


Interchange Area Management Plan Interstate 5/Interchange 129

Roseburg, Oregon
Douglas County, Oregon

Prepared for
City of Roseburg
Douglas County
Oregon Department of Transportation



Prepared by

DKS Associates
TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS

Winterbrook Planning

March 2011

March 2026: Proposed Amendments indicated in red strike-through.

Interchange Area Management Plan

Interstate 5/ Interchange 129

Roseburg, Oregon
Douglas County, Oregon

Prepared for
City of Roseburg
Douglas County
Oregon Department of Transportation

Prepared by
DKS Associates
Winterbrook Planning

March 2011

Acknowledgments

The development of this plan has been the collective effort of the following people:

City of Roseburg

Fred Alley
Brian Davis

Oregon Department of Transportation

Lisa Cortes
Ron Hughes

Douglas County

Mark Bernard
Keith Cubic
Mike Luttrell
Stephanie Morgan

Consultant Team

John Bosket, DKS Associates
Greg Winterowd, Winterbrook Planning

Technical Advisory Committee

Fred Alley, City of Roseburg
Mark Bernard, Douglas County
Fabian Carr, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Helga Conrad, Umpqua Economic Development Partnership
Lisa Cortes, Oregon Department of Transportation
Keith Cubic, Douglas County
Brian Davis, City of Roseburg
Lynn Herbert, Umpqua Economic Development Partnership
Ron Hughes, Oregon Department of Transportation
Mark Leedom, Oregon Department of Transportation
Mike Luttrell, Douglas County
Christina McDaniel-Wilson, ODOT
Stephanie Morgan, Douglas County
Dennis Randolph, Roseburg School District
John Renz, Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
Holly Truemper, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms iv

Chapter 1: Executive Summary 1

Chapter 2: Introduction 5

 IAMP Purpose and Intent..... 5

 Problem Statement..... 8

 Study Area..... 8

 Interchange Function 12

 Goals and Objectives..... 12

Chapter 3: Transportation Operations..... 14

 Motor Vehicle Improvements..... 14

 Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements 25

Chapter 4: Management Strategies 29

 Access Management Plan 29

 Land Use Strategies and Policies..... 37

Chapter 5: IAMP Implementation and Adoption 38

Chapter 6: IAMP Updates 42

Appendices..... 44

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Exit 129 Interchange Area Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Projects.....	1
Table 2: Study Area Roadway Functional Classification	20
Table 3: Mobility Standards (Volume to Capacity Ratios)	20
Table 4: Immediate Build Intersection Operations Analysis Results	21
Table 5: Immediate Build Freeway Operations Analysis Results	21
Table 6: Exit 129 Interchange Area Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Project Phasing.....	23
Table 7: Ultimate Build Intersection Operations Analysis Results.....	23
Table 8: Access Management Plan Actions	31

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: IAMP Study Area	7
Figure 2: City of Roseburg Comprehensive Plan Designations	10
Figure 3: Douglas County Zoning Map.....	11
Figure 4: 2027 Future Weekday PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes.....	16
Figure 5: Immediate Build Roadway Improvements	19
Figure 6: Ultimate Build Intersection Geometry.....	24
Figure 7: Pedestrian Facilities	27
Figure 8: Bicycle Facilities	28
Figure 9: Short-Range Access Actions.....	33

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Findings of Compliance	
Appendix B: Douglas County & City of Roseburg Adopting Ordinances	
Appendix C: Existing Land Use Analysis	
Appendix D: Existing Transportation Conditions	
Appendix E: Future Transportation Analysis	
Appendix F: Amendment to Roseburg/Douglas County Urban Growth Management Agreement Standards Supplement	

Acronyms

HDM	Highway Design Manual
I-5	Interstate 5
IAMP	Interchange Area Management Plan
NB	Northbound
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rule
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
OHP	Oregon Highway Plan
SB	Southbound
TPAU	Transportation Planning Analysis Unit
TSP	Transportation System Plan
UGA	Urban Growth Area
UGB	Urban Growth Boundary
UGMA	Urban Growth Management Agreement
V/C	Volume to Capacity Ratio

CHAPTER 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) for the I-5 Interchange 129 applies within the urban growth boundary (UGB) of Roseburg, Oregon. The IAMP acts as a refinement plan of the City of Roseburg and Douglas County Transportation System Plans (TSPs) and as a facility plan for the Oregon Department of Transportation. It establishes the desired function of this interchange and provides a long-range plan for infrastructure needs and system management practices that will allow for the safe and efficient movement of goods and people through the interchange area as the surrounding land develops. The development of this plan was a cooperative effort between the Oregon Department of Transportation, Douglas County, and the City of Roseburg. Further input was provided by area stakeholders through a Technical Advisory Committee and through public outreach conducted as part of the project development process.

This plan has been organized to facilitate implementation, including only content needed to understand the direction for managing the transportation system within the interchange area and to guide future decision-making in a manner consistent with that direction. Documents containing detailed background information developed through the planning process that created the basis for findings and recommendations are included in the appendix to this report. The plan elements included in this report are summarized as follows:

Chapter 2: Introduction

- This section discusses the purpose of the I-5 Interchange 129 IAMP, identifies problems addressed in the plan, describes the study area surrounding the interchange itself, identifies the intended function of the interchange, and outlines plan goals and objectives.

Chapter 3: Transportation Operations

- Describes expectations for operational performance of key roadways in the interchange area through the planning horizon year of 2027 and identifies pedestrian and bicycle facilities needs for completing a multimodal transportation system within the urban growth boundary.
- The “Ultimate Build” improvement scenario represents the transportation system that will be necessary to accommodate future traffic demands in the year 2027, such that mobility standards can be met at all study intersections. The additional improvement projects that will be needed following the completion of the Interchange 129 project (Immediate Build) are summarized in the table below.

Table 1: Exit 129 Interchange Area Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Projects

Intersection	Improvement Projects
Del Rio Road & I-5 Southbound Ramp Terminal	Signalize the intersection Add a second westbound through lane
Old Highway 99 & I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal	Add a second eastbound right turn lane
Del Rio Rd / Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	Add a second northbound left turn lane Add a southbound shared through/right turn lane

Chapter 4: Management Strategies

- An access management plan is included to facilitate future decisions regarding approach locations to interchange crossroads within the interchange influence area in a manner that is consistent with the crossroads' intended function.
- Strategies for managing land use in a manner that is consistent with the interchange function are provided. The following specific policies are included in this IAMP:
 1. The City and County recognize the importance of Interstate 5 to the movement of people and goods to and from the region and are committed to protecting the function of the interchange, as defined in the IAMP. Therefore, the City and County will coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) in evaluating land use actions that could adversely affect the function of the interchange.
 2. The City and County will coordinate with ODOT prior to (a) amending their comprehensive plans (including their TSPs), (b) amending their land development ordinances (including text amendments and supplemental provisions in the urban growth management agreement [UGMA]), (c) changing zoning designation, or (d) proposing transportation improvements that could adversely affect the function of the interchange. The City and County will ensure that any such amendments are consistent with the function of the interchange, as defined in the IAMP.
 3. Development within the Interchange 129 planning area will be jointly monitored and evaluated by ODOT, the County, and the City. ODOT will continue to coordinate with the City and County and state agencies in reviewing comprehensive plan amendments, zone change applications, changes to the UGMA, and development proposals that may have a significant impact on existing or planned transportation facilities.
 4. The Interchange 129 area has a prime industrial site (shown on Figure 10) that is zoned Heavy Industrial (M-3) on the Douglas County zoning map. ~~To ensure that the function of Interchange 129 is conserved during the 20-year life of the plan, commercial retail and service uses shall not be permitted on this site. The UGMA (Supplemental Standards, Section XII) between Douglas County and the City of Roseburg will prevent commercial retail and service uses on this prime industrial site.~~
 5. The City and County recognize the importance I-5 plays in local and regional economic development and employment activity, and will coordinate with ODOT to assure such activity does not adversely affect the function of the interchange.

Chapter 5: IAMP Implementation and Adoption

- Roles and responsibilities related to the adoption and implementation of the IAMP are outlined for the Oregon Department of Transportation, Douglas County, and City of Roseburg. Recommended amendments to City and County plans and implementation measures necessary to successfully adopt and implement the IAMP are also included as appendices.

Chapter 6: IAMP Updates

- A list of potential actions or conditions that could result in a need to update the IAMP includes:

- A change to the Douglas County or City of Roseburg Comprehensive Plans, Plan Maps, implementing zoning ordinances ~~or the UGMA~~ that will have a “significant effect” on the transportation system within the IAMP study area. The determination of a “significant effect” shall be pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660-012-0060.
- The construction of transportation improvement projects within the IAMP study area that are inconsistent with planned and assumed projects in the Douglas County or City of Roseburg Transportation System Plans or the I-5 Interchange 129 IAMP.
- An amendment or update to the Douglas County or City of Roseburg Transportation System Plans.
- Development proposals in the study area that (a) are inconsistent with the IAMP ~~implementing UGMA Supplemental Standards~~ or (b) change the functional classification of a roadway.

CHAPTER 2: INTRODUCTION

This section discusses the purpose and intent of the IAMP, states the problem being addressed, describes the function of the interchange, introduces the IAMP study area, and outlines the goals and objectives.


IAMP Purpose and Intent

Interchange 129 is located on I-5, approximately 2.5 miles north of the Roseburg city limits. It provides access to Old Highway 99 (Old Oakland-Shady Highway) and Del Rio Road (County Road 115). It was constructed in 1978 as a folded diamond configuration in the southbound direction and as a gull wing in the northbound direction. The northbound structures on I-5 over the North Umpqua River and the Del Rio Road over crossing were identified as deficient due to structural cracks and determined to be in need of repair. ODOT decided to modernize the interchange as part of the bridgework. The ODOT Transportation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU) has evaluated different alternatives, which include improvements to the I-5 entrance ramps and realignment of Del Rio Road and Old Highway 99. The Interchange 129 construction is currently scheduled to start in 2011.¹ The proposed interchange improvements are shown in the study area map (Figure 1).

OAR 734-051-0155(6) states: "Interchange Area Management Plans are required for new interchanges and should be developed for significant modifications to existing interchanges...." This IAMP was prepared in accordance with the recommendations in the above OAR because of planned modifications to Interchange 129. The purpose of the IAMP is to protect the function of the interchange and to protect the investment made by the State for a period of at least 20 years. The use of a 20-year planning period was chosen for this project to comply with the Transportation Planning Rule requirements and guidance from the Federal Highway Administration regarding project planning. New interchanges are very costly and it is in the interest of the State, local governments, and the citizens to ensure that the interchange functions efficiently.


The purpose of this planning effort is to evaluate the long-term operation of Interchange 129, assess the limitations and issues of concern, and in general terms, identify possible future long-range needs attributable to planned development in the area. The IAMP recommends operational and physical improvements and access management techniques to provide efficient operation of the interchange and accommodate planned local land use.

¹ *Active Program Bundles by ODOT Region 3, January 2010*. Retrieved August 2010 from OTIA III State Bridge Delivery Program website: http://www.obdp.org/files/program/work/active_bundles/region3-active.pdf



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 1**

IAMP Study Area



LEGEND	
	Proposed Roadway
	Study Area
	Roseburg UGB
	Tax Lots
	Water
	Study Intersection
	Streets
	Railroad

Problem Statement

This problem statement serves as the basis for alternatives evaluation criteria and the benchmark against which to measure the plan's success. The modernization of Interchange 129 constitutes a major change to the study area's transportation network. One of the problems that will be resolved by this IAMP is how to integrate the modified interchange into the study area in a way that balances state highway transportation needs with local land use.

Of particular concern in this IAMP is conserving the existing Heavy Industrial site west of I-5 exclusively for industrial uses as called for in the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and this IAMP. Due to Douglas County's pyramidal zoning scheme, some commercial retail and service uses are currently permitted in areas now designated for Heavy Industrial uses. Such retail commercial uses could prematurely exhaust the capacity of Interchange 129, thereby precluding the siting of industrial uses called for in the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan.

Existing problems such as geometrical deficiencies, poor sight distance, access spacing, recurring crashes, lack of pedestrian facilities, and heavy truck traffic at the interchange ramps have also been addressed by the project. Traffic weaving conditions north of the I-5 southbound off-ramp will not be a problem, since the Wilburn Greenlight Weigh-In-Motion scale house will be moved south of Roseburg prior to the reconstruction of Interchange 129.

Study Area

The study area boundaries, illustrated in Figure 1, extend from the North Umpqua River to approximately 2,000 feet north of the Wilburn Greenlight Weigh-In-Motion scale house, and from approximately the Julina Lane/Del Rio Road intersection to approximately one-quarter mile west of Old Highway 99 to one-quarter mile east of Old Highway 99.

Study area roadways of interest include I-5, Old Highway 99, Del Rio Road, and Umpqua College Road. In addition, the following three intersections were selected for focused operations analysis.

- Old Highway 99 & I-5 Northbound
- Del Rio Road & I-5 Southbound
- Del Rio Road / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99

Comprehensive Plan Designations and Zoning Classifications


While the interchange area is partially within the Roseburg Urban Growth Area (UGA), none of this area lays within the city limits. Therefore, Douglas County is responsible for administering zoning for all land within the interchange area. For properties within the UGA, the City of Roseburg Comprehensive Plan controls; however, applicable comprehensive plan designations are implemented through Douglas County zoning and the UGMA between the City and County. City Comprehensive Plan designations and County zoning applied to land within the interchange area are illustrated in Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively, and described below.

The north side of Del Rio Road immediately adjacent to the interchange is designated Heavy Industrial (M3) for the Douglas County Mill site. The Heavy Industrial zone is for heavy industrial development and is generally intended to be applied to those areas with excellent highway, rail, or other transportation access. ~~The revised UGMA (2010) includes additional restrictions (Section XII of the Supplemental Standards) on commercial retail and service uses in the Heavy Industrial zone.~~

The ramp terminals on the east side of the interchange intersect Old Highway 99. Most of this area is inside the UGA. The area between I-5 and Old Highway 99 is zoned Public Reserve (PR). The northern portion of this area is the headquarters for the Douglas County Parks Department. On the east side of Old Highway 99, the frontage from the ramp terminals south to the North Umpqua River is zoned Community Commercial (C2) and is vacant.


The intersection of Old Highway 99 and Umpqua College Road has Low Density Residential (R1) on the south side and Suburban Residential (RS) on the north side. The Low Density Residential (R1) zone provides for a medium density urban residential use (6,500 square-foot minimum lot sizes) plus related compatible uses such as schools and parks. The Suburban Residential classification provides for single-family dwellings with 15,000 square-foot minimum lot sizes and limited urban services.

Farther north on Old Highway 99 is County EFU-Grazing (FG), before the highway crosses back into the Roseburg UGA to include a large amount of industrial land zoned Medium (M2) and Heavy (M3) Industrial. The south side of Umpqua College Road is zoned Single-Family Residential (R1) along the North Umpqua River, although some land on the east end is designated on the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan Map for High Density Residential (HDR). The Umpqua Community College is located on the north side of the road and is zoned Public Reserve (PR).





Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 2**
 City of Roseburg
 Comprehensive Plan Designations





Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 3**
 Douglas County
 Zoning Map



Interchange Function

Interchange 129 lies within the Roseburg UGB, but outside of the Roseburg city limits. Interchange 129 provides access to Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road. The southbound I-5 ramp terminals intersect with Del Rio Road and the northbound I-5 ramp terminals intersect with Old Highway 99. Umpqua College Road is also located within the study area. The 1999 Oregon Highway Plan identifies I-5 as an Interstate Freeway within the study area. Old Highway 99, Del Rio Road, and Umpqua College Road fall under Douglas County jurisdiction. The Douglas County Transportation System Plan² identifies Old Highway 99 as an arterial, Del Rio Road as a major collector, and Umpqua College Road as a minor collector.

The primary land use designations served by the interchange are: Heavy Industrial on the northwest quadrant; Public Reserve on the southwest quadrant; Public Reserve and Residential on the northeast quadrant; and Residential, Community Commercial and Public Reserve on the southeast quadrant. The historical Winchester Bridge is located south of the Old Highway 99/ Del Rio Road intersection east of I-5. Among the properties served by the interchange are the Umpqua Community College, Amacher Park, Rod & Gun Club, Douglas County Forest Products, the headquarters for the Douglas County Parks Department, and residences.

The intended function of Interchange 129 is to safely and efficiently accommodate future traffic demands associated with current and planned land uses consistent with the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan. The interchange improvements scheduled are not intended to facilitate new commercial development in the study area – especially in areas designated for industrial use. However, interchange area improvements are intended to facilitate industrial development as called for in the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and accommodate future traffic associated with current and planned land uses. ~~Section XII of the amended UGMA includes provisions that prohibit the use of the Heavy Industrial site shown on Figure 10 for commercial retail and service uses, as required by the policy provisions of this IAMP.~~

Goals and Objectives

The goals and objectives of this IAMP reflect the intentions and interests of ODOT, the City of Roseburg, Douglas County, and other key stakeholders regarding the interchange and transportation operations in the area. The goals and objectives are guided by, but not re-statements of, 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) policies and OAR language. The objectives relate what the plan is trying to accomplish and are intended to be achievable and measurable. The objectives serve as the basis for data collection and research and as alternative evaluation criteria to guide alternatives analysis and selection of the preferred alternative, and to guide management decisions.

Project Goal

The goal of this IAMP is to preserve the investment being made in the new interchange facility and to maintain the interchange's intended function, which is to safely and efficiently accommodate future traffic demands associated with current and planned land uses consistent with the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan. The IAMP was developed in partnership with affected property owners in the interchange area, the City of Roseburg, Douglas County, ODOT, and other stakeholders, including interchange users.

² Douglas County Transportation System Plan, December 2006.

Objectives

The objectives of the IAMP are to:

- Protect the function of the interchange as specified in the OHP and Roseburg TSP.
- Protect the safe and efficient operation of the interchange between connecting roadways and to minimize the need for major improvements at existing intersections.
- Provide safe and efficient operations on I-5 and arterial highways as specified in the OHP and Douglas County TSP.
- Develop an access management plan that provides for safe and acceptable operations on the transportation network, and meets OHP requirements and the access spacing standards in OAR 734-051.
- Identify future land use conditions and incorporate needed measures to conserve interchange capacity and limit land uses to those anticipated by the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan.
~~Commercial retail and service land uses are to be prohibited within the Heavy Industrial (M-3) zone.~~
- Include short, medium, and long-range actions to improve and maintain roadway operations and safety in the interchange study area.
- Include amendments to the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan, ~~Roseburg/Douglas County Urban Growth Management Agreement~~, and Roseburg and Douglas County Transportation System Plans, and other official documents as necessary to implement the recommended alternative for the interchange study area.
- Identify partnerships for the cooperative management of future projects and establish a process for coordinated review of land use decisions affecting transportation facilities.
- Provide for infrastructure needs for new industrial development (and related economic activity and employment associated with the industrial development in the study area) consistent with the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and implementing measures.

CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS

The primary focus of the Interchange 129 project is the replacement of the northbound structure on I-5 over the North Umpqua River and the Del Rio Road over-crossing structure, which have been identified as being structurally deficient and in need of repair. This project also includes the realignment of Del Rio Road to intersect Old Highway 99 opposite Umpqua College Road, resulting in relocations of the interchange ramps to the north. Construction is to begin in 2011.

The Interchange 129 project is programmed solely for the replacement of a deficient bridge – not as a “modernization project” that would increase interchange capacity to meet future land use needs. Unlike a modernization project, the intent of this project was not to provide adequate capacity through the planning horizon year, but to replace old infrastructure and to restore the connecting roadways to preconstruction conditions. However, during the project development process, opportunities were taken to further enhance the capacity of the interchange and surrounding street network as the budgetary constraints would allow. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative selected through ODOT’s project development process represents the improvements chosen to fulfill the need of replacing the deficient structures, but does not represent the ultimate build out of the interchange and surrounding street network needed to provide adequate operations through the planning horizon year of 2027.

This chapter describes the “Immediate Build” condition that will exist following completion of the Interchange 129 construction project and identifies additional improvements that will be required to maintain adequate levels of safety and mobility through the planning horizon year of 2027.


Motor Vehicle Improvements

Improvements to the roadway network for serving motor vehicle travel are described for the “Immediate Build” scenario, representing conditions that will exist following the Interchange 129 construction project in 2011, and for the “Ultimate Build” scenario, representing additional improvements that will be needed to serve forecasted traffic growth through the year 2027.

Future Traffic Volumes

Future traffic volumes for the study area in the year 2027 were developed by TPAU, using a combination of manual traffic counts, historic growth rates, data from the Roseburg Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR No. 10-005) on I-5, ITE Trip Generation Seventh Edition, and the Roseburg transportation demand model (see Appendix E: Future Transportation Analysis for detailed methodology). The resulting future weekday p.m. peak hour volumes during the year 2027 at each of the study intersections are displayed in Figure 4.

Key land use assumptions effecting the interchange area that were included as the basis for projecting traffic volumes include the operation of the future Winchester Freight Rail Yard Facility located north of the Douglas County Forest Products Mill, full development of the 120-acre, industrially-zoned Mill Pond Site (also known as the Back Nine Property), and the recent opening of a Costco Warehouse located south of the interchange area.




Interchange 129 IAMP

Figure 4

2027 Future Weekday
PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes

DKS Associates
TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS



- LEGEND**
- Proposed Roadway
 - Study Area
 - Roseburg UGB
 - Tax Lots
 - Water

- Streets
- Railroad
- Study Intersection
- Design Hour Traffic Volume


Development of the newly created surplus property south of the interchange between I-5 and the realigned Old Highway 99 was not assumed to occur in this plan because there is currently no zoning designation for it. Should it develop in the future, the potential trip generation could significantly impact Interchange 129 operations. Therefore, this IAMP ~~should~~ may need to be updated concurrently with any Comprehensive Plan amendment that applies a zoning designation to this property.

Immediate Build Improvements


As part of the project to replace deficient bridges on I-5, ODOT will be constructing roadway improvements, beginning in 2011, affecting Interchange 129 and the surrounding surface streets. The Preferred Alternative chosen through the project development process, also referred to as the “Gull-Wing Hybrid Alternative” will realign Del Rio Road beginning approximately one mile west of I-5 and will cross I-5 approximately 1,280 feet north of the existing structure where it will connect directly to Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99. The new intersection will eliminate the current intersection of Old Highway 99 at Del Rio Road.

The I-5 northbound ramp terminal will be moved north of the Douglas County Shops and Fire District #2 station and will be reconstructed in the same Gull-Wing layout as the existing ramps. The I-5 southbound ramp terminal will be moved north to accommodate the realignment of Del Rio Road and will be constructed using a partial cloverleaf layout. Old Highway 99 will be realigned to best fit the new intersections with Del Rio Road and the I-5 northbound ramp terminal and to improve the alignment with the approach to the old Winchester Bridge (see Figure 5).

No other roadway improvements are currently planned within the Interchange 129 study area.



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 5**
 Immediate Build Roadway
 Improvements



LEGEND	
	Proposed Roadway
	Study Area
	Roseburg UGB
	Tax Lots
	Water

	Streets
	Railroad
	Study Intersection
	Lane Configuration
	Stop Sign
	Traffic Signal

Roadway Jurisdiction and Functional Classification

Roadway functional classifications determine the applicable agency management objectives and design requirements for each facility. Table 2 identifies roadway jurisdictions and designated functional classes for major roadways in the study area once the project is completed. It can be used as reference when considering future actions that may affect these facilities.

Today, ODOT has jurisdiction of only I-5, while Douglas County has jurisdiction over all surface streets in the study area. The City of Roseburg does not maintain jurisdiction over any roadways within the study area at this time. Once the project is completed, ODOT will have jurisdiction over all study area intersections. ODOT will also have jurisdiction over Old Highway 99, Del Rio Road from Old Highway 99 to approximately 2,080 feet to the west, and Umpqua College Road from Old Highway 99 to approximately 660 feet to the east. Douglas County will maintain jurisdiction of (a) Del Rio Road to the west and Umpqua College Road to the east of the ODOT maintained roadways, and (b) Old Highway 99 north of the northbound ramp terminal no less than 1,000 feet south from the NW corner of the School District #4 property (approximately 1,000 feet from the northbound ramp terminal).

Table 2: Study Area Roadway Functional Classification

Roadway	Jurisdiction	Functional Classification
Interstate 5	ODOT	Interstate Freeway
Old Highway 99	ODOT	Local Interest Road
Del Rio Road	ODOT	Local Interest Road
Umpqua College Road	ODOT	Local Interest Road

Mobility Standards

ODOT has adopted mobility standards for transportation facilities under their jurisdiction that require a minimum level of acceptable performance, indicated by a volume to capacity (v/c) ratio. Table 3 provides applicable mobility standards for study intersections within the Interchange 129 IAMP area, taken from ODOT’s OHP and 2003 Highway Design Manual (HDM). The OHP standards are to be applied to the review of development proposals and for the determination of needed infrastructure improvements (i.e., No Build scenarios). However, the mobility standards from the HDM are to be applied to the evaluation of all alternatives considered for roadway improvements through public investments. While the recommended improvements included in this plan were designed to comply with the HDM standards, the mobility standards from the OHP will be used for most future interchange area operations monitoring, including the review of development proposals.

Also note that while the location of the proposed I-5 northbound ramp terminal, with respect to the UGB, is not clearly defined, this intersection will be managed as though it is inside the UGB.

Table 3: Mobility Standards (Volume to Capacity Ratios)

Location	Speed (MPH)	OHP Standard	HDM Standard
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99	55 / 45**	0.80	0.75
Old Highway 99 & I-5 NB Ramp Terminal*	45***	0.80	0.70
Del Rio Rd & I-5 SB Ramp Terminal	55	0.80	0.70
I-5 Mainline Diverge / Merge Points	55	0.70	0.65

* Assumed to be included inside the UGB

** Del Rio Road and Umpqua College Road are 55 MPH, Old Highway 99 is 45 MPH

*** Old Highway 99 is 45 MPH south of the I-5 NB Ramp and 55 MPH north of the I-5 NB Ramp

Immediate Build Future Traffic Operations (2027)

The future traffic volumes forecasted for the year 2027 (shown in Figure 4) were applied to the Immediate Build roadway improvements that will be constructed as part of the Interchange 129 project in 2011, and analyzed to evaluate the operational characteristics of key intersections. Because no other roadway projects are planned within the vicinity, only these improvements were assumed to be made to the existing system through the year 2027. The results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Immediate Build Intersection Operations Analysis Results

Location	OHP Mobility Standard (v/c)	HDM Mobility Standard (v/c)	2027 PM Peak Hour v/c ratio
Del Rio Rd / Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	0.80	0.75	1.03
Old Highway 99 & I-5 NB Ramp Terminal	0.80	0.70	0.74
Del Rio Rd & I-5 SB Ramp Terminal	0.80	0.70	>2.0

Note: Black shaded cells indicate that the mobility standard is exceeded

While significant improvements will be made to the transportation system through the Interchange 129 project, they will not be sufficient to adequately serve forecasted traffic volumes through 2027. The intersection of the I-5 northbound ramp terminal with Old Highway 99 will operate well (per OHP standards), however, additional capacity improvements will be required at the intersection of the I-5 southbound ramp terminal with Del Rio Road and at the intersection of Old Highway 99 with Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road.

Freeway operations surrounding Interchange 129 were also evaluated as part of the project development process, with the results shown in Table 5. On and off-ramp connections to I-5 will be relocated as part of the improvement project, but the merging and diverging characteristics and geometrics will remain unchanged. Therefore, while the results in Table 5 indicate that the freeway movements will fail to meet ODOT's mobility standards, this is not related to the improvements being made. However, it is an indication that the freeway is becoming more congested and that mobility standards will soon be exceeded.

Table 5: Immediate Build Freeway Operations Analysis Results

Merging and Diverging Movements	OHP Mobility Standard (v/c)	HDM Mobility Standard (v/c)	2027 PM Peak Hour v/c ratios
I-5 NB diverge	0.70	0.65	0.88
I-5 NB merge	0.70	0.65	0.72
I-5 SB diverge	0.70	0.65	0.72
I-5 SB merge	0.70	0.65	0.82
Basic Freeway Capacity			
I-5 NB	0.70	0.65	0.86
I-5 SB	0.70	0.65	0.83

Note: Black shaded cells indicate that the OHP standard is exceeded

Ultimate Build Improvements

The “Ultimate Build” improvement scenario represents the transportation system that will be necessary to accommodate future traffic demands in the year 2027, such that mobility standards can be met at all study intersections. The additional improvement projects that will be needed following the completion of the Interchange 129 project (Immediate Build) are illustrated in Figure 6 and described below in Table 6, including an estimated phasing plan.³ This plan includes an estimated year of need, as well as weekday p.m. peak hour traffic volume thresholds for critical intersection movement pairs that can be used to monitor interchange area intersections and forecast approaching needs. These estimates assume area growth will occur on an even and linear basis through the year 2027. Because land use development is generally not that regular or predictable, the estimated year of need should be used with caution.

As shown in Table 7, interchange area study intersection operations will comply with adopted mobility standards (both OHP and HDM) through the year 2027 with the Ultimate Build transportation system in place.

³ The Ultimate Build improvements and phasing plan are based on land use assumptions that correspond with the currently adopted Douglas County Comprehensive Plan. Future Comprehensive Plan amendments, such as those that would allow for development of the surplus property site south of the interchange, may result in a need to update the Ultimate Build improvements plan.

Table 6: Exit 129 Interchange Area Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Project Phasing


Estimated Year of Need	Location	Project Needed	Critical Movements	Critical Movements Total Peak Hour Volume*	Resulting v/c Ratio	OHP Mobility Standard (v/c)
2020	Del Rio Road & I-5 SB Ramp	Signalize the intersection	Southbound Left / Westbound Through	535	0.55	0.80
	Del Rio Road / Umpqua College Rd & Old Hwy 99	Add an additional northbound left turn lane and accompanying westbound receiving lane - OR** - Add a southbound through/right turn lane and accompanying receiving lane	Northbound Left / Southbound Through and Right	1,230	0.75 0.71	0.80
2025	Del Rio Road / Umpqua College Rd & Old Hwy 99	Add a southbound through/right turn lane and accompanying receiving lane - OR** - Add an additional northbound left turn lane and accompanying westbound receiving lane	Northbound Left / Southbound Through and Right	1,405	0.67 0.69	0.80
2027	Del Rio Road & I-5 SB Ramp	Add a westbound through lane and accompanying receiving lane	Westbound Through/ Eastbound Left	945	0.63	0.80
Additional Improvements Needed to Meet HDM Mobility Standards						
2027	Old Hwy 99 & I-5 NB Ramp	Add an eastbound right turn lane	Eastbound Right / Southbound Through	1,210	0.63	0.80

* The sum of the weekday p.m. peak hour volumes for each of the critical movements listed.

