### **Qualified Entity Summary Tables**

# Baker County Table 5.

Baker	Со	unty - S	Stat	tewide	Transpo	ort	ation Im	provement Fun	d - 2021-2023 For	mula Program			
				Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Ex	кре	nditure Bud	get	Are projects consistent	If No, describe			
			STII	F 19-21				Funds to be	, ,				
			Carr	ſ <b>y</b>	Other	То	tal 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of			
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forv	ward	Funds	Exp	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.			
<b>Baker County</b>	\$	600,151 \$ 80,000 \$ 358,0				\$	1,038,192	\$ -	Yes				
Totals	\$	600,151	\$	80,000	\$ 358,041	\$	1,038,192	\$ -					

Table 6.

	Baker Coun	ty - Statewide	Transpo	rtation Impr	ovement Fund -	2021-2023 I	Measurable	<b>Project Benefit</b>	ts and Outcome	es
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Baker County	68,020	2,910	2,518	9,188	3,074	3	-	-	450	900
Totals	68,020	2,910	2,518	9,188	3,074	3	-	-	450	900

Table 7.

	Baker County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes													
				Vehicle			Other Assets							
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other			Facilities		Signs and Shelters				
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles								Equipment	Updated	Description		
<b>Baker County</b>	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-			
Totals	1	1 1												

Table 8.

	•	Bak	er Coun	ty - Statewid	e Transp	ortation Imp	rovemer	nt Fund - 202	1-2023 F	unding Alloca	ited to K	ey Program (	Criteria		
	1. Increases frequency to areas with high % of Low-		•	3. Reduces fa		Emission Buses	4. Procures of Low or No Emission Buses for use in areas with population of		uency and e between side and	6. Improves coo		7. Provides stude	ent transit		
	Income Households Income Households		eholds	Low-Income Households		200,000 or	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation (	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12		
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Baker County	\$	225,823.00	33%	\$ 194,834.50	29%	\$ 277,444.78	41%	\$ -	0%	\$ 298,914.00	44%	\$ 101,714.00	15%	\$ 31,571.72	5%
Totals	\$	225,823	33%	\$ 194,835	29%	\$ 277,445	41%	\$ -	0%	\$ 298,914	44%	\$ 101,714	15%	\$ 31,572	5%

### **Basin Transit Service Transportation District** Table 9.

<b>Basin Transit Service Transport</b>	tation Disti	rict - State	wide Tra	nsportatio	n Improvement	Fund - 2021-2023	Formula Program
		Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Ex	penditure Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Basin Transit Service Transportation District	\$ 1,596,877	\$ 1,201,974	\$ -	\$ 2,798,851	\$ 267,109	Yes	
Totals	\$ 1,596,877	\$ 1,201,974	\$ -	\$ 2,798,851	\$ 267,109		

#### Table 10.

Basin Transit Service Tra	nsportation I	District - State	wide Tra	nsportation	Improvement Fu	nd - 2021-2	023 Measur	able Project Be	nefits and Out	comes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Basin Transit Service Transportation District	720,891	54,032	562,834	127,064	26,073	2	23,844	3,545	-	785
Totals	720,891	54,032	562,834	127,064	26,073	2	23,844	3,545	-	785

#### Table 11.

Basin Transit Serv	ice Tran	sportati	on Distr	ict - Statewi	de Tran	sportation	Improven	nent Fu	nd - 2021-2	2023 Capit	tal Investment (	Outcomes		
				Vehicl	e Assets						Other Assets			
	Gas or		Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters											
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description		
Basin Transit Service Transportation District	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	2	368	Forklift, truck with sander/plow		
Totals	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	2	368			

#### Table 12.

Basin	Transit Serv	ice Trans	portation Dis	trict - St	atewide Tran	sportati	on Improven	nent Fun	d - 2021-2023	3 Fundin	g Allocated to	o Key Pro	ogram Criteri	а
	1. Increases fr	equency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses		5. Improves freq	· •		ordination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	ulation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Households		Income Households		Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or	more	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Basin Transit Servi	\$ 713,328	25%	\$ 846,250	30%	\$ 726,124	26%	\$ -	0%	\$ 2,216,779	79%	\$ 370,330	13%	\$ 93,891	3%
Totals	\$ 713,32	25%	\$ \$ 846,250	30%	\$ 726,124	26%	\$ -	0%	\$ 2,216,779	79%	\$ 370,330	13%	\$ 93,891	3%

### **Benton County**

Table 13.

Benton County -	Statewide	Transpor	tation Im	provemen	t Fund - 2021-20	023 Formula Progr	ram
		Fiscal Yea	ar 2021-23 Ex	penditure Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Benton County	\$ 1,897,898	\$ -	\$ 737,840	\$ 2,635,738	\$ -	Yes	
City of Albany	\$ 825,000	\$ -	\$6,469,000	\$ 7,294,000	\$ -	Yes	
City of Corvallis	\$ 2,874,872	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,874,872	\$ -	Yes	
City of Philomath	\$ 63,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,000	\$ -	Yes	
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governme	\$ 176,875	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 176,875	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$ 5,837,645	\$ -	\$7,206,840	\$ 13,044,485	\$ -		

Table 14.

Benton County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Measurable Project Benefits and Outcomes													
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in			
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with			
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced			
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares			
Benton County	469,000	32,760	69,488	54,999	39,279	23	-	-	-	-			
City of Albany	285,250	12,703	225,500	57,560	10,870	42	4,800	-	-	-			
City of Corvallis	483,209	24,382	362,720	70,986	26,529	-	1,816	-	-	-			
City of Philomath	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Totals	1,237,459	69,845	657,708	183,545	76,678	65	6,616	-	-	-			

Table 15.

	Bento	n Count	y - State	wide Trans	ortatio	n Improver	nent Fund	- 2021	-2023 Capi	tal Investi	ment Outcomes					
				Vehicl	e Assets						Other	Assets				
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other				Facilities		Signs and Shelters					
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or					
PTSP Name	Vehicles															
Benton County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	Camera System				
City of Albany	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
City of Corvallis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	Streaming infratructure, bus stop ammenities				
City of Philomath	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 9 - Bus stop ammenities							
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
Totals	23 -															

Table 16.

	Benton	County -	Statewide Tr	ansport	ation Improv	ement F	und - 2021-20	023 Fund	ding Allocated	to Key	Program Crit	eria		
							4. Procures of L	ow or No	5. Improves freq	uency and				
	1. Increases free	quency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	Emission Buses	for use in	reliability of service	e between	6. Improves coo	rdination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stud	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation (	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Benton County	\$ 414,198.64	22%	\$ 425,198.64	22%	\$ 381,198.64	20%	\$ 365,998.64	19%	\$ 1,075,458.64	57%	\$ 391,498.64	21%	\$ 429,484.16	23%
City of Albany	\$ 345,000.00	42%	\$ 345,000.00	42%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 525,000.00	64%	\$ 270,000.00	33%	\$ 165,000.00	20%
City of Corvallis	\$ 2,220,950.16	77%	\$ 3,246,004.08	113%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 227,789.76	8%
City of Philomath	\$ 49,140.00	78%	\$ 71,820.00	114%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 5,040.00	8%
Oregon Cascades West Counc	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 176,875.00	100%	\$ 176,875.00	100%	\$ -	0%
Totals	\$ 3,029,289	52%	\$ 4,088,023	70%	\$ 381,199	7%	\$ 365,999	6%	\$ 1,777,334	30%	\$ 838,374	14%	\$ 827,314	14%

# Columbia County Table 17.

Columbi	ia County -	Statewid	e Transpo	ortation Im	provement Fun	d - 2021-2023 For	mula Program								
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent  If No, describe														
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may								
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of								
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.								
Columbia County	\$ 850,007	\$ -	\$2,284,733	\$ 3,134,740	\$ -	Yes									
Totals	\$ 850,007	\$ -	\$2,284,733	\$ 3,134,740	\$ -										

Table 18.

100.0 20.															
Columbia C	Columbia County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Measurable Project Benefits and Outcomes														
Low Income New Stops Rides Students in Grades Students in															
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with					
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced					
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	<b>Revenue Hours</b>	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares					
Columbia County	309,060	11,570	32,910	66,792	6,292	-	510	-	-	-					
Totals	309,060	11,570	32,910	66,792	6,292	-	510	-	-	-					

Table 19.

Columbia	Columbia County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes															
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets					
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description				
Columbia County	6	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-						
Totals	6	-	-	-	-	6	-	6	-	-	-					

Table 20.

	Colun	nbia Coเ	ınty - Statew	ide Trans	sportation Im	provem	ent Fun	d - 20	21-2023	Funding Allo	cated to	Key Progran	n Criteria	9	
	. Increases freq reas with high 9	n % of Low- to areas with high % of Low-		3. Reduces fa		Emission	n Buses f	ow or No or use in lation of	5. Improves freq reliability of servic communities in	e between	6. Improves coo between PTSPs		7. Provides stude	ent transit	
	Income House	eholds	Income Hous	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200	,000 or n	nore	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation of	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amou	unt	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Columbia County	\$ 32,753	4%	\$ 140,753	17%	\$ 172,496	20%	\$	-	0%	\$ 1,267,762	149%	\$ 43,124	5%	\$ 43,124	5%
Totals	\$ 32,753	4%	\$ 140,753	17%	\$ 172,496	20%	\$	-	0%	\$ 1,267,762	149%	\$ 43,124	5%	\$ 43,124	5%

### Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Suislaw Indians Table 21.

