on green at the intersection of Technology Loop. Shown on split-monitor graphs, such as Figure 2, of the signal phases for nights and weekends, most of the signal phases were gap out when the two intersections' signals were not coordinated. Figure 3 shows that Phase 1 had only 2% of Purdue split failure, which reports how often vehicles are left unserved at the end of a phase. The ATSPMs show that the signal timings at the intersections were good during that week.




**Figure 2.1: Purdue coordination diagram of Phase 2**

### Split Monitor

OR34 @ Tech Loop - SIG#506  
 Saturday, January 25, 2020 7:00 AM - Saturday, January 25, 2020 7:01 PM

#### Phase 2




**Figure 2.2: Split monitor of Phase 2**

### Purdue Split Failure

OR34 @ Tech Loop - SIG#506  
 Tuesday, January 21, 2020 7:00 AM - Tuesday, January 21, 2020 7:01 PM

#### Phase 1: Ph1


Total Split Failures = 6



**Figure 2.3: Purdue split failure of Phase 1**

## 2.2 RUNNING YELLOW AND RED LIGHTS

The measure Yellow and Red Actuations report the counts of vehicles running yellow and red lights, but do not report vehicles' identification information. Figure 4 shows the Yellow and Red Actuations for a signal phase at the intersection of OR99E and 17<sup>th</sup> Street. The percentage of violations is very low. If the number of violations was high, it would raise a concern.



**Figure 2.4: Yellow and red actuations of Phase 6**



### 3.0 REFERENCES

Tanaka, A., Schroeder, B., Trask, L., & Chase, T. (2019) *NCDOT guide on automated traffic signal performance measures*. Portland, OR: Kittelson & Associates. Retrieved from <https://connect.ncdot.gov/resources/safety/Tepl/TEPPL%20All%20Documents%20Library/NCDOT%20Guide%20on%20ATSPM.pdf>