** In 2020, either improvement will reduce the v/c ratio to meet the OHP mobility standard. In 2025, the complementary project will be needed to meet the OHP mobility standard.


Table 7: Ultimate Build Intersection Operations Analysis Results

Location	OHP Mobility Standard (v/c)	HDM Mobility Standard (v/c)	2027 PM Peak Hour v/c ratio
Del Rio Rd / Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	0.80	0.75	0.64
Old Highway 99 & I-5 NB Ramp Terminal	0.80	0.70	0.63
Del Rio Rd & I-5 SB Ramp Terminal	0.80	0.70	0.63



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 6**

Ultimate Build
Intersection Geometry



LEGEND	
	Proposed Roadway
	Study Area
	Roseburg UGB
	Tax Lots
	Water
	Streets
	Railroad
	Study Intersection
	Lane Configuration
	Traffic Signal

Should the surplus property south of the interchange between I-5 and Old Highway 99 develop as a commercial use in the future, additional improvements may be needed. While an updated analysis of system needs would be required at the time the Douglas County Comprehensive Plan is amended to allow for such development, additional improvements may include:

- Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99: Modify northbound right turn lane to a shared through/right turn lane and accompanying receiving lane
- Old Highway 99 & I-5 NB Ramp Terminal: Add a southbound right turn lane and a northbound through lane with accompanying receiving lane
- Old Highway 99 & I-5 SB Ramp Terminal: Add an eastbound through lane and accompanying receiving lane


Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements

As part of the interchange improvement project beginning in 2011, sidewalk will be constructed on Del Rio Road from the I-5 southbound ramp terminal to Old Highway 99, on Umpqua College Road from Old Highway 99 to a point approximately 660 feet east, and on Old Highway 99 from the Winchester Bridge to a point approximately 600 feet north of the intersection with Del Rio Road/Umpqua College Road. Signalized crossing opportunities for pedestrians will be provided at the I-5 northbound ramp terminal and at the intersection on Old Highway 99 with Del Rio Road/Umpqua College Road. This will provide facilities for pedestrian travel through most of the interchange area within the UGA and will connect to existing sidewalks on the Winchester Bridge to connect this area to the rest of the city to the south.

Sidewalk infill on remaining segments within the UGA should occur as part of future land use actions to serve new development. This may include additional sidewalk on Del Rio Road west of the I-5 southbound ramp terminal and a short extension of the sidewalk on Old Highway 99 towards the northern UGB. This network of sidewalks will also be complimented by a planned project in the Douglas County TSP that will construct a multiuse path adjacent to Umpqua College Road from Old Highway 99 to the college and North Umpqua River. Pedestrian facilities that will be present following the Interchange 129 reconstruction, as well as those described above that will still be needed, are illustrated in Figure 7.


Designated bike lanes will also be provided where sidewalk is being constructed as noted above. On Old Highway 99, the bike lanes will gradually taper into the travel lanes as the roadway approaches the Winchester Bridge, which is currently too narrow to accommodate bike lanes. Douglas County has classified Old Highway 99 as a Class III Bikeway, which is an on-roadway facility designated by signing and striping (e.g., bike lanes). Therefore, the bike lanes through the interchange area will eventually be integrated into a continuous network of bike lanes extending to the north and south. However, given the cost of widening the Winchester Bridge, it may be some time before bike lanes are available over that segment of Old Highway 99. Until then, bicyclists will be required to share the road with motor vehicles over the bridge.

Where sidewalk is not being constructed, 5 to 8-foot wide shoulders will be available for bicycle use. Bicycle facilities and needs are shown in Figure 8.




Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 7**

Pedestrian Facilities




LEGEND					
	Proposed Roadway		Water		Sidewalk
	Study Area		Streets		Future Sidewalk
	Roseburg UGB		Railroad		Future Multi-Use Path
	Tax Lots				



Interchange 129 IAMP

Figure 8

Bicycle Facilities



LEGEND

- | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---|----------|---|---------------------------|
|  | Proposed Roadway |  | Water |  | Bike Lane/Shoulder |
|  | Study Area |  | Streets |  | Future Bike Lane/Shoulder |
|  | Roseburg UGB |  | Railroad |  | Future Multi-Use Path |
|  | Tax Lots | | | | |

CHAPTER 4: MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

The Interchange 129 reconstruction project, in addition to the future improvements comprising the Ultimate Build, represents a significant investment in the area's transportation infrastructure. While these projects can provide the capacity needed to support future development consistent with the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan, proper management of the surrounding land use and roadway network will be critical for protecting the life of this investment and achieving the goals and objectives of this plan. This chapter provides important transportation system and land use management strategies to protect the investment made in these facilities and ensure that the expected quality of service can be provided as area lands develop.

Access Management Plan

A key element of the IAMP related to the long-range preservation of operational efficiency and safety of the reconstructed interchange is the management of access to the interchange crossroads (Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99). Because access points introduce a number of potential vehicular conflicts on a roadway and are frequently the cause of slowing or stopping vehicles, they can significantly degrade the flow of traffic and reduce the efficiency of the transportation system. However, by reducing the overall number of access points and providing greater separation between them, the impacts of these conflicts can be minimized.

To provide for the appropriate treatment of access within the interchange area, this access management plan will guide the future locations of public and private approaches on area roadways. Because access changes typically occur as part of land development actions and transportation improvement projects, the implementation of the access management plan is anticipated to occur incrementally over a long period of time as opportunities arise. Therefore, plan recommendations are separated into short, medium, and long-range actions, with supporting guidance provided to assist ODOT, County, and City staff with plan implementation.

Access Management Plan Objectives

As part of the project development process, ODOT formed an Access Management Subteam to guide decisions regarding actions on individual approaches to be carried out as part of the bridge replacement project. Because these actions are assumed to occur as part of the construction project, they are referenced in this access management plan as "short-range actions". While these actions are documented in the access management plan, the primary focus will be on the medium and long-range actions that will further improve conditions in the years following the project.

To provide a basis for decision-making during the development of the plan, a set of access management objectives was established that was intended to reflect ODOT's current policies, practices, and regulations pertaining to the management of access. Because these objectives are focused on long-range implementation and incremental modifications to access, they are different than those that support the Access Management Strategy for the construction project, which is not intended to be incorporated into the access management plan for this area. The objectives of this plan are listed below.

1. Within 1,320 feet of the I-5 northbound and southbound ramp terminals, meet, or move in the direction of meeting, ODOT's adopted access management spacing standards for access to interchange areas as defined in OAR 734-051-125, while recognizing the needs of existing development. According to OAR 734-051-125 and Table 5 (Minimum Spacing Standards Applicable to Freeway Interchanges with Multi-Lane Crossroads), the applicable spacing standards require:
 - A minimum of 1,320 feet between an interchange ramp terminal and the first intersection where left turns are allowed;
 - A minimum of 1,320 feet between an interchange ramp terminal and the first right-in/right-out only approach on the right (when traveling away from the ramp terminal); and
 - A minimum of 1,320 feet between an interchange ramp terminal and the last right-in/right-out only approach on the right (when traveling towards the ramp terminal).

Where the term, "interchange ramp terminal" is used above, it refers to the center of the ramp intersection with the crossroad or the nearest end of a ramp taper, whichever configuration is applicable.

2. The extent of the access management plan for medium and long-range actions will be limited to the segments of Del Rio Road, Umpqua College Road, and Old Highway 99 within 1,320 feet of the I-5 northbound and southbound ramp terminals.
3. In attempting to meet access management spacing standards, exceptions may be allowed to take advantage of existing property boundaries and existing or planned public streets, and to accommodate environmental constraints.
4. Replace private approaches with public streets, where feasible, to provide consolidated access to multiple properties.
5. Develop short, medium, and long-range actions for access management implementation, where short-range actions will include those that are anticipated to be implemented as part of the bridge reconstruction project. Medium and long-range actions will include those to be implemented as part of land development or future public construction projects by ODOT, the City of Roseburg, or Douglas County, with those currently in-process or programmed to occur within the next 5 years being classified as "medium-range". As the timing of property redevelopment and future construction projects is uncertain and cannot be predicted, the labeling of actions as medium or long-range is only intended to be a guide and should not be used to establish a required order of implementation. Any action should be implemented as opportunities arise, regardless of timing.
6. Proposed actions shall not prevent properties from maintaining reasonable access to the transportation system where available under existing conditions.
7. Provide a guide for the placement of future traffic controls within the interchange area to facilitate the orderly movement of traffic on the interchange crossroads.
8. Where approaches to the subject roadways are to remain upon consideration of the preceding objectives, such approaches should be aligned on opposite sides of roadways where feasible to reduce turning conflicts.

9. Recognize Douglas County jurisdiction of Old Highway 99 (approximately 1,000 feet north of the northbound ramp terminal) consistent with the Existing Exit 129 project conditional use permit and coordinated agreement on access spacing with the IAMP in accordance with OAR 734-051.
10. The County will control access on portions of the road system within the IAMP including accesses on Del Rio Road approximately 1,000 feet west of the southbound interchange ramp terminal.

Access Management Action Plan

The access management recommendations in this plan have been categorized into short-range, medium-range, and long-range actions based on the access research conducted by ODOT and constraints associated with their implementation. As previously noted, short-range actions are to be executed during the bridge reconstruction project. Medium and long-range actions will include those to be implemented as part of land development or future public construction projects by ODOT, the City of Roseburg, or Douglas County, with those currently in-process or programmed to occur within the next 5 years being classified as “medium-range”. As the timing of property redevelopment and future construction projects is uncertain and cannot be predicted, the labeling of actions as medium or long-range is only intended to be a guide and should not be used to establish a required order of implementation. Any action should be implemented as opportunities arise, regardless of timing.


The recommended actions for each approach within the plan area are described in Table 8, with Figure 9 illustrating the short-range actions. The Interchange 129 reconstruction project will be including substantial access management improvements, so few long-range actions are necessary. Also, the Official Project Access List (OPAL) assembled by ODOT for the bridge reconstruction project, which provides detailed information regarding approach and property characteristics, as well as existing access rights, has been included in the appendix for reference. The approach numbers shown below in Table 8 correspond with those from the OPAL where possible to provide consistency.

Table 8: Access Management Plan Actions


Approach #	Short-Range Action
Del Rio Road (old alignment)	
1A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 1B)
2A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 2B)
3	No action
4	No action
Del Rio Road (new alignment)	
1A	Construct new ramp approach
2B	Construct new ramp approach
Umpqua College Road	
22	Pave existing approach
Old Highway 99	
5A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 5B)
5B	Construct new approach
6	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 7B)

Table 8 (continued): Access Management Plan Actions

Approach #	Short-Range Action
7A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 7B)
7B	Construct new approach
8	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 7B)
9	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 7B)
10	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 7B)
11A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 11B)
11B	Construct new approach
12	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 11B)
13A	Modify and relocate existing approach (see approach 13B)
13B	Construct new intersection approach
14	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach F1)
15A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 15B)
15B	Construct new ramp approach
16A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 16B)
16B	Construct new ramp approach
17A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach F3)
17B	Construct new frontage road approach
18A	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach 18B)
18B	Construct new intersection approach
19	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach F3)
20	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach F3)
21	Remove and relocate existing approach (see approach F2)
New Frontage Road off of Old Highway 99	
F1	Construct new approach
F2	Construct new approach
F3	Construct new approach
Approach #	Medium-Range Action
	No in-process development or construction projects known. Future actions will be considered as long-range.
Approach #	Long-Range Action
Old Highway 99	
	Should access to the property bounded by I-5 to the west, Del Rio Road to the north, and Old Highway 99 to the east be needed in the future, it should be located on Old Highway 99 towards the southern end of the property while avoiding turning conflicts with approach 17B.



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 9**
 Short-Range Access Actions



LEGEND	
	Proposed Roadway
	Study Area
	Roseburg UGB
	Tax Lots
	Water
	Existing Approach
	Reconstructed/New Approach
	Removed Approach

Future Traffic Controls

The placement of future traffic control devices in the IAMP area can have a significant impact on the quality of operation and safety provided by the transportation system. The following recommendations regarding traffic controls are not comprehensive and are intended to supplement, not replace, agency standards.

Traffic Signals

Upon completion of the bridge replacement project, the intersections of Old Highway 99 at Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99 at the I-5 northbound ramp terminal will be controlled with traffic signals. While no other traffic signals will be present within the interchange area at that time, early planning to guide the orderly installation of traffic signals in the IAMP area will provide further protection of the infrastructure investment.

In evaluating future signal proposals, a traffic engineering investigation will need to be conducted to ensure that the proposed signal does not negatively impact the signals at the intersections of Old Highway 99 at Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99 at the I-5 northbound ramp terminal, as well as the recommended future signal at the intersection of Del Rio Road at the I-5 southbound ramp terminal. Because poor progression of traffic and lack of adequate vehicle queue storage can degrade the long-term safety and operations of the area roadways, regulating the minimum spacing between future traffic signals will help maintain efficient operation as traffic volumes grow. A distance of at least 1,320 feet between these signals and new signals is to be required wherever feasible. In establishing the timing plans for all future signals, priority shall be given to the efficient operation of the interchange ramp terminals and the ability of the interchange crossroads to carry traffic away from the interchange.

Any proposed future signal in the IAMP area that is not found to be compatible with the signals at the intersections of Old Highway 99 at Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99 at the I-5 northbound ramp terminal, as well as the future signal on Del Rio Road at the I-5 southbound ramp terminal, over a 20-year period should not be approved for construction.

Medians & Traffic Separators

To provide further control of turning movements at approaches that are anticipated to remain within the 1,320-foot spacing standard of the interchange ramp terminals and to eliminate potential turning conflicts between offset approaches, the future installation of medians or traffic separators on interchange crossroads may be necessary. Because the installation of these devices may require additional roadway width, early planning and identification of areas of potential applications can allow for appropriate roadway design during future improvement projects.

The future five-lane cross-section anticipated for Del Rio Road and Old Highway 99 upon completion of recommended improvements to provide for adequate operation of area roadways may worsen the effects of turning movements to and from area properties as the number of lanes crossed increases and vehicles traveling in opposing directions share center turn lanes. The area of primary concern is the segment of Old Highway 99 from the I-5 northbound ramp terminal to the Del Rio Road/ Umpqua College Road intersection. Therefore, as part of future improvement projects, this segment should be designed to accommodate some type of positive separation in the median, whether it is to be a raised median or a smaller traffic separator. The timing of actual installation of positive separation shall be determined by ODOT staff as adjacent lands develop and as traffic characteristics change in the future.

Access Management Plan Implementation Recommendations

As the access management plan is implemented over time, there may be conditions under which modifications to the plan are desired as a result of new findings or changes in circumstances related to property accessibility. Under such conditions, modifications to the plan may be made by ODOT, with input provided by the applicable local jurisdiction (i.e., City of Roseburg or Douglas County). Any modifications made should be documented in writing and provided to ODOT, the City of Roseburg, and Douglas County. Specific conditions under which modifications to the access management plan actions are recommended are as follows.

Land Divisions and Consolidations

It should be noted that the recommended actions were based in part on current property configurations and ownerships. Should property boundaries change in the future through consolidation or other land use action, the access management plan may be modified by ODOT following consultation by the applicable local jurisdiction (i.e., City of Roseburg or Douglas County), where such modifications would move in the direction of the adopted access management spacing standards in this plan. Additional access points should not be allowed where they would result from future land partitions or subdivisions. Also, where contiguous properties have been placed under common ownership following plan adoption, opportunities to further consolidate access should be pursued.

Changes in Property Plan Designations, Zoning or UGMA Provisions

It should be noted that the recommended actions were based in part on current property zoning and comprehensive plan designations. Should property zoning change in a manner inconsistent with the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan or current zoning, the access management plan may be modified by ODOT following consultation by the applicable local jurisdiction (i.e., City of Roseburg or Douglas County), where such modifications would move in the direction of the adopted access management spacing standards in this plan. Provision for access management plan modification by ODOT shall also be allowed where conditional uses are approved. ~~It is important to remember that the UGMA between the City of Roseburg and Douglas County modifies the list of permitted uses applicable to the prime industrial site (Figure 10) in the Heavy Industrial (M-3) zone to prohibit commercial retail and service uses—even where such uses are permitted in the M-3 zone.~~⁴

Furthermore, the recommended actions in the access management plan are not intended to override ODOT's maintenance and operational authority related to access in this area. Examples include:

Approach Permitting

The actions in this plan do not replace the requirement to obtain an approach permit from ODOT for the construction, maintenance, and operation of an approach to a roadway under ODOT jurisdiction.

⁴ Because Douglas County zoning is pyramidal, commercial uses allowed in the M-1 and M-2 zones are also allowed in the M-3 zone. Uses allowed in the M-3 district that could prematurely commit interchange capacity include:

- Builder supplies including retail sales of lumber, agricultural supplies and machinery sales room (M-1)
- Lumber yards, retail, including mill work (M-2)
- Wholesale businesses, storage buildings, warehouses and bulk fuel storage facilities (M-2)

Turn Restrictions & Approach Design

Conditions of use, including but not limited to approach design and the restriction of turning movements allowed, may be applied by ODOT through the approach application process. Unless specifically stated, the actions in this plan do not guarantee that all turning movements will be allowed to/from an approach.

Maintenance & Modernization of Legal Approaches

The actions listed in this plan shall not prevent the reconstruction of legal approaches as necessary to meet City, County, or ODOT standard design, as applicable. This provision is not intended to apply to conditions related to ODOT projects or actions resulting in a “Change in Use” of an approach as defined in OAR 734-051-0045.

Land Use Strategies and Policies

The I-5 Interchange 129 IAMP interprets the Douglas County Comprehensive Plan as applied in the interchange management area. The overall land use strategy in this (and other) IAMPs is to limit comprehensive plan and zoning amendments to preserve the long-term capacity of the affected interchange. Any plan or zoning map amendment must, of course, be consistent with the Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-0012-0060) and the provisions of this IAMP. The following policies are intended to ensure ongoing coordination among the City, County, and ODOT in the review of plan and zoning amendments. ~~Policy 4 is intended to conserve the prime industrial site shown on Figure 10 for industrial uses — by prohibiting commercial retail and service uses on this site.~~




Figure 10: Prime Interchange Area Industrial Site

IAMP Land Use and Coordination Policies

1. The City and County recognize the importance of Interstate 5 to the movement of people and goods to and from the region and are committed to protecting the function of the interchange, as defined in the IAMP. Therefore, the City and County will coordinate with ODOT in evaluating land use actions that could adversely affect the function of the interchange.
2. The City and County will coordinate with ODOT prior to (a) amending their comprehensive plans (including their TSPs), (b) amending their land development ordinances (including text amendments and supplemental provisions in the UGMA), (c) changing zoning designation, or (d) proposing transportation improvements that could adversely affect the function of the interchange. The City and County will ensure that any such amendments are consistent with the function of the interchange, as defined in the IAMP.
3. Development within the Interchange 129 planning area will be jointly monitored and evaluated by ODOT, the County, and the City. ODOT will continue to coordinate with the City and County and state agencies in reviewing comprehensive plan amendments, zone change applications, changes to the UGMA, and development proposals that may have a significant impact on existing or planned transportation facilities.
4. ~~The Interchange 129 area has a prime industrial site (shown on Figure 10) that is zoned Heavy Industrial (M-3) on the Douglas County zoning map. To ensure that the function of Interchange 129 is conserved during the 20-year life of the plan, commercial retail and service uses shall not be permitted on this site. The UGMA (Supplemental Standards, Section XII) between Douglas County and the City of Roseburg will prevent commercial retail and service uses on this prime industrial site.~~
5. The City and County recognize the importance I-5 plays in local and regional economic development and employment activity, and will coordinate with ODOT to assure such activity does not adversely affect the function of the interchange.

CHAPTER 5: IAMP IMPLEMENTATION AND ADOPTION

As land continues to develop within the interchange area, compliance will be required with the access management plan and land use management strategy and policies included in this IAMP. As part of the adoption of the IAMP, a number of amendments will be made to state and local documents, plans, and regulations that will implement the IAMP. These include amendments to the City of Roseburg and Douglas County Comprehensive Plans and Transportation System Plans.

It is anticipated that ODOT will adopt the IAMP, and that the City and County will co-adopt and/or incorporate policy provisions of the IAMP into their respective plan and implementation programs to protect the function of the interchange for current and future users. The purpose of the IAMP and function of the interchange are defined in this document. Separate adoption processes for the plans and implementing measures are envisioned for each agency. This section summarizes the implementation roles and responsibilities for the respective jurisdictions.

ODOT/State of Oregon Implementing Actions

Project Construction

- ODOT will complete the Interchange 129 reconstruction project, including the segment of the Del Rio Road realignment from Old Highway 99 to a point approximately 2,000 feet to the west.

Agency Coordination

- ODOT is committed to working with its local partners in monitoring and reviewing land use decisions within the Interchange 129 interchange area and coordinating with City and County officials in the review of comprehensive plan amendments, zone changes and/or amendments to the UGMA to ensure the continued functioning of this interchange consistent with this IAMP.

City of Roseburg Implementing Actions

Land Use and Access Management

- The City of Roseburg has been an active participant in the development of this IAMP and supports the effective implementation of access management standards and the conservation of the prime industrial site shown on Figure 10 for industrial employment uses.

Policy Actions

- In accordance with the ~~UGMA and~~ IAMP policies, Roseburg will coordinate on proposed comprehensive plan and LUDO amendments, zone changes, changes to the UGMA, and development applications that may adversely affect the transportation system within the interchange planning area.
- The City incorporate Interchange 129 IAMP policies and the recommended transportation improvement projects listed in Table 6 into its applicable plans.

Douglas County Implementing Actions

Project Construction

- The County will complete the Del Rio Road realignment to compliment the Interchange 129 reconstruction project.

Land Use and Access Management

- Douglas County recognizes the importance of the prime industrial site located west of I-5 in meeting the County's economic development objectives. Douglas County is committed to conserving this site for industrial uses consistent with OAR 600-009-005 (3) and (8) and protecting the public's investment in the capacity of Interchange 129.

Policy Actions

- ~~The primary implementation tool to ensure that commercial retail and services uses are not permitted on the Heavy Industrial site shown on Figure 10 is Section XII of the UGMA between the City and County. Section XII of the UGMA is included as Appendix F to this plan. Section XII makes it clear that commercial retail and service uses normally permitted in the M-3 zone are not permitted in the Heavy Industrial site in the Interchange 129 management area. As noted in Section XII:~~

~~"The City and the County have a common concern for the economic health and vitality of the central Douglas County region. Consistent with the Winchester Interchange (I-129) Area Management Plan (IAMP), the City and County, together with ODOT, also have a commitment to conserve the Industrial Site west of I-5 at Exit 129 in the Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary from conflicting commercial retail and service uses. The industrial site, illustrated in Figure 10 attached, has been designated with the intent of providing for industrial uses consistent with OAR 660-009-005(3) and (8), and to conserve the limited supply of industrial land designated in the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and implemented by Douglas County's Land Use and Development Ordinance."~~

- IAMP policy makes it clear that any changes to the UGMA shall be reviewed in consultation with ODOT and the City of Roseburg and shall be consistent with this policy framework. ~~Section XII of the UGMA also commits the County to working with ODOT and the City of Roseburg prior to submittal of industrial development proposals:~~

~~"Prior to approval of any development application at the Winchester Interchange Industrial Site west of I-5, a site plan for any proposed use or reuse endorsed by the City and ODOT shall be submitted to the County Planning Department. The site plan shall, at a minimum, address sewer and water service; utility service; site access; internal traffic circulation; parcelization (including minimum parcel size standards); and drainage. Uses authorized in the site plan shall be consistent with Section XII c below. Any application for a new use or reuse shall not be deemed complete without the required City and ODOT review and endorsement."~~

- The County will incorporate into applicable plans and implementation measures the recommended transportation improvement projects listed in Table 6.

IAMP Adoption

It is anticipated that the adoption sequence will be as follows:

1. 45-day notice of initial public hearing to consider adoption of the IAMP ~~and UGMA~~ amendments sent to state agencies by City and County.
2. City/County planning commission advisory hearing to hear public testimony; deliberative hearings may be conducted separately or jointly at the discretion of the two bodies.
3. County Commission legislative adoption hearing to co-adopt the IAMP ~~and UGMA~~ amendments with coordinated staff report, public testimony, and deliberation.
4. City Council legislative adoption hearings to co-adopt the IAMP ~~and UGMA~~ amendments with coordinated staff report, public testimony, and deliberation.
5. Oregon Transportation Commission adoption hearing would take place at the first available meeting date after local adoption to consider amending the Oregon Highway Plan to include the I-5 Interchange 129 IAMP.

CHAPTER 6: IAMP UPDATES

As area conditions change, the I-5 Interchange 129 IAMP should be reviewed to ensure it continues to address needs through the planning horizon and should be updated accordingly. Actions that should trigger an IAMP review include:

- A change to the Douglas County or City of Roseburg Comprehensive Plans, Plan Maps, implementing zoning ordinances ~~or the UGMA~~ that will have a “significant effect” on the transportation system within the IAMP study area. The determination of a “significant effect” shall be pursuant to OAR 660-012-0060.
- The construction of transportation improvement projects within the IAMP study area that are inconsistent with planned and assumed projects in the Douglas County or City of Roseburg Transportation System Plans or the I-5 Interchange 129 IAMP.
- An amendment or update to the Douglas County or City of Roseburg Transportation System Plans.
- Development proposals in the study area that (a) are inconsistent with the IAMP ~~implementing UGMA Supplemental Standards~~ or (b) change the functional classification of a roadway.

In addition to the above actions, consideration should be given to reviewing the IAMP for needed updates as part of every TSP update cycle. This could be as simple as reviewing the above list for any actions that may have occurred since the last review.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: FINDINGS OF COMPLIANCE

Exhibit C

Findings of Compliance with OAR 731-0015-0065 129 (Roseburg) Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP).

ODOT's State Agency Coordination Agreement requires that the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) adopt findings of fact when adopting facility plans (OAR 731-015-0065). ODOT in coordination with Roseburg and Douglas County developed an IAMP for Interstate 5 Interchange 129 (Winchester Interchange), pursuant to OAR 734-051-0155. Staff is requesting that the OTC adopt the IAMP and supporting findings as a facility plan pursuant to OAR 731-015-0065.

Pursuant to these requirements ODOT provides the following findings to support the OTC adoption of the Interstate I-5 Interchange 129 Interchange Area Management Plan. ODOT is not exceeding its authority. This Facility Plan, attached as Exhibit D, seeks to implement the OHP.

1. 731-015-0065

Coordination Procedures for Adopting Final Facility Plans

(1) Except in the case of minor amendments, the Department shall involve DLCD and affected metropolitan planning organizations, cities, counties, state and federal agencies, special districts and other interested parties in the development or amendment of a facility plan. This involvement may take the form of mailings, meetings or other means that the Department determines are appropriate for the circumstances. The Department shall hold at least one public meeting on the plan prior to adoption.

Finding: The 129 Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) process used an open and ongoing public and agency involvement process which included the City of Roseburg, Department of Land Conservation and Development, and Douglas County and numerous interested citizens. An integrated, interdepartmental (local and state) planning and decision-making procedure completed the public process. Public information and involvement were project priorities, as evidenced by public meetings, advisory meeting, and meetings with property owners, planning commission, and city council.

Committees

During development of this Interchange Area Management Plan a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was utilized. The TAC, which is composed of key staff members from the Oregon Department of Transportation, the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, the City of Roseburg, Douglas County, and Douglas County School and Industrial boards were established specifically to guide this study. The committee provided guidance on both technical issues and policy issues. All TAC meetings were open public meetings.

The TAC met on the following dates:

- April 3, 2007
- April 17, 2008

Public Meetings

A public meeting was conducted on August 14, 2008 to introduce the project, present the goals and objectives, preliminary analysis of existing and future conditions, management actions, and construction projects. The meeting included presentations and an open house format to answer questions of attendees.

Property Owner Outreach

The Region Access Management Engineer, Project Leader, and Designer met with property owners within project limits to discuss access and gather input. Communication efforts occurred throughout planning and design and guided decisions regarding actions on individual approaches to be carried out with the project. An open house was held in August to share future design including access with the public.

Public Hearings

The local adoption process for the IAMP followed the process prescribed by Douglas County and the City of Roseburg. Public hearings are required before adoption by the County Board of Commissioners and City Council.

Douglas County

The Douglas County Planning Commission held the first public hearing for adoption on November 18, 2010 and recommended Board of Commissioners adoption. The Board of Commissioners held a public hearing on December 8, 2010 for the first reading to adopt the plan. The Board voted to adopt and amend the Douglas County Comprehensive and Transportation System Plan. The amendments became effective January 7, 2011.

City of Roseburg

The public meetings were advertised in the Roseburg News-Review and City website. The City provided updates on the IAMP in their newsletter, on the City website, and advertised public hearings. The Planning Commission held the first public hearing February 7, 2011 and recommended City Council Adoption. The City Council Public hearing was held February 28, 2011 with final adoption on March 14, 2011.

The Plan is scheduled for the Oregon Transportation Commission meeting April 20, 2011 meeting.

(2) The Department shall provide a draft of the proposed facility plan to planning representatives of all affected cities, counties and metropolitan planning organization and shall request that they identify any specific plan requirements which apply, any general plan requirements which apply and whether the draft facility plan is compatible with the acknowledged comprehensive plan. If no reply is received from an affected city, county or metropolitan planning organization within 30 days of the Department's request for a compatibility determination, the Department shall deem that the draft plan is compatible

with that jurisdiction's acknowledged comprehensive plan. The Department may extend the reply time if requested to do so by an affected city, county or metropolitan planning organization.