<b>Confederated Tribes o</b>	f Cod	os, Lower	Um	pqua, and	d Suisla	aw Ind	dians	- Statewi	de Transportation In	nprovement Fund - 202:	1-2023 Formula Program
				Fiscal Yea	ar 2021	-23 Ex	pend	liture Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Car	ry	Other		Tot	al 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Funds		Ехре	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Confederated Tribes of C	\$	200,000	\$	190,000	\$	\$ -		390,000	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	190,000	\$	-	\$	390,000	\$ -		

#### Table 22.

Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lo	wer Umpqua,	and Suislaw Ir	dians - Sta	tewide Tran	sportation Impro	vement Fund	- 2021-2023	Measurable Pro	ject Benefits an	d Outcomes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares
Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua	351,600	13,988	13,518	20,994	14,689	2	59	-	-	-
Totals	351,600	13,988	13,518	20,994	14,689	2	59	-	-	-

#### Table 23.

### Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Suislaw Indians - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes

				Vehicl	e Assets						Other Assets	
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other			Facilities		Signs and Shelters		
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description
Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	
Totals	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	

#### Table 24.

Confederated	<b>Tribes of Coos</b>	, Lower U	mpqua, and Su	islaw Ind	ians - Statewid	e Transpo	rtation Improv	ement F	und - 2021-2023	Funding	Allocated to K	ey Progra	m Criteria	
	1 Increases fre	auency to	2. Expands route	e /sarvicas	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of L		5. Improves freq reliability of service	-	6. Improves coo	rdination		
	1. Increases frequency to areas with high % of Low- Income Households		to areas with high	% of Low-	communities witl	n high % of	areas with popu	ulation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stud	
	Income Households		Income House	<u>eholds</u>	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation of	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lowe	\$ 117,200	30%	\$ 117,200	30%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 175,800	45%	\$ 175,800	45%	\$ -	0%
Totals	\$ 117,200	30%	\$ 117,200	30%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 175,800	45%	\$ 175,800	45%	\$ -	0%

# Confederated Tribes of the Grande Ronde Community of Oregon Table 25.

Confederated Tribes of the	ne Gr	rande Ron	de	Communi	ity	of Oregor	ı - Sta	atewide T	ranspor	tation Impro	vement Fund - 2021-20	23 Formula Program
				Fiscal Yea	ır 2	021-23 Exp	pendi	iture Budg	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21					Funds t	o be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Car	ry	Ot	her	Tota	al 2021-23	Expende	ed in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	,				nds	Expe	nditures	Plan Per	iod	considerations?	Plan.
Confederated Tribes of the Gra	\$	500,000	\$	150,000	\$	250,000	\$	900,000	\$	40,000	Yes	
Totals	\$	500,000	\$	150,000	\$	250,000	\$	900,000	\$	40,000		

#### Table 26.

Confederated Tribes of the Gra	nde Ronde Co	mmunity of O	regon - Sta	tewide Trans	sportation Improv	ement Fund	- 2021-2023	Measurable Pro	ject Benefits an	d Outcomes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Confederated Tribes of the Grande Ronde Co	84,000	2,500	8,000	14,325	5,340	4	-	1,027	-	-
Totals	84,000	2,500	8,000	14,325	5,340	4	-	1,027	-	-

#### Table 27.

Confederated Trib	es of th	e Grand	e Ronde	Communit	y of Ore	gon - State	wide Trar	sportati	on Improv	ement Fu	ınd - 2021-2023	Capital Investment Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or					
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description				
Confederated Tribes of the Gra	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	8	Shelters, site design and sidewalk corrections				
Totals	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	8					

#### Table 28.

Confederated	Tribes of the G	Grande Ro	onde Communi	ty of Ore	gon - Statewide	Transpo	rtation Improv	ement Fu	nd - 2021-2023	Funding	Allocated to Ke	ey Prograi	n Criteria	
							4. Procures of L	ow or No	5. Improves freq	uency and				
	1. Increases free	quency to	2. Expands route	s/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	<b>Emission Buses</b>	for use in	reliability of service	ce between	6. Improves coo	ordination		
	areas with high 9	% of Low-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Households		Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or	more	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Confederated Tribes of the Grande	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 248,000	38%
Totals	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 248,000	38%

#### **Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Reservation**

Table 29.

Confederated T	ribes of the Si	letz Reservat	ion - Statew	ide Transporta	ation Improvement F	und - 2021-2023 Formu	la Program							
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget Are pr													
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may							
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of							
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.							
Confederated Tribes of the Silet	\$ 450,000	\$ -	\$ 540,000	\$ 990,000	\$ -	Yes								
Totals	\$ 450,000	\$ -	\$ 540,000	\$ 990,000	\$ -									

Table 30.

Confederated Tribes of	of the Siletz Re	eservation - Sta	atewide Tr	ansportation	ı Improvement Fu	ind - 2021-20	23 Measural	ole Project Benef	fits and Outcom	es
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	le of 1/2 Mile of Transit Other Students in School Served by 9-12 Served by					
PTSP Name	<b>Revenue Miles</b>	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Reservation	254,168	9,510	37,036	18,226	7,472	-	-	-	-	-
Totals	254,168	9,510	37,036	18,226	7,472	-	-	-	-	-

Table 31.

Confederated Tribe	es of the	Siletz R	Reservat	ion - Statew	ide Trar	nsportation	Improve	ment Fu	nd - 2021-	<b>2023 C</b> api	tal Investment	Outcomes			
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles														
Confederated Tribes of the Siletz	-	12 -										Cameras			
Totals	-	12 12 -													

Table 32.

Con	federated Trib	es of the S	Siletz Reservati	on - State	wide Transpor	tation Im	provement Fu	nd - 2021	-2023 Funding <i>I</i>	Allocated	to Key Prograr	n Criteria		
	1. Increases free		2. Expands route	-	3. Reduces fa		4. Procures of L Emission Buses	for use in	5. Improves freq reliability of service	ce between	6. Improves cod			
	areas with high ?	•			communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	ılation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Households		Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	<b>200,000</b> or 1	more	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Re	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 890,000	198%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%
Totals	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 890,000	198%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%

#### **Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation**

Table 33.

Confederated Tribes	of the	Umatilla	ı Indi	an Resei	rvation	- Stat	tewic	de Transp	ortation Improveme	nt Fund - 2021-2023 For	mula Program
			ı	Fiscal Yea	ır 2021	23 Exp	pendi	iture Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STIF	19-21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Carry	1	Other		Tota	al 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forw	ard	Funds		Ехре	nditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Confederated Tribes of the Umatil	\$	200,000	\$	45,000	\$	-	\$	245,000	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	45,000	\$	-	\$	245,000	\$ -		

Table 34.

Confederated Tribes of the	Umatilla Indi	an Reservation	n - Statewi	de Transport	ation Improveme	nt Fund - 202	21-2023 Mea	surable Project I	Benefits and Ou	tcomes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	<b>Revenue Miles</b>	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 35.

Confederated Tribes of the I	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes															
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets					
PTSP Name	Vehicles															
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian R	-	1														
Totals	-	1														

Table 36.

Confeder	ated Tribes of	the Umat	illa Indian Rese	rvation -	Statewide Tra	nsportatio	on Improveme	nt Fund - :	2021-2023 Fund	ding Alloc	ated to Key Pro	ogram Cri	teria	
	1. Increases fre	quency to	2. Expands route	s/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses		5. Improves frequeliability of service	-	6. Improves coo	rdination		
	areas with high	areas with high % of Low-				n high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs t	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Households		Income House	holds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	nore	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation of	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla	\$ 46,500	19%	\$ 90,500	37%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 132,000	54%	\$ 132,000	54%	\$ 44,000	18%
Totals	\$ 46,500	19%	\$ 90,500	37%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 132,000	54%	\$ 132,000	54%	\$ 44,000	18%

### **Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation**

Table 37.

Confederated Tribes	of the	e Warm S	prin	gs Reser	vation	- Stat	ewid	e Transpo	rtatio	n Improvemer	nt Fund - 2021-2023 For	mula Program
			ı	Fiscal Yed	ar 2021	23 Exp	pendi	iture Budg	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STIF	19-21					to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
		Carry Other Total 2021-23 Expended in Future									guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forw	<i>r</i> ard	Funds		Expe	enditures	Plan P	eriod	considerations?	Plan.
Central Oregon Intergovernmenta	\$	199,999	\$	32,287	\$	-	\$	232,286	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$	199,999	\$	32,287	\$	-	\$	232,286	\$	-		

Table 38.

Confederated Tribes of th	e Warm Spring	gs Reservation	- Statewic	le Transporta	ation Improvemer	nt Fund - 202	1-2023 Meas	surable Project B	enefits and Out	comes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council	110,400	2,003	20,027	2,352	1,364	1	200	-	-	-
Totals	110,400	2,003	20,027	2,352	1,364	1	200	-	-	-

Table 39.

<b>Confederated Tribes of the</b>	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes															
		Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or															
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets						
PTSP Name	Vehicles															
Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					

Table 40.