Finding: Both the City and County reviewed and provided comment on the Draft facility plan and adopted the plan into their Comprehensive and Transportation System Plans.

A copy of the IAMP was sent to DLCD Planning Coordinator and Region 3 Field Representative requesting a determination that the plan was compatible with statewide plan on January 31, 2011. No comments were received.

(3) If any statewide goal or comprehensive plan conflicts are identified, the Department shall meet with the local government planning representatives to discuss ways to resolve the conflicts. These may include:

(a) Changing the draft facility plan to eliminate the conflicts;

(b) Working with the local governments to amend the local comprehensive plans to eliminate the conflicts; or

(c) Identifying the conflicts in the draft facility plan and including policies that commit the Department to resolving the conflicts prior to the conclusion of the transportation planning program for the affected portions of the transportation facility.

Finding: No statewide goal or comprehensive plan conflicts have been identified with the draft Facility Plan.

(4) The Department shall evaluate and write draft findings of compatibility with acknowledged comprehensive plans of affected cities and counties, findings of compliance with any statewide planning goals which specifically apply as determined by OAR 660-030-0065(3)(d), and findings of compliance with all provisions of other statewide planning goals that can be clearly defined if the comprehensive plan of an affected city or county contains no conditions specifically applicable or any general provisions, purposes or objectives that would be substantially affected by the facility plan.

Finding: These draft findings are submitted for the Commission's consideration. These findings address compliance with applicable statewide planning goals and the comprehensive plan of the affected city and county. (See findings in Section 2 below).

(5) The Department shall present to the Transportation Commission the draft plan, findings of compatibility with the acknowledged comprehensive plans of the affected cities and counties and findings of compliance with applicable statewide planning goals.

Finding: The Final Draft Facility Plan is attached for the Commission’s consideration. These findings address compliance with applicable statewide planning goals (See Section 2 below).

(6) The Transportation Commission shall adopt findings of compatibility with the acknowledged comprehensive plans of affected cities and counties and findings of compliance with applicable statewide planning goals when it adopts the final facility plan.

Finding: These draft findings are submitted for the Commission’s consideration and adoption. These findings address compliance with applicable statewide planning goals and compatibility with the local comprehensive plan of the affected cities.

(7) The Department shall provide copies of the adopted final facility plan and findings to DLCDC, to affected metropolitan planning organizations, cities, counties, state and federal agencies, special districts and to others who request to receive a copy.

Finding: The Department will provide copies of the Adopted IAMP, including all required findings, to DLCDC, the affected local jurisdiction, and others who request a copy.

The following findings are organized into three categories:

- Compatibility
 - City Transportation System Plan
- Compliance
 - Statewide Planning Goals which specifically apply
 - Other Statewide Planning Goals that can be clearly defined
- Consistency
 - OTP
 - OHP
 - HDM

2. Compatibility with Acknowledged County and City Comprehensive Plans

Pursuant to OAR 731-015-0065(2), draft plans were sent to Douglas County and the City of Roseburg.

On December 8, 2010 the County adopted the policies and the future projects of the IAMP into their Comprehensive and Transportation System Plan. The City of Roseburg amended its Comprehensive and Transportation System Plan to include the policies and future projects of the 129 IAMP on March 14, 2011.

Douglas County Transportation System Plan

The Douglas County Transportation System Plan establishes a system of transportation facilities and mobility standards that is adequate to meet the County’s transportation needs. The Douglas County TSP includes a determination of future transportation needs

for road, transit, bicycle, pedestrian, air, water, rail, and pipeline systems; policies and regulations for the implementation of the TSP; and a transportation funding program.

Finding: Development of this IAMP is consistent with the goals and policies of the County’s TSP, which includes goals to “provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economical transportation system.”

The proposed improvements are consistent with the county and city comprehensive plans. Douglas County approved the needed land use actions to permit the proposed realignment of Old Highway 99 in March 2009 and LUBA affirmed January 2010. In addition, Douglas County approved the realignment and extension of Del Rio Road outside the UGB in April 2010. Douglas County also amended its TSP December 2010 by including by reference the IAMP for Exit 129 as a support document. Specifically included in the TSP are the IAMP Objectives, Access Management Objectives, Land Use and Coordination Policies, and Douglas County Implementation Actions.

Roseburg Transportation System Plan

The City’s 2006 Transportation System Plan (TSP) provides a plan for the development of the City’s transportation infrastructure, addressing improvements to existing roadways and freight facilities, new pedestrian and bicycle facilities, improvements in public transit service, and transportation demand deficiencies and needs. It also includes a capital improvement list of projects required to address the City’s transportation needs for a 20-year planning period. The projects in the capital improvement list are prioritized based on current needs and the expected growth of the City.

Findings: The IAMP contains existing and future conditions analyses that support improvements at interchange 129 compatible with City policies. The City’s IAMP includes the Ultimate Build interchange projects as identified in Table 6 of the IAMP.

The City of Roseburg adopted by reference the 129 IAMP into the Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan on March 14, 2011. The City will consider the policies and findings from the 129 IAMP when evaluating transportation planning near the interchange.

The City approved the relocation of the intersection of Old Highway 99 with Umpqua College Road and the realignment of Old Highway 99 within the UGB. Specifically, the City incorporates the IAMP policies and the recommended transportation improvement projects listed in Table 6 of the IAMP into its applicable plans. The IAMP includes the following Land Use and Coordination policies:

1. The City and County recognize the importance of Interstate 5 to the movement of people and goods to and from the region and are committed to protecting the function of the interchange, as defined in the IAMP. Therefore, the City and County will coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) in evaluating land use actions that could adversely affect the function of the interchange.

2. The City and County will coordinate with ODOT prior to (a) amending their comprehensive plans (including their TSPs), (b) amending their land development ordinances (including text amendments and supplemental provisions in the urban growth management agreement [UGMA]), (c) changing zoning designation, or (d) proposing transportation improvements that could adversely affect the function of the interchange. The City and County will ensure that any such amendments are consistent with the function of the interchange, as defined in the IAMP.
3. Development within the Interchange 129 planning area will be jointly monitored and evaluated by ODOT, the County, and the City. ODOT will continue to coordinate with the City and County and state agencies in reviewing comprehensive plan amendments, zone change applications, changes to the UGMA, and development proposals that may have a significant impact on existing or planned transportation facilities.
4. The Interchange 129 area has a prime industrial site (shown on Figure 10 of the IAMP) that is zoned Heavy Industrial (M-3) on the Douglas County zoning map. To ensure that the function of Interchange 129 is conserved during the 20-year life of the plan, commercial retail and service uses shall not be permitted on this site. The UGMA (Supplemental Standards, Section XII) between Douglas County and the City of Roseburg will prevent commercial retail and service uses on this prime industrial site.

The City and County recognize the importance I-5 plays in local and regional economic development and employment activity, and will coordinate with ODOT to assure such activity does not adversely affect the function of the interchange.

3. Compliance with Applicable Statewide Planning Goals

Relevant statewide planning goals adopted by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) include: Goal 1 (Citizen Involvement); Goal 2 (Land Use Planning); Goal 11 (Public Facilities Planning); Goal 12 (Transportation); and Goal 14 (Urbanization).

Goal 1: Citizen Involvement.

Requirement: “the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process.”

Findings: The 129 Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) process used an open and ongoing public and agency involvement process which included the City of Roseburg, Department of Land Conservation and Development, and Douglas County and numerous interested citizens. An integrated, interdepartmental (local and state) planning and decision-making procedure completed the public process. Public information and involvement were project priorities, as evidenced by public meetings, advisory meetings, and meetings with property owners, planning commission, and city council.

Committees

During development of this Interchange Area Management Plan a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was utilized. The TAC, which is composed of key staff members from the Oregon Department of Transportation, the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, the City of Roseburg, Douglas County, and Douglas County School and Industrial boards were established specifically to guide this study. The committee provided guidance on both technical issues and policy issues. All TAC meetings were open public meetings.

The TAC met on the following dates:

- April 3, 2007
- April 17, 2008

Public Meetings

A public meeting was conducted on August 14, 2008 to introduce the project, present the goals and objectives, preliminary analysis of existing and future conditions, management actions, and construction projects. The meeting included presentations and an open house format to answer questions of attendees.

Property Owner Outreach

The Region Access Management Engineer, Project Leader, and Designer met with property owners within project limits to discuss access and gather input. Communication efforts occurred throughout planning and design and guided decisions regarding actions on individual approaches to be carried out with the project. An open house was held in August to share future design including access with the public.

Public Hearings

The local adoption process for the IAMP followed the process prescribed by Douglas County and the City of Roseburg. Public hearings are required before adoption by the County Board of Commissioners and City Council.

Douglas County

The Douglas County Planning Commission held the first public hearing for adoption on November 18, 2010 and recommended Board of Commissioners adoption. The Board of Commissioners held a public hearing on December 8, 2010 for the first reading to adopt the plan. The Board voted to adopt and amend the Douglas County Comprehensive and Transportation System Plan. The amendments became effective January 7, 2011.

City of Roseburg

The public meetings were advertised in the Roseburg News-Review and City website. The City provided updates on the IAMP in their newsletter, on the City website, and advertised public hearings. The Planning Commission held the first public hearing February 7, 2011 and recommended City Council Adoption. The City Council Public hearing was held February 28, 2011 with final adoption on March 14, 2011.

The Plan is scheduled for the Oregon Transportation Commission meeting April 20, 2011 meeting.

Goal 2: Land Use Planning.

Requirements: “Establish a land use planning process and policy framework as the basis for all decisions and actions related to use of land and to assure an adequate factual basis for such decisions and actions.”

Findings: Land use planning in the IAMP was the coordinated effort of ODOT, Douglas County, and the City of Roseburg, following generally the recommendations contained in the July 12, 2006 Interchange Area Management Plan Guidelines. Further, and as noted above, public input on the plan was solicited at a series of public hearings and meetings. The IAMP document contains all information required for implementation, with supporting documentation in appendices.

Preparation of the IAMP was based on a series of broad phases, from the general to the specific. The first phase was development of a project description, and purpose, goals, and objectives for the interchange.

The second phase entailed an examination of the regulatory framework within which the interchange operates. An IAMP study area was set pursuant to OAR 734-051, with consideration of the local street network and local land uses. Further, state and local regulations, plans, and policies were examined to ensure the plan was developed to be compatible, compliant, or consistent, as appropriate.

The third phase consisted of assembling existing conditions. Conditions inventoried include: transportation facilities operations; geometric conditions; safety and crash analyses; land uses near the interchange; and natural and historic resources.

The first three phases laid the foundation for the land use and transportation planning.

The fourth phase detailed planning area improvements and developed future transportation forecasts. The methodology for the IAMP included a multi-step approach. The first was to evaluate approximate development potential by land use category. The second involved approximating the peak hour traffic generation potential of those areas. The third step involved comparing the trip generation potential with the traffic growth indicated in the Roseburg TSP. The last step was to conduct a sensitivity analysis that illustrates the effect of different growth rates on the need to implement various capacity-increasing improvements. Land use decisions and actions were based upon the land use planning and input from affected local jurisdictions and citizens.

The fifth phase dealt strictly with access management. Standards were culled from OAR 734-051 and the OHP. Existing accesses and permits were inventoried. Finally, an access management plan was developed.

The final phase outlined measures necessary for implementation of the IAMP by Douglas County and the City of Roseburg and State of Oregon.

Goal 11: Public Facilities and Services.

Requirements: “a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development.”

Findings: The stated goal of the IAMP is to preserve the investment being made in the new interchange facility and to maintain the interchange’s intended function, which is to safely and efficiently accommodate future traffic demands associated with current and planned land uses consistent with the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan over the planning period.

The IAMP documents the current and future transportation needs in the vicinity of Interchange 129 and identifies a design alternative that details appropriate future improvements to meet these needs.

Identified transportation improvements were based on projected year 2027 population and employment forecasts, growth rates, vacant and underdeveloped, and site specific growth in the urban growth boundary. Transportation improvements were designed to be adequate to serve the future needs of the City of Roseburg urban and urbanizable land uses, while conforming to the requirements of the OHP and either conforming to or moving in the direction of the requirements of OAR 734-051.

Goal 12: Transportation.

Requirements: “Provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system.”

Findings: The IAMP documents existing and future conditions for Interchange 129 and identifies deficiencies. The IAMP includes an access management plan (recommended medium- and long-term actions) to ensure the safe and efficient operation of the transportation system in the vicinity of the interchange. Included in the access management plan is the intention to maintain or move in the direction of access spacing of 1320 feet from the ramp terminals to the first approach road to ensure that the interchange will continue to function efficiently and safely. The IAMP includes land use policies that ensure coordination with proposed land use changes. In addition, the IAMP includes policies to protect the prime industrial property on the west side of the interchange (Figure 10 of the IAMP) from commercial retail and service uses.

Improvements to the interchange area were initially focused upon the replacement of a substandard bridge structure over 1-5. The proposed improvements address and replace this bridge deficiency and will address other operational deficiencies within the interchange area. Such improvements will enhance safe and efficient access to particular undeveloped industrial sites supporting the long term economic goals of the area. In addition, the County adopted land use policies to ensure that the industrial area is preserved for industrial use and job creation for the region. In developing these plans

ODOT analyzed current and future safety conditions. The safety analysis shows that none of the intersections in the study area has a crash rate significantly greater than that of the surrounding area or average State Highway Crash Rates.

The IAMP documents the current and future transportation needs in the vicinity of Interchange 129 and identifies future build transportation improvements to meet these needs. The City and the County had adopted the following future improvements into their TSPs;

Exit 129 Interchange Area Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Projects

Intersection	Improvement Projects
Del Rio Road & I-5 Southbound Ramp Terminal	Signalize the intersection Add a second westbound through lane
Old Highway 99 & I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal	Add a second eastbound right turn lane
Del Rio Rd / Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	Add a second northbound left turn lane Add a southbound shared through/right turn lane

These adopted improvements allow for phased implementation to provide capacity as needed.

The development of the IAMP was coordinated with Douglas County and the City of Roseburg.

Goal 14: Urbanization.

Requirements: an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, ensuring efficient use of land, and providing for livable communities.

Findings: Interchange 129 located within the Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary that connects to County arterial Old Highway 99 (Oakland-Shady) and Del Rio Road (Major Collector). The IAMP documents the existing land use designations and identifies future transportation needs based on these designations and associated regulations adopted by the local jurisdictions. The improvements identified in the IAMP are based on existing and planned land uses in the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan for the planning horizon. The County and City have adopted land use and coordination policies (See Chapter 4 of the IAMP) to protect the function of the interchange and the prime industrial site on the west side of the interchange.

4. Consistency with the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) and Highway Design Manual (HDM)

Oregon Transportation Plan

The Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP) is a policy document developed by ODOT in response to the federal and state mandates for systematic planning for the future of

Oregon's transportation system. The OTP is intended to meet statutory requirements (ORS 184.618(1)) to develop a state transportation policy and comprehensive long-range plan for a multi-modal transportation system that addresses economic efficiency, orderly economic development, safety, and environmental quality.

Findings: The OTP does not specifically address improvements to 129, but offers a broad policy framework and standards for improving state highway systems. The IAMP has been developed to be consistent with the OTP, specifically the Oregon Highway Plan, which is an element of the OTP (see section below).

Oregon Highway Plan

Requirements:

- Goal 1: System Definition
 - Policy 1A – Highway Classification
 - Policy 1B – Land Use and Transportation
 - Policy 1C – State Highway Freight System
 - Policy 1F – Highway Mobility Standards
 - Policy 1G – Major Improvements
- Goal 2: System Management
 - Policy 2B – Off-System Improvements
 - Policy 2F – Traffic Safety
- Goal 3: Access Management
 - Policy 3A – Classification and Spacing Standards
 - Policy 3C – Interchange Access Management Areas
- Goal 4: Travel Alternatives
 - Policy 4A – Efficiency of Freight Movement

Goal 1: System Definition

Policy 1A – Highway Classification

This policy calls for ODOT to apply the state highway classification system to guide priorities for system investment and management.

Finding: The interchange is located on Interstate 5, which is part of the NHS interstate system. The interchange connects to Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road which are classified as an ODOT Local Interest Roads. The Local Interest Road classification is from Old Highway 99, Del Rio Road from Old Highway 99 to approximately 2,080 feet to the west and Old Highway 99 from north of the Winchester bridge to the Roseburg School District Property. The IAMP includes recommendations for improvements to interchange 129 consistent with Interstate Highway and Local Interest Road classifications in the OHP to determine mobility performance standards applicable to the intersections, and then incorporates measures to achieve compliance of the planning period. The performance mobility standards and the Access Management Plan are based on the classifications.

Policy 1B – Land Use and Transportation

This policy recognizes the role of both the State and local governments related to the state highway system and calls for a coordinated approach to land use and transportation planning.

Finding: The IAMP has been prepared with the participation of the City of Roseburg, Douglas County, ODOT, and with input from a variety of stakeholders the general public. During development of this IAMP a TAC was utilized to provide input. The TAC, which is composed of key staff members from the Oregon Department of Transportation, the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, the City of Roseburg, Douglas County, and Douglas County School and Industrial boards were established specifically to guide this study. The committee provided guidance on both technical issues and policy issues. All TAC meetings were open public meetings.

A public meeting was conducted on August 14, 2008 to introduce the project, present the goals and objectives, preliminary analysis of existing and future conditions, management actions, and construction projects. The meeting included presentations and an open house format to answer questions of attendees.

The Region Access Management Engineer, Project Leader, and Designer met with property owners within project limits to discuss access and gather input. Communication efforts occurred throughout planning and design and guided decisions regarding actions on individual approaches to be carried out with the project. An open house was held in August to share future design including access with the public.

The IAMP contains policies that ensure that the land uses and transportation system are in balance (See Chapter 4 Management Strategies). The IAMP includes policies to ensure ongoing coordination among City, County, and ODOT in the review of plan and zoning amendments.

Policy 1C – State Highway Freight System

This policy recognizes the need for the efficient movement of freight through the state. I-5 is listed as a Designated Freight Route.

Finding: Interchange 129 is located on I-5, which is listed in the OHP as a Designated Freight Route. The IAMP includes recommended improvements to Interchange 129 that will improve safety and mobility for freight movement. The ultimate build interchange design meets Highway Design Mobility standards with future TSP traffic volumes and modern design standards. The IAMP includes an Access Management Plan that maximizes capacity and improves operations at the interchange by minimizing conflicts from traffic operations at nearby driveways and intersections with nearby streets. The IAMP emphasized protection of prime industrial land on the west side of the interchange and access to it by freight.

Policy 1F – Highway Mobility Standards

This policy addresses the state highway performance expectations, providing guidance for managing access and traffic control systems related to interchanges. This policy sets mobility standards for ensuring a reliable and acceptable level of mobility on the highway system by identifying necessary improvements that would allow the interchange to function in a manner consistent with the OHP. The OHP sets standards for v/c ratios that are not to be exceeded for state highways.

Finding: The highway mobility standard for the interchange ramps is 0.80. Future Transportation analysis (See Chapter 3) for the Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Projects shows that Interstate 5 and all study area intersections will meet the applicable OHP mobility standard of 0.80 in the 2027 planning year horizon.

Goal 2: System Management

Policy 2B – Off-System Improvements

This policy helps local jurisdictions adopt land use and access management policies.

Finding: The plan identifies improvements on and off the state highway system. Improvements include realignment of Old Highway 99 and the realignment and extension of Del Rio Road, and intersection improvements at Highway 99 and Umpqua College Road. The City of Roseburg and Douglas County have included these improvements in their TSPs. As the future needs occur, these improvements can be phased. These off-site improvements will ensure that the interchange improvements continue to operate efficiently and safely. IAMP includes an access management plan (see Chapter 4, Management Actions). To provide a timeline for the plan, plan recommendations are separated into short, medium, and long-range actions, with supporting guidance provided to assist ODOT, County, and City staff with plan implementation.

Policy 2F – Traffic Safety

This policy emphasizes the state's efforts to improve safety of all uses of the highway system. Action 2F.4 addresses the development and implementation of the safety management System to target resources to sites with the most significant safety issues.

Finding: The Immediate Build project is replacing the deficient Del Rio Bridge overcrossing and including bike lanes and sidewalks. The IAMP analyzed current and future safety conditions. The safety analysis shows that none of the intersections in the study area has a crash rate significantly greater than that of the surrounding area or average State Highway Crash Rates. The selected alternative will be an improvement over the existing, non-standard configuration.

Goal 3: Access Management

Policy 3A – Classification and Spacing Standards

This policy addresses the location, spacing and type of road and street intersections and approach roads on state highways. The adopted standards can be found in Appendix C of the Oregon Highway Plan. It includes standards for each highway's importance or as posted speed increases.

Finding: The IAMP compared existing spacing to the standards in the OHP for the specific roadways based on their classification. The interchange is located on Interstate 5, which is part of the NHS system. The IAMP includes recommendations for improvements consistent with the standards set for Interstate 5 and Local Interest Roads. Construction projects and land use changes near Interchanges 129 accessing ODOT roadways will require approach permits from ODOT.¹ The access management plan includes actions and objectives to ensure moving in the direction of access standards as prescribed in OAR 734-051 (*Highway Approaches, Access Control, Spacing Standards and Medians*).

Policy 3C – Interchange Access Management Areas

This policy addresses management of grade-separated interchange areas to ensure safe and efficient operations between connecting roadways and to minimize the need for major improvements to existing interchanges.

Finding: In accordance with OAR 734-051-0135 (7), the Region Access Management Engineer may require that a plan identifies measures to reduce the number of approaches to the highway in order to approve a deviation for a public approach. The IAMP identifies measures to reduce the number of approaches near interchange 129 through management plan objectives (See Chapter 4 Management Strategies) and therefore would fulfill this requirement.

Adoption of this IAMP directly implements the requirements of Policy 3C.1: “develop interchange area management plans to protect the function of interchanges to provide safe and efficient operations between connecting roadways...” The actions for access points within the interchange influence area and details regarding the access management plan are presented in Chapter four of the IAMP.

The implementation of the access management plan will occur over a long period of time. To provide a timeline for the plan, plan recommendations are separated into short, medium, and long-range actions, with supporting guidance provided to assist ODOT, County, and City staff with plan implementation.

To help implement the IAMP the County adopted IAMP Access Management Objectives.

Goal 4: Travel Alternatives

Policy 4A – Efficiency of Freight Movement

This policy emphasizes the State’s role in managing access to highway facilities in order to maintain functional use, safety and to preserve public investment.

¹ OAR 734-051 and the OHP contain standards for private driveway and public road approach spacing based on highway classifications and speeds. According to these standards, the first full intersection on the crossroad at an interchange should be no closer than 1,320 feet for rural interchanges with two-lane crossroads. Approach roads that are less than 1,320 feet but no closer than 750 feet shall be limited to right-in/right-out. Requests for deviations from these standards can be made, and the process is outlined in OAR 734-051-0135.

Finding: The IAMP includes recommended improvements to Interchange 129 that will improve safety and mobility for freight movement. The selected interchange design meets Highway Design Mobility standards with future TSP traffic volumes and modern design standards. The IAMP includes an Access Management Plan that maximizes capacity and improves operations at the interchange by minimizing conflicts from traffic operations at nearby driveways and intersections with nearby streets.

Highway Design Manual

The HDM implements OHP policies and is a multi-modal design manual. Chapter 9, *Intersection and Interchange Design*, covers the design standards, guidelines, and processes for designing road approaches, signalized and unsignalized at-grade intersections, and interchanges for State Highways. Chapter 10, *Special Design Elements*, prescribes planning standards for highway facilities.

Finding: Existing roadway geometry of the interchange was compared with HDM standards (See Appendix D & E). The HDM was used in alternatives analysis and development of the Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Projects. The Ultimate Build Transportation Improvement Projects meet mobility performance standards identified in the HDM in the 2027 planning horizon.

APPENDIX B: DOUGLAS COUNTY & CITY ADOPTING ORDINANCES

Amendments to the
Douglas County Comprehensive Plan

2nd DRAFT

(November 18, 2010)

Planning Commission
November 18, 2010

Board of Commissioners
December 8, 2010

12/10/2010

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, OREGON

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS)
TO THE DOUGLAS COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE) **ORDINANCE 2010-12-02**
PLAN AND TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN)

RECITALS:

- A. Amendments to the Douglas County Comprehensive Plan are needed in order to update, clarify, and integrate information from a Public Service Provider, an Analysis on County Land Use, the County Industrial Lands Inventory update, and the Winchester Bay Residential Buildable Lands Inventory and Winchester Bay UUA Comprehensive Plan update. The information updates the Land Use Element of the County Comprehensive Plan. The Legislative Amendments also include an update to the Transportation Element of the County Comprehensive Plan and an update to the County Transportation System Plan which flow from co-adoption by reference of the Roseburg Bike and Pedestrian Plan and Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) for Exit 129.
- B. On November 18, 2010, the Douglas County Planning Commission held a hearing and recommended that the amendments be adopted by the Board of Commissioners.

THE DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION ONE: The amendments contained and referenced in the yellow attachment titled "Amendments to the Douglas County Comprehensive Plan - 2nd Draft dated November 18, 2010.

SECTION TWO: The amendments are necessary and appropriate and shall become effective on January 7, 2011.

SECTION THREE: SEVERABILITY; If any provision of this ordinance is held to be invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect the validity of any other provision of the ordinance. The ordinance shall be construed as if such invalid provision had never been included.

DATED this 8th day of December, 2010

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, OREGON

ABSENT

Chair

[Signature]
Commissioner

[Signature]
Commissioner

DOUGLAS COUNTY OREGON
FILED

DEC 10 2010

BARBARA E. NIELSEN, COUNTY CLERK

6. Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) for Interchange 129 in Douglas County.

PURPOSE: A coordinated supplement to local plans for the Exit 129 interchange which accommodate land uses and economic opportunities that are consistent with ODOT's transportation management objectives.

BACKGROUND: I-5 Interchange 129 in Douglas County serves a variety of uses in the area. The interchange serves the Umpqua Community College area, Winchester, Wilbur and Garden Valley. In addition, it provides access to the Douglas County Resources mill located on the west side of the interchange. Through this legislative amendment process, Douglas County will integrate the IAMP into the Douglas County Transportation System Plan (TSP) and use it to assist in implementation of the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan for the UGB. The IAMP is essential to the reconstruction of the Exit 129 interchange and reflects the coordinated design and funding program for the reconstruction project.

AMENDMENT*: Amend the Douglas County Transportation System Plan by including by reference the Interchange Area Management Plan ("IAMP") for Exit 129 as a support document. Included specifically in the TSP will be the following implementation and policy provisions: IAMP Objectives, Access Management Objectives, Land Use and Coordination Policies, Douglas County Implementation Actions.

* In follow up the County and City will update the Roseburg/Douglas County Urban Growth Management Agreement Supplemental Standards to incorporate recommendations of the IAMP related to the designated industrial lands within the IAMP area.

ORDINANCE NO. 3369

**AN ORDINANCE DECLARING A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TEXT AMENDMENT
ADOPTING BY REFERENCE THE "I-5 EXIT 129 INTERCHANGE AREA
MANAGEMENT PLAN (129 IAMP)"**

WHEREAS, the Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan was adopted by the City Council in Ordinance No. 2345, effective on July 1, 1982, and re-adopted in Ordinance No. 2980 on December 9, 1996; and

WHEREAS, the Roseburg Land Use and Development Ordinance No. 2363, as originally adopted July 1, 1984, and re-adopted in Ordinance No. 2981 on December 9, 1996, establishes procedures for hearing Comprehensive Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on File No. CPA-10-4 after duly and timely notice; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission adopted Findings of Fact supporting a recommendation to approve a Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment to adopt the I-5 Exit 129 Interchange Area Management Plan (129 IAMP) by reference as it applies to properties within the City Urban Growth Boundary; and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF ROSEBURG ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: The City Council hereby takes official notice of the Planning Commission Findings of Fact and Decision February 7, 2011, recommending approval of the Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment.

SECTION 2: The City Council hereby adopted the Findings of Fact and Decision regarding the proposed amendment to the Comprehensive Plan as adopted by the Planning Commission making them their own Findings of Fact.

SECTION 3: Based on the evaluation detailed in the Planning Commission staff report and information considered through the public hearing process it has been determined that the proposal conforms the City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan and applicable Statewide Planning Goals.


SECTION 4. The City Council hereby approves the Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment as set for in the Findings of Fact and detailed in the Planning Commission staff report for File No. CPA-10-4.

SECTION 5. The City Recorder, at the request of, or with the concurrence of the City Attorney, is authorized to administratively correct any reference errors contained herein

or in other provisions of the Roseburg Municipal Code and/or the Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan as amended by the provisions added, amended or repealed herein.


ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL THIS 14TH DAY OF MARCH 2011.

APPROVED BY THE MAYOR THIS 14TH DAY OF MARCH 2011.



Larry Rich, Mayor

ATTEST:



Sheila R. Cox, City Recorder

APPENDIX C: EXISTING LAND USE ANALYSIS



MEMORANDUM

To: John Bosket, DKS
From: Jesse Winterowd
Date: April 25, 2007
Re: **Task 4.1: Existing Land Use Analysis**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	1
Introduction	1
County Plan and Zoning Designations.....	1
Table 1: County Plan – Zone Matrix	2
City Plan and Zoning Designations.....	2
Table 2: City Plan-Zone Matrix	3

INTRODUCTION

Technical Memorandum #2 provided a basic overview of location and type of plan designations and zoning within the interchange study area. Much of the information provided in Memorandum #2 serves as a reference.

This memorandum responds to the requirements of Task 4.1, and provides description of Comprehensive Plan and Zoning designations within the interchange study area. This memorandum includes narrative describing the general planning of the area, as well as plan and zone matrices with specific information regarding uses and densities for all plan designations and zones within the interchange study area. The matrices include existing zoning, as well as all potential zones that can implement each plan designation.

COUNTY PLAN AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS

The Douglas County Comprehensive Plan map identifies the interchange area as part of the Urban Growth Area (UGA). The west side of the interchange is a mix of industrial, rural residential, and farmland land uses. The southbound interchange ramp terminals intersect with Del Rio Road (County Road 115). Amacher Park is on the south side of the Del Rio Road intersection and is zoned **Public Reserve (PR)**, which is intended for a variety of public service

activities, though not exclusively on publicly owned lands. Further west on Del Rio Road is a mix of **Rural Residential (RR and 5R)** and **Exclusive Farm Use - Grazing (FG)** zoning.

These County plan designations and zones are shown on the *Interchange 129 IAMP Zone Map*, and described in Table 1, County Plan-Zone Matrix, below.

Table 1: County Plan – Zone Matrix

County Plan	Zones	Uses	Residential Densities
Public Reserve (PR)	PR	Farm Uses; Churches; Cemeteries; Clubs; Fairgrounds; Hospitals; Parks; Schools; Single-Family Dwelling	6,500 Square Foot minimum lot size when served with public facilities
Farm Forest (FF)	FF	Farm Uses; Forest Uses; Single Family Dwelling; Secondary “relative” Dwelling	80-acre minimum lot size
EFU – Grazing (FG)	FG	Farm Uses; Single Family Dwelling; Secondary “relative” Dwelling	80-acre minimum lot size
Rural Residential – 5 (5R)	5R	Single Family Dwelling; Farm or Forest Use; Farm Stand; Public and Semi-Public Uses including parks, schools, community halls, and churches	5-acre minimum lot size
Rural Residential – 2 (RR)	RR	Single Family Dwelling; Farm or Forest Use; Farm Stand; Public and Semi-Public Uses including parks, schools, community halls, and churches	2-acre minimum lot size

CITY PLAN AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS

The north side of Del Rio Road immediately adjacent to the Interchange is zoned **Heavy Industrial (M3)** for the Douglas County Mill site. The Heavy Industrial zone is for medium and heavy industrial development and is intended to be applied generally to those areas which have available excellent highway, rail or other transportation access.

The ramp terminals on the east side of the interchange intersect Highway 99. Most of this area is inside the Roseburg UGA. The area between Interstate 5 and Highway 99 is zoned **Public Reserve (PR)**. The northern portion of this area is the headquarters for the Douglas County Parks Department. On the east side of Highway 99, the frontage from the ramp terminals south to the North Umpqua River is zoned **(C2)** and is vacant.

The intersection of Highway 99 and Umpqua College Road has **Low Density Residential (R1)** on the south side and **Suburban Residential (RS)** on the north side. The **Low Density Residential (R1)** zone provide for a medium density urban residential use (6,500 square foot minimum lot sizes) plus related compatible uses such as schools and parks. The Suburban Residential classification provides for single-family dwellings with 15,000 square foot minimum lot sizes with limited urban services.

Farther north on Highway 99 is **County EFU-Grazing (FG)**, before the highway crosses back into the Roseburg UGA to include a large amount of industrial land zoned **Medium (M2)** and **Heavy (M3) Industrial**. The south side of Umpqua Collage Road is zoned **Single-Family Residential (R1)** along the North Umpqua River, although some land on the east end is designated on the Comprehensive Plan Map for **High Density Residential (HDR)**. The Umpqua Community College is located on the north side of the road and is zoned **Public Reserve (PR)**.

The City zones mentioned above are shown on the *Interchange 129 IAMP Zone Map*, and described in the City Comprehensive Plan-Zone Matrix contained in Table 2 below:

Table 2: City Plan-Zone Matrix

Plan	Zones	Uses	Residential Densities
Commercial	Limited Com (C-1); Community Com (C-2); General Com (C-3); Mixed Use (MU)	C-1: Community Centers; Offices under 1,500 sq ft; Retail under 2,500 sq ft; C-2: Services and Retail, Motels, Theaters C-3: All in C-1 and C-2, plus wholesale, auto sales, trailer parks	
Low-Density Residential	Low Density Res (R-1-10); Single-Family Res (R-1-7.5); Single-Family Res (R-1-6); Limited Commercial (C-1)	Single Family Residential; Duplex; Church	R-1-10: 10,000 Sq Ft Min Lot Size; 5,500 duplex; R-1-7.5: 7,500 Sq Ft Min Lot Size; 6,000 duplex; R-1-6: 6,000 Sq Ft Min Lot Size; 3,300 duplex;
Medium-Density Residential	Lim. M-F Res (MR-14); Med Den M-F Res (MR-18); Limited Commercial (C-1)	Single Family Residential; Duplex; Multi-Family; Mobile Home Park; Church; Residential Facility	MR-14: 6,000 Sq Ft Min Lot Size; 3,300 duplex; 3,000 Multi Family; 5 beds / 4,700 Facility MR-18: 6,000 Sq Ft Min Lot Size; 3,000 duplex; 2,350 Multi Family; 5 beds / 4,700 Facility
High-Density Residential	M-F Residential (MR-29); High Den M-F Res (MR-40); Limited Commercial (C-1)	Single Family Residential; Duplex; Multi-Family; Mobile Home Park; Church; Residential Facility	MR-29: 6,000 Sq Ft Min Lot Size; 3,000 duplex; 1,500 Multi Family; 5 beds / 3,000 Facility MR-40: 800 Multi Family; 5 beds / 2,200 Facility
Industrial	Light Industrial (M-1); Medium Industrial (M-2); Heavy Industrial (M-3);	M-1: Secondary manufacturing and intense commercial with limited	

Plan	Zones	Uses	Residential Densities
	Mixed Use (MU)	external impact; M-2: All in M-1, plus manufacturing. Good access to transportation; M-3: Medium and Heavy Industrial away from residential and commercial conflicts. Good access to transportation.	
Parks/Open Space	Public Reserve (PR)	Public/Semi-Public Uses including Schools, Churches, Fairgrounds, etc.	
Residential Open Space	Residential Open Space (RO)	Residential Single Family; Planned Unit Developments; Day Care Facilities; Parks	1 dwelling unit per 3 acres.
Public/Semi-Public	Public Reserve (PR); Airport District (AP)	Public/Semi-Public Uses including Schools, Churches, Fairgrounds, etc.	

APPENDIX D: EXISTING TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

Technical Memorandum #4.2

DATE: May 4, 2007
TO: Project Management Team
FROM: John Bosket, PE
Rebecca Bauman

**SUBJECT: Task 4.2: Technical Memorandum #4.2
Existing Transportation Conditions**

P05041-002-004


This memorandum provides a review of existing transportation conditions for the Roseburg Interchange 129 Area Management Plan (IAMP). The inventory data collected and performance of roadways and intersections around the interchange area was analyzed in order to establish a baseline for comparison against identified performance or design standards with any elements found to be deficient identified.

Study Area



Study area roadways of interest include I-5, Old Highway 99, Del Rio Road, and Umpqua College Road. In addition, the following four intersections were selected for focused operations analysis. The study intersections and roadways are shown in Figure 1.

- I-5 NB / Old Highway 99
- I-5 SB / Del Rio Road
- Old Highway 99 / Del Rio Road
- Old Highway 99 / Umpqua College Road

Traffic data was obtained for each intersection from the Transportation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU). Additional data was collected for other aspects of the transportation system including reported vehicle crashes, locations of bike and pedestrian facilities, transit availability, and property access locations. Using this information, the following sections describe the characteristics, usage, and performance of the transportation system in the interchange area.



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 1**
 Interchange Study Area
 City of Roseburg



Legend

- Study Area
- Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary
- Railroad
- Tax Lots

- Study Intersection

System Inventory

Motor Vehicle

Field inventories were conducted to determine characteristics of major roadways in the study area. Data collected included posted speed limits, geometry and lane configurations, and traffic controls. These characteristics define roadway capacity and operating speeds throughout the corridor. The County functional classification for area roadways and posted speed limits can be seen in Figure 2, with lane configurations and traffic controls at study intersections displayed in Figure 3. The Northbound I-5 / Old Highway 99 intersection is the only signalized intersection within the study area.

Interstate 5 (I-5), also known as the Pacific Highway, is a federal facility managed by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). The *1999 Oregon Highway Plan* identifies I-5 as an Interstate Freeway within the study area. Interstate Highways often function as connectors to the largest urban areas to provide safe and efficient operations with continuous, high speed flow as well as serving as inter-urban and inter-state connectors. Within the study area, many sections of I-5 meet current design standards, with some areas have shoulders slightly narrower than required (see Tables 1 and 2).

With the exception of I-5, all roadways fall under Douglas County jurisdiction and currently meet County design standards. However, the study area falls within the City of Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary (UGB), meaning that the City will eventually annex this area and potentially take jurisdiction of these roads. At that time, many roads will require improvements to make them compliant with the City's design standards, which may require the acquisition of additional right of way.


A summary of roadway characteristics can be seen in Table 1. The City, County, and State design standards for study area roadways are listed in Table 2.

Table 1: Study Area Roadway Characteristics*

Roadway	Jurisdiction	Functional Classification	Number of Lanes	Lane Width	Shoulder Width**	Meets Standards?
Interstate 5	State	Interstate Freeway	4	12'	4-6' / 10'	No
Old Highway 99	County	Arterial	2	12'	6'	Yes
Del Rio Road	County	Major Collector	2	12'	4'	Yes
Umpqua College Road	County	Minor Collector	2	12'	8'	Yes

* Bold type indicates design standards are not met.



** # / # = left shoulder width / right shoulder width



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 2**
 Roadway Functional Classification
 & Posted Speed

Douglas County

DKS Associates
 TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS




Legend



- Posted Speeds
- Study Area
- Railroad
- Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary

**Douglas County
 Functional Classification**

- Principal Highway
- Arterial
- Major Collector
- Minor Collector



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 3**
Existing Geometry



Legend

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|
|  | Study Area |  | Tax Lots |
|  | Railroad |  | Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary |
|  | Study Intersection |  | Traffic Signal |
|  | Lane Configuration |  | Stop Sign |

Table 2: Study Area Roadway Design Standards*

Jurisdiction	Functional Classification	Lane Width	Median Width	Shoulder Width**	Sidewalk Width	Left Turn Lane Width
ODOT	Interstate Highway	12'	18'	6' / 10'	N/A	N/A
Douglas County	Arterial – Urban (Rural)	12' (12')	2-14' (2-14')	10' (5-11')	6' (N/A)	14' (14')
Douglas County	Collector – Urban (Rural)	12' (12')	N/A	8' (3-9')	6' (N/A)	14' (N/A)
City of Roseburg	Arterial (3-lane)	11-12'	12-14'	6'	6-8'	
City of Roseburg	Collectors	11-12'	N/A	6'	6-8'	

* # / # - left shoulder width / right shoulder width

** Sources: Douglas County Land Use and Development Ordinance (Ch 4), 2006 Roseburg TSP, Oregon Highway Plan (updated January 2006), 2003 ODOT Highway Design Manual (Table 6-1)

Available Intersection Sight Distance (ISD) and Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) were measured from study area intersection approaches for comparison against minimum distances recommended by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)¹. ISD represents the distance from the intersection that a stopped vehicle requires to comfortably pull out onto the crossing roadway, while SSD represents the distance required for a vehicle to safely stop once an obstacle has been seen. When feasible, ISD should always be provided for vehicles entering a roadway from a cross street. Where ISD can not be provided, at a minimum, enough sight distance must be provided for an approaching vehicle to safely stop without colliding with the entering vehicle (SSD).

Table 3 provides measured sight distances taken from stop-controlled approaches at study intersections and compares them against the AASHTO recommendations. Because traffic signals provide right of way for entering vehicles, ISD is generally not necessary. However, the ability to safely stop once the traffic signal has been seen (SSD) is still critical. Therefore, only the SSD for approaching vehicles at signalized intersections has been provided.

¹ A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets 2004, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO).

Table 3: AASHTO minimum sight distances

Intersection	Control – Direction of Sight	Posted Speed (mph)	Assumed Design Speed (mph)	Min. SSD (ft)	Min. ISD (ft)	Measured ISD (ft)
I-5 NB / Old Highway 99	Signalized – to North	40	45	360	-	>500
I-5 NB / Old Highway 99	Signalized – to South	40	45	360	-	>500
I-5 SB / Del Rio Rd	Stop Control –Left	55	65	645	720	330
I-5 SB / Del Rio Rd	Stop Control –Right	55	65	645	625	>700
Old Highway 99 / Del Rio Rd	Stop Control – Left	40	45	360	500	>600
Old Highway 99 / Del Rio Rd	Stop Control – Right	40	45	360	430	>500
Old Highway 99 / Umpqua College Rd	Stop Control – Left	40	45	360	500	>600
Old Highway 99 / Umpqua College Rd	Stop Control – Right	40	45	360	430	>500

Bold type indicates sufficient sight distance is not available.

As shown in Table 3, adequate ISD and SSD are available at all study intersection, with the exception of the I-5 southbound ramp terminal intersection with Del Rio Road. At this location, sight distance to the east for vehicles leaving the I-5 approach is well short of the recommended ISD and SSD due to a vertical curve in the bridge over the freeway. However, it should be noted that the recommended ISD and SSD shown in the table are associated with the posted speed of 55 mph and that many vehicles would not have reached this speed yet given the proximity to the end of the road to the east (approximately 300 feet from the point where vehicles would be seen). It should also be noted that this intersection will be removed and reconstructed to the north as part of the interchange modernization project that will include the realignment of Del Rio Road.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

The Oregon Bike and Pedestrian Plan defines a bike lane as a portion of the road designated for preferential use by bicyclists and must always be well marked as such. Shoulder bikeways are defined as paved shoulders with a preferential width of six feet and a minimum width of four feet where physical width limitations are present. There are no bicycle lanes located within the study area. However, Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99 provide

adequate shoulder bikeways. The shoulders on Del Rio Road are generally no more than four feet wide, which is adequate in areas where no roadside barriers are present (e.g. curb or guardrail). In sections where roadside barriers are present, shoulders should be widened to at least five feet.

No sidewalks have been constructed within the study area. There are two crosswalks located on the western and southern legs of the I-5 NB / Old Hwy 99 intersection.

Transit

Transit service is provided in Roseburg by Umpqua Transit, which provides bus service for Douglas County linking the Cities of Winston, Green, Wilbur, and Sutherlin. Two bus routes pass through the study area, traveling along Old Highway 99, with one bus stop at Umpqua Community College. Busses run from 6 a.m. to 9 p.m., Monday through Friday. However, bus service at the Community College ends at approximately 7:00 p.m. The frequency of bus service throughout the day is shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Transit Service Frequency

Transit Route	Average Headways (Minutes)		
	AM	Midday	PM
Commuter Route	70	90	70
Roseburg Route	60	60	60

Note: AM Period = 6:00-08:30 AM, Midday Period = 8:30 AM-4:00 PM, PM Period = 4:00-7:00 PM

Access

ODOT and Douglas County maintain access spacing standards for roadways under their jurisdiction that identify the minimum required separation between adjacent approaches. Included in ODOT’s access spacing standards are required approach spacing from freeway interchange ramp terminals. While, the crossroads with the freeway interchange ramp terminals are actually under the jurisdiction of the County, per the terms of the abandonment agreement, ODOT maintains authority for granting access to Old Highway 99 within 900 feet of the ramp terminal. Applicable access spacing standards for area roadways are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Access Spacing Standards


Jurisdiction	Roadway			
	Interstate 5	Old Hwy 99	Del Rio Rd.	Umpqua College Rd.
ODOT	1320' / 990'	-	-	-
Douglas County	-	990'	660'	330'

/ # = Distance to first approach or first major intersections / Distance between the last right in/right out approach road and the start of the taper for the on-ramp.



A physical inventory of existing approaches to area roadways was collected, with descriptive information recorded for each approach indicating the approach's location, how the approach has been constructed and how it is currently being used. This physical inventory was compiled into an Access List, which has been included in the appendix to this memorandum. Additional investigation regarding property access rights, including a search of approach permits issued and right of way research conducted was performed by ODOT staff. The results of this research have also been included in the Access List. To compliment the Access List, a graphical display of individual approach locations along area roadways is shown in Figure 4.

Using this information, a comparison of existing conditions to ODOT and Douglas County access spacing standards was made to evaluate areas needing improvement. Table 6 provides the results of this investigation, displaying the number of approaches found on each roadway and comparing the average approach spacing per section to the applicable access spacing standard. While this level of analysis can not be used to identify potential improvements to approach spacing, it does reflect the degree to which the spacing standards are being met and provides an indication of the extent of improvements needed. The rightmost column in the table indicates the approximate number of driveway or public street approaches that would be allowed to fully comply with access spacing standards.

According to Table 6, there are far more approaches to Old Highway 99 within 1,320 feet of the I-5 northbound interchange ramp terminal than would be allowed by ODOT's spacing standards. However, beyond 1,320 feet, approach spacing increases significantly and complies with County spacing standards within the area studied. On Del Rio Road, there are more approaches within and beyond 1,320 feet of the I-5 southbound interchange ramp terminals than would be allowed to meet ODOT and County spacing standards. Finally, access spacing on Umpqua College Road is much greater than County standards require.



Interchange 129 IAMP
Figure 4
 Existing Access Map



Legend

-  Existing Approach
-  Study Area
-  Railroad
-  Tax Lots
-  Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary

Table 6: Approach Spacing on Study Area Roadways

Roadway	Number of Approaches	Segment Length (ft.)	Average Approach Spacing (ft.)		Number of Approaches allowed by Standard
			Actual	Standard	
Old Hwy 99 (<1,320' from ramp)	10	2,640	265	1,320	2
Old Hwy 99 (>1,320' N. of ramp)	1	990	990	990	1
Old Hwy 99 (>1,320' S. of ramp)	0	990	990	990	1
Del Rio Rd. (<1,320' from ramp)	4	1,980	495	1,320	1
Del Rio Rd. (>1,320' from ramp)	8	4,200	525	660	6
Umpqua College Rd.	3	2,050	685	330	6

Crash History

The last four years (2001 through 2005) of available crash data on study area roadways were obtained from ODOT to identify any areas of traffic safety concern. Table 7 summarizes the crashes experienced along the roadways within the boundaries of the study area and the resulting crash rate. It should be noted that as these crash rates are applied to stretches of road rather than specific intersections, values will be higher than the norm.

Table 7: Study Area Road Segment Crash Rates (2001 – 2005)

Roadway	Total Collisions	Fatal	Injury				Collision Rate
			A	B	C	PDO	
Interstate 5 (MP 128.90 to 130.50)	44	0	3	10	14	17	0.28
Old Highway 99 (MP 10.69 to 12.29)	25	0	2	4	5	14	2.24
Umpqua College Road (Old Hwy 99 to 1.5 miles east)	6	0	0	2	1	3	1.49
Del Rio Road (Old Hwy 99 to 1.5 miles west)	5	0	0	2	0	3	0.60

Source: ODOT Transportation Data Section – Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit

Crash Rate = (Crashes*1,000,000) / (Years*ADT*365)

Eighteen of the twenty-five collisions along Old Highway 99 took place at the Old Highway 99 / Del Rio Road intersection, giving this intersection a collision rate of 1.0. Generally, intersections with a collision rate of 1.0 or greater are considered problem areas where mitigating actions should be taken into consideration. Sixteen of the eighteen collisions at this intersection were due to improper left-hand turn movements onto Del Rio Road from the south. However, given that this intersection will be removed through the realignment of Del Rio Road as part of the interchange modernization project, no countermeasures will be necessary. All crashes occurring within the study area have been depicted in Figure 5.

Crash rates identifying the number of crashes per million vehicle-miles traveled for specified sections of I-5, as well as statewide average crash rates for various facility types, were obtained from ODOT's *2005 State Highway Crash Rate Tables*². Highway sections analyzed in these tables are categorized by area type and functional classification to provide a basis for comparison between various facilities. For this analysis, I-5 was classified as an Interstate Freeway and the study corridor was categorized as a Suburban Area. Predetermined highway sections within these categories are provided in the crash rate tables with crash rates calculated for each section, as well as for groups of contiguous sections within the same area type. The resulting crash rates on I-5 over the last five years through the study area compared to the statewide average crash rates for other Interstate Freeways in Suburban Areas are shown below in Table 8.

Table 8: Interstate 5 Crash Rate Comparison for Statewide Suburban Areas


Section Limits (Milepoints)	Section Description	Crashes per Million Vehicles				
		2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Statewide Average Rate		0.44	0.35	0.48	0.27	0.17
MP 128.92 – MP 129.22	I-5: Winchester Bridge to End Roseburg Urban Area	0.29	0.25	0.22	0.26	0.29

Note: Bold type indicates the crash rate is greater than the statewide average.

According to Table 8, this segment of I-5 routinely experiences lower crash rates than other similar highway segments throughout the state. The only exception was in 2001. However, given the performance over the four years that followed that, there should be no reason for concern.



Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) ratings for I-5 through the study area were also examined to identify any areas in need of mitigation. The Safety Priority Index System is a method developed by ODOT for identifying hazardous locations on state highways. The SPIS score is based on three years of crash data and considers crash frequency, crash rate, and crash severity. In general, locations ranking within the State's top 10% of SPIS scores should be considered for potential mitigation. There were no SPIS ratings within the top 10% on I-5 within the study area.

² *2005 State Highway Crash Rate Tables (August 2006).*



Interchange 129 IAMP
Crash History

Figure 5



Legend

- Study Area
- Tax Lots
- Railroad
- Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary

- 1** - Rear End
- 2** - Head On
- 3** - Fixed Object
- 4** - Animal
- 5** - Turning
- *** 1-5 collisions
- * *** 5-10 collisions
- * * *** >10 collisions

Traffic Operations

Motor Vehicle Volumes

Data describing peak hour traffic volumes at study intersections was obtained from ODOT's Transportation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU). This data was collected through 14-hour manual turn movement counts, with a peak hour of 3:00 to 4:00 p.m. selected for analysis purposes. The raw counts were collected in various months within the year 2003, but were adjusted by TPAU through the use of seasonal factors and historic growth rates to provide traffic volumes representative of the 30th highest annual hour (30 HV)³ in the year 2007. The 2007 30 HV traffic volumes at study area intersections are displayed in Figure 6.

Operating Conditions


Level of Service (LOS) and volume to capacity (v/c) ratios are both used as measures of effectiveness for intersection operation. LOS is similar to a "report card" rating based upon average vehicle delay. Level of Service A, B, and C indicate conditions where traffic moves without significant delays over periods of peak hour travel demand. Level of Service D and E are progressively worse peak hour operating conditions. Level of Service F represents conditions where average vehicle delay exceeds 80 seconds per vehicle entering a signalized intersection and demand has exceeded capacity. This condition is typically evident in long queues and delays. Unsignalized intersections provide levels of service for major and minor street turning movements. For this reason, LOS E and even LOS F can occur for a specific turning movement; however, the majority of traffic may not be delayed (in cases where major street traffic is not required to stop). LOS E or F conditions at unsignalized intersections generally provide a basis to study intersections further to determine availability of acceptable gaps, safety and traffic signal warrants.

A volume to capacity (v/c) ratio is the peak hour traffic volume at an intersection divided by the maximum volume that intersection can handle. For example, when a v/c ratio is 0.80, peak hour traffic is using 80 percent of the intersection capacity. If traffic volumes exceed capacity, queues will form and will lengthen until demand subsides below the available capacity. When the v/c ratio approaches 1.0, intersection operation becomes unstable and small disruptions can cause traffic flow to break down.



Level of service, delay and volume to capacity ratios are used as measures of effectiveness for study intersection performance. Within the study area, the interchange ramp terminals of I-5 are under the jurisdiction of ODOT. The ODOT operating performance standards⁴ for interchange ramp terminals require a v/c ratio of 0.85 or less during the 30 HV. All other roadways are currently under the jurisdiction of Douglas County, whose performance standards vary by functional classification and have been shown in Table 9.

³ 30th highest annual hour traffic volumes are commonly used for the design of transportation facilities.

⁴1999 Oregon Highway Plan, Oregon Department of Transportation, August 2006, Policy 1F.



Interchange 129 IAMP **Figure 6**
2007 30th Highest Hour
Traffic Volumes



Legend

- Study Area
- Railroad
- Tax Lots
- Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary
- Study Intersection
- 30 HV Traffic Volume

The 30 HV previously developed for the year 2007 were used to determine the existing study intersection operating conditions based on the *2000 Highway Capacity Manual* methodology for signalized and unsignalized intersections.⁵ Operational analysis worksheets can be found in the appendix.

Table 9 summarizes the 2007 30 HV intersection operations at study intersections. All four intersections currently operate within adopted performance standards.

Table 9: Existing (2007) 30 HV Intersection Operations

Intersection	Operations			Applicable Standard	
	LOS	Average Delay (sec)	Volume/Capacity (v/c)	ODOT	Douglas County
<i>Signalized</i>					
I-5 NB / Old Highway 99	B	15.2	0.63	0.85	-
<i>Unsignalized</i>					
I-5 SB / Del Rio Rd	A/B	2.8	0.17	0.85	-
Old Highway 99 / Del Rio Rd	A/C	4.4	0.47	-	0.85
Old Highway 99 / Umpqua College Rd	A/E	8.7	0.72	-	0.85

Notes: LOS = Level of Service

Delay = Average vehicle delay in the peak hour for entire intersection in seconds.

Unsignalized Intersection Operations:

A/A = Major street turn LOS / Minor street turn LOS

Summary of Deficiencies

Considering the investigation of existing conditions conducted, the following deficiencies will need to be addressed when identifying improvements to the transportation system in this plan.

- Shoulders on Interstate 5 vary in width and are narrower than ODOT's design standards require in some locations. Areas of Interstate 5 that are reconstructed as part of this project will present an opportunity to remedy this.
- Roadways under Douglas County jurisdiction within the urban growth boundary that meet County design standards may need to be widened and modernized should the City annex this area and take jurisdiction of such roads.

⁵ *2000 Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, 2000.

- Sight distance from the I-5 southbound ramp terminal intersection to the east along Del Rio Road is limited by a vertical curve in the bridge over I-5. This condition can be remedied when the project reconstructs the interchange and realigns Del Rio Road.
- There are no sidewalks within the study area. As this area is annexed into the City, roadways will need to be modernized to include adequate pedestrian facilities.
- Shoulder widths on Del Rio Road will need to be increased to better accommodate bicycle travel as this area is annexed into the City and urbanized.
- Access spacing on Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road within the interchange area does not meet spacing standards and will require significant improvement.
- The intersection on Old Highway 99 at Del Rio Road has a history of reoccurring crashes involving improper left-hand turns onto Del Rio Road from the south. This condition can be remedied when the project reconstructs the interchange and realigns Del Rio Road.

APPENDIX

- Capacity Analysis Worksheets
- Project Access List

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
 31: NB Off-ramp & Old Hwy 99

DKS Associates

						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1569	1404	1598	1682	1698	1443
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1569	1404	1598	1682	1698	1443
Volume (vph)	125	125	75	335	535	15
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	139	139	83	372	594	17
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	112	0	0	0	8
Lane Group Flow (vph)	139	27	83	372	594	9
Heavy Vehicles (%)	9%	9%	7%	7%	6%	6%
Turn Type		Perm	Prot			Perm
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2	
Permitted Phases		8				2
Actuated Green, G (s)	11.1	11.1	8.3	37.1	24.8	24.8
Effective Green, g (s)	11.1	11.1	8.3	37.6	25.3	25.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.20	0.15	0.66	0.45	0.45
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	307	275	234	1115	758	644
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.09		0.05	c0.22	c0.35	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.02				0.01
v/c Ratio	0.45	0.10	0.35	0.33	0.78	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	20.1	18.7	21.8	4.1	13.4	8.7
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	1.4	0.2	0.9	0.2	5.3	0.0
Delay (s)	21.6	18.9	22.7	4.3	18.7	8.8
Level of Service	C	B	C	A	B	A
Approach Delay (s)	20.2			7.7	18.4	
Approach LOS	C			A	B	
Intersection Summary						
HCM Average Control Delay			15.2		HCM Level of Service	B
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.63			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			56.7		Sum of lost time (s)	12.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization			51.4%		ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15			
c Critical Lane Group						

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
 25: Del Rio Road & SB On/Off-Ramp

DKS Associates

						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Volume (veh/h)	75	155	155	330	50	50
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Hourly flow rate (vph)	87	180	180	384	58	58
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						6
Median type					None	
Median storage (veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	564				727	372
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	564				727	372
tC, single (s)	4.3				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	91				83	91
cM capacity (veh/h)	941				347	661
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	267	564	116			
Volume Left	87	0	58			
Volume Right	0	384	58			
cSH	941	1700	694			
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.33	0.17			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	8	0	15			
Control Delay (s)	3.6	0.0	14.2			
Lane LOS	A		B			
Approach Delay (s)	3.6	0.0	14.2			
Approach LOS			B			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			56.3%		ICU Level of Service	B
Analysis Period (min)			15			

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
 14: Del Rio Road & Old Hwy 99

DKS Associates

						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Volume (veh/h)	85	120	130	325	305	355
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	98	138	149	374	351	408
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)		3				
Median type	None					
Median storage (veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)					725	
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	1023	351	759			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1023	351	759			
tC, single (s)	6.5	6.3	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.6	3.4	2.2			
p0 queue free %	53	80	82			
cM capacity (veh/h)	209	679	839			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	
Volume Total	236	149	374	351	408	
Volume Left	98	149	0	0	0	
Volume Right	138	0	0	0	408	
cSH	504	839	1700	1700	1700	
Volume to Capacity	0.47	0.18	0.22	0.21	0.24	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	61	16	0	0	0	
Control Delay (s)	21.9	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lane LOS	C	B				
Approach Delay (s)	21.9	2.9		0.0		
Approach LOS	C					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			39.5%		ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15			

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
 33: Umpqua College Road & Old Hwy 99

DKS Associates


						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Volume (veh/h)	220	30	315	145	20	330
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Hourly flow rate (vph)	234	32	335	154	21	351
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)		4				
Median type	None					
Median storage (veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			417			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.93	0.93			0.93	
vC, conflicting volume	806	412			489	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	792	370			453	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.3	
p0 queue free %	28	95			98	
cM capacity (veh/h)	327	630			1009	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	266	489	21	351		
Volume Left	234	0	21	0		
Volume Right	32	154	0	0		
cSH	372	1700	1009	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.72	0.29	0.02	0.21		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	134	0	2	0		
Control Delay (s)	36.0	0.0	8.6	0.0		
Lane LOS	E		A			
Approach Delay (s)	36.0	0.0	0.5			
Approach LOS	E					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			8.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			46.4%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Sec. 24 & 25, T. 27 S., R. 6 W., W. M.