Confede	rate	d Tribes of	the Warı	m Springs Rese	vation - 9	Statewide Tran	sportatio	n Improvemen	t Fund - 2	021-2023 Fund	ing Alloca	ated to Key Pro	gram Crit	eria	
	1.	Increases freq	uency to	2. Expands route	s/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses	or use in	5. Improves frequenciability of service	-	6. Improves coo	rdination		
	are	areas with high % of Low-			communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit	
	Income Households		holds	Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	nore	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation of	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Central Oregon Intergovernmental	\$	220,286	95%	\$ 177,829	77%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 16,000	7%	\$ -	0%	\$ 50,457	22%
Totals	\$	220,286	95%	\$ 177,829	77%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 16,000	7%	\$ -	0%	\$ 50,457	22%

### **Coos County Area Transportation District**

#### Table 41.

Coos County A	rea Transport	ation District	- Statewide	Transportatio	n Improvement Fund	l - 2021-2023 Formula P	rogram
		Fiscal Yea	ar 2021-23 Exp	penditure Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Coos County Area Transportation	\$ 1,676,961	\$ 936,000	\$1,886,564	\$ 4,499,526	\$ 46,027	Yes	
Totals	\$ 1,676,961	\$ 936,000	\$1,886,564	\$ 4,499,526	\$ 46,027		

#### Table 42.

Coos County Area T	ransportation	<b>District - State</b>	ewide Trar	sportation Ir	mprovement Fund	l - 2021-2023	<b>Measurable</b>	Project Benefits	s and Outcomes			
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in		
	People within Households within Shared with Provided to 9-12 Attending a Students in Grades Grades											
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced		
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	<b>Revenue Hours</b>	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares		
Coos County Area Transportation District	609,848	40,248	124,276	80,561	16,453	4	505	593	416	-		
Totals	609,848	40,248	124,276	80,561	16,453	4	505	593	416	-		

#### Table 43.

Coos County Area Tra	nsporta	ation Dis	strict - S	Statewide Tra	ansporta	ation Impro	vement F	und - 20	21-2023 (	Capital Inv	estment Outco	mes			
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or	or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters													
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Coos County Area Transportation District	8	8 8 - 8													
Totals	8	-	-	-	-	8	-	8	-	-	-				

#### Table 44.

Co	oos County Are	a Transpo	ortation Distric	t - Statew	vide Transporta	tion Impr	ovement Fund	- 2021-2	023 Funding All	ocated to	Key Program	Criteria		
							4. Procures of L	ow or No	5. Improves freq	uency and				
	1. Increases free	eases frequency to 2. Expands routes/services vith high % of Low- to areas with high % of Low- com			3. Reduces fa	ares in	<b>Emission Buses</b>	for use in	reliability of service	e between	6. Improves cod	rdination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stud	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income Hous	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Coos County Area Transportation D	\$ 45,662	2%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 977,000	37%	\$ 4,022,875	154%	\$ 95,407	4%	\$ 84,978	3%
Totals	\$ 45,662	2%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 977,000	37%	\$ 4,022,875	154%	\$ 95,407	4%	\$ 84,978	3%

# Coquille Indian Tribe Table 45.

	Coquille Ind	ian '	Tribe - Sta	tew	ide Tran	spor	tation Imp	provement F	und - 20	21-2023 Formula Progr	am
			Fiscal Yea	ar 20.	21-23 Exp	pendi	iture Budg	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		ST	IF 19-21						with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
		Car	ry	Oth	er	Tota	al 2021-23	Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of	
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	For	ward	Fun	ds	Expe	nditures	Plan Period		considerations?	Plan.
Coquille Indian Tribe	\$ 200,000	\$	254,000	\$	50,000	\$	504,000	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$ 200,000	\$	254,000	\$	50,000	\$	504,000	\$	-		

Table 46.

						•		•	•	
(	Coquille India	n Tribe - State\	wide Trans	sportation Im	provement Fund	- 2021-2023	Measurable	<b>Project Benefits</b>	and Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Coquille Indian Tribe	450	2,800	340	1,330	638	-	660	-	26	-
Totals	450	2,800	340	1,330	638	-	660	-	26	-

Table 47.

Co	quille Ir	ndian Tr	ibe - Sta	tewide Tran	sportat	ion Improv	ement Fu	nd - 202	21-2023 Ca	pital Inve	stment Outcom	es				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel															
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description				
Coquille Indian Tribe	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-					
Totals	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-					

Table 48.

	C	oquille Ir	ndian Tribe - Sta	atewide T	ransportation	Improven	nent Fund - 202	21-2023 F	unding Allocate	ed to Key	Program Crite	ria		
	1. Increases frec		2. Expands route	•	3. Reduces fa		4. Procures of L Emission Buses areas with popu	for use in	5. Improves freq reliability of servic communities in	e between	6. Improves coo		7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Households		Income Hous	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation of	of service	for students in gr	ades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Coquille Indian Tribe	\$ 457,400	101%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 224,800	50%	\$ 29,400	6%	\$ 102,400	23%
Totals	\$ 457,400	101%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 224,800	50%	\$ 29,400	6%	\$ 102,400	23%

# Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Indians of Oregon Table 49.

Tubic 431											
Cow Creek Ban	d of	Umpqua	India	ns of Or	egon - S	State	wide	Transpor	tation Improvemen	t Fund - 2021-2023 Form	ula Program
				Fiscal Yed	ır 2021	23 Ex	pend	iture Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STIF	19-21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry Other Total 2021-23 Expende								guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forv	vard	Funds		Ехре	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua I	\$	200,000	\$	\$ 85,000 \$		-	\$	285,000	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	85,000	\$	-	\$	285,000	\$ -		

Table 50.

Cow Creek Band	l of Umpqua Ir	ndians of Orego	on - Statev	vide Transpo	rtation Improvem	ent Fund - 2	021-2023 Me	asurable Project	Benefits and O	utcomes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua I	20,000	7,500	15,000	14,952	2,997	-	-	-	20	20
Total	20,000	7,500	15,000	14,952	2,997	-	-	-	20	20

Table 51.

Cow Creek Band o	f Umpq	ua India	ns of O	egon - State	ewide Tr	ansportati	on Improv	vement	Fund - 202	1-2023 Ca	apital Investme	nt Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel															
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description					
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua I	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-					
Totals	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-					

Table 52.

Co	w Creek	Band (	of Umpqı	ua Indians of C	regon - St	atewide Trans	portation	Improvement	Fund - 20	21-2023	3 Fundir	ng Allocat	ed to K	(ey Prog	ram Crite	ria	
	4 1	<b>c</b>		2 5		2 Dadward		4. Procures of			-	uency and	C 1				
	areas wit	-	uency to 6 of Low-	2. Expands rout to areas with high	•	3. Reduces for communities with		Emission Buses areas with pop			unities in	e between side and		en PTSPs	rdination to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Incom	e House	holds	Income Hou	seholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or	more	outside	of QE's se	rvice area.	fragm	entation o	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amou	nt	budget	Amount			budget	Amount	budget	Amo	ount	budget	Am	nount	budget	Amount	budget
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua I	\$ 1	42,500	50%	\$ 114,000	40%	\$ 114,000	40%	\$ -	0%	\$	85,500	30%	\$	85,500	30%	\$ 28,500	10%
Totals	\$ 1	42,500	50%	\$ 114,000	40%	\$ 114,000	40%	\$ -	0%	\$	85,500	30%	\$	85,500	30%	\$ 28,500	10%

# Crook County Table 53

	Cro	ook Count	y - S	Statewide	Transp	ortat	ion I	mprovem	nent Fund - 2021-202	3 Formula Program	
				Fiscal Yea	ar 2021	23 Ex	pend	iture Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Car	ry	Other		Tota	al 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Funds		Ехре	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.	
Central Oregon Intergovernm	\$	465,971	\$	368,962	\$	-	\$	834,933	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$	465,971	\$	368,962	\$	-	\$	834,933	\$ -		

#### Table 54

TUDIC 34														
	Crook County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Measurable Project Benefits and Outcomes													
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in				
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with				
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced				
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares				
Central Oregon Intergovernm	198,641	6,301	44,110	9,346	5,327	-	2,200	-	220	-				
Total	198,641	6,301	44,110	9,346	5,327	-	2,200	-	220	-				

#### Table 55

C	rook Co	unty - S	tatewide	e Transporta	ation Im	provement	Fund - 20	21-202	3 Capital In	vestment	t Outcomes					
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel															
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description				
Central Oregon Intergovernm	-															
Totals	-															

#### Table 56

	Crook County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Funding Allocated to Key Program Criteria														
							4. Procures of L		5. Improves freq	-					
	1. Increases fro		2. Expands route to areas with high	•	3. Reduces f communities wit		Emission Buses areas with popu		reliability of service communities in		6. Improves coo		7. Provides stude	ent transit	
	areas with high % of Low- Income Households		Income House		Low-Income Ho	Ū	200,000 or i		outside of QE's se		fragmentation		for students in g		
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF	
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	
Central Oregon Intergovernm	\$ 713,741	250%	\$ 643,152	226%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 121,590	43%	\$ -	0%	\$ 191,384	67%	
Totals	\$ 713,741	250%	\$ 643,152	226%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 121,590	43%	\$ -	0%	\$ 191,384	67%	

# Curry County Table 57.

	Cu	rry Count	y - S	tatewide	Trans	portat	ion I	mprovem	ent Fund	- 2021-2023	B Formula Program			
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent													
			STI	F 19-21					be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may			
		Carry Other Total 2021-23 Expended in Future									guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of		
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Fund	S	Ехре	enditures	Plan Perio	od	considerations?	Plan.		
Curry County	\$	443,968	152,032	\$	1,172	\$	597,172	\$	-	Yes				
Totals	\$	443,968	\$	152,032	\$	1,172	\$	597,172	\$	-				

Table 58.

	<b>Curry County</b>	- Statewide Tr	ansportat	ion Improver	ment Fund - 2021-	<b>2023 M</b> easu	rable Project	Benefits and Ou	itcomes		
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in	
		People within Households within Shared with Provided to 9-12 Attending a Students in Gr									
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced	
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares	
Curry County	98,830	6,784	8,880	56,081	10,980	-	-	160	660	-	
Total	98,830	6,784	8,880	56,081	10,980	-	-	160	660	-	

Table 59.