Approximate Approach Locations

Approach numbering:
 No letter or A = Existing
 B = New Construction

Approaches 3 & 4
 on Del Rio Road No
 longer part of
 project




LEGEND

- ACCESS CONTROL BOUNDARY
- RESERVATION OF ACCESS
- SYMBOL

A	B	F	G	I	J	K	L	M	N	P	R	S	T	U	V	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AH	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AR		
<input type="radio"/> Print Pg 1 thru line 55 (Legal size) <input type="radio"/> Print Pg 2 thru line 55 (Legal size) <input type="radio"/> Print Pg 1 thru line 55 (11 X 17) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Print Pg 2 thru line 55 (11 X 17)				15186 Del Rio Road Winchester Interchange Workbook Coordinator J.W.Oltman Req'd Approvals Mark Thompson, Technical Center Manger		H. Ron Hughes, Region 3 Access Management Engineer										Metadata Additional Information										CONFIRM CHAMPS RECORDS						
HIGHWAY INPUT DATA				EXISTING APPROACHES INVENTORY							OFFICIAL PROJECT ACCESS LIST (OPAL)							ACCESS CONTROL REPORT (ACR)							EXISTING APPROACHES STATUS REPORT (EASR)							CONFIRM CHAMPS RECORDS
Highway	County	Ref #	Mile Point	Eng Station	Side (L/R)	Surface Type	Exlg. Width (ft)	Property Use	Comments	Approach Decision	Approach Type	Surface Type	Const. Width (ft)	Const. Sta	Exist. or New R/W File No	Tax Lot	Twsp-Sect-Rng	Map No	Rsvln. Eng. Sta	Side	Rsvln. Width	Ownership	Permit # or Legal Document	Permitted Width (ft)	Permitted Use	Permitted To	Current Owner	Comments	Action By District			
33	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	8	11.59	2248+50	R	paved	300	Public						7354002	202	26-06-24D					Douglas County	no permit found							Other Begin removal process		
34	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	9	11.59	2248+50	R	paved	300	Public	Remove and relocate existing approach See Approach # 7B					7354004	300	26-06-24D					Roseburg Rural Fire Protection District	no permit found							Other Begin removal process		
35	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	10	11.59	2248+50	R	paved	300	Public	Remove and relocate approach See Approach # 7B					7354002	400	26-06-24D					Douglas County	no permit found							Other Begin removal process		
36	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	11A	11.65	2251+60	L	paved	25	Residential	Jackson Ranch	Remove existing approach See Approach # 11B	paved	22.0	OS 2252+13.56	7354003	100	26-06-24D					Jackson Ranch	no permit found							Other Begin removal process		
37	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	12	11.67	2252+63.76	L	paved	15	Residential	Jackson Ranch	Remove existing approach See Approach # 11B				7354003	100	26-06-24D					Jackson Ranch	no permit found							Other Begin removal process		
38	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	11B			L	paved	16	Residential	Jackson Ranch	Create new approach	paved	16.0	OS 2249+04.3	7354003	100	26-06-24D			OS 2249+04.3	LT	20	Jackson Ranch							Issue Permit		
39	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	13A	11.73	2250+90.50	L	paved	40	Public	College Road	Modify and relocate existing approach See Approach # 13B				7354002			7B-7-10				Douglas County	NA							Other Begin removal process		
40	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	13B			L				New College/ Del Rio Rd	Create new Intersection	paved	66.0	OS 2256+03.32=C R 68+83.04= Dr 68+83.04				7B-7-10				College Road	NA							Issue Permit		
41	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	14	11.77	2257+80	L	paved	16	Residential		Remove and relocate existing approach See Frontage Road F1				7354007	500	26-06-24D	7B-7-10	2257+80	L	35	Sepulvado	no permit found							Other Begin removal process		
42	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	15A	11.81	2260+00	R	paved	60	Public	I-5 NB ON Ramp	Remove and relocate existing approach See Approach # 15B				NA			7B-7-10				ODOT	NA							Do Nothing		
43	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	15B			R			Public	I-5 NB ON Ramp	Create new approach	paved	26.0	"OS" 2241+98.54="B" 2262+24.89	NA			7B-7-10				ODOT	NA							Do Nothing		
44	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	16A	11.81	2260+00	R	paved	60	Public	I-5 NB OFF Ramp	Remove and relocate existing approach See Approach # 16B				NA			7B-7-10				ODOT	NA							Do Nothing		
45	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	16B			R			Public	I-5 NB OFF Ramp	Create new approach	paved	42.0	OS" 2242+18.65="C" 2255+35.91	NA			7B-7-10				ODOT	NA							Do Nothing		
46	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	17A	11.92	2265+80	L	paved		Commercial		Remove and replace existing approach See New Fish Viewing Frontage Road F3				7354009	600	26-06-25	7B-7-10				Beckley	35121	28	Motel & gas	Beckley	Beckley			Cancel Exist Permit/Notify Owner		
47	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	17B			L			Commercial		Create new Frontage Road	paved	38.0	OS 2267+63.7	7354009	600 500 400	26-06-25	7B-7-10				Public		28	Public: Utility, Fish Viewing, Beckley & Sepulvado				Issue permits to frontage road			
48	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	18	11.95	2267+40.28	R	paved		Public	Del Rio Road	Remove and relocate existing approach See Approach #13B				7354002			7B-7-10				Douglas County								Other Begin removal process		

A	B	F	G	I	J	K	L	M	N	P	R	S	T	U	V	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AH	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AR
<input type="radio"/> Print Pg 1 thru line 55 (Legal size) <input type="radio"/> Print Pg 2 thru line 55 (Legal size) <input type="radio"/> Print Pg 1 thru line 55 (11 X 17) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Print Pg 2 thru line 55 (11 X 17)				15186		Del Rio Road Winchester Interchange					Metadata										Additional Information									
				Workbook Coordinator		J.W.Oltman																								
				Req'd Approvals		Mark Thompson, Technical Center Manger					H. Ron Hughes, Region 3 Access Management Engineer																			
HIGHWAY INPUT DATA				EXISTING APPROACHES INVENTORY					OFFICIAL PROJECT ACCESS LIST (OPAL)					ACCESS CONTROL REPORT (ACR)					EXISTING APPROACHES STATUS REPORT (EASR)					CONFIRM CHAMPS RECORDS						
Highway	County	Ref #	Mile Point	Eng Station	Side (L/R)	Surface Type	Extg Width (ft)	Property Use	Comments	Approach Decision	Approach Type	Surface Type	Const. Width (ft)	Const. Sta	Exist or New R/W File No	Tax Lot	Twnsp-Sect-Rng	Map No	Rsrvin Eng. Sta	Side	Rsrvin. Width	Ownership	Permit # or Legal Document	Permitted Width (ft)	Permitted Use	Permitted To	Current Owner	Comments	Action By District	
49	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	19	11.97	2268+75	L	paved	Commercial		Remove and relocate existing approach. See New Fish Viewing Frontage Road F3					7354009	101,102,500		7B-7-10				Beckley							Other Begin removal process	
50	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	20	12.01	2270+60	L	paved	Commercial		Remove and replace existing approach. See New Fish Viewing Frontage Road F3					7354009	101,500	26-06-25	7B-7-10				Beckley						Other Begin removal process		
51	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	21	12.05	Approx 2272+70	L	paved	Public		Remove and relocate existing approach. See New Frontage Road F2		paved	50.0	OS 2272+70		400	26-06-25	7B-7-10				STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION						Do Nothing		
52	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas						Commercial	No Approach Reservation only						7354009	500	26-06-25	7B-7-10	2290+75			Beckley	no permit found					Reservation Closed		
53	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas						Commercial	No Approach Reservation only						7354009	500	26-06-25	7B-7-10	2291+20			Beckley	no permit found					Reservation Closed		
54	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	End Access Control On Old Highway 99 OS 2275+88.37 left																											
55	Old Highway 99 North, Douglas County Rd #388	Douglas	End ODOT Jurisdiction Control On Old Highway 99 at Station OS 2284+70																											
56																														
57	New Fish View Frontage Road Approach 17B	Douglas	New Frontage Road Approach 17B					Beginning "OS" Station 2267+63.7																						
58	New Frontage Road Approach 17B	Douglas	F1										22.0	Frontage Road		500	26-06-24D	7B-7-10	HWY 99 RW "OS" 2259+60 105.6 left	Thru on frontage road	25	Sepulvado				Sepulvado ROSEBURG URBAN SANITARY, WINCHESTER WATER CONTROL DIST, STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION	*** Reservation Station to be approved by Region R/W Engineer	Issue Permit		
59	New Frontage Road Approach 17B	Douglas	F2										22.0	Frontage Road		102,200,300,400,501			HWY 99 RW "OS" 2261+30 152.9 left		25	ROSEBURG URBAN SANITARY, WINCHESTER WATER CONTROL DIST, STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION				*** Reservation Station to be approved by Region R/W Engineer	Issue Permit			
60	New Frontage Road Approach 17B	Douglas	F3											Frontage Road		7354009	101,500,600	26-06-25	7B-7-10	os 2267+40 5 185.2 left		35	Beckley			Beckley	*** Reservation Station to be approved by Region R/W Engineer	Issue Permit		
61																														
62			End of Project I-5 MP 129.07																											
63	Additional Information:																													
64	This is a planning document only and final location of the approaches may change in final plans and during construction.. Final location should be noted from the "As Constructed" Plans																													
65	This document did not utilize the following columns and they are hidden to reduce the size of the printed document. "C,D,E,O,W,X,AC,AG,AJ,AS,-,AV"																													
66																														

APPENDIX E: FUTURE TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS



Transportation Planning Analysis Unit
Transportation Development Division, Salem, Oregon

**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ANALYSIS UNIT
EIS TRAFFIC DATA**

PROJECT: Exit 129 Analysis
LOCATION: Douglas County
ALTERNATIVE: Build

PAGE: 2
PRINTING DATE: May 18, 2010
UNIT: English

SECT	DIST	YEAR	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR					AVERAGE HOUR			PEAK TRUCK HOUR				
			VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP
011	0.25	2007	2400	182	40	200	179	7	14	40	0	0	40	190	165	10	15	40
011	0.25	2027	6800	517	40	580	521	20	39	40	0	0	40	550	480	28	42	40
EB DIRECTION: W leg of I																		
012	0.25	2007	2300	389	40	230	185	12	33	40	0	0	40	190	138	16	36	40
012	0.25	2027	4600	777	40	460	370	24	66	40	0	0	40	380	276	32	72	40
WB DIRECTION: Del Rio F																		
013	0.13	2007	5700	445	40	480	426	20	34	40	0	0	40	440	381	22	37	40
013	0.13	2027	9200	718	40	780	693	32	55	33	0	0	40	720	622	37	61	38
EB DIRECTION: Del Rio R																		
014	0.13	2007	2200	312	40	200	166	9	25	40	0	0	40	170	130	13	27	40
014	0.13	2027	4200	596	40	400	333	18	49	40	0	0	40	340	262	25	53	40
WB DIRECTION: E leg of C																		
015	0.25	2007	3300	79	40	250	242	7	1	40	0	0	40	250	236	13	1	40
015	0.25	2027	4800	115	40	360	349	10	1	40	0	0	40	360	340	19	1	40
EB DIRECTION: E leg of C																		
016	0.25	2007	2000	120	40	160	151	7	2	40	0	0	40	160	150	8	2	40
016	0.25	2027	3500	210	40	280	265	12	3	40	0	0	40	280	263	14	3	40
SB DIRECTION: S leg of C																		
017	0.36	2007	5100	434	40	420	388	17	15	40	0	0	40	390	353	20	17	40
017	0.36	2027	9900	842	40	840	777	34	29	37	0	0	40	780	706	40	34	40
NB DIRECTION: S leg of C																		
018	0.36	2007	5400	346	40	460	422	20	18	40	0	0	40	430	386	24	20	40
018	0.36	2027	8600	550	40	720	659	32	29	40	0	0	40	670	602	37	31	40
SB DIRECTION: Old Hwy																		
021	0.08	2007	5900	555	40	500	452	22	26	40	0	0	40	450	400	23	27	40
021	0.08	2027	11400	1072	40	980	885	43	52	40	0	0	40	880	782	44	54	40
NB DIRECTION: Old Hwy																		
022	0.08	2007	4000	292	40	340	310	14	16	40	0	0	40	300	268	18	14	40
022	0.08	2027	6600	482	40	560	512	22	26	40	0	0	40	490	437	30	23	40
SB DIRECTION: N leg of C																		
023	0.25	2007	3800	365	40	350	317	16	17	40	0	0	40	320	283	16	21	40

ABBREVIATION SECT = SECTION NUMBER
VOL = TOTAL VOLUME
MTR = MEDIUM TRUCK VOLUME

SP = SPEED OF VEHICLE
AUTO = AUTOMOBILE VOLUME
HTR = HEAVY TRUCK VOLUME

ANALYST: Christina McDaniel Wilson
CHECKED BY: 
FILE: B2010.MDB

Figure 48. Build EIS Section Identifier

**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ANALYSIS UNIT
EIS TRAFFIC DATA**


PROJECT: Exit 129 Analysis
LOCATION: Douglas County
ALTERNATIVE: Build

PAGE: 1
PRINTING DATE: May 18, 2010
UNIT: English

SECT	DIST	YEAR	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR					AVERAGE HOUR			PEAK TRUCK HOUR				
			VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP
I-5 SB before off ramp																		
001	0.25	2007	17200	4283	65	1720	1264	100	356	65	0	0	65	1720	1261	103	356	65
001	0.25	2027	24100	6001	65	2410	1771	140	499	65	0	0	65	2410	1766	145	499	65
Section of I-5 (SB) between																		
002	0.25	2007	16000	4000	65	1620	1173	97	350	65	0	0	65	1620	1171	99	350	65
002	0.25	2027	21800	5450	65	2220	1607	133	480	65	0	0	65	2220	1605	135	480	65
I-5 SB after on ramp																		
003	0.25	2007	20600	4614	65	2020	1521	115	384	65	0	0	65	1970	1456	118	396	65
003	0.25	2027	26800	6003	65	2660	2003	152	505	65	0	0	65	2590	1914	155	521	65
I-5 NB before off ramp																		
004	0.25	2007	20400	4549	65	1940	1455	109	376	65	0	0	65	1930	1434	112	384	65
004	0.25	2027	31100	6935	65	2940	2205	165	570	65	0	0	65	2930	2177	170	583	65
I-5 NB between on and off																		
005	0.25	2007	16800	4368	65	1690	1229	98	363	65	0	0	65	1690	1227	96	367	65
005	0.25	2027	23400	6084	65	2380	1730	138	512	65	0	0	65	2380	1728	136	516	65
I-5 NB after on ramp																		
006	0.25	2007	17900	4457	65	1780	1309	103	368	65	0	0	65	1780	1309	103	368	65
006	0.25	2027	25400	6325	65	2540	1867	147	526	65	0	0	65	2540	1867	147	526	65
I-5 NB on ramp																		
007	0.27	2007	1100	99	40	90	81	5	4	40	0	0	40	80	69	7	4	40
007	0.27	2027	1900	171	40	160	144	9	7	40	0	0	40	150	131	12	7	40
I-5 NB off ramp																		
008	0.30	2007	3600	324	40	250	227	11	12	40	0	0	40	250	219	15	16	40
008	0.30	2027	7700	693	40	560	508	25	27	40	0	0	40	560	490	34	36	40
I-5 SB on ramp																		
009	0.40	2007	4600	428	40	400	348	18	34	40	0	0	40	350	281	20	49	40
009	0.40	2027	5000	465	40	440	384	19	37	40	0	0	40	390	313	22	55	40
WB DIRECTION: W leg of																		
010	0.25	2007	1200	89	40	100	92	2	6	40	0	0	40	90	80	4	6	40
010	0.25	2027	2300	170	40	190	175	4	11	40	0	0	40	160	142	7	11	40
WB DIRECTION: W leg of																		

ABBREVIATION SECT = SECTION NUMBER
VOL = TOTAL VOLUME
MTR = MEDIUM TRUCK VOLUME

SP = SPEED OF VEHICLE
AUTO = AUTOMOBILE VOLUME
HTR = HEAVY TRUCK VOLUME

ANALYST: Christina McDaniel-Wilson
CHECKED BY: 
FILE: B2010.MDB

APPENDIX G: BUILD EIS DATA

**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ANALYSIS UNIT
EIS TRAFFIC DATA**

PROJECT: Exit 129 Analysis
 LOCATION: Douglas County
 ALTERNATIVE: No-Build

PAGE: 3
 PRINTING DATE: May 12, 2010
 UNIT: English

SECT	DIST	YEAR	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR					AVERAGE HOUR			PEAK TRUCK HOUR					
			VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	
021	0.08	2027	10000	650	40	1000	931	38	31	40	0	0	40	950	864	48	38	40	
NB DIRECTION: Old Hwy																			
022	0.08	2007	5700	433	40	460	422	19	19	40	0	0	40	440	395	22	23	40	
022	0.08	2027	9500	722	40	760	698	31	31	40	0	0	40	730	655	37	38	40	
SB DIRECTION: N leg of C																			
023	0.25	2007	3800	365	40	350	317	16	17	40	0	0	40	320	283	16	21	40	
023	0.25	2027	6400	614	40	580	525	27	28	40	0	0	40	530	469	27	34	40	
WB DIRECTION NB DIRECT																			
024	0.25	2007	4400	343	40	340	308	14	18	40	0	0	40	330	291	17	22	40	
024	0.25	2027	7200	562	40	560	506	24	30	40	0	0	40	550	484	29	37	40	

ABBREVIATION SECT = SECTION NUMBER
 VOL = TOTAL VOLUME
 MTR = MEDIUM TRUCK VOLUM

SP = SPEED OF VEHICLE
 AUTO = AUTOMOBILE VOLUME
 HTR = HEAVY TRUCK VOLUME

ANALYST: Christina McDaniel-Wilson
 CHECKED BY: 
 FILE: NB2010.MDB

**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ANALYSIS UNIT
EIS TRAFFIC DATA**

PROJECT: Exit 129 Analysis
LOCATION: Douglas County
ALTERNATIVE: No-Build

PAGE: 2
PRINTING DATE: May 12, 2010
UNIT: English

SECT	DIST	YEAR	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR					AVERAGE HOUR			PEAK TRUCK HOUR				
			VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP
011	0.25	2007	2400	182	55	200	179	7	14	55	0	0	55	190	165	10	15	55
011	0.25	2027	6800	517	55	580	521	20	39	51	0	0	55	550	480	28	42	53
EB DIRECTION: W leg of I																		
012	0.25	2007	2300	389	55	230	185	12	33	55	0	0	55	190	138	16	36	55
012	0.25	2027	4600	777	55	460	370	24	66	55	0	0	55	380	276	32	72	55
WB DIRECTION: Del Rio F																		
013	0.13	2007	5700	445	55	480	426	20	34	55	0	0	55	440	381	22	37	55
013	0.13	2027	9200	718	55	780	693	32	55	44	0	0	55	720	622	37	61	52
EB DIRECTION: Del Rio R																		
014	0.13	2007	2200	312	55	200	166	9	25	55	0	0	55	170	130	13	27	55
014	0.13	2027	4200	596	55	400	333	18	49	55	0	0	55	340	262	25	53	55
WB DIRECTION: E leg of C																		
015	0.25	2007	3300	79	55	250	242	7	1	55	0	0	55	250	236	13	1	55
015	0.25	2027	4800	115	55	360	349	10	1	55	0	0	55	360	340	19	1	55
EB DIRECTION: E leg of C																		
016	0.25	2007	2000	120	55	160	151	7	2	55	0	0	55	160	150	8	2	55
016	0.25	2027	3500	210	55	280	265	12	3	55	0	0	55	280	263	14	3	55
SB DIRECTION: S leg of C																		
017	0.36	2007	5100	434	40	420	388	17	15	40	0	0	40	390	353	20	17	40
017	0.36	2027	9900	842	40	840	777	34	29	37	0	0	40	780	706	40	34	40
NB DIRECTION: S leg of C																		
018	0.36	2007	5400	346	40	460	422	20	18	40	0	0	40	430	386	24	20	40
018	0.36	2027	8600	550	40	720	659	32	29	40	0	0	40	670	602	37	31	40
SB DIRECTION: Old Hwy 1																		
019	0.14	2007	8000	560	40	660	612	26	22	40	0	0	40	630	569	33	28	40
019	0.14	2027	14100	987	40	1160	1077	45	38	40	0	0	40	1110	1003	58	49	40
NB DIRECTION: Old Hwy 1																		
020	0.14	2007	4800	336	40	410	376	18	16	40	0	0	40	390	351	21	18	40
020	0.14	2027	7800	546	40	670	615	29	26	40	0	0	40	630	566	34	30	40
SB DIRECTION: Old Hwy 1																		
021	0.08	2007	6400	416	40	550	512	21	17	40	0	0	40	520	473	26	21	40

ABBREVIATION SECT = SECTION NUMBER
VOL = TOTAL VOLUME
MTR = MEDIUM TRUCK VOLUM

SP = SPEED OF VEHICLE
AUTO = AUTOMOBILE VOLUME
HTR = HEAVY TRUCK VOLUME

ANALYST: Christina McDaniel Wilson
CHECKED BY: 
FILE: NB2010.MDB

Figure 47. No-Build EIS Section Identifier

**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ANALYSIS UNIT
EIS TRAFFIC DATA**

PROJECT: Exit 129 Analysis
LOCATION: Douglas County
ALTERNATIVE: No-Build

PAGE: 1
PRINTING DATE: May 12, 2010
UNIT: English

SECT	DIST	YEAR	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR					AVERAGE HOUR			PEAK TRUCK HOUR				
			VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP
I-5 SB before off ramp																		
001	0.25	2007	17200	4283	65	1720	1264	100	356	65	0	0	65	1720	1261	103	356	65
001	0.25	2027	24100	6001	65	2410	1771	140	499	65	0	0	65	2410	1766	145	499	65
Section of I-5 (SB) between																		
002	0.25	2007	16000	4000	65	1620	1173	97	350	65	0	0	65	1620	1171	99	350	65
002	0.25	2027	21800	5450	65	2220	1607	133	480	65	0	0	65	2220	1605	135	480	65
I-5 SB after on ramp																		
003	0.25	2007	20600	4614	65	2020	1521	115	384	65	0	0	65	1970	1456	118	396	65
003	0.25	2027	26800	6003	65	2660	2003	152	505	65	0	0	65	2590	1914	155	521	65
I-5 NB before off ramp																		
004	0.25	2007	20400	4549	65	1940	1455	109	376	65	0	0	65	1930	1434	112	384	65
004	0.25	2027	31100	6935	65	2940	2205	165	570	65	0	0	65	2930	2177	170	583	65
I-5 NB between on and off																		
005	0.25	2007	16800	4368	65	1690	1229	98	363	65	0	0	65	1690	1227	96	367	65
005	0.25	2027	23400	6084	65	2380	1730	138	512	65	0	0	65	2380	1728	136	516	65
I-5 NB after on ramp																		
006	0.25	2007	17900	4457	65	1780	1309	103	368	65	0	0	65	1780	1309	103	368	65
006	0.25	2027	25400	6325	65	2540	1867	147	526	65	0	0	65	2540	1867	147	526	65
I-5 NB on ramp																		
007	0.27	2007	1100	99	40	90	81	5	4	40	0	0	40	80	69	7	4	40
007	0.27	2027	1900	171	40	160	144	9	7	40	0	0	40	150	131	12	7	40
I-5 NB off ramp																		
008	0.30	2007	3600	324	40	250	227	11	12	40	0	0	40	250	219	15	16	40
008	0.30	2027	7700	693	40	560	508	25	27	40	0	0	40	560	490	34	36	40
I-5 SB on ramp																		
009	0.40	2007	4600	428	40	400	348	18	34	40	0	0	40	350	281	20	49	40
009	0.40	2027	5000	465	40	440	384	19	37	40	0	0	40	390	313	22	55	40
WB DIRECTION: W leg of																		
010	0.25	2007	1200	89	40	100	92	2	6	40	0	0	40	90	80	4	6	40
010	0.25	2027	2300	170	40	190	175	4	11	40	0	0	40	160	142	7	11	40
WB DIRECTION: W leg of																		

ABBREVIATION SECT = SECTION NUMBER
VOL = TOTAL VOLUME
MTR = MEDIUM TRUCK VOLUM

SP = SPEED OF VEHICLE
AUTO = AUTOMOBILE VOLUME
HTR = HEAVY TRUCK VOLUME

ANALYST: Christina McDaniel Wilson
CHECKED BY: *[Signature]*
FILE: NB2010.MDB

APPENDIX F: NO-BUILD EIS DATA


Figure 46. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout with Southbound Bypass Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection

Bypass Lane Merge Point Analysis


of dual exit lanes and a single bypass lane

Bypass from Leg: 1

to the leg adjacent in the counterclockwise direction



Volumes	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (inner)	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (outer)	Approach Bypass Lane Flow
Sum of inner circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	90	all 8 #2 cells	
Sum of outer circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	all 8 #1 cells		420
Critical exiting Lane Volume	420	greatest of two exit lanes	
Right turn volume removed from roundabout approach leg (leg bypass diverges from)			665
Volume Characteristics	Exit leg	Bypass	
% Trucks (in percent, not decimal)	0	0	
E_t (2.0 suggested)	2.0	2.0	
PHF (0.92 default)	0.92	0.92	
F_{HV}	1.000	1.000	
Entry/Conflicting Flows			
Entry Flow			723
Conflicting Flow	457		
Bypass Lane Results			
Entry Capacity at merge point of bypass, pc/h	821		
Bypass Lane v/c ratio	0.88		
Control Delay, s/pc	27.1		
LOS	D		
95th Percentile Queue (ft)	648		



SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S (5), pcu/h	474	0	171	0	0	0	456	0
SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W (7), pcu/h	759	0	206	0	397	0	0	0
NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conflicting flow, pcu/h	774	1451	1004	1385	437	1538	776	2138
Results								
	N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Crit. Entry Capacity pcu/h	657	NA	560	NA	832	NA	657	NA
Crit. Lane Entry Flow pcu/h	999	0	271	0	592	0	504	0
Leg v/c ratio	1.52		0.48		0.71		0.77	
Control Delay s/pcu	254.1		12.3		14.3		21.2	
LOS	F		B		B		C	
95th Percentile Queue ft	1457	0	389	0	882	0	730	0

**Figure 45. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout
Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection**

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Multilane

Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	Del Rio Road at OldHwy99								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2027								
Volumes		N1 (1)	N2 (1)	NE1 (2)	NE2 (2)	E1 (3)	E2 (3)	SE1 (4)	SE2 (4)
Volumes to Leg #	N (1), vph						60		
	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph	115							
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph	205	210			145			
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph		665			85	90		
	NW (8), vph								
	Entry Volume, vph	320	875	0	0	230	150	0	0
		S1 (5)	S2 (5)	SW1 (6)	SW2 (6)	W1 (7)	W2 (7)	NW1 (8)	NW2 (8)
	N (1), vph	165	170			175			
	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph		130			40	40		
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph						380		
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	335							
	NW (8), vph								
	Entry Volume, vph	500	300	0	0	215	420	0	0
Critical Lane Volumes		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
	N (1), vph	0	0	0	0	165	0	0	0
	NE (2), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0
	SE (4), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), vph	210	0	145	0	0	0	380	0
	SW (6), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), vph	665	0	85	0	335	0	0	0
	NW (8), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry Volume, vph	875	0	230	0	500	0	420	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
	PHF	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92
	E _t	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	% Trucks	5.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
	F _{hv}	0.952	1.000	0.943	1.000	0.917	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
	Flow to N (1), pcu/h	0	0	71	0	397	0	210	0
	Leg # NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	131	0	0	0	154	0	96	0

Oregon Dept of Transportation

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

**Figure 44. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Single-lane Roundabout
Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection**

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Single-Lane


Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information									
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	Del Rio Road at OldHwy99								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2027								
Volumes		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Input	N (1), vph			60		335		175	
Volumes to Leg #	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph	115				130		80	
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph	415		145				380	
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	665		175		335			
	NW (8), vph								
Output	Total Vehicles	1195	0	380	0	800	0	635	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		5.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92
F _{HV}		0.952	1.000	0.943	1.000	0.917	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg #	N (1), pcu/h	0	0	71	0	397	0	210	0
	NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	131	0	0	0	154	0	96	0
	SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), pcu/h	474	0	171	0	0	0	456	0
	SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), pcu/h	759	0	206	0	397	0	0	0
	NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry flow, pcu/h	1364	0	448	0	948	0	762	0
	Conflicting flow, pcu/h	774	1451	1004	1385	437	1538	776	2138
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		521	NA	414	NA	730	NA	520	NA
Leg v/c ratio		2.62		1.08		1.30		1.46	
Control Delay, s/pcu		745.4		94.4		158.2		235.9	
LOS		F		F		F		F	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		1990	0	642	0	1411	0	1104	0


Figure 43. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane with Southbound Bypass Roundabout Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection

Bypass Lane Merge Point Analysis
of dual exit lanes and a single bypass lane

Bypass from Leg: _____
to the leg adjacent in the counterclockwise direction



Volumes	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (inner)	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (outer)	Approach Bypass Lane Flow
Sum of inner circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	0	all 8 #2 cells	
Sum of outer circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	all 8 #1 cells	0	
Critical exiting Lane Volume	0	greatest of two exit lanes	
Right turn volume removed from roundabout approach leg (leg bypass diverges from)			0
Volume Characteristics	Exit leg	Bypass	
% Trucks (in percent, not decimal)	0	0	
E_t (2.0 suggested)	2.0	2.0	
PHF (0.92 default)	0.92	0.92	
F_{HV}	1.000	1.000	
Entry/Conflicting Flows			
Entry Flow			0
Conflicting Flow	0		
Bypass Lane Results			
Entry Capacity at merge point of bypass, pc/h	1130		
Bypass Lane v/c ratio	0.00		
Control Delay, s/pc	3.2		
LOS	A		
95th Percentile Queue (ft)	0		