C	Curry County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes													
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets													
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters													
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets													
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description		
Curry County														
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 60.

	Curry County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Funding Allocated to Key Program Criteria														
	1	Increases frec	uency to	2. Expands rou	tes/services	3. Reduces f	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses		5. Improves free reliability of servi		6. Improves co	ordination		
	aı	reas with high 9	% of Low-	to areas with high % of Low-		communities witl	h high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	nside and	between PTSP	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
		Income House	eholds	Income Ho	Income Households		useholds	200,000 or	nore	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Curry County	\$	787,890	132%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 150,000	25%	\$ 121,172	20%	\$ 14,110	2%
Totals	\$	787,890	132%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 150,000	25%	\$ 121,172	20%	\$ 14,110	2%

### **Deschutes County**

Table 61.

[	Deschutes Cou	nty - Statewi	de Transpor	tation Improve	ement Fund - 2021-20	023 Formula Program	
		Fiscal Yea	ar 2021-23 Ex	penditure Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Central Oregon Intergovernm	\$ 5,923,496	\$ 6,588,506	\$ -	\$ 12,512,002	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$ 5,923,496	\$ 6,588,506	\$ -	\$ 12,512,002	\$ -		

Table 62.

De	eschutes Coun	nty - Statewide	Transport	ation Improv	ement Fund - 202	21-2023 Mea	surable Proje	ect Benefits and	Outcomes		
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in	
				People within Households within Shared with Provided to 9-12 Attending a Students in Grades Grades							
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced	
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares	
Central Oregon Intergovernm	2,182,169	122,178	1,048,284	474,157	263,121	11	108,796	-	135	-	
Total	2,182,169	122,178	1,048,284	474,157	263,121	11	108,796	-	135	-	

Table 63.

Des	Deschutes County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes													
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets													
	Gas or													
	Diesel													
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description		
Central Oregon Intergovernm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-			

Table 64.

	D	eschutes (	County - Statew	ide Trans	portation Imp	rovement	Fund - 2021-20	)23 Fundi	ng Allocated to	Key Prog	gram Criteria			
	1. Increases frequency to     areas with high % of Low-     Income Households      2. Expands routes/service     to areas with high % of Low-     Income Households				communities with	n high % of	4. Procures of L Emission Buses areas with popu	for use in Ilation of	5. Improves freq reliability of servic communities in	ce between side and	6. Improves coo between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	
	Income Hou	seholds	Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	nore	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Central Oregon Intergovernm	\$ 8,511,202	68%	\$ 7,982,402	64%	\$ 1,500,000	12%	\$ -	0%	\$ 3,578,000	29%	\$ 103,600	1%	\$ 3,348,800	27%
Totals	\$ 8,511,202	68%	\$ 7,982,402	64%	\$ 1,500,000	12%	\$ -	0%	\$ 3,578,000	29%	\$ 103,600	1%	\$ 3,348,800	27%

# Gilliam County Table 65.

	Gilliam Coun	ty - Statewide	Transporta	ation Improver	nent Fund - 2021-202	3 Formula Program	
		Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Ex	penditure Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21	Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may		
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Gilliam County	\$ 198,000	\$ 140,000	\$ -	\$ 338,000	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$ 198,000	\$ 140,000	\$ -	\$ 338,000	\$ -		

Table 66.

	Gilliam County	y - Statewide T	ransporta	tion Improve	ment Fund - 2021	2023 Meası	urable Projec	t Benefits and O	utcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Gilliam County	8,000	422	400	30	40	-	154	-	25	-
Total	8,000	422	400	30	40	-	154	-	25	-

Table 67.

	Gil	liam Cou	ınty - St	atewide Tra	nsporta	tion Improv	vement Fu	ınd - 20	21-2023 Ca	apital Inve	estment Outcon	nes			
				Vehicle	e Assets						Other Ass	ets			
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other				Facilities		Signs and Shelters				
	Diesel	CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or													
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description			
												Land acquisition, Ice damage repair,			
Gilliam County	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	2	6	-	1	water drainage upgrades, new facility			
Totals	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	2	6	-	1				

Table 68.

		G	illiam Co	unty - Statewi	de Transp	ortation Impro	vement F	und - 2021-2	023 Fundin	g Allocated	o Key Progi	ram	Criteria			
	1.	Increases freq	juency to	2. Expands rout	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures Emission Bus	of Low or No es for use in		requency and ervice between		6. Improves coo	rdination		
	are	eas with high % of Low-				communities with	n high % of	areas with p	pulation of	communiti	s inside and	b	etween PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stud	ent transit
		Income House	eholds	Income Household		Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000	or more	outside of QI	s service area.	f	fragmentation o	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Gilliam County	\$	155,400	46%	\$ 214,500	63%	\$ 59,000	17%	\$ -	0%	\$ 146,	00 43%	6 \$	94,800	28%	\$ 5,400	2%
Totals	\$	155,400	46%	\$ 214,500	63%	\$ 59,000	17%	\$ -	0%	\$ 146,	00 43%	6 \$	94,800	28%	\$ 5,400	2%

# Grant County Transportation District Table 69.

Grant Cou	nty T	ransport	ation Di	strict	- State	wide	Tran	sportatio	n Improvement Fund	d - 2021-2023 Formula P	rogram				
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent  If No, describe														
			STIF 19	-21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may				
			Carry		Other		Tota	al 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of				
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forward	d	Funds		Ехре	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.				
<b>Grant County Transportation</b>	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200,000	\$ -	Yes					
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200,000	\$ -						

Table 70.

<b>Grant Coun</b>	ty Transporta	tion District - S	Statewide '	Transportation	on Improvement I	und - 2021-2	2023 Measur	able Project Ben	efits and Outco	mes				
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in				
		People within Households within Shared with Provided to 9-12 Attending a Students in Grades Grades 9-12 w												
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced				
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares				
<b>Grant County Transportation</b>	89,736	5,000	20,000	3,876	783	-	5,000	-	-	-				
Total	89,736	5,000	20,000	3,876	783	-	5,000	-	-	-				

Table 71.

<b>Grant County</b>	Transp	ortation	District	t - Statewide	Transp	ortation Im	proveme	nt Fund	- 2021-202	23 Capital	<b>Investment Ou</b>	tcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel	CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description				
<b>Grant County Transportation</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					

Table 72.

	<b>Grant Coun</b>	ty Transp	ortation Distric	t - Statew	vide Transporta	tion Imp	rovement Fund	- 2021-2	023 Funding Al	located to	Key Program	Criteria		
	1. Increases fre	quency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses		5. Improves freq reliability of service	-		ordination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	n % of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income Hous	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or r	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		Income Households  ### of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
<b>Grant County Transportation</b>	\$ 396,000	198%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 4,000	2%
Totals	\$ 396,000	198%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 4,000	2%

# Harney County Table 73.

	Har	ney Coun	ty - State	wide	Transp	oorta	tion	Improven	nent Fund - 2021-20	23 Formula Program					
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent  If No, describe														
			STIF 19-	21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may				
			Carry		Other		Tota	al 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of				
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forward		Funds		Ехре	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.				
Harney County	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	\$ -	Yes						
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200,000	\$ -						

Table 74.

14010 7-11										
	Harney County	y - Statewide T	ransporta	tion Improve	ment Fund - 2021	-2023 Measu	ırable Projec	t Benefits and O	utcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Harney County	184,886	17,669	14,511	18,900	10,000	-	-	-	488	732
Total	184,886	17,669	14,511	18,900	10,000	-	-	-	488	732

Table 75.

На	rney Co	ounty - S	tatewid	e Transport	ation In	provemen	t Fund - 2	021-202	3 Capital I	nvestmen	t Outcomes					
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters															
	Diesel															
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description				
Harney County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	Benches				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-					

Table 76.

	,	Н	larney Co	unty	y - Statewid	le Transp	ortati	ion Impro	vement F	un	d - 2021-202	3 Fundin	g Al	llocated to k	(ey Progra	am	Criteria				
	are	Increases frec	% of Low-	to a	Expands route	% of Low-	comm		high % of	E	4. Procures of Lo mission Buses f areas with popu	or use in lation of	reli:	. Improves frequiability of servic	e between side and	6 b	i. Improves coo etween PTSPs t	o reduce		rovides stude	
		Income House	% of STIF		Income House	% of STIF	LOW	-Income Ho	% of STIF		200,000 or r	% of STIF	out	itside of QE's se	% of STIF		ragmentation o	% of STIF	TOT S	students in gra	% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	A	mount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	,	Amount	budget
Harney County	\$	33,976	17%	\$	119,049	60%	\$	176,706	88%	\$	-	0%	\$	11,157	6%	\$	-	0%	\$	36,341	18%
Totals	\$	33,976	17%	\$	119,049	\$	176,706	88%	\$	-	0%	\$	11,157	6%	\$	-	0%	\$	36,341	18%	

# Hood River Transportation District Table 77.

TUDIC 771											
Hood Riv	er 1	<b>Transporta</b>	tion	District -	Statewide T	ran	sportation	lmp	provement Fund	- 2021-2023 Formula Pr	ogram
				Are projects consistent	If No, describe						
			STI	F 19-21				nds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
			Car	ry	Other	То	tal 2021-23	ended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of	
PTSP Name	STI	IF Funds	For	ward	Funds	Ex	penditures	Plai	n Period	considerations?	Plan.
Hood River Transportation Di	\$	1,059,820	330,180	\$2,004,000	\$	3,394,000	\$	-	Yes		
Totals	\$	1,059,820	\$	330,180	\$2,004,000	\$	3,394,000	\$	-		

Table 78.