**Figure 42. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane Roundabout
Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection**

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Single-Lane


Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information									
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	Del Rio Road at OldHwy99								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Year:	2012								
Volumes		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Input	N (1), vph			40		180		95	
Volumes to Leg #	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph	75				95		35	
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph	260		110				185	
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	345		130		270			
	NW (8), vph								
Output	Total Vehicles	680	0	280	0	545	0	315	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		5.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92
F _{HV}		0.952	1.000	0.943	1.000	0.917	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg # N (1), pcu/h		0	0	47	0	213	0	114	0
NE (2), pcu/h		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E (3), pcu/h		86	0	0	0	113	0	42	0
SE (4), pcu/h		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S (5), pcu/h		297	0	130	0	0	0	222	0
SW (6), pcu/h		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W (7), pcu/h		394	0	153	0	320	0	0	0
NW (8), pcu/h		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Entry flow, pcu/h		776	0	330	0	646	0	378	0
Conflicting flow, pcu/h		603	977	647	887	242	890	512	1379
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		619	NA	592	NA	887	NA	677	NA
Leg v/c ratio		1.25		0.56		0.73		0.56	
Control Delay, s/pcu		144.2		13.5		14.1		11.8	
LOS		F		B		B		B	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		1132	0	473	0	961	0	548	0


Figure 41. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout with Eastbound Bypass Analysis: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection

Bypass Lane Merge Point Analysis
of dual exit lanes and a single bypass lane

Bypass from Leg: 7
to the leg adjacent in the counterclockwise direction



Volumes	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (inner)	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (outer)	Approach Bypass Lane Flow
Sum of inner circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	350	all 8 #2 cells	
Sum of outer circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	all 8 #1 cells		350
Critical exiting Lane Volume	350	greatest of two exit lanes	
Right turn volume removed from roundabout approach leg (leg bypass diverges from)			495
Volume Characteristics	Exit leg		Bypass
% Trucks (in percent, not decimal)	6		9
E_t (2.0 suggested)	2.0		2.0
PHF (0.92 default)	0.90		0.90
F_{HV}	0.943		0.917
Entry/Conflicting Flows			
Entry Flow			600
Conflicting Flow	412		
Bypass Lane Results			
Entry Capacity at merge point of bypass, pc/h	847		
Bypass Lane v/c ratio	0.71		
Control Delay, s/pc	13.9		
LOS	B		
95th Percentile Queue (ft)	591		




**Figure 40. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout
Analysis: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection**

General & Site Information									
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	NBon/offGull Wing at OldHwy99								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2027								
Volumes		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Input	N (1), vph					460		155	
Volumes to Leg #	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph								
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph	700						0	
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	15				130			
	NW (8), vph								
Output	Total Vehicles	715	0	0	0	590	0	155	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	9.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
F _{HV}		0.926	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.943	1.000	0.917	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg #	N (1), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	542	0	188	0
	NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), pcu/h	840	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), pcu/h	18	0	0	0	153	0	0	0
	NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry flow, pcu/h	858	0	0	0	695	0	188	0
	Conflicting flow, pcu/h	153	883	883	883	188	1028	840	1011
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		970	NA	NA	NA	937	NA	488	NA
Leg v/c ratio		0.88				0.74		0.38	
Control Delay, s/pcu		24.2				14.0		11.9	
LOS		C				B		B	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		1243	0	0	0	997	0	273	0

Figure 39. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Single-lane Roundabout with Eastbound Bypass: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection

Bypass Lane Merge Point Analysis
of dual exit lanes and a single bypass lane

Bypass from Leg: _____
to the leg adjacent in the counterclockwise direction



Volumes	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (inner)	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (outer)	Approach Bypass Lane Flow
Sum of inner circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	0	all 8 #2 cells	
Sum of outer circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	all 8 #1 cells	0	
Critical exiting Lane Volume	0	greatest of two exit lanes	
Right turn volume removed from roundabout approach leg (leg bypass diverges from)			0
Volume Characteristics	Exit leg	Bypass	
% Trucks (in percent, not decimal)	0	0	
E_t (2.0 suggested)	2.0	2.0	
PHF (0.92 default)	0.92	0.92	
F_{HV}	1.000	1.000	
Entry/Conflicting Flows			
Entry Flow			0
Conflicting Flow	0		
Bypass Lane Results			
Entry Capacity at merge point of bypass, pc/h	1130		
Bypass Lane v/c ratio	0.00		
Control Delay, s/pc	3.2		
LOS	A		
95th Percentile Queue (ft)	0		






Figure 38. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane with Eastbound Bypass Roundabout Analysis: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection

Bypass Lane Merge Point Analysis
of dual exit lanes and a single bypass lane

Bypass from Leg: _____
to the leg adjacent in the counterclockwise direction



Volumes	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (inner)	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (outer)	Approach Bypass Lane Flow
Sum of inner circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	0	all 8 #2 cells	
Sum of outer circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	all 8 #1 cells	0	
Critical exiting Lane Volume	0	greatest of two exit lanes	
Right turn volume removed from roundabout approach leg (leg bypass diverges from)			0
Volume Characteristics	Exit leg		Bypass
% Trucks (in percent, not decimal)	0		0
E_t (2.0 suggested)	2.0		2.0
PHF (0.92 default)	0.92		0.92
F_{HV}	1.000		1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows			
Entry Flow			0
Conflicting Flow	0		
Bypass Lane Results			
Entry Capacity at merge point of bypass, pc/h	1130		
Bypass Lane v/c ratio	0.00		
Control Delay, s/pc	3.2		
LOS	A		
95th Percentile Queue (ft)	0		



**Figure 37. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane Roundabout
Analysis: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection**

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Single-Lane


Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information									
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	NBon/offGull Wing at OldHwy99								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2012								
Volumes		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Input	N (1), vph					310		105	
Volumes	NE (2), vph								
to Leg #	E (3), vph								
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph	430						250	
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	10				95			
	NW (8), vph								
Output	Total Vehicles	440	0	0	0	405	0	355	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	9.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
F _{HV}		0.926	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.943	1.000	0.917	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg #	N (1), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	365	0	127	0
	NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), pcu/h	516	0	0	0	0	0	303	0
	SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), pcu/h	12	0	0	0	112	0	0	0
	NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry flow, pcu/h	528	0	0	0	477	0	430	0
	Conflicting flow, pcu/h	112	604	604	604	127	946	516	640
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		1010	NA	NA	NA	995	NA	675	NA
Leg v/c ratio		0.52				0.48		0.64	
Control Delay, s/pcu		7.4				6.9		14.2	
LOS		A				A		B	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		765	0	0	0	684	0	626	0


Figure 36. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout with Westbound Bypass Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection

Bypass Lane Merge Point Analysis
of dual exit lanes and a single bypass lane

Bypass from Leg: 3
to the leg adjacent in the counterclockwise direction



Volumes	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (inner)	Circulatory Exit leg Flow (outer)	Approach Bypass Lane Flow
Sum of inner circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	0	all 8 #2 cells	
Sum of outer circulatory flow lane to exit leg (leg bypass merges into)	all 8 #1 cells		245
Critical exiting Lane Volume	245	greatest of two exit lanes	
Right turn volume removed from roundabout approach leg (leg bypass diverges from)			475
Volume Characteristics	Exit leg		Bypass
% Trucks (in percent, not decimal)	16		8
E_t (2.0 suggested)	2.0		2.0
PHF (0.92 default)	0.86		0.86
F_{HV}	0.862		0.926
Entry/Conflicting Flows			
Entry Flow			597
Conflicting Flow	330		
Bypass Lane Results			
Entry Capacity at merge point of bypass, pc/h	897		
Bypass Lane v/c ratio	0.67		
Control Delay, s/pc	11.6		
LOS	B		
95th Percentile Queue (ft)	474		



SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S (5), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W (7), pcu/h	289	0	879	0	0	0	0	0
NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conflicting flow, pcu/h	879	1783	421	1105	1105	1105	113	1281
Results								
	N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Crit. Entry Capacity pcu/h	611	NA	842	NA	NA	NA	1044	NA
Crit. Lane Entry Flow pcu/h	289	0	1036	0	0	0	647	0
Leg v/c ratio	0.47		1.23				0.62	
Control Delay s/pcu	11.1		127.4				8.9	
LOS	B		F				A	
95th Percentile Queue ft	400	0	1435	0	0	0	896	0

**Figure 35. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout
Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection**

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Multilane

Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
Analyst:	Joseph Meek	N1 (1)	N2 (1)	NE1 (2)	NE2 (2)	E1 (3)	E2 (3)	SE1 (4)	SE2 (4)
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	SB on/off at Del Rio Road								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2027								
Volumes		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N1 (1)	N2 (1)	NE1 (2)	NE2 (2)	E1 (3)	E2 (3)	SE1 (4)	SE2 (4)
Volumes	N (1), vph						475		
to Leg #	NE (2), vph	90							
	E (3), vph								
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph								
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph		230			350	350		
	NW (8), vph								
	Entry Volume, vph	90	230	0	0	350	825	0	0
		S1 (5)	S2 (5)	SW1 (6)	SW2 (6)	W1 (7)	W2 (7)	NW1 (8)	NW2 (8)
	N (1), vph					245			
	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph					270	275		
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph								
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph								
	NW (8), vph								
	Entry Volume, vph	0	0	0	0	515	275	0	0
Critical Lane Volumes		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
	N (1), vph	0	0	475	0	0	0	245	0
	NE (2), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	270	0
	SE (4), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SW (6), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), vph	230	0	350	0	0	0	0	0
	NW (8), vph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry Volume, vph	230	0	825	0	0	0	515	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
	PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
	E _t	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	% Trucks	8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
	F _{hv}	0.926	1.000	0.926	1.000	0.862	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
	Flow to N (1), pcu/h	0	0	597	0	0	0	308	0
	Leg # NE (2), pcu/h	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	684	0

Oregon Dept of Transportation

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

**Figure 34. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Single-lane Roundabout
Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection**

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Single-Lane

Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	SB on/off at Del Rio Road								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2027								
Input Volumes to Leg #				475				245	
	N (1), vph								
	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph	90						545	
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph								
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	230		700					
	NW (8), vph								
Output Total Vehicles		320	0	1175	0	0	0	790	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
F _{HV}		0.926	1.000	0.926	1.000	0.862	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg #	N (1), pcu/h	0	0	597	0	0	0	308	0
	NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	113	0	0	0	0	0	684	0
	SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), pcu/h	289	0	879	0	0	0	0	0
	NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry flow, pcu/h	402	0	1476	0	0	0	992	0
	Conflicting flow, pcu/h	879	1783	308	1105	1105	1105	113	1281
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		469	NA	831	NA	NA	NA	1009	NA
Leg v/c ratio		0.86		1.78				0.98	
Control Delay, s/pcu		38.6		363.3				39.7	
LOS		E		F				E	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		556	0	2043	0	0	0	1374	0

Figure 33. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane with Westbound Bypass Roundabout Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Single-Lane

Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information									
Analyst:	Joseph Meek								
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	SB on/off at Del Rio Road								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2012								
Volumes		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
		N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Input	N (1), vph			0				120	
Volumes to Leg #	NE (2), vph								
	E (3), vph	60						255	
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph								
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	95		290					
	NW (8), vph								
Output	Total Vehicles	155	0	290	0	0	0	375	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
F _{HV}		0.926	1.000	0.926	1.000	0.862	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg #	N (1), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	151	0
	NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	75	0	0	0	0	0	320	0
	SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), pcu/h	119	0	364	0	0	0	0	0
	NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry flow, pcu/h	195	0	364	0	0	0	471	0
	Conflicting flow, pcu/h	364	515	151	546	546	546	75	559
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		785	NA	972	NA	NA	NA	1048	NA
Leg v/c ratio		0.25		0.37				0.45	
Control Delay, s/pcu		6.1		5.9				6.2	
LOS		A		A				A	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		270	0	504	0	0	0	652	0

Figure 32. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane Roundabout Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection

NCHRP Report 572 Roundabout Calculator
Single-Lane

Version 1.0
01/15/08

General & Site Information		Roundabout Approach/Entry Legs							
Analyst:	Joseph Meek	N (1)	NE (2)	E (3)	SE (4)	S (5)	SW (6)	W (7)	NW (8)
Agency/Company:	ODOT/TDD/TPAU								
Date:	8/14/2008								
Project Name:	Exit 129								
Intersection:	SB on/off at Del Rio Road								
Analysis Time Period:	PM Peak								
Jurisdiction:	ODOT								
Year:	2012								
Input	N (1), vph			365				120	
Volumes	NE (2), vph								
to Leg #	E (3), vph	60						255	
	SE (4), vph								
	S (5), vph								
	SW (6), vph								
	W (7), vph	95		290					
	NW (8), vph								
Output	Total Vehicles	155	0	655	0	0	0	375	0
Volume Characteristics		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
% Trucks		8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
E _t		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHF		0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
F _{HV}		0.926	1.000	0.926	1.000	0.862	1.000	0.926	1.000
Entry/Conflicting Flows		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Flow to Leg #	N (1), pcu/h	0	0	458	0	0	0	151	0
	NE (2), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	E (3), pcu/h	75	0	0	0	0	0	320	0
	SE (4), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	S (5), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SW (6), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	W (7), pcu/h	119	0	364	0	0	0	0	0
	NW (8), pcu/h	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Entry flow, pcu/h	195	0	823	0	0	0	471	0
	Conflicting flow, pcu/h	364	973	151	546	546	546	75	559
Results		N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Entry Capacity, pcu/h		785	NA	972	NA	NA	NA	1048	NA
Leg v/c ratio		0.25		0.85				0.45	
Control Delay, s/pcu		6.1		20.2				6.2	
LOS		A		C				A	
95th Percentile Queue (ft)		270	0	1139	0	0	0	652	0

Three locations were analyzed for the years 2012 and 2027 to determine if roundabouts could be installed in place of signalized intersections; the Old Highway 99 & I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal intersection, the Old Highway 99 & I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal intersection and the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99. Analysis volumes include the Pond Site development. Analysis procedures outlined in the Analysis Procedure Manual (APM) Chapter 7.3.6 Roundabouts was used for all three intersections. Bypass lanes were considered when the v/c exceeded 0.80 for heavy right turn movements.


The analysis shows that roundabouts would not be an alternative to a signal at any of the locations (see Figure 32 through Figure 46 for analysis sheets). For the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection, a single-lane roundabout with a southbound bypass lane will work for 2012; however, by 2027 a double-lane roundabout with a southbound bypass will fail.

For the I5 north ramp terminal intersection a single-lane roundabout with an eastbound bypass lane will work for 2012; however, by 2027 a double-lane roundabout with an eastbound bypass will fail. For the I5 south ramp terminal intersection, a Single-lane roundabout with a westbound bypass lane will work for 2012; however by 2027 it will need to be a double-lane roundabout with a westbound bypass lane.

While the v/c's at the I5 south ramp terminal intersection are below the HDM standard for 2027, both of the I5 ramp intersections would need to be roundabouts to function well. As previously discussed, the north ramp terminal intersection will fail with a double-lane roundabout and eastbound bypass lane by 2027, therefore neither location should be considered for a roundabout. In addition roundabouts are best used in places where there are random arrivals because signals cause platooning effects which can cause high delays and queues on the entrance legs. The signal at the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection would contribute to platooning effects at both locations.

APPENDIX E: ROUNDABOUT ANALYSIS

Figure 31. 2027 Surplus Site Lane Configuration Mitigation



As shown in Table 34, the Build Alternative cannot support full development of the Surplus Site. As previously indicated, a queue blockage or spillback condition is considered a problem when the duration exceeds five percent of the peak hour. All intersections in the study area will exceed HDM guidelines and two intersections will experience queuing problems by 2027.

Table 34. Build Alternative Lane Configuration with Surplus Site Traffic V/C Results¹

Intersection	2007	2027	2027 Queuing Problems?
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99	0.37 ²	0.86²	YES
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal	0.36 ³	0.63²	NO
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	0.23 ³	0.70³	YES


¹ Black shaded cells indicate HDM guidelines have been exceeded

² Signalized v/c

³ Stop-sign controlled v/c

The Build Alternative can support a maximum of 300 PM Peak hour trips from the Surplus Site. Development resulting in more than 300 PM peak hour trips will trigger mitigation. An additional lane on Old Hwy 99 beginning approximately 500 feet north of the I5 NB ramps and continuing south through the access site will mitigate the queuing issues. A signal analysis at the site and the I5 southbound ramp terminal should be conducted. Based on volumes at the site it will likely require some type of higher level intersection control such as a roundabout or signal. Figure 31 displays the mitigation needed to maintain operations, when site development exceeds 300 trips, through the future year.

Figure 30. 2027 Pond Site Lane Configuration Mitigation



Analysis Results

The impact of site development on the Build Alternative largely depends on the size, type and time of which the development(s) occurs. To determine mitigation needs for maintaining operations through the 20-year design life in the project area, full site development, in addition to design year 2027 background volumes, was analyzed. Table 33 summarizes the queuing problems and v/c’s on the Build Alternative lane configuration that can be expected if the Pond Site is fully developed by the design year. A queue blockage or spillback condition is considered a problem when the duration exceeds five percent of the peak hour.

As shown in the table, all intersections in the study area will exceed HDM guidelines, two of which will be operating over capacity, and all three intersections will experience queuing problems by 2027.

Table 33. Build Alternative Lane Configuration with Pond Site Traffic V/C Results

Intersection	2007	2027 ¹	2027 Queuing Problems?
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99	0.37 ²	1.03²	Yes
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal	0.36 ³	0.74²	Yes
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	0.23 ³	>2.0³	Yes

¹ Black shaded cells indicate HDM guidelines have been exceeded

² Signalized v/c

³ Stop-sign controlled v/c

Development does not occur linearly therefore it is inappropriate to extrapolate when v/c’s will exceed 1.0 (failure) or when HDM guidelines are exceeded. Based on analysis, the Build Alternative can support a maximum of 300 PM Peak hour trips from the Pond Site. Development resulting in more than 300 PM peak hour trips will trigger mitigation, beginning with a signal at the I5 southbound ramp terminals. Congestion is expected to create long delays for southbound left turn movements. When development exceeds 600 peak hour trips, queues begin to form at the Exit 129 southbound ramp terminal and the Del Rio Road/Umpqua College Road/Old Highway 99 intersection. At this level of development, signal installation will be required at the I5 southbound ramp terminal and additional capacity will be needed on Del Rio Road.

When site development exceeds 800 peak hour trips all intersections become congested. This level of development will not only require the addition of signals at the I5 southbound ramp and capacity on Del Rio Road, but also will require additional capacity on Old Hwy 99 beginning at least 500 feet north of the I5 northbound ramp terminal and terminating at least 500 feet south of the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99 intersection. Figure 30 displays the mitigation needed to maintain operations, when site development exceeds 800 trips, through the future year.

Figure 29. 2027 Background and Surplus Site DHV (Build Alternative)




Figure 28. 2027 Background and Pond Site DHV(Build Alternative)




Figure 29 represents the 2027 Background and Surplus Site PM peak hour DHV on the Build Alternative.

The Build Alternative represents the lane configuration needed to meet the 20-year project design life based on background historical growth forecasts. Because it is likely that some development, at one or both of these sites, will occur during the project life various growth scenarios were analyzed on the Build Alternative to determine:

1. The maximum level of traffic demand (additional trips) the Build Alternative can sustain for both sites, and
2. For additional trips beyond what the Build Alternative can sustain, the type and level of mitigation needed to maintain traffic operations in the project area through the 20-year project design life.

Traffic Development

While the Roseburg Transportation Demand Model assumes industrial growth for the west side of I5, it does not include development specifically for this site. Model land use is assigned according to buildable land. Since the Pond Site was backfilled and made available for development after the model was built, it is essentially “new land” and any development is in addition to what is accounted for in the model. In order to evaluate traffic impacts, Region 3 provided an estimate for the type, size and the number of potential employees for the Pond Site. Using ITE Trip Generation Seventh Edition, a 120-acre industrial site will generate approximately 1000 additional PM peak hour trips. Table 32 summarizes the ITE Trip Generation trip values for a 120-acre industrial site.

Table 32. ITE Trip Generation Values

Zone	Landuse		Acres	Trips ¹		Total Trips ²
	Number	Type		Entering	Exiting	
Industrial	110	General Light	120	192	679	871
Industrial	130	Industrial Park	120	223	838	1061
Industrial	140	Manufacturing	120	533	472	1005
Industrial	150	Warehousing	120	372	691	1063
AVERAGE						1000


¹ ITE Trip Generation seventh edition values based on 120 acres

² Additional PM peak hour trips

The trips were distributed through the Build Alternative based on the no-build traffic patterns and then added to the no-build volumes. To achieve the 2027 Background and Pond Site PM peak hour design hour volumes (DHV), the trips were distributed linearly between the base (2009) and future (2027) year so that the base year reflects zero additional trips and the future year reflects 1000 additional trips. Figure 28 represents the 2027 Background and Pond Site PM Peak hour DHV on the Build Alternative.

Commercial development for the Surplus Site is not included in the Roseburg Transportation Demand Model because this land was occupied by the original Del Rio Road and Old Highway 99 alignments. In order to evaluate traffic impacts, Region 3 provided an estimate of 500 new PM Peak hour trips. To achieve the 2027 Background and Surplus Site PM Peak hour volumes, the trips were distributed through the Build Alternative based on the no-build traffic patterns and then added to the no-build volumes.

Figure 27. Potential Development Sites in the Project Area



SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Available land near freeway interchanges is a highly desirable location for commercial and industrial developments. The traffic demands of multiple developments often produce traffic volumes that grow faster than the future forecast with which the design and analysis are based on. The additional traffic demand can result in congestion and safety issues that cause the Build Alternative to fail prematurely.

The Build Alternative lane configuration facilitates the opportunity to develop various land parcels within the project area. The sensitivity analysis focuses on traffic impacts related to two sites in particular, the Pond Site and the (ODOT) Surplus Site. The Pond Site (also known as the Back Nine Property) will directly access Del Rio Road west of the I5 southbound ramp terminal. It is a 120-acre parcel that is zoned for industrial use. The surplus site will be created once the new interchange and the Old Hwy 99 and Del Rio Rd alignments are constructed. The surplus site will directly access Old Highway 99 south of the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99/ intersection (south of the interchange). It is slightly less than five acres and zoned for commercial use. Region 3 has indicated that this property will be sold. Figure 27 displays the potential development sites.

APPENDIX D: SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Figure 26. Gull-Wing Alternative Layout




Figure 25. Tight Diamond Alternative Layout




Figure 24. SPUI Alternative Layout




Figure 23. 99 East with loop ramp Alternative Layout




Figure 22. Parallel 99 Alternative Layout





Figure 21. Upgrade and Modification Alternative Layout



Umpqua College Road and re-aligns Old Highway 99 parallel with I5. North and south right-in / right-out jug handles directly connect Old Highway 99 to Del Rio and Umpqua College Road. The right-in / right-out lane configuration reduces the conflict points at the intersection by eliminating the crossing conflicts that accompany left turn movements however, for this alternative it creates out of direction travel for vehicles. Additionally a loop on-ramp at the I5 southbound ramp terminal intersection was analyzed to avoid left turn conflicts.

The “99 East” alternative was dismissed because of cost, lower design speeds and terrain constraints. The alternative (see Figure 16) removes the Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection and re-routes Del Rio Road north of the Douglas County Forest Products Mill and combines Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99 with Del Rio Road into a single intersection. Old Highway 99 is also shifted to the east and routed through historic property to the north. Additionally a loop on-ramp at the I5 southbound ramp terminal intersection was analyzed.

The “*Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI)*” and “*Tight Diamond*” were dismissed because both had fatal flaws with inadequate spacing distance. For both alternatives (see Figure 17 and Figure 18), the required intersection spacing is larger than space available therefore; neither of these alternatives was considered as future solutions.

The “*Gull-Wing*” alternative was dismissed because the northern alignment in the Build Alternative opened up the possibility of development at Pond Site north of Douglas County Forest Products. The alignment shifts the I5 northbound and southbound ramp terminal north of there existing location and realigns Del Rio Road from east of the railroad tracks continuing west and connecting with the I5 southbound ramp terminal and the Umpqua College Road / Old Highway 99 intersection (see Figure 13).

Design elements from the preliminary alternatives served as a basis for the development of the build alternatives. Build alternatives were considered for advancement as future solutions based on criteria that included cost, adequate operation, impacts to the surrounding property owners and safety.

The 30th Highest Hour Volumes for years 2007 and 2027 were developed using traffic counts, historic growth rates, Roseburg ATR 10-005 and the Roseburg Travel Demand Model. The Mill Pond Site is a recent development and not included in the analysis for the alternatives in Table 30. Preliminary signal warrants were evaluated based on 2007 and 2027 volumes and the configuration option. Table 31 provides a brief summary of the Build Alternatives considered but not advanced.

Table 31. Build Alternatives Considered but Not Advanced Summary¹

Alternative	Contributing Factors to Dismissal
Upgrade and Modification	Cost, Large 4-way intersection with dual left and right turns and minimum of 5-lane cross section on Old Highway 99 between I5 NB Ramp Terminal intersection and Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection, signalization at Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection
Parallel 99	Cost, out of direction travel, dual left turns and signalization on Del Rio Road at the I5 SB Ramp Terminal intersection. Impacts historic and surrounding property owners.
99 East – Left and Right Turn Storage	Lower design speeds and a large four-way intersection on sloped terrain on Old Highway 99. Also dual left turns and signalization on Del Rio road at the I5 SB Ramp Terminal intersection. Impacts historic and surrounding property owners.
SPUI	Fatal flaw, does not provide legal left turn lane changes, on Del Rio Road, from the I5 NB Ramp Terminal to Old Highway 99
Tight Diamond	Fatal flaw, does not provide legal left turn lane changes, on Del Rio Road, from the I5 NB Ramp Terminal to Old Highway 99
Gull-Wing – alignment	Cost and right-of-way concerns

¹ All alternatives require design acceptance to meet ramp terminal spacing standards.

The “*Upgrade and Modifications*” was dismissed because of cost. This alternative retains the existing gull-wing configuration but modifies the layout by directly connecting Umpqua College Road and the I5 northbound ramp terminal with Old Highway 99. It also shifts the Del Rio Road & I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal intersection west by approximately 200 feet (see Figure 14). For this alternative, a large 4-way intersection at the I5 northbound ramp terminal, a minimum 5 lane cross-section on Old Highway 99 between the I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal and Del Rio Road intersection, and a signal at the Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection would be required.

The “*Parallel 99*” alternative was dismissed because of cost, out of direction travel and impacts to surrounding property owners. The alternative (see Figure 15) re-routes Del Rio Road north of the Douglas County Forest Products Mill connecting directly to

Figure 20. Alternative 7




Figure 19. Alternative 6




Figure 18. Alternative 5




Figure 17. Alternative 4




Figure 16. Alternative 3




Figure 15. Umpqua Bridges Alternative 2





Figure 14. Alternative 1



ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED, BUT NOT ADVANCED

Region 3 considered seven preliminary alternatives and five proposed Build Alternatives with options in addition to the preferred build alternative: “*Upgrade and Modifications*”, “*Parallel 99*”, and “*99 East*”. Two other alternatives, “*Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI)*” and “*Tight Diamond*”, had fatal flaws because of inadequate spacing distance between the I5 north off ramp and the proposed North Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 (Old Highway 99) intersection and were not forwarded.

Seven preliminary alternatives (see Figure 14 –Figure 20) were considered with evaluation criteria based on the least number of signals and least impact to the weigh station. Preliminary signal warrants were evaluated based on 2027 volumes and the preliminary alternative lane configuration option. The calculation of the 2027 volumes were based on 1999 manual counts at the existing ramp terminals and the Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection and a 2003 manual count at the Old Highway 99 & Umpqua College Road intersection.

Table 30. Preliminary Alternatives General Summary

Alt.	Alternatives Ranked from best to worst**	Loop Ramp	Number of intersections	Number of signalized intersections	Number of primary intersections with v/c greater than HDM mobility standards*	Impact to the weigh station
# 1	5	None	4	1	1	Medium
# 2	4	NB On	3	1	1	Medium
# 3	7	SB Off	4	2	0	High
# 4	1	SB On	4	1	0	Low
# 5	2	SB On	4	1	0	Low
# 6	6	None	4	2	1	Medium
# 7	3	NB & SB On	3	1	0	Medium

**APPENDIX C: ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED, BUT NOT
ADVANCED**

Figure 13. Roseburg Transportation Model Future 2025 Year Model Volume




Figure 12. Roseburg Transportation Model Base Year 2000 Model Volume




Figure 11. Roseburg County Zoning Map





Figure 10. Roseburg Model Zone and Network Structure



AADT values and historic growth trends. A historic growth rate was used to adjust the I5 2002 30th highest hour volume to 2007 and 2027 30th highest hour volumes.

Roseburg Travel Demand Model

The growth for intersection volumes within the study area used a combination of the Roseburg Transportation Demand Model and post-processing to incorporate Umpqua Community College traffic. The model describes the relationship between land use patterns and transportation flow in the Roseburg area. Land-use and demographic data is contained in Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZ) which is the principal geographic analysis for the model. Figure 10 displays the TAZ structure for the Exit 129 project area. The model is based on the current Douglas County comprehensive plan (see Figure 11). Growth beyond the current comprehensive plan will result in higher impacts than what is shown.

Significant approved developments were reviewed to see if the population and employment assumptions were consistent with the model assumptions. The only approved development in the area is the COPR freight yard facility. The development is consistent with the future model assumptions so no new trips were added to the network.

The model application effort used the Base Year (2000) and the Future Year (2025) Reference Scenarios to develop the future No-Build volumes. The volumes were post-processed using procedures from the National Cooperative Highway Research Council (NCHRP) Report 255. Model base and future year volumes are compared to develop a relative difference between scenarios (see Figure 12 and Figure 13). The difference was applied to the existing 2007 30th highest hour volumes to arrive at the 2027 No-build volumes used in the analysis.