Hood Rive	r Transportati	on District - St	atewide T	ransportatio	n Improvement Fu	ınd - 2021-20	023 Measura	ble Project Bene	fits and Outcom	nes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Hood River Transportation Di	668,040	26,858	90,432	12,722	2,590	-	-	-	-	1,000
Total	668,040	26,858	90,432	12,722	2,590	-	-	-	-	1,000

Table 79.

Hood River	Transpo	ortation	District -	- Statewide	Transpo	rtation Imp	rovemen	t Fund -	2021-2023	3 Capital I	nvestment Out	comes			
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Hood River Transportation Di	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-				
Totals	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-				

Table 80.

	Hood River	Transpo	rtation District	- Statewi	de Transportat	ion Impro	ovement Fund	- 2021-20	23 Funding Al	ocated to	Key Program (	riteria		
	1. Increases free	nuency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of I Emission Buses		5. Improves fre	• •	6. Improves co	ordination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	reas with high % of Low- com		n high % of	areas with pop	ulation of	communities	nside and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	
	Income Hous					useholds	200,000 or		outside of QE's		fragmentation		for students in gr	
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Hood River Transportation Di	\$ 290,000	21%	\$ 240,000	17%	\$ 74,000	5%	\$ 60,000	4%	\$ 971,000	70%	\$ 911,000	66%	\$ 234,000	17%
Totals	\$ 290,000	21%	\$ 240,000	17%	\$ 74,000	5%	\$ 60,000	4%	\$ 971,000	70%	\$ 911,000	66%	\$ 234,000	17%

# Jefferson County Table 81.

TUDIC OIL															
	Jeffe	rson Cou	nty ·	Statewic	le Trans	sport	atior	n Improve	ment Fund - 2021-20	)23 Formula Program					
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget Are pro														
			STI	F 19-21					Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may				
			Car	ry	Other		Tota	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of					
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Funds		Ехре	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.				
Central Oregon Intergovernm				434,003	\$	-	\$	901,924	\$ -	Yes					
Totals	\$	467,921	\$	434,003	\$	-	\$	901,924	\$ -						

Table 82.

Je	efferson Coun	ty - Statewide	Transport	ation Improv	ement Fund - 202	1-2023 Meas	surable Proje	ct Benefits and (	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Central Oregon Intergovernm	340,767	6,000	41,995	2,410	1,400	-	4,500	-	1,050	-
Total	340,767	6,000	41,995	2,410	1,400	-	4,500	-	1,050	-

Table 83.

Jeff	ferson C	ounty -	Statewi	de Transpor	tation I	mproveme	nt Fund - 2	2021-20	23 Capital	Investme	nt Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or														
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets											Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Central Oregon Intergovernm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Table 84.

	Je	fferson C	ounty - Statewi	ide Trans	portation Impr	ovement	Fund - 2021-20	23 Fundiı	ng Allocated to	Key Prog	ram Criteria			
	1. Increases fre	quency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces f	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses		5. Improves free reliability of servi		6. Improves o	oordination		
	areas with high	-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities witl	h high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	nside and	between PTS	s to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	nore	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentatio	n of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Central Oregon Intergovernm	\$ 806,534	89%	\$ 657,946	73%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 127,187	14%	\$ -	0%	\$ 212,182	24%
Totals	\$ 806,534	89%	\$ 657,946	73%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 127,187	14%	\$ -	0%	\$ 212,182	24%

# Josephine County Table 85.

14510 051															
	Josephine Cou	nty - Statewic	de Transport	tation Improve	ement Fund - 2021-20	023 Formula Program									
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent  If No, des														
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may								
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of								
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.								
Josephine County	\$ 1,965,118	\$ 1,239,497	\$ 440,675	\$ 3,645,290	\$ -	Yes									
Totals	\$ 1,965,118	\$ 1,239,497	\$ 440,675	\$ 3,645,290	\$ -										

Table 86.

Jo	sephine Coun	ty - Statewide	Transport	ation Improv	rement Fund - 202	1-2023 Mea	surable Proje	ect Benefits and	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares
Josephine County	391,203	20,932	80,559	200,500	32,418	1	18,835	-	-	-
Total	391,203	20,932	80,559	200,500	32,418	1	18,835	-	-	-

Table 87.

Jose	ephine (	County -	Statewi	de Transpoi	rtation I	mproveme	nt Fund -	2021-20	23 Capital	Investme	ent Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters														
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Josephine County	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-				
Totals	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-				

Table 88

		Jos	sephine C	oun	nty - Statewi	de Trans	portation Impr	ovement	Fund	- 2021-20	23 Fundii	ng A	llocated to	Key Prog	ran	n Criteria				
		Increases frec			. Expands routes	-	3. Reduces fa		Emis	rocures of Lossion Buses for swith popul	or use in	relia	Improves frequi bility of service ommunities ins	e between	6.	. Improves coor		7 1	Provides stude	nt transit
	aı	Income House		10 6	Income House		Low-Income Ho	•		200,000 or n			side of QE's se			ragmentation o			students in gra	
			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Ai	mount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget
Josephine County	\$	1,843,200	58%	\$	1,376,180	43%	\$ -	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	2,081,600	65%	\$	94,000	3%	\$	921,600	29%
Totals	\$	1,843,200	58%	\$	1,376,180	43%	\$ -	0%	\$	•	0%	\$	2,081,600	65%	\$	94,000	3%	\$	921,600	29%

# Lake County Table 89.

	La	ke County	/ - S	tatewide	Transpo	ortat	ion Ir	mprovem	ent Fund - 2021-20	023	Formula Program	
				Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-2	23 Ex	pend	iture Budg	jet		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21						with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
		Carry Other						al 2021-23	<b>Expended in Futur</b>	е	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STII	Funds	For	ward	Funds		Ехре	enditures	Plan Period		considerations?	Plan.
Lake County	\$	200,000	\$	223,634	\$	-	\$	423,634	\$ -		Yes	
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	223,634	\$	-	\$	423,634	\$ -			

Table 90.

	Lake County	- Statewide Tra	ansportati	on Improven	nent Fund - 2021-2	2023 Measur	able Project	Benefits and Ou	tcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				•		Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares
Lake County	49,516	3,374	3,772	760	636	5	200	-	250	-
Total	49,516	3,374	3,772	760	636	5	200	-	250	-

Table 91.

1	Lake Cou	ınty - St	atewide	Transporta	tion Imp	rovement	Fund - 20	21-2023	Capital In	vestment	Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters														
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion								Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Lake County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Table 92.

			Lake Cou	nty - State	ide Tran	spor	tation Improv	ement Fu	ınd -	- 2021-2023	Funding	All	ocated to Ke	y Progran	m C	Criteria			
		. Increases freq		2. Expands	-		3. Reduces fa		En	. Procures of Lo mission Buses f	or use in	rel	i. Improves frequiability of servic	e between	6	i. Improves coo		S	
	ar	reas with high % Income House			high % of L ouseholds	ow-	communities with Low-Income Ho	•	ar	reas with popu 200,000 or r			communities in: utside of QE's se			etween PTSPs tragmentation of		Provides stude r students in gr	
			% of STIF		% of S	TIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF		_	% of STIF	_	% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budg	et	Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Lake County	\$	32,136	8%	\$ 414	256	98%	\$ 42,164	10%	\$	-	0%	\$	276,786	65%	\$	41,164	10%	\$ 40,762	10%
Totals	\$	32,136	8%	\$ 414	256	98%	\$ 42,164	10%	\$	-	0%	\$	276,786	65%	\$	41,164	10%	\$ 40,762	10%

#### **Lane Transit District**

Table 93.

Lane Transit Distr	ict - Statew	ide Transı	portation l	Improveme	ent Fund - 2021	-2023 Formula Pro	ogram
		Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Exp	enditure Budge	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry		Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Other Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
City of Cottage Grove	\$ -	\$ 211,185	\$ -	\$ 211,185	\$ -	Yes	
City of Eugene	\$ -	\$ 490,000	\$ 36,500	\$ 526,500	\$ -	Yes	
Lane Council of Governments	\$ -	\$ 120,000	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ -	Yes	
Lane Transit District	\$ 13,103,074	\$ 4,580,000	\$ 10,956,887	\$ 28,639,961	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$ 13,103,074	\$ 5,401,185	\$12,073,387	\$ 30,577,646	\$ -		

Table 94.

14516 541										
Lane Transit	District - Sta	tewide Trans	ortation	Improveme	nt Fund - 2021-20	023 Measur	able Project	Benefits and C	utcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
City of Cottage Grove	68,850	1,350	5,000	12,149	1,794	4	-	-	-	ı
City of Eugene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lane Council of Governments	220,800	7,488	9,968	17,840	12,185	-	-	-	-	ı
Lane Transit District	369,620	21,769	110,530	258,822	51,942	-	-	18,603	603	18,000
Totals	659,270	30,607	125,498	288,811	65,921	4	-	18,603	603	18,000

Table 95.

Lane Tran	sit Distr	ict - Stat	tewide 1	Transportati	on Impr	ovement F	und - 202	1-2023 (	Capital Inve	estment C	Outcomes	
				Vehicl	e Assets						Other Assets	
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other			Facilities		Signs and Shelters		
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description
City of Cottage Grove	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
City of Eugene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	Bikes and Bike hubs
Lane Council of Governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
												Remote Displays,
												Real Time Software,
Lane Transit District	23	-	8	-	7	35	3	38	-	103	40	Fare Validators
Totals	23	-	8	-	7	35	3	38	-	183	40	

Table 96.

	La	ane Trans	it Distric	:t -	- Statewide	Transpo	orta	tion Impro	ovemen	t Fun	d - 2021	-2023 Fι	undi	ing Allocat	ted to Ke	ey Pro	gram C	riteria		
				_							ocures of Lo			Improves frequ	-					
	1.1	Increases freq	juency to	2.	. Expands route:	s/services		3. Reduces fa	res in	Emiss	sion Buses f	or use in	relia	ability of servic	e between	6. Imp	oroves coo	rdination		
	are	as with high 9	% of Low-	to	areas with high	% of Low-	com	nmunities with	high % of	areas	s with popu	lation of	cc	ommunities in	side and	betwe	en PTSPs t	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	I	Income House	eholds		Income House	holds	Lo	w-Income Hou	ıseholds	2	200,000 or n	nore	out	side of QE's se	rvice area.	fragm	entation o	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12
			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Ar	mount	budget		Amount	budget	Am	nount	budget	Amount	budget
City of Cottage Grove	\$	84,474	40%	\$	126,711	60%	\$	42,237	20%	\$	-	0%	\$	84,474	40%	\$	84,474	40%	\$ -	0%
City of Eugene	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	416,500	85%	\$	-	0%	\$	220,500	45%	\$	240,100	49%	\$ 102,900	21%
Lane Council of Governments	\$	240,000	200%	\$	240,000	200%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	240,000	200%	\$	240,000	200%	\$ -	0%
Lane Transit District	\$	9,762,874	55%	\$	12,886,324	73%	\$	21,375,748	121%	\$ 1	17,975,748	102%	\$	3,495,400	20%	\$ :	3,432,000	19%	\$ 4,310,000	24%
Totals	\$	10,087,348	55%	\$	13,253,035	72%	\$	21,834,485	118%	\$ 1	17,975,748	97%	\$	4,040,374	22%	\$ 3	3,996,574	22%	\$ 4,412,900	24%

# Lincoln County Table 97.

	Lincoln Coun	ty - Statewide	Transport	ation Improver	nent Fund - 2021-202	3 Formula Program	
		Fiscal Yea	ar 2021-23 E	xpenditure Budg	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry	Other	Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Lincoln County Transportation	\$ 1,330,754	\$ 1,392,228	\$ -	\$ 2,722,982	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$ 1,330,754	\$ 1,392,228	\$ -	\$ 2,722,982	\$ -		

Table 98.

Tubic 501										
	Lincoln Count	y - Statewide T	ransporta	tion Improve	ment Fund - 2021	-2023 Measu	ırable Projec	t Benefits and O	utcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Lincoln County Transportation	302,800	12,112	63,500	98,979	25,631	7	8,000	1,974	-	1,974
Total	302,800	12,112	63,500	98,979	25,631	7	8,000	1,974	-	1,974

Table 99.

Lir	ncoln Co	ounty - S	tatewid	e Transport	ation Im	provemen	t Fund - 20	021-202	3 Capital II	nvestmen	t Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or														
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units										Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Updated	Description											
Lincoln County Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	Signage			
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100				

Table 100.

	L	incoln Co	unty - Statewic	le Transp	ortation Impro	vement F	und - 2021-202	3 Funding	g Allocated to I	Key Progra	am Criteria			
	1. Increases free	quency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses		5. Improves freq reliability of service	-	6. Improves cod	ordination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	o areas with high % of Low-		h high % of	areas with popu	lation of	communities in	side and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	nore	outside of QE's se	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in gr	ades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Lincoln County Transportation	\$ 1,630,980	60%	\$ 2,011,012	74%	\$ 460,000	17%	\$ -	0%	\$ 3,191,012	117%	\$ 1,943,146	71%	\$ 100,000	4%
Totals	\$ 1,630,980	60%	\$ 2,011,012	74%	\$ 460,000	17%	\$ -	0%	\$ 3,191,012	117%	\$ 1,943,146	71%	\$ 100,000	4%

### **Linn County**

Table 101.

Linn County -	Sta	atewide 1	Tra	nsporta	atio	n Impr	ove	ment F	und	d - 2021-202	3 Formu	la Prograi	m	
				Fiscal Ye	ar 202	21-23 Exp	endit	ture Budge	?t		Are projec	ts consistent	If No, des	cribe
			STI	F 19-21					Fun	ds to be	with stat	ute, OARs,	inconsistencies	that may
			Car	ry			guidance	, and OTC	be basis for re	jection of				
PTSP Name	ST	IF Funds	For	ward	Othe	r Funds	Expe	nditures	Plan	Period	conside	erations?	Plan.	
City of Albany	\$	1,110,356	\$	580,144	\$ 3,	829,600	\$ 5	5,520,100	\$	-	Yes			
City of Lebanon	\$	1,123,000	\$	-	\$	596,000	\$ 1	1,719,000	\$	-	Yes			
Linn Couny	\$	1,826,000	\$ 1	1,443,000	\$ 1,	610,000	\$ 4	4,879,000	\$	-	Yes			
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governme	\$	30,625	\$	-	\$	1	\$	30,625	\$	-	Yes			
Totals	\$	4,089,981	\$ 2	2,023,144	\$ 6,	035,600	\$ 12	2,148,725	\$	-				

**Table 102.** 

14516 102.										
Linn Cou	ınty - Statewi	de Transporta	ation Impi	rovement Fu	ınd - 2021-2023 I	Measurable	Project Ben	efits and Outco	omes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
City of Albany	395,250	21,503	247,500	110,616	26,412	40	4,000	-	-	-
City of Lebanon	173,600	19,728	73,500	33,264	8,116	3	-	2,259	2,259	-
Linn Couny	220,586	15,282	44,500	43,762	24,200	7	2,500	512	125	762
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	789,436	56,513	365,500	187,642	58,728	50	6,500	2,771	2,384	762

**Table 103.** 

Linn Co	ounty - S	Statewic	de Trans	sportation Ir	nproven	nent Fund -	2021-202	23 Capit	al Investm	ent Outco	mes					
				Vehicl	e Assets						Other Assets					
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other				Facilities		Signs and Shelters					
	Diesel	el CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles Vehicles Vehicles Vehicles ve Fuel Vehicles Vehicles Vehicles or Expanded Equipment Updated Description														
City of Albany	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	Scheduling software				
City of Lebanon	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-					
Linn Couny	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-					
Totals	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-					

#### **Table 104.**

		Linn Co	ounty - S	ta	tewide Tra	nsportat	ion Improve	ment Fu	nd -	2021-202	3 Fundi	ng	Allocated t	to Key Pr	og	ram Criter	ia			
										Procures of Lo			. Improves frequ	-						
		l. Increases frec reas with high 9			. Expands route	•	3. Reduces factoring communities with			ission Buses f eas with popu			iability of service communities ins			. Improves coo etween PTSPs t		7 0	Provides stude	nt transit
	а	Income House		Income Households L			Low-Income Ho	Ū	are	200,000 or r			itside of QE's se			agmentation o			students in gr	
			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	,	Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget
City of Albany	\$	754,650.00	45%	\$	754,650.00	45%	\$ 23,100.00	1%	\$	69,300.00	4%	\$	1,182,750.00	70%	\$	281,550.00	17%	\$	315,000.00	19%
City of Lebanon	\$	741,180.00	66%	\$	606,420.00	54%	\$ 112,300.00	10%	\$	-	0%	\$	404,280.00	36%	\$	336,900.00	30%	\$	44,920.00	4%
Linn Couny	\$	1,868,500.00	56%	\$	2,098,700.00	63%	\$ 191,000.00	6%	\$	-	0%	\$	1,284,100.00	39%	\$	1,048,580.00	32%	\$	127,120.00	4%
Oregon Cascades West Counc	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$ -	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	30,625.00	100%	\$	30,625.00	100%	\$	-	0%
Totals	\$	3,364,330	55%	\$	3,459,770	56%	\$ 326,400	5%	\$	69,300	1%	\$	2,901,755	47%	\$	1,697,655	28%	\$	487,040	8%

### **Malheur County**

**Table 105.** 

	Mall	heur Cour	nty -	Statewid	e Trans	port	atio	n Improvei	ment Fund	- 2021-20	23 Formula Program	
				Fiscal Yea		Are projects consistent	If No, describe					
			ST	F 19-21					е	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
			Car	ry	Other		To	tal 2021-23	n Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of	
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Funds		Exp	enditures	<b>Plan Period</b>		considerations?	Plan.
Malheur County	\$	972,654	\$	525,048	\$	-	\$	1,497,702	\$	45,996	Yes	
Totals	\$	972,654	\$	525,048	\$	-	\$	1,497,702	\$	45,996		

**Table 106.** 

	·			·	<del></del>		-		·	<del></del>
N	Malheur Count	ty - Statewide T	<b>Fransporta</b>	ation Improve	ement Fund - 202:	L-2023 Meas	urable Proje	ct Benefits and C	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares
Malheur County	126,134	13,155	84,423	28,257	5,290	1	-	1,391	-	-
Total	126,134	13,155	84,423	28,257	5,290	1	-	1,391	-	-

**Table 107.** 

		Mal	heur Co	unty - State	wide Tra	ansportatio	n Improv	ement F	und - 202	1-2023 Ca	pital Investmen	t Outcomes							
				Vehicle	e Assets							Other Assets							
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other				Facilities		Signs and Shelters								
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or													
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description							
												Signage, lighting, Radio station updgrade, fleet management							
Malheur County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	-	software, GIS real time software, dispatch software							
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	-								