Roseburg Costco TIA

For consistency, the traffic impacts to the Exit 129 project area was based on information provided in the Roseburg Costco Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) dated May 2009. Full build-out of a 148,000 square feet Costco warehouse with a 12-pump fueling facility in the southeast quadrant of NE Stephens Street/NE Kenneth in Douglas County, Oregon is expected to occur in the year 2010. These trips were added to the background traffic volumes in the No-Build and Build scenarios for years 2007 and 2027.

TRAFFIC DEVELOPMENT

Traffic Development

2007 and 2027 year traffic data used for the transportation analysis was developed from the following:

- Manual Counts at key locations
- ODOT's Automatic Traffic Recorder Stations (ATR)
- ODOT's Transportation Volume Tables (TVT)
- Roseburg Travel Demand Model
- Roseburg Costco Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA)

Manual Counts Locations

Table 29 provides a summary of the manual count locations used in the project area. Seven fourteen-hour (6:00 A.M-8:00 P.M.) manual counts were conducted at major intersections between May and November 2003 which include 15-minute interval turn movement data and full federal truck classification breakdowns. There were no manual counts on I5 within the study area.

Table 29. Manual Traffic Count Locations

Locations	Date	Duration
Del Rio Road & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	Nov 3/4, 2003	14 hrs
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal	July 28/29, 2003	14 hrs
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	May 14, 2003	14 hrs
Del Rio Rd & Old Highway 99	July 29/30, 2003	14 hrs

ODOT's Permanent Recorder Stations

ODOT maintains 158 permanent ATR stations throughout the state highway system that record information about highway use throughout the year. The data gathered from these recorders include Average Daily Traffic (ADT), Maximum Day, Maximum Hour, 10th, 20th, 30th Highest Hours shown as a percentage of ADT, truck classification breakdowns, Historical Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) by year, directional traffic splits, and seasonal variations in traffic. The Roseburg ATR (10-005) located approximately 0.53 miles north of Roseburg on I5, was used to determine the seasonal factor adjustment for intersections within the study area, when the I5 30th highest hour traffic flows occurred and the I5 traffic split between the north/southbound directions.

ODOT's Transportation Volume Tables

ODOT's Transportation Volumes Tables (TVT) contains tabulation listings of AADT values for all state highways. Information from these tables provides data on current

APPENDIX B: TRAFFIC DEVELOPMENT

Table 28. Local Roads Injury Severity

Year	Fatal	Injury A	Injury B	Injury C	PDO¹
2001	0	1	0	4	8
2002	0	1	0	1	11
2003	0	1	1	0	2
2004	0	0	2	3	3
2005	0	1	2	2	2
Total	0	4	5	10	26

¹ PDO means property damage only

Table 26. Local Roads Crash Type

Collision Type	Number Of Crashes	Percent Fatal (%)	Percent Injury ¹ (%)	Percent Property Damage Only (%)
Fix Object / Other ²	7	0	33	67
Back	1	0	0	100
Sideswipe-Meeting	1	0	0	100
Rear-End	8	0	38	62
Turn	28	0	48	52

¹ Percent injury implies injury C or greater to at least one person involved in the crash

² Other includes animals being struck

Table 27 summarizes the local road crash types by year. Approximately 34 percent of the total crashes occurred in 2003 followed by 29 percent in 2005. The five-year average is 7.6 crashes per year. Also the higher years (2003 and 2005) match the high counts of the freeway section.

Table 27. Local Roads Crash Type by Year

Collision Type	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Fixed Object / Other ¹	4	2	0	0	1
Back	0	0	0	1	0
Sideswipe-Meeting	1	0	0	0	0
Rear-End	1	2	2	2	1
Turn	7	9	2	5	5
Total	13	13	4	8	7

¹ Other includes animals being struck

Table 28 provides a summary of injury severity for local roads. The injury severity history for local roads includes all crashes between 2001 and 2005. There were no fatalities and approximately 58 percent of the total crashes involved property damage only. Of the remaining 42 percent, injury A (Incapacitating injury – bleeding and/or broken bones) accounted for nine percent, injury B (Non-incapacitating injury) accounted for 11 percent and injury C (possible injury - complaint of pain) accounted for 22 percent.

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type ¹	Severity ²	Error
8/21/2005	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ C	Left in front of oncoming traffic
8/9/2005	12.39	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Left in front of oncoming traffic
9/30/2005	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ A	Left in front of oncoming traffic
10/13/2005	12.04	CLR DRY DAY	FIX	PDO	Ran off road
12/7/2005	12.29	RNY WET DWN	REAR	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions (not exceeding posted speed)

¹ REAR – Rear end collision; SS-M – Sideswipe meeting; FIX- Fixed Object Collision; OTH – Other Collision; TURN- Turning Collision

² PDO- Property Damage Only; INJ A – Severe Injury; INJ B; Moderate Injury; INJ C; Minor Injury

Table 25 summarizes the crash locations for local roads. Of the total crashes, 62 percent occurred at an intersection. Of the 62 percent that occurred at an intersection, 79 percent occurred between MP 11.96 and MP 11.99 (intersection of Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road).

Table 25. Local Roads Crash Locatio

Location	Number Of Crashes	Percent Fatal (%)	Percent Injury ¹ (%)	Percent Property Damage Only (%)
Alley ²	4	0	75	25
Historic Bridge	6	0	17	83
Intersection	28	0	43	57
Straight ³	7	0	43	57

¹ Percent injury implies injury C or greater to at least one person involved in the crash

² Driveway or alley access

³ Sections of Old Highway 99 in the study area between intersections, does not include bridge

Table 26 summarizes the crash types for local roads. Fixed/other accounted for 63 percent of the total crashes followed by rear end crashes (18 percent). Of the turn movement crashes 50 percent involved property damage only.

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type¹	Severity²	Error
3/29/2003	12.48	CLR DRY DRK	TURN	INJ C	Did not have right-of-way
6/9/2003	12.12	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
7/17/2003	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
7/1/2003	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic, did not have right-of-way
1/12/2004	12.03	RNY WET DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
4/30/2004	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
5/7/2004	11.99	CLD WET DWN	TURN	INJ C	Cutting in
6/23/2004	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	INJ C	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
9/23/2004	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
2/20/2004	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
11/5/2004	12.41	UNK UNK DAY	BACK	PDO	Backing improperly, inattention
11/22/2004	11.99	CLR DRY DLIT	TURN	INJ C	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
2/3/2005	12.37	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Left in front of oncoming traffic
6/18/2005	11.9	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Left in front of oncoming traffic

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type¹	Severity²	Error
6/19/01	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
2/7/2002	12.48	RNY WET DAY	TURN	INJ C	Disregarded stop sign or flashing red
3/4/2002	12.38	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
3/11/2002	11.96	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
3/15/2002	11.96	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
4/16/2002	11.96	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
6/13/2002	11.96	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ A	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
9/20/2002	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	FIX	PDO	No Error listed
10/1/2002	11.96	CLR DRY DRK	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
10/9/2002	12.37	CLR DRY DRK	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
10/28/2002	11.96	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
11/6/2002	11.77	RNY WET DRK	OTH	PDO	No error listed
11/8/2002	12.39	RNY WET DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than a bus
12/31/2002	11.96	CLR WET DLIT	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic

Table 24. Old Highway 99 Crash Summary

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type¹	Severity²	Error
3/15/01	11.77	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Did not have right-of-way
1/20/01	11.96	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Improper turn
2/7/01	11.96	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Improper turn
5/14/01	11.96	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn where prohibited
6/19/01	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Improper turn
10/04/01	11.99	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ C	Driving unsafe vehicle
7/31/01	12.21	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Straddling or driving on wrong lanes
1/09/01	12.21	RNY WET DUNL	FIX	PDO	Avoiding work zone
12/04/01	12.21	RNY WET DUNL	FIX	INJ A	Driving too fast for conditions
6/06/01	12.21	CLR DRY DAY	SS-M	PDO	Driving on wrong side of road
7/21/01	12.37	CLR DRY DAY	FIX	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions
10/24/01	12.46	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	INJ C	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
1/22/01	12.47	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ C	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic

Table 23. I5 and Interchange Area Injury Severity (MP 128.75 to 130.00)

Year	Fatal	Injury A	Injury B	Injury C	PDO ¹
2001	1	0	0	1	2
2002	0	2	1	1	2
2003	0	0	2	5	7
2004	0	0	2	4	2
2005	0	1	2	4	6
Total	1	3	7	15	19

¹ PDO means property damage only

The Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) is a method developed in 1986 by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) for identifying potential safety problems on state highways. The SPIS is a method of identifying locations based on three years of crash data and considers crash frequency, crash rate and crash severity. A roadway segment becomes a SPIS site if a location has three or more crashes or at least one fatal crash over the three-year period. SPIS sites are 0.10-mile sections on the state highway system. SPIS is a flagging tool and further identification of the specific safety problem at a site requires an examination of crash records and often a field investigation. This section of I5 currently has no top 10 percent SPIS sites.

Old Highway 99

Table 24 provides a summary of crash data for Old Highway in the study area. The summary includes all crashes between 2001 and 2005. A total of 45 crashes occurred over the five year period on Old Highway 99 between north of Umpqua College Road and south of Page Road. Of the 45 crashes, 81 percent occurred during the day, 67 percent occurred on dry surface and 62 percent occurred at an intersection. Over the five year period, 13 percent of the total crashes occurred on the historical Winchester Bridge.

The majority of crashes (62 percent) involved turning movements followed by rear end crashes (18 percent). Of the 64 percent of crashes involving turn movements, 71 percent cite turning onto Old Highway 99 and occur at the intersection of Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road (between MP 11.96 and MP 11.99). Modification of the existing interchange should be considered as most of trips go through the Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection to continue onto I5.

Table 21. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Type (MP 128.75 to 130.00)

Collision Type	Number Of Crashes	Percent Fatal (%)	Percent Injury ² (%)	Percent Property Damage Only (%)
Fixed Object/ Other ¹	15	7	67	26
Sideswipe-Overtaking	5	0	20	80
Rear-End	10	0	40	60
Turning	15	0	53	47

¹ Other includes animals being struck

² Percent injury implies injury C or greater to at least one person involved in the crash

Table 22 provides a summary of crash type by year for I5 and the interchange area. Approximately 31 percent of the total crashes occurred in 2003 followed by 29 percent in 2005. The five-year average for this section is nine crashes per year.

Table 22. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Type by Year (MP 128.75 to 130.00)

Collision Type	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Fixed Object / Other ¹	1	4	1	6	3
Sideswipe-Overtake	2	0	2	0	1
Rear End	1	2	3	1	3
Turning	0	0	8	1	6
Total	4	6	14	8	13

¹ Other includes animals being struck

Table 23 provides a summary of injury severity for I5 and the interchange area for the years 2001 through 2005. The fatality (in 2001) equates to two percent of the crashes within the I5 study area. Approximately 42 percent of the total crashes involved property damage only. Of the remaining 56 percent, injury A (Incapacitating injury – bleeding and/or broken bones) accounted for seven percent, injury B (Non-incapacitating injury) accounted for 16 percent and injury C (possible injury - complaint of pain) accounted for 33 percent.

damage, 56 percent in non-fatal crashes (at least one injury) and two percent in fatal crashes. Turning movement errors accounted for 33 percent of the crashes with a large majority occurring at the intersection of Del Rio Road and Old Highway 99. This is likely because of the lack of gaps in Old Highway 99 traffic for vehicles turning from Del Rio Road onto Old Highway 99. Speed too fast for conditions was cited in 54 percent of the crashes indicating that weather conditions likely contributed to the cause. Alcohol was cited as a factor in one crash and speed was cited as a factor in six crashes.

Table 20 summarizes the crash locations for I5 and the interchange area. Of the total crashes, 42 percent were intersection related with almost two-thirds of those occurring at intersection of Del Rio Road and Old Highway 99 (MP 129.29).

Table 20. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Location (MP 128.75 to 130.00)

Location	Number Of Crashes	Percent Fatal (%)	Percent Injury ¹ (%)	Percent Property Damage Only (%)
Winchester Bridge	8	0	37	63
Curve ²	2	0	100	0
Intersection ³	19	0	53	47
Straight ⁴	16	6	63	31

¹ Percent injury implies injury C or greater to at least one person involved in the crash

² Curves on I5 leading into and away from interchange area

³ I5 Interchange area connections of Del Rio Road and the I5 north ramp terminal ramp terminal with Old Highway 99 (MP's 129.12, 129.16, 129.24, 129.29 and 129.51)

⁴ Sections of I5 in the study area between connections, not on bridge or curve

Table 21 provides a summary of crash types for I5 and the interchange area. Fixed object /other type and turn movement collisions each accounted for 33 percent of the total crashes. There was one fatality involving fixed object / other collision type that occurred in 2001 at MP 130.00.

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type ¹	Severity ²	Error
3/28/2005	129.14	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	INJ C	Failed to decrease speed for slower moving vehicle
2/10/2005	129.15	RNY WET DRK	REAR	INJ A	Following to closely
12/2/2005	129.51	CLR DRY DRK	FIX	INJ B	Driving too fast for conditions (not excessive speed)
5/15/2005	129.88	CLR DRY DAY	FIX	PDO	Driving too fast for conditions, ran off road
5/9/2005	129.95	RNY WET DAY	FIX	INJ C	Over-correcting / over-steering, ran off road
2/20/2005	129.12	CLR DRY DWN	TURN	PDO	Did not have right-of-way
10/31/2005	129.16	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Did not have right-of-way
4/18/2005	129.24	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	INJ C	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
1/18/2005	129.29	FOG WET DLIT	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
5/6/2005	129.29	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
2/14/2005	129.29	RNY WET DAY	TURN	INJ C	Did not have right of way
11/23/2005	129.29	CLDY WET DRK	TURN	INJ B	Did not have right of way

¹REAR – Rear end collision; SS-O – Sideswipe overtaking; FIX- Fixed Object Collision; OTH – Other Collision; TURN- Turning Collision

²PDO- Property Damage Only; INJ A – Severe Injury; INJ B; Moderate Injury; INJ C; Minor Injury

From Table 19. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Summary (MP 128.75 to 130.00), over the five-year crash history, approximately 42 percent of the crashes resulted in property

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type¹	Severity²	Error
10/15/2003	129.29	RNY WET DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
10/29/2003	129.29	RNY WET DRK	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
5/8/2003	129.29	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ C	Did not have right-of-way
7/28/2003	129.24	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Inattention, disregarded traffic signal
1/1/2004	129.00	SNW SNW DRK	FIX	PDO	Driving too fast for conditions, ran off road
1/2/2004	129.00	CLDY ICE DRK	FIX	INJ C	Failed to maintain lane
1/3/2004	129.00	CLDY ICE DRK	FIX	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions, ran off road
1/3/2004	129.00	CLDY ICE DRK	FIX	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions, ran off road
3/15/2004	129.20	CLR DRY DAY	FIX	INJ B	Failed to maintain lane, ran off road
5/2/2004	129.40	CLR DRY DRK	FIX	INJ B	Failed to maintain lane, overcorrected
2/11/2004	129.24	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
3/27/2004	129.29	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ C	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic
1/6/2005	128.82	RNY WET DAY	SS-O	PDO	Improper change of traffic lanes

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type¹	Severity²	Error
8/16/2002	129.50	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	INJ B	Inattention
1/28/2002	130.00	CLDY ICE DAY	FIX	PDO	Driving too fast for conditions (not excessive speed)
6/14/2002	130.00	CLDY DRY DAY	FIX	INJ A	Driving unsafe vehicle
4/10/2003	128.97	CLDY DRY DAY	SS-O	PDO	Improper change of traffic lanes
4/17/2003	129.00	CLDY DRY DAY	SS-O	PDO	Improper change of traffic lanes
4/23/2003	129.10	RNY WET DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to decrease speed for slower moving vehicle
8/25/2003	130.00	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions, failed to decrease speed for slower moving vehicle
8/18/2003	129.12	FOG WET DRK	TURN	INJ C	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic, did not have right-of-way
1/23/2003	129.28	RNY WET DAY	FIX	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions (not excessive speed)
1/24/2003	129.29	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to avoid stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than school bus
3/3/2003	129.29	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ B	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic, did not have right-of-way
6/7/2003	129.29	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	INJ C	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic, did not have right-of-way
10/1/2003	129.29	CLR DRY DAY	TURN	PDO	Left turn in front of oncoming traffic

CRASH HISTORY

For the crash analysis, the study area is divided into two sections: I5 with interchange area and Old Highway 99 north of Umpqua College Road south to Page Road.

I5 and Interchange Area:

The crash history for I5 and interchange area (M.P 128.75 to M.P 130.00) includes all crashes between 2001 and 2005 (see Table 19. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Summary (MP 128.75 to 130.00)). There were 45 crashes in the 1.25 miles of I5 during this period (See Table 19. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Summary (MP 128.75 to 130.00)) and one fatal crash. The fatal crash resulted from a fixed object collision at MP 130.00 on I5 in 2001. Using an average daily traffic volume of 33,000, this portion of I5 has a crash rate of 0.60 crashes per million-vehicle miles. This is above the five-year average of comparable rates for suburban area interstate freeways (0.44) however; this section of I5 currently has no top 10 percent SPIS sites.

Table 19. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Summary (MP 128.75 to 130.00)

Date	MP Location	Weather Surface Light	Type ¹	Severity ²	Error
1/6/2001	128.78	CLR DRY DAY	REAR	PDO	Failed to decrease speed for slower moving vehicle
1/6/2001	129.00	CLDY DRY DAY	SS-O	PDO	Improper lane change
6/19/2001	129.50	CLR DRY DAY	SS-O	INJ C	Improper lane change
8/27/2001	130.00	CLR DRY DRK	FIX	FATAL	Excessive speed
11/23/2002	129.00	CLR DRY DRK	OTH	PDO	No error listed
5/17/2002	129.00	CLR DRY DRK	REAR	INJ A	Driving too fast for conditions, failed to decrease speed for slower moving vehicle
9/10/2002	129.24	CLR DRY DSK	FIX	INJ C	Driving too fast for conditions (not excessive speed)

APPENDIX A: CRASH HISTORY

CONCLUSIONS

Region 3 has chosen the Gull-Wing Hybrid Alternative as the preferred build alternative. This alternative is an improvement over the No-Build conditions and will meet HDM vc guidelines, have adequate storage distance and will also meet Douglas County LOS standards through the future year 2027. While this alternative does not meet intersection spacing requirements, it is a significant improvement from the No-Build intersection spacing conditions.

Sensitivity Analysis

The Build Alternative layout facilitates the opportunity to develop various land parcels within the project area. The sensitivity analysis focuses on traffic impacts related to two sites in particular; the Pond Site and the Surplus Site. Direct access to Del Rio Rd. will be created with the realignment of Del Rio Rd. as part of the project. The Surplus site will be created once the new interchange, Old Hwy 99 and Del Rio Road alignments are constructed (see Appendix D). Because it is likely that some development, at one or both of these sites, will occur during the project life various growth scenarios were analyzed on the Build Alternative to determine the maximum level of traffic demand (additional trips) the Build Alternative can sustain for one or both sites and for additional trips beyond what the Build Alternative can sustain, the type and level of mitigation needed to maintain traffic operations in the project area through the 20-year project design life.

The Build Alternative can support a maximum of 300 PM Peak hour trips from the Pond Site or the Surplus Site. Development resulting in more than 300 PM peak hour trips from either site will trigger mitigation. See Appendix D for a complete summary of the sensitivity analysis.

Years 2007 and 2027 were reviewed to determine if any unsignalized intersections will meet roundabout or the average daily traffic based PSWs. No intersections meet design requirements for roundabouts (see appendix E for Roundabout Evaluation). PSW's are based on Signal Warrant 1, Case A and Case B (MUTCD), which deal primarily with high volumes on the intersecting minor street and high volumes on the major-street. Meeting PSW's does not guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a field warrants analysis is conducted by the Region. If warrants are met, the State Traffic Engineer will make the final decision on the installation of a signal.

Preliminary signal warrants for intersections were met by including all right turns for the minor street in the year that the stop-controlled v/c exceeded 1.0 at the intersection. Table 17 is a summary of the results of the preliminary signal warrant analysis for the Build lane configuration with background traffic. Table 17 shows that for background traffic only, PSW's will be met only for the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection in 2015 and the I5 northbound ramp terminal in 2016.

Table 17. Build Alternative Preliminary Signal Warrants Results

Location	2007	2027 ^{1,2}	Met
Del Rio / Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	No	Yes	2015
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal	No	Yes	2016
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	No	No	n/a

¹ Black shaded cells indicate preliminary signal warrants have been met.

² Meeting preliminary signal warrants does not guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed, a traffic investigation must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

Build Alternative Analysis

Table 18 summarizes the Build alternative v/c results. The v/c on the Del Rio Rd / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 v/c is greater than 1.0 in 2007 however; it will not meet preliminary signal warrants until 2015. There are no queuing and blocking issues for background traffic on the Build lane configuration in either 2007 or 2027.

Table 18. Build Alternative V/C Analysis Results

Location	2007 V/C ¹	2027 V/C	Year HDM Exceeded	Year of Failure ²
Del Rio Rd / Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	1.21 ³	0.60 ²	>2040	>2040
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal	0.36 ³	0.58 ²	2038	>2040
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	0.23 ³	0.52 ³	>2040	>2040

¹ Black shaded cells indicate OHP v/c standard is exceeded

² v/c for signalized intersection.

³ v/c for stop-controlled highest movement.

Figure 9. 2027 Build DHV and Lane Configuration




Figure 8. 2007 Build DHV and Lane Configuration




Table 16. Build Alternative Lane Configuration Storage Length

Intersection	Storage Length By Approach (ft)¹							
	EBL	EBR	WBL	WBR	NBL	NBR	SBL	SBR
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	0
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal	0	350	0	0	200	0	0	175
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	300	0	0	350 ¹	0	0	0	150 ²

¹ Storage lengths based on 95th percentile queues

² Right turn channelization

Table 15. Build Alternative Freeway Merge/Diverge & Segment Results

Location	2007 V/C	2027 V/C¹	Year OHP V/C exceeded
I5 NB diverge	0.57	0.87	2016
I5 NB merge	0.50	0.70	2027
I5 SB diverge	0.51	0.71	2027
I5 SB merge	0.48	0.79	2022
Directions	2007 V/C	2027 V/C	Year OHP V/C exceeded
I5 NB (South of Interchange)	0.57	0.86	2016
I5 NB (North of Interchange)	0.52	0.74	2024
I5 SB (South of Interchange)	0.59	0.83	2017
I5 SB (North of Interchange)	0.50	0.70	2027

¹ Black shaded cells indicate that the OHP standard is exceeded

Build Lane Configuration

The combination of the Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 and the Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection creates heavy turning volumes on all approaches; particularly the southbound right-turn movement (see Figure 9 and Figure 10 for 2007 and 2027 peak hour volumes). The southbound right-turn movement is a combination of the heavy eastbound right and southbound through movement at the I5 northbound ramp intersection. Because of the combination of these two movements, a minimum of four lanes (two lanes southbound with left turn storage and one lane northbound with left turn storage) is needed on Old Highway 99 between the I5 northbound ramp & Old Highway 99 intersection and the Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection. Table 16 summarizes the storage length by approach for the Build configuration.

While the uneven lane distribution on Old Highway 99 is not typical, there does not seem to be a significant reverse directional flow in either the AM or PM peak hour that would justify an additional lane in the northbound direction. This indicates that in general the majority of traffic is originating from and returning to the south for both the AM and PM peak hour.

BUILD ALTERNATIVE RESULTS

Build Alternative Traffic Development

Traffic volume data used for the evaluation of Build Alternative were from 2007 and 2027 background traffic volumes previously calculated for the No-Build Alternative. These volumes were redistributed through the Build Alternative based on the No-Build volume distribution. Volumes were developed from manual traffic counts, ODOT's Permanent Recorder Stations (Roseburg Automatic Recorder ATR 10-005), Transportation Volume Tables and the Roseburg Transportation Demand Model.

Build Alternative Intersection Spacing Analysis

Table 14 summarizes the existing and Build alternative intersection spacing for the I5 north and southbound ramp terminals.

Table 14. Build Alternative Intersection Spacing Results

From	To	Existing Intersection Spacing (Feet)	Proposed Intersection Spacing (feet)	Proposed Intersection Spacing Within Standard? ¹
I5 NB Ramp Terminal	North of the ramps	200	800	No
I5 NB Ramp Terminal	South of the ramps	750	650	No
I5 SB Ramp Terminal	Old Highway 99	650	900	No
I5 SB Ramp Terminal	Douglas County Forest Products Mill	250	850	No


¹ Black shading indicates intersections do not meet spacing standards.

While this alternative does not meet intersection spacing requirements, it is a significant improvement from the current intersection spacing. The spacing is improved in both the east and west directions for the I5 southbound ramp terminal. The spacing is improved to the north direction, but reduced to the south for the I5 northbound ramp terminal. A deviation from the access management spacing standards can be considered when the approaches are consistent with safety factors defined in OAR 734-051-0080 (Section 9).

Build Alternative Freeway Analysis

Table 15 shows the merge/diverge v/c's for both directions of I5 south of the Exit 129 interchange and the freeway mainline v/c in each direction of I5. All merge / diverge areas and I5 mainline in both directions meet OHP v/c's for 2007. By 2027, all merge / diverge areas and the I5 mainline in both directions will exceed the standard.

Figure 7. Build Layout and Lane Configuration



BUILD ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTION

The Gull-Wing Hybrid Alternative, shown as the Build Alternative, will realign Del Rio Road beginning approximately one mile west of I5 and will cross I5 approximately 1280 feet north of the existing structure where it will connect directly to Umpqua College Road and Old Highway 99. The new intersection will eliminate the current intersection of Del Rio Road and Old Highway 99.

The I5 northbound ramp terminal will be moved north of the Douglas County Shops and Fire District #2 station and will be reconstructed in the same Gull-Wing layout as the existing ramps. The I5 southbound ramp terminal will be moved north to accommodate the realignment of Del Rio Road and will be constructed using a partial cloverleaf layout. Old highway 99 will be realigned to best fit the new intersections with Del Rio Road and the I5 northbound ramp terminal and to line up more suitable at the approach to the old Winchester Bridge (see Figure 7).

Figure 6. 2027 No-Build 95th Percentile Queue Lengths




Table 13. 2027 No-Build Queuing Results

Intersection	Movement	2027 95th Queue Length¹ (feet)	Blocked Movement	Percent Time Blocked² (%)
Del Rio Road & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	SB left	> ¼ mile	SB right	98
	EB through and left	> ¼ mile	EB left and through	n/a ²
Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99	WB left	> ¼ mile	WB right	97
Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99	NB left	1200	NB through	6
	EB left	675	EB right	91

¹ Black shaded cell indicates that available storage has been exceeded

² Percent time blocked is the proportion of time in the peak hour that a queue exceeds the storage available and /or blocks adjacent storage bays or intersections.

Table 12. 2027 No-Build V/C Results

Signalized Intersection		V/C ^{1,3}	OHP V/C Standard	OHP Standards Exceeded (year)
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal		0.92	0.85	2019
Unsignalized Intersection	Critical Movement	V/C ²	OHP V/C Standard	OHP Standards Exceeded (year)
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	SB Left	0.84	0.80	2027
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	WB Left	>2.0	0.85	2009
Del Rio Rd & Old Highway 99	EB Left	>2.0	0.85	2010

¹ Black shaded cells indicate OHP v/c standard is exceeded

² V/C for signalized intersection.

³ V/C for stop-controlled highest movement.

2027 No-Build Queuing Analysis

Queuing results for 2027 are shown in Table 13 and Figure 6. By 2027, there will be extreme queuing problems on one or more of the approaches at three of the intersections in the study area. The Del Rio Road & I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal intersection will have queues in excess of ¼ mile long in both the eastbound and southbound directions. At this intersection, the southbound left turns will block the right turn lane 98 percent of the time creating southbound queues that extend beyond the ramps and onto the I5 mainline. Queues extending onto the I5 mainline will create a significant safety problem with a risk for high-speed rear-end crashes. Because there is currently no eastbound left turn storage the left turning vehicles will create queues on Del Rio Road in excess of ¼ mile long that will block the entrance to the Douglas County Forest Products Mill and potentially extend beyond the railroad tracks.

The Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection westbound left turns will block the right turn storage 97 percent of the time creating queues on Umpqua College Road in excess of ¼ mile. The Del Rio Road & Old Highway 99 intersection will experience queuing in both the northbound and eastbound direction. In the northbound direction, queues could potentially extend to the Old Winchester Bridge. In the eastbound direction the left turns blocks the right turn storage 91 percent of the time creating queues that will extend back into the Del Rio Road & I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal intersection and contribute to the eastbound queue at the Del Rio Road & I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal intersection as well.

No-Build Year 2007

Table 10 summarizes the 2007 v/c's for the 2007 No-Build Alternative. Both ramp terminals operate within the OHP mobility standards for the year 2007 and all of the county intersections meet Douglas County mobility standards for 2007.

Table 10. 2007 No-Build V/C Results

Signalized Intersection		V/C ¹	OHP V/C Standard
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal		0.63	0.85
Unsignalized Intersection	Critical Movement	V/C ²	OHP V/C Standard
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	SB Left	0.33	0.80
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	WB Left	0.72	0.85
Del Rio Rd & Old Highway 99	EB Left	0.47	0.85

¹ V/C for signalized intersection.

² V/C for stop-controlled highest movement.

2007 No-Build Queuing

Queuing results for 2007 are shown in Table 11. In the year 2007, the transportation system will have one location in the study area with significant queuing during the peak hour. During peak hour travel periods, the Umpqua College Road left-turn queue length exceeds the existing storage and blocks the right-turn bay 18 percent of the time at the Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 intersection.

Table 11. 2007 No-Build Queuing Results

Locations	Movements	2007 95 th Queue Length ¹ (feet)	Blocked Movement	Percent Time Blocked ² (%)
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	WB left	200	WB right	18

¹ Black shaded cell indicates that available storage has been exceeded

² Percent time blocked is the proportion of time in the peak hour that a queue exceeds the storage available and /or blocks adjacent storage bays or intersections.