**Table 108.** 

		M	alheur Co	ount	ty - Statewi	de Transp	ortation Impro	ovement l	Fun	d - 2021-202	23 Fundin	ng A	Allocated to	Key Progr	ram	n Criteria				
									4	. Procures of Lo	ow or No	5.	. Improves freq	uency and						
	1	. Increases free	uency to	2.	<b>Expands route</b>	s/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	Er	mission Buses f	or use in	reli	iability of servic	e between	6	6. Improves coo	rdination			
	ar	reas with high 🤋	% of Low-	to a	areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	aı	reas with popu	lation of	C	communities in	side and	b	etween PTSPs t	to reduce	7.	. Provides stude	ent transit
		Income House	come Households Income			eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds		200,000 or n	nore	ou	tside of QE's se	rvice area.	f	ragmentation of	of service	fo	or students in gr	ades 9-12
			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget
Malheur County	\$	826,209	55%	\$	826,209	55%	\$ 10,000	1%	\$	-	0%	\$	1,290,743	86%	\$	-	0%	\$	42,242	3%
Totals	\$	826,209	55%	\$	826,209	55%	\$ 10,000	1%	\$	-	0%	\$	1,290,743	86%	\$	-	0%	\$	42,242	3%

# Morrow County Table 109.

	Mor	row Cour	ity -	Statewid	e Tı	ransporta	itio	n Improver	ner	nt Fund - 2021-202	23 Formula Program	
				Fiscal Yea	Are projects consistent	If No, describe						
			STI	F 19-21					ınds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
			Car	ry	Ot	her	То	tal 2021-23	pended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of	
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Fui	nds	Exp	penditures	Pla	n Period	considerations?	Plan.
Morrow County	\$	566,500	\$	500,000	\$	371,900	\$	1,438,400	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$	566,500	\$	500,000	\$	371,900	\$	1,438,400	\$	-		

Table 110.

100.0 1201										
ľ	Morrow Count	y - Statewide 1	<b>Fransporta</b>	ntion Improve	ement Fund - 2021	L- <b>2023</b> Meas	urable Proje	ct Benefits and C	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Morrow County	48,000	2,400	1,200	3,825	23,905	-	-	275	275	-
Total	48,000	2,400	1,200	3,825	23,905	-	-	275	275	-

Table 111.

IV	lorrow C	ounty - S	Statewic	de Transport	tation In	nprovemen	t Fund - 2	2021-202	23 Capital I	nvestmer	nt Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets Con an Universit Prince   Other														
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters														
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Morrow County	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	1	23	iTransitNW			
Totals	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	1	23				

Table 112.

	M	lorrow Co	unty	y - Statewic	de Transp	ortation Impro	vement f	und - 2021	-2023	3 Fundin	g Allo	cated to I	Key Progr	am	Criteria				
	Increases frec reas with high 9 Income House	% of Low-	to a	Expands routes reas with high Income House	% of Low-	3. Reduces for communities with Low-Income Ho	n high % of	4. Procures Emission Bu areas with	ses fo	or use in ation of	reliabil com	proves frequently of services instantiates i	e between side and	6 b	. Improves cool etween PTSPs t ragmentation o	o reduce		Provides stude	
	meome nous	% of STIF			% of STIF	LOW III COINC 110	% of STIF	200,00		% of STIF	outsid	ic 01 QL 3 3c	% of STIF			% of STIF	101	<u> </u>	% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount		budget	Ar	mount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget
Morrow County	\$ 493,380	46%	\$	726,030	68%	\$ 190,000	18%	\$	-	0%	\$	251,600	24%	\$	365,340	34%	\$	106,650	10%
Totals	\$ 493,380	46%	\$	726,030	68%	\$ 190,000	18%	\$	-	0%	\$	251,600	24%	\$	365,340	34%	\$	106,650	10%

# Rogue Valley Transportation District Table 113.

Rogue Va	llev Transnori	ation District	- Statewide T	ransnortation	Improvement Fund	- 2021-2023 Formula Pi	ngram								
Nogue va	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent  If No, describe														
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may								
		Carry		Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of								
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Other Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.								
Rogue Valley Transportation	\$ 7,874,370	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 24,624,370	\$ -	Yes									
Totals	\$ 7,874,370	\$ 3,250,000	\$13,500,000	\$ 24,624,370	\$ -										

Table 114.

Rogue Valle	ey Transportat	tion District - S	tatewide <sup>-</sup>	Transportatio	on Improvement F	und - 2021-2	2023 Measur	able Project Ben	efits and Outcor	mes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with				
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Rogue Valley Transportation I	1,287,043	128,777	389,648	136,214	58,077	-	-	5,065	-	3,000
Total	1,287,043	128,777	389,648	136,214	58,077	-	-	5,065	-	3,000

**Table 115.** 

Rogue	Valley T	ranspoi	rtation <b>C</b>	District - Stat	ewide T	ransportat	ion Impro	vement	Fund - 20	21-2023 (	Capital Investme	ent Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets															
	Gas or															
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or					
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description				
Rogue Valley Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	-	Campus Improvements, LCD Screens				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	-					

**Table 116.** 

	Rogue Va	lley Transp	ortation Distric	t - Statew	vide Transporta	tion Imp	ovement Fund	- 2021-20	023 Funding All	ocated to	Key Program	Criteria		
		_					4. Procures of L		5. Improves freq	-				
	1. Increases areas with h	frequency to	2. Expands route	•	3. Reduces fa communities with		Emission Buses i areas with popu		reliability of services in		6. Improves coo between PTSPs		7. Provides stude	ent transit
		ouseholds			Low-Income Ho	_	200,000 or i		outside of QE's se		fragmentation		for students in gr	
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Rogue Valley Transportation I	\$ 6,277,8	40 56%	\$ 6,398,784	58%	\$ 1,686,260	15%	\$ -	0%	\$ 6,242,880	56%	\$ -	0%	\$ 1,642,976	15%
Totals	\$ 6,277,8	40 56%	\$ 6,398,784	58%	\$ 1,686,260	15%	\$ -	0%	\$ 6,242,880	56%	\$ -	0%	\$ 1,642,976	15%

#### **Salem Area Mass Transit District**

**Table 117.** 

Salem Area Mass Trans	it Distri	ct - S	Statewide	Tra	nsporta	ation Imp	roven	nent Fund -	2021-2023 Formu	ıla Program
				ar 20.	21-23 Ехр		Are projects consistent	·		
			STIF 19-21 Carry			Total 2021-2		to be ded in Future	with statute, OARs, guidance, and OTC	inconsistencies that may be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Fund		Forward	Oth	er Funds	Expenditures	•		considerations?	Plan.
City of Silverton	\$ 125	5,000	\$ 420,301	\$	-	\$ 545,30	L \$	-	Yes	
City of Woodburn	\$ 751	L,500	\$ 339,000	\$	36,000	\$ 1,126,500	) \$	-	Yes	
Salem Area Mass Transit District	\$ 14,548	3,851	\$ 10,314,433	\$	18,885	\$ 24,882,169	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$ 15,425	,351	\$11,073,734	\$	54,885	\$ 26,553,970	\$	-		

Table 118.

Salem Area Mass 1	Salem Area Mass Transit District - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Measurable Project Benefits and Outcomes														
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in					
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with					
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced					
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares					
City of Silverton	19,500	1,040	10,400	10,000	2,400	-	-	-	10	-					
City of Woodburn	124,000	9,310	16,650	100,000	16,000	2	-	3,000	-	-					
Salem Area Mass Transit District	2,200,833	202,681	1,438,616	1,290,835	188,105	2	-	64,708	-	-					
Total	2,344,333	213,031	1,465,666	1,400,835	206,505	4	-	67,708	10	-					

**Table 119.** 

Salem Area Mass	Transi	t District	t - State	wide Transp	ortation	Improven	ent Fund	- 2021-2	2023 Capit	al Investn	nent Outcomes			
				Vehicl	e Assets					Other Assets				
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other			Facilities		Signs and Shelters				
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles													
City of Silverton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
City of Woodburn	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	-			
Salem Area Mass Transit District	-													
Totals	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	-			

#### Table 120.

Sale	m	Area Mass	Transit	Di	strict - Stat	ewide T	rar	nsportatio	n Improv	⁄en	ment Fund	- 2021-2	202	3 Funding	Allocate	d t	o Key Prog	gram Crit	eria	
		1. Increases frequences	• •		. Expands route areas with high	•	cor	3. Reduces fa		E	4. Procures of L mission Buses t areas with popu	or use in	rel	. Improves freq iability of servic communities in	e between		5. Improves coo etween PTSPs t		7. Provides stude	ent transit
		Income House		Income Households		L	ow-Income Ho	useholds		200,000 or i		ou	tside of QE's se	rvice area.	f	ragmentation o	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12	
			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget
City of Silverton	\$	327,180.60	60%	\$	327,180.60	60%	\$	196,308.36	36%	\$	-	0%	\$	109,060.20	20%	\$	109,060.20	20%	\$ 21,812.04	4%
City of Woodburn	\$	371,250.00	34%	\$	371,250.00	34%	\$	22,000.00	2%	\$	-	0%	\$	1,004,000.00	92%	\$	275,000.00	25%	\$ 137,500.00	13%
Salem Area Mass Transit Distr	\$	49,010,756.36	197%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	255,938.26	1%	\$ 497,643.38	2%
Totals	\$	49,709,187	187%	\$	698,431	3%	\$	218,308	1%	\$	-	0%	\$	1,113,060	4%	\$	639,998	2%	\$ 656,955	2%

# Sherman County Table 121.

	Sherman County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Formula Program													
			et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe									
			STI	F 19-21				Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may				
			Car	ry			Tot	<b>Expended in Future</b>	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of				
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Oth	er Funds	Ехр	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.			
Sherman County	\$	200,000	\$	187,500	\$	50,000	\$	437,500	\$ -	Yes				
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	187,500	\$	50,000	\$	437,500	\$ -					

Table 122.