No-Build Year 2027

Table 12 summarizes the 2027 v/c's for the No-Build Alternative. Four intersections will exceed OHP v/c standards by 2027 with two of the four locations having v/c's greater than 2.0. When the v/c exceeds 1.0, traffic delays and queues are unstable and could be excessively long in the peak hour and v/c's approaching or over 2.0 indicate that there are no available safe gaps in the traffic stream. Vehicles turning onto or off of a facility under these conditions have a higher risk of crashes.

Figure 5. 2027 No-Build 30th Highest Hour Volumes




Figure 4. 2007 No-Build 30th Highest Hour Volumes




Table 9. Future No-Build Preliminary Signal Warrants Summary

Location	2007²	2027^{1,2}	Met
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	No	No	No
Del Rio Rd & Old Highway 99	No	Yes	2021
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	No	Yes	2014

¹ Black shaded cell's indicate preliminary signal warrants have been met.

² Meeting preliminary signal warrants does not guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed, a traffic investigation must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

2003 No-Build Queuing

Queuing is the lining up of vehicles waiting to make their movement, and is very important when considering the operation of a transportation facility. If too many vehicles are in the queue, or stopped at an intersection, they could “back-up” through left-turn refuges or adjacent intersections and adversely affect the operation of the adjacent intersection. Queuing was determined using the micro-simulation tool, SimTraffic. When an intersection experiences blockage on a leg by more than five percent in the peak hour, it can have a significant effect on an intersection’s operation as well as upstream and downstream intersections.

Table 8 shows the queue length for the unsignalized Old Highway 99/Umpqua College Road intersection in the study area for the year 2003. During peak hour travel periods, the westbound queue length exceeds the existing storage at the Old Highway 99/Umpqua College Road intersection and blocks the right turn storage 10 percent of the time in the peak hour.

Table 8. 2003 No-Build Queuing Results

Locations	Movements	2003 95th Queue Length¹ (feet)	Blocked Movement	Percent Time Blocked² (%)
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	WB left	175	WB right	10

¹ Black shaded cell indicates that available storage has been exceeded

² Percent time blocked is the proportion of time in the peak hour that a queue exceeds the storage available and /or blocks adjacent storage bays or intersections.


Future No-Build Conditions Analysis Results

The background volumes for 2007 and 2027 were analyzed to determine if any additional unsignalized intersections will meet PSWs. Figure 4 and Figure 5 show both the No-Build volume and lane configuration for 2007 and 2027. Table 9 is a summary of the results of the preliminary signal warrant analysis. For non-state highway intersections, the local jurisdiction will determine if traffic signals are warranted on their system.

Preliminary signal warrants for the I5 southbound ramp terminal intersection was analyzed by including all right turns for the minor street in the year that the stop-controlled v/c exceeded 1.0. When the v/c exceeds 1.0 the intersection is at capacity and failure results in traffic delays and queues that are unstable and could be excessively long in the peak hour. When delays are long, drivers are willing to accept smaller gaps and larger safety risk to make the turn movement. A signal investigation should be conducted at this location when it is near capacity.

Both of the intersections of Del Rio Road and Umpqua College Road with Old Highway 99 will meet PSWs beginning in 2014. The I5 southbound ramp terminal intersection will not meet PSW’s.

Figure 3. 2003 No-Build 30th Highest Hour Volumes



met, the State Traffic Engineer will make the final decision on the installation of a signal. None of the unsignalized intersections within the study area meet PSW's for 2003.

Table 7 summarizes the v/c's for the 2003 No-Build Alternative. All intersections operate below the OHP standard for the year 2003.

Table 7. 2003 No-Build V/C Results

Signalized Intersection		V/C¹	OHP V/C Standard
Old Highway 99 & I5 NB Ramp Terminal		0.57	0.80
Unsignalized Intersection	Controlling Movement	V/C²	OHP V/C Standard
Del Rio Rd & I5 SB Ramp Terminal	SB Left	0.28	0.80
Umpqua College Rd & Old Highway 99	WB Left	0.59	0.80
Del Rio Rd & Old Highway 99	EB Left	0.26	0.80

¹ V/C for signalized intersection.

² V/C for stop-controlled highest movement.

Table 5. No-Build Intersection Spacing Results¹

From	To	Distance (Feet)	Within Standard
I5 north ramp terminal	North of the ramps	200	No
I5 north ramp terminal	South of the ramps	700	No
I5 south ramp terminal	Old Highway 99	650	No
I5 south ramp terminal	Douglas County Forest Products Mill	250	No

¹ Black shading indicates intersections do not meet spacing standards

Common No-Build Freeway Analysis

Table 6 shows the merge/diverge v/c's for both directions of I5 at the Exit 129 interchange and the freeway mainline v/c in each direction of I5. All merge / diverge areas as well as the I5 mainline in the northbound and southbound directions meet OHP v/c's for 2003 and 2007. By 2027, all merge / diverge areas as well as the I5 mainline will exceed the standard.

Table 6. No-Build Freeway Merge/Diverge Segment Analysis V/C Results¹

Location	2003 V/C	2007 V/C	2027 V/C	Year OHP V/C exceeded
I5 NB diverge	0.53	0.57	0.87	2015
I5 NB merge	0.46	0.50	0.71	2026
I5 SB diverge	0.47	0.51	0.71	2026
I5 SB merge	0.44	0.48	0.79	2021
Directions	2003 V/C	2007 V/C	2027 V/C	Year OHP V/C exceeded
I5 NB (South of Interchange)	0.52	0.57	0.86	2016
I5 NB (North of Interchange)	0.48	0.52	0.74	2024
I5 SB (South of Interchange)	0.54	0.59	0.83	2017
I5 SB (North of Interchange)	0.46	0.50	0.70	2027

¹ Black shaded cells indicate that the OHP standard is exceeded.

2003 Intersection Analysis Results

The 2003 conditions were evaluated to determine the operation of the existing transportation system. Figure 3 shows the existing volume and lane configuration for the base year 2003.

All of the unsignalized intersections in the existing study area were reviewed to determine if they met the average daily traffic based Preliminary Signal Warrants (PSW). The PSW's are based on Signal Warrant 1, Case A and Case B (MUTCD), which deal primarily with high volumes on the intersecting minor street and high volumes on the major-street. Meeting PSW's does not guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a field warrant analysis is conducted by the Region. If warrants are

Table 4. 2001-2005 Local Roads Crash Summary¹

Year	Fatal Crashes	Injury Crashes	Property Damage Only Crashes	Total
2001	0	5	8	13
2002	0	2	11	13
2003	0	2	2	4
2004	0	5	3	8
2005	0	5	2	7
Total	0	19	26	45

¹ Crash statistics for years 2001 to 2005 (Local Roads) provided by ODOT Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit

Traffic Development

The No-Build Volumes were developed for 2003, 2007 and 2027 using traffic counts, historic growth rates, Roseburg Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) 10-005, ITE Trip Generation Seventh Edition and the Roseburg Travel Demand Model. Seven 14-hour (6:00 A.M-8:00 P.M.) manual counts were conducted at major intersections May 14th and November 3rd to 4th, 2003 which include 15-minute interval turn movement data and full federal truck classification breakdowns (see Appendix B: Traffic Development).

Historic growth rates and the Roseburg ATR (10-005), located on I5 approximately one half mile north of the project, was used to seasonally factor the 30th highest hour traffic flows for the freeway. Traffic volumes for potential development sites and local roads in the project area were developed from traffic counts and the analysis of base and future Roseburg Travel Demand Models. From the manual counts, the study area peak hour was found to be from 3:00 pm to 4:00 pm.

No-Build Intersection Spacing

Access points introduce a number of potential vehicular conflicts on a roadway and are frequently the cause of slowing or stopping vehicles that can significantly degrade the flow of traffic and reduce the efficiency of the transportation system. The 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), as directed by Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) Chapter 734, Division 51, has set spacing standards for freeway interchanges with two-lane crossroads. For rural and suburban statewide highways, the ramp terminal spacing standard for freeway interchanges with two-lane crossroads is 1320 feet (1/4 mi) for both right in / right out and full access intersections. Table 5 summarizes the current intersection spacing. Currently no interchange intersections meet the OHP spacing standards.

Table 3. 2001-2005 I5 & Interchange Area Crash Summary (I5, MP 128.75-130.00) ¹

Year	Fatal Crashes	Injury Crashes	Property Damage Only Crashes	Total
2001	1	1	2	4
2002	0	4	2	6
2003	0	7	7	14
2004	0	6	2	8
2005	0	7	6	13
Total	1	25	19	45

¹ Crash statistics for years 2001 to 2005 (I5 and interchange area) provided by ODOT Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit

Turning movements and fixed object / other crashes accounted for 33 percent of the total crashes. Eighty percent of the turning movement related crashes occurred at the I5 south ramp terminal / Del Rio Road intersection (MP 129.29) and are caused by left turning vehicles (from Del Rio Road) onto the southbound ramp. This is likely because of the lack of gaps in Del Rio Road traffic for vehicles turning onto the southbound ramps. Fifty-four percent of the fixed object / other crashes were cited for speed too fast for conditions indicating that weather conditions likely contributed to the crash.

The crash analysis for local roads covers Old Highway 99 from MP 11.77 to MP 12.48 and includes all crashes for the years 2001 – 2005. A crash type summary for local roads is listed in Table 4. There were 45 crashes on Old Highway 99 between 2001 and 2005. The majority of crashes (64 percent) involved intersection turning movements followed by rear end crashes (18 percent). Seventy one percent of turning movement related crashes occurred at the intersection of Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road. This is because of the lack of gaps in traffic for left hand turns at this intersection.

NO-BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Exit 129 is currently a gull-wing configuration with single-lane on and off-ramps. The speed on the on- and off-ramps is 25 miles per hour. The northbound ramp terminal is signalized with a driveway access approximately 200 feet to the north and 700 feet to the south of the intersection. The southbound ramp terminal is stop-controlled, with an entrance to the Douglas County Forest Products site approximately 250 feet to the west and the Del Rio Rd / Old Highway 99 intersection approximately 650 feet to the east. The I5 southbound ramps experience heavy truck traffic entering and exiting from I5 because of the adjacent Douglas County Forest Products Mill.

The study area covers I5 Exit 129 and Old Highway 99 from Umpqua College Road south to Umpqua River and Del Rio Road from the I5 south ramp terminals east to Old Highway 99. Within the study area, I5 and the interchange ramp terminals are under the jurisdiction of ODOT and all other roadways are currently under the jurisdiction of Douglas County.

During the course of the analysis there have been several changes to the land use influencing the interchange. A Costco store has been approved on Old Highway 99 a few miles south of the interchange. The COPR freight yard will be relocated north of the Douglas County Forest Products Mill, Traffic impacts for the rail facility and Costco are included in the No-Build volumes.

No-Build Crash Analysis Summary

A detailed crash analysis is available in Appendix A. The crash analysis for the study area is broken into two parts: I5 and the interchange and Old Highway 99. Ramps are included in the I5 and interchange analysis. The crash analysis for I5 and the interchange covers I5 from MP 128.75 to MP 130.00 and includes all crashes for the years 2001 – 2005.

There were 45 crashes in the 1.25 miles of I5 and the interchange area during this period. There was one fatal crash (2001) in the five year study period. Using an average daily traffic volume of 33,000, this portion of I5 has a crash rate of 0.60 crashes per million-vehicle miles. This is above the five-year average of comparable rates for suburban area interstate freeways (0.44); however, this section of I5 has no Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) sites in the top 10% for the state. Table 3 is a summary of all crashes for I5 and the interchange area between MP 128.75 and MP 130.00 for the years 2001 - 2005.

Table 2. Douglas County Analysis V/C Standards¹

	Principal Highway	Arterial	Major Collector	Minor Collector	Necessary Local Roads
Douglas County (Urban)	0.70	0.85	0.90	0.95	0.95
Douglas County (Rural)	0.70	0.80	0.85	0.90	0.90

¹Standard from Douglas County TSP

Pacific Highway No. 1 (I5) is a four-lane National Highway System (NHS) Interstate freight route with two lanes in both the north and south direction. The speed adjacent to the study area is 65 miles per hour. Old Highway 99 is a two-lane arterial that runs north and south through the project area. The posted speed through the study area varies between 30 and 45 miles per hour. Left turn lanes, on Old Highway 99, are provided at the intersections with Del Rio Road, the I5 north ramp terminal and Umpqua College Road. Old Highway 99 is signalized at the I5 north ramp terminal intersection and stop-controlled at all other intersections.

Del Rio Road is a two-lane rural major collector that runs east and west through the project area. The posted speed through the study area is 55 miles per hour and a left turn lane is provided at the intersection with Old Highway 99. Umpqua College Road is a two-lane rural major collector running east and west and has a posted speed of 35 miles per hour. A left turn lane is provided on Umpqua College Road at the intersection with Old Highway 99.

Performance Measures

Table 1 provides a summary of the OHP and HDM v/c standards for the No-Build and Build analysis. OHP and HDM standards are defined for inside and outside of the urban growth boundary (UGB). While the location of the proposed Gull-Wing Hybrid northbound ramp terminal, with respect to the UGB, is not clearly defined, the analysis is based on the assumption that the intent is to be included inside the UGB. Table 2 provides a summary of the Douglas County standards which are defined by functional class.


Table 1. OHP and HDM Analysis V/C Standards and Guidelines

Standard	Diverge / Merge Points (I5 Mainline)		Ramp Terminals		District / Local Interest Roads		
	In UGB	Out of UGB	In UGB	Out of UGB	In UGB		Out of UGB
					Speed > 35 (mph)	Speed >= 45 (mph)	
No-Build (OHP)	0.70	0.70	0.80 ¹	0.80 ¹	0.85	0.80	0.75
Build (HDM)	0.65	0.60	0.70 ²	0.60	0.80	n/a	0.70

¹ The maximum volume to capacity ratio for the ramp terminals of interchange ramps shall be the smaller of values of the volume to capacity ratio for the crossroad or 0.85. Both Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road are classified as Rural Arterials

² The location of the proposed Gull-Wing Hybrid I5 northbound ramp terminal with respect to the current UGB is not clearly defined this analysis is based on the assumption that the intent is to be included inside the UGB.

Figure 2. Project Area



BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The I5 Exit 129 / North Umpqua River Bridges project is located on Pacific Highway No. 1 (I5); approximately 2.5 miles north of Roseburg city limits. The original intent of this project was to replace the I5 Exit 129 interchange and restore the pre-existing roadway connections. It did not include increasing capacity through the interchange however during the project development process, steps were taken to increase the capacity of the interchange and surround local networks as much as budgetary constraints would allow.


The project area is constrained by the North Umpqua River to the east, the Douglas County Forest Products Mill to the west, historic farm property to the north and the historic Winchester Bridge to the south. Pacific Highway No. 1 (I5) splits the project area in the east and west direction. The Wilbur Weigh Station, currently located approximately 0.30 miles north of the existing I5 south off ramp, will be moved south of Roseburg before the start of this project.

Impacts from the background traffic, the new Central Oregon & Pacific Railroad Switching Yard (COPR) and the new Costco store are included in the No-build and Build analysis. The railyard facility is currently relocating north of the Douglas County Forest Products Mill between I5 and the south off ramp and a Costco store has been approved and will be located a couple miles to the south of the interchange on Old Highway 99.

The project area for the build analysis is limited to the Exit 129 interchange and the immediate influence area. This includes Old Highway 99 from north of Umpqua College Road to south of Del Rio Road and Del Rio Road from the I5 south ramp terminals east to Old Highway 99 (see Figure 32).

The potential for development in the interchange area is a new concern. The mill pond (Pond Site) north of the Douglas County Forest Products and the surplus property to the south of the proposed interchange on Old Highway 99 are both potential development sites that may experience levels of development during the 20 year design period that adversely effects future traffic operations on the Build alternative. Impacts from the Pond Site and the surplus property to the Build alternative are included in Appendix D, Sensitivity Analysis.

Figure 1. Vicinity Map



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Interstate 5 (I5) Exit 129 / North Umpqua River Bridges Project was originated to address replacement and realignment of the Del Rio Road crossing at the Exit 129 interchange as part of an interchange modification project. The I5 Exit 129 / North Umpqua River Bridges project is located on Pacific Highway No. 1 (I5) between mile points 129.22 and 129.51; approximately 2.5 miles north of the Roseburg city limits (see Figure 1).

The project purpose is to improve structural and geometric conditions for the interchange. The existing Del Rio over-crossing structure has structural shear cracking and does not align with the interchange ramps creating deficient ramp geometry and an additional intersection on Old Highway 99 between Del Rio Road and Umpqua College Road. Also, the configuration does not meet ramp terminal spacing standards and will not meet Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) standards through the year 2027. During the project development process, steps were taken to increase the capacity of the interchange and surrounding local networks as much as budgetary constraints would allow.

Region 3 has selected the “Gull-Wing Hybrid” Alternative as the preferred build alternative. This alternative provides additional capacity, left and right turn storage and improves access spacing between the I5 north and south ramp terminals and access along Old Highway 99 and Del Rio Road. While the “Gull-Wing” layout does not meet the ramp terminal spacing standards for the I5 south ramp terminal, it is a significant improvement from the current layout. The Gull-Wing Hybrid will meet Highway Design Manual (HDM) and Douglas County volume to capacity (v/c) design standards beyond the future year 2027 for all intersections in the study area if no new development occurs.

The proposed alternative will realign Del Rio Road beginning approximately one mile west of I5 and will cross I5 approximately 1280 feet north of the existing structure where it will connect directly to Umpqua College Road. The I5 northbound ramp terminal will be moved north of the Douglas County Shops and Fire District #2 station and will be reconstructed in the same gull-wing layout as the existing ramps. The southbound ramps will intersect the new Del Rio Road just west of I5 using a partial cloverleaf layout. Old Highway 99 will be realigned to best fit the new intersections with Del Rio Road and the I5 northbound ramp terminal and to line up more suitably at the approach to the old Winchester Bridge.

The potential for development and traffic growth is a concern for the project area. Spacing limitations and traffic flow patterns make the configuration sensitive to large development. Because it is likely that some development will occur in the study area during the 20-year design life, it is recommended that construction of the interchange be phased in over several periods. The first phase should include construction of the Build lane configuration and purchase of additional right-of-way (ROW) in the analysis area to ensure that if development occurs, the second phase (Sensitivity Analysis mitigation) can be constructed as needed.

Figure 31. 2027 Surplus Site Lane Configuration Mitigation D-79

Figure 32. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane Roundabout Analysis:
I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection.....E-82

Figure 33. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane with Westbound Bypass
Roundabout Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal IntersectionE-83

Figure 34. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Single-lane Roundabout Analysis:
I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection.....E-84

Figure 35. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout Analysis:
I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal Intersection.....E-85

Figure 36. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout with
Westbound Bypass Analysis: I5 Southbound Ramp Terminal IntersectionE-87

Figure 37. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane Roundabout Analysis:
I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection.....E-88

Figure 38. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane with Eastbound Bypass
Roundabout Analysis: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal IntersectionE-89

Figure 39. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Single-lane Roundabout with
Eastbound Bypass: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal IntersectionE-90

Figure 40. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout Analysis:
I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection.....E-91

Figure 41. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout with
Eastbound Bypass Analysis: I5 Northbound Ramp Terminal IntersectionE-92

Figure 42. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane Roundabout Analysis:
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection.....E-93

Figure 43. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2012 Single-lane with Southbound
Bypass Roundabout Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99
Intersection.....E-94

Figure 44. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Single-lane Roundabout Analysis:
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection.....E-95

Figure 45. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout Analysis:
Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99 Intersection.....E-96

Figure 46. Gull-Wing Full-Lane Configuration 2027 Double-lane Roundabout with
Southbound Bypass Analysis: Del Rio / Umpqua College Road & Old Highway 99
Intersection.....E-98

Figure 47. No-Build EIS Section Identifier F-100

Figure 48. Build EIS Section Identifier G-104

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map.....	2
Figure 2. Project Area.....	4
Figure 3. 2003 No-Build 30th Highest Hour Volumes.....	12
Figure 4. 2007 No-Build 30th Highest Hour Volumes.....	15
Figure 5. 2027 No-Build 30th Highest Hour Volumes.....	16
Figure 6. 2027 No-Build 95th Percentile Queue Lengths.....	20
Figure 7. Build Layout and Lane Configuration.....	22
Figure 8. 2007 Build DHV and Lane Configuration	26
Figure 9. 2027 Build DHV and Lane Configuration	27
Figure 10. Roseburg Model Zone and Network Structure.....	B-48
Figure 11. Roseburg County Zoning Map	B-49
Figure 12. Roseburg Transportation Model Base Year 2000 Model Volume.....	B-50
Figure 13. Roseburg Transportation Model Future 2025 Year Model Volume	B-51
Figure 14. Alternative 1	C-54
Figure 15. Umpqua Bridges Alternative 2.....	C-55
Figure 16. Alternative 3	C-56
Figure 17. Alternative 4	C-57
Figure 18. Alternative 5	C-58
Figure 19. Alternative 6.....	C-59
Figure 20. Alternative 7	C-60
Figure 21. Upgrade and Modification Alternative Layout	C-63
Figure 22. Parallel 99 Alternative Layout.....	C-64
Figure 23. 99 East with loop ramp Alternative Layout	C-65
Figure 24. SPUI Alternative Layout	C-66
Figure 25. Tight Diamond Alternative Layout	C-67
Figure 26. Gull-Wing Alternative Layout	C-68
Figure 27. Potential Development Sites in the Project Area	D-71
Figure 28. 2027 Background and Pond Site DHV(Build Alternative).....	D-74
Figure 29. 2027 Background and Surplus Site DHV (Build Alternative).....	D-75
Figure 30. 2027 Pond Site Lane Configuration Mitigation	D-77

Table 31. Build Alternatives Considered but Not Advanced Summary C-61
Table 32. ITE Trip Generation Values D-72
Table 33. Build Alternative Lane Configuration with Pond Site Traffic V/C Results. D-76
Table 34. Build Alternative Lane Configuration with Surplus Site Traffic V/C Results.....
..... D-78

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1. OHP and HDM Analysis V/C Standards and Guidelines.....	5
Table 2. Douglas County Analysis V/C Standards.....	6
Table 3. 2001-2005 I5 & Interchange Area Crash Summary (I5, MP 128.75-130.00)	8
Table 4. 2001-2005 Local Roads Crash Summary	9
Table 5. No-Build Intersection Spacing Results.....	10
Table 6. No-Build Freeway Analysis V/C Results	10
Table 7. 2003 No-Build V/C Results.....	11
Table 8. 2003 No-Build Queuing Results.....	13
Table 9. Future No-Build Preliminary Signal Warrants Summary.....	14
Table 10. 2007 No-Build V/C Results.....	17
Table 11. 2007 No-Build Queuing Results.....	17
Table 12. 2027 No-Build V/C Results.....	18
Table 13. 2027 No-Build Queuing Results.....	19
Table 14. Build Alternative Intersection Spacing Results	23
Table 15. Build Alternative Freeway Results	24
Table 16. Build Alternative Lane Configuration Storage Length.....	25
Table 17. Build Alternative Preliminary Signal Warrants Results	28
Table 18. Build Alternative V/C Analysis Results	28
Table 19. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Summary (MP 128.75 to 130.00)	A-32
Table 20. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Location (MP 128.75 to 130.00)	A-36
Table 21. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Type (MP 128.75 to 130.00).....	A-37
Table 22. I5 and Interchange Area Crash Type by Year (MP 128.75 to 130.00)	A-37
Table 23. I5 and Interchange Area Injury Severity (MP 128.75 to 130.00)	A-38
Table 24. Old Highway 99 Crash Summary	A-39
Table 25. Local Roads Crash Location.....	A-42
Table 26. Local Roads Crash Type.....	A-43
Table 27. Local Roads Crash Type by Year	A-43
Table 28. Local Roads Injury Severity	A-44
Table 29. Manual Traffic Count Locations.....	B-46
Table 30. Preliminary Alternatives General Summary.....	C-53

<i>I5 and Interchange Area:</i>	A-32
<i>Old Highway 99</i>	A-38
APPENDIX B: TRAFFIC DEVELOPMENT	B-45
<i>Traffic Development</i>	B-46
<i>Manual Counts Locations</i>	B-46
<i>ODOT's Permanent Recorder Stations</i>	B-46
<i>ODOT's Transportation Volume Tables</i>	B-46
<i>Roseburg Travel Demand Model</i>	B-47
<i>Roseburg Costco TIA</i>	B-47
APPENDIX C: ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED, BUT NOT ADVANCED	C-52
APPENDIX D: SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	D-69
<i>Traffic Development</i>	D-72
<i>Analysis Results</i>	D-76
APPENDIX E: ROUNDABOUT ANALYSIS	E-80
APPENDIX F: NO-BUILD EIS DATA	F-99
APPENDIX G: BUILD EIS DATA	G-103

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	3
<i>Performance Measures</i>	<i>5</i>
NO-BUILD ALTERNATIVE	7
<i>No-Build Crash Analysis Summary</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Traffic Development.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>No-Build Intersection Spacing.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Common No-Build Freeway Analysis.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>2003 Intersection Analysis Results</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>2003 No-Build Queuing</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>2003 No-Build Queuing</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Future No-Build Conditions Analysis Results</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>No-Build Year 2007</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>No-Build Year 2007</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>2007 No-Build Queuing</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>No-Build Year 2027</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>2027 No-Build Queuing Analysis.....</i>	<i>18</i>
BUILD ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTION.....	21
BUILD ALTERNATIVE RESULTS	23
<i>Build Alternative Traffic Development.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Build Alternative Intersection Spacing Analysis.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Build Alternative Freeway Analysis.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Build Lane Configuration</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Build Alternative Analysis.....</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Sensitivity Analysis.....</i>	<i>29</i>
CONCLUSIONS	30
APPENDIX A: CRASH HISTORY	A-31

I5 – Exit 129 / North Umpqua River Bridges

**PACIFIC HIGHWAY #1
TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
MP 129.22 to MP 129.51**

**Oregon Department of Transportation
Transportation Development Division
Planning Section
Transportation Planning Analysis Unit
555 13th Street NE, Suite 2
Salem, Oregon 97301-4178**

Prepared by: Christina McDaniel-Wilson, E.I.T.

Reviewed by: Peter Schuytema, P.E.



**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ANALYSIS UNIT
EIS TRAFFIC DATA**

PROJECT: Exit 129 Analysis
 LOCATION: Douglas County
 ALTERNATIVE: Build

PAGE: 3
 PRINTING DATE: May 18, 2010
 UNIT: English

SECT	DIST	YEAR	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR					AVERAGE HOUR			PEAK TRUCK HOUR					
			VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	VOL	TRKS	SP	VOL	AUTO	MTR	HTR	SP	
023	0.25	2027	6400	614	40	580	525	27	28	40	0	0	40	530	469	27	34	40	
WB DIRECTIONS DIRECT																			
024	0.25	2007	4400	343	40	340	308	14	18	40	0	0	40	330	291	17	22	40	
024	0.25	2027	7200	562	40	560	506	24	30	40	0	0	40	550	484	29	37	40	

ABBREVIATION SECT = SECTION NUMBER
 VOL = TOTAL VOLUME
 MTR = MEDIUM TRUCK VOLUM

SP = SPEED OF VEHICLE
 AUTO = AUTOMOBILE VOLUME
 HTR = HEAVY TRUCK VOLUME

ANALYST: Christina McDaniel-Wilson
 CHECKED BY: 
 FILE: B2018.MDB

~~APPENDIX F: AMENDMENT TO ROSEBURG/DOUGLAS COUNTY URBAN GROWTH MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT STANDARDS SUPPLEMENT~~

~~ROSEBURG/DOUGLAS COUNTY
URBAN GROWTH MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
STANDARDS SUPPLEMENT~~

~~AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ROSEBURG AND DOUGLAS COUNTY
FOR THE JOINT MANAGEMENT OF THE
ROSEBURG URBAN GROWTH AREA~~

~~XII Winchester Interchange Industrial Site Development~~

~~XII a The City and the County have a common concern for the economic health and vitality of the central Douglas County region. Consistent with the Winchester Interchange (I-129) Area Management Plan (IAMP), the City and County, together with ODOT, also have a commitment to conserve the Industrial Site west of I-5 at Exit 129 in the Roseburg Urban Growth Boundary from conflicting commercial retail and service uses. The industrial site, illustrated in map ____ attached, has been designated with the intent of providing for industrial uses consistent with OAR 660-009-005(3) and (8), and to conserve the limited supply of industrial land designated in the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and implemented by Douglas County's Land Use and Development Ordinance.~~

~~XII b Prior to approval of any development application at the Winchester Interchange Industrial Site west of I-5, a site plan for any proposed use or reuse endorsed by the City and ODOT shall be submitted to the County Planning Department. The site plan shall, at a minimum, address sewer and water service; utility service; site access; internal traffic circulation; parcelization (including minimum parcel size standards); and drainage. Uses authorized in the site plan shall be consistent with Section XII c below. Any application for a new use or reuse shall not be deemed complete without the required City and ODOT review and endorsement.~~

~~XII c The Winchester Interchange Industrial Site shall be maintained in a general or "heavy" industrial zoning classification and shall not be used for commercial retail or service uses. For the purposes of this provision, commercial retail and service use means any of the following: (1) other employment uses as defined in OAR 660-009-005(6); (2) commercial retail or services uses listed as permitted uses in the County's M1 and M2 zone; (3) "Big Box" (mixed use) commercial warehouse stores; (4) manufactured homes or vehicles supply and sales facilities; (5) any use where a majority of revenue is generated from sales of products not manufactured or processed on site; or (6) traveler oriented retail and service facilities such as truck stops, fuel stations, restaurants and overnight accommodations.~~

~~XII d The Exit 129 IAMP is a part of the Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and jointly implemented by the City and County. The purpose of this supplemental standard is to conserve industrial land from conflicting uses; to assure that the site is used for basic industries as defined in OAR 660-009-005(3) and (8); and to protect the public's investment in the long-term capacity of Exit 129 and to achieve the objectives of ODOT for Exit 129 interchange area management.~~