S	herman Count	ty - Statewide	Transporta	ation Improve	ement Fund - 202	1-2023 Meas	surable Proje	ct Benefits and (	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Sherman County	102,093	4,577	2,893	3,560	564	-	40	-	59	-
Total	102,093	4,577	2,893	3,560	564	-	40	-	59	-

**Table 123.** 

She	erman C	ounty -	Statewi	de Transpor	tation lı	mprovemei	nt Fund - 2	2021-20	23 Capital	Investme	nt Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Sherman County	-														
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Table 124.

		Sherman (	County - Statew	ide Trans	portation Impr	ovement	Fund - 2021-20	23 Fundiı	ng Allocated to	Key Prog	ram Criteria			
		frequency to	-	2. Expands routes/services		ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses	for use in	5. Improves freq reliability of servi	ce between	6. Improves cod			
		igh % of Low- ouseholds	to areas with high % of Lov		communities with	_	areas with popu 200,000 or i		communities in outside of QE's se		between PTSPs		7. Provides stude for students in gr	
	income	% of STIF		Income Households  % of STIF		% of STIF	,	% of STIF	outside of QE S Se	% of STIF	fragmentation	% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Sherman County	\$ 42	000 119	6  \$            \$33,600	9%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 649,000	167%	\$ 42,000	11%	\$ 8,400	2%
Totals	\$ 42	000 119	<b>\$</b> 33,600	9%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 649,000	167%	\$ 42,000	11%	\$ 8,400	2%

# **Sunset Empire Transportation District** Table 125.

Sunset En	npire Transpo	rtation Distri	ct - Statewide	Transportatio	n Improvement Fund	l - 2021-2023 Formula P	rogram
		Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Exp	enditure Budge	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry		Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Other Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Sunset Empire Transportation	\$ 1,070,959	\$ 277,950	\$ 1,898,852	\$ 3,247,761	\$ 800,000	Yes	
Totals	\$ 1,070,959	\$ 277,950	\$ 1,898,852	\$ 3,247,761	\$ 800,000		

Table 126.

Sunset Emp	ire Transporta	tion District - S	Statewide	Transportati	on Improvement	Fund - 2021-	<b>2023</b> Measu	rable Project Ber	nefits and Outco	mes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Sunset Empire Transportation	175,153	8,810	49,040	26,201	5,712	-	-	-	-	3,152
Total	175,153	8,810	49,040	26,201	5,712	-	-	-	-	3,152

**Table 127.** 

Sunset Empire	e Transp	ortatio	n Distric	t - Statewide	e Transp	ortation In	nproveme	nt Fund	l - 2021-20	23 Capita	l Investment Ou	ıtcomes		
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets													
	Gas or													
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets		
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description		
Sunset Empire Transportation	9	-	-	-	-	7	2	9	-	-	3	Shelters and signage		
Totals	9	-	-	-	-	7	2	9	-	-	3			

Table 128.

	Sunset Empi	re Transp	ortation Distric	t - Statev	vide Transport	ation Imp	rovement Fund	d - 2021-2	023 Funding A	llocated t	o Key Program	Criteria		
	1. Increases free	-	2. Expands route	•	3. Reduces fa		4. Procures of L Emission Buses	for use in	5. Improves frequency reliability of services	ce between	6. Improves cod			
	areas with high		to areas with high		communities with Low-Income Ho	_	areas with popu		communities in		between PTSPs		7. Provides stude	
	Income Hous	% of STIF		Income Households  % of STIF		% of STIF	200,000 or i	% of STIF	outside of QE's se	% of STIF	fragmentation	% of STIF	for students in g	% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Sunset Empire Transportation	\$ 746,368	55%	\$ 582,043	43%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 706,533	52%	\$ 19,760	1%	\$ 33,889	3%
Totals	\$ 746,368	55%	\$ 582,043	43%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 706,533	52%	\$ 19,760	1%	\$ 33,889	3%

#### The Klamath Tribes

**Table 129.** 

10.010 ==01															
	The K	(lamath T	ribes - S	Statev	vide Tra	nspor	tatio	n Improv	ement Fund - 2021-	2023 Formula Program					
	Fiscal Year 2021-23 Expenditure Budget  Are projects consistent  If No, describe														
			STIF 19	-21				Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may					
			Carry				Tot	al 2021-23	<b>Expended in Future</b>	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of				
PTSP Name	STIF Funds Forward			d	Other	Funds	Expe	enditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.				
The Klamath Tribes	\$	450,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	450,000	\$ -	Yes					
Totals	\$	450,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	450,000	\$ -						

Table 130.

	•					•				
Th	e Klamath Tril	bes - Statewide	<b>Transpor</b>	tation Impro	vement Fund - 20	21-2023 Mea	asurable Proj	ect Benefits and	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within Households withi		Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares
The Klamath Tribes	37,920	5,824	367	287	163	-	2,000	-	-	-
Total	37,920	5,824	367	287	163	-	2,000	-	-	-

**Table 131.** 

The	Klamath	Tribes	- Statew	ide Transpo	rtation	Improvem	ent Fund -	- 2021-2	023 Capita	l Investm	ent Outcomes				
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
The Klamath Tribes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Table 132.

		The	Klamath	Tribes - State	wide Tran	sportation Imp	rovemen	t Fund - 2021-2	023 Fund	ing Allocated t	o Key Pro	gram Cr	riteria	•		
		Increases freq		-	2. Expands routes/services o areas with high % of Low-		ares in	4. Procures of L Emission Buses areas with popu	for use in	5. Improves freq reliability of service communities in	ce between	6. Imp		rdination to reduce	7. Provides stud	ent transit
	<b>4.</b>	Income House		Income Hou	•	Low-Income Ho	Ū	200,000 or		outside of QE's se			entation o		for students in g	
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amo	ount	budget	Amount	budget
The Klamath Tribes	\$	45,000	10%	\$ 145,00	32%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 630,000	140%	\$	-	0%	\$ 80,000	18%
Totals	\$	45,000	10%	\$ 145,00	32%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 630,000	140%	\$	-	0%	\$ 80,000	18%

# Tillamook County Transportation District Table 133.

Tillamook C	ount	ty Transp	orta	tion Distr	ict - Statev	wide	e Tra	ansportati	on Improv	ement Fur	nd - 2021-2023 Formula	Program
				Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23	Ехре	endi	ture Budge	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21					ре	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may	
	Carry						Tot	al 2021-23	Expended	in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds Forward				Other Fun	ıds	Ехр	enditures	Plan Perio	d	considerations?	Plan.
Tillamook County Transporta	\$	523,570	\$	360,000	\$ 1,609,0	000	\$	2,492,570	\$	200,000	Yes	
Totals	\$	523,570	\$	360,000	\$ 1,609,0	000	\$	2,492,570	\$	200,000		

Table 134.

Tillamook Cou	unty Transpor	tation District	- Statewid	e Transporta	tion Improvemen	t Fund - 202:	1-2023 Meas	urable Project B	enefits and Outo	comes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Tillamook County Transporta	275,850	26,035	38,700	155,200	52,378	13	2,500	9,120	2,540	6,510
Total	275,850	26,035	38,700	155,200	52,378	13	2,500	9,120	2,540	6,510

**Table 135.** 

Tillamook Coun	ty Trans	sportati	on Distr	ict - Statewi	de Tran	sportation	Improven	nent Fur	nd - 2021-2	2023 Capit	tal Investment (	Outcomes			
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Tillamook County Transporta	13	-	-	-	-	8	5	13	-	-	-				
Totals	13	-	-	-	-	8	5	13	-	-	-				

Table 136.

	Tillamook Cou	nty Trans	sportation Dist	rict - State	ewide Transpo	rtation Im	provement Fu	nd - 2021	-2023 Funding	Allocated	to Key Prograi	m Criteria		
	1. Increases free	quency to	2. Expands route	es/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	4. Procures of I Emission Buses		5. Improves free reliability of servi		6. Improves cod	ordination		
	areas with high	% of Low-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with pop	ulation of	communities i	nside and	between PTSPs	to reduce	7. Provides stud	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income Hous	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or	more	outside of QE's s	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Tillamook County Transporta	\$ 370,485	42%	\$ 380,485	43%	\$ 194,000	22%	\$ 73,314	8%	\$ 167,200	19%	\$ 243,828	28%	\$ 337,828	38%
Totals	\$ 370,485	42%	\$ 380,485	43%	\$ 194,000	22%	\$ 73,314	8%	\$ 167,200	19%	\$ 243,828	28%	\$ 337,828	38%

# Tri County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon Table 137.

Tri County Metropolitan Transpo	orta	ation Distr	ic	t of Orego	n	- Statewi	de	<b>Transport</b>	tat	ion Improveme	nt Fund - 2021-2023	Formula Program
				Fiscal Ye	ar 2	2021-23 Ехр	ena	liture Budge	t		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			ST	TF 19-21					F	unds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Ca	rry			То	tal 2021-23	E>	pended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	ST	IF Funds	Fo	rward	Ot	ther Funds	Ex	penditures	PI	an Period	considerations?	Plan.
Canby Area Transit	\$	620,302	\$	513,675	\$	7,000	\$	1,140,977	\$	-	Yes	
City of Portland	\$	1,442,000	\$	-	\$	29,100,000	\$	30,542,000	\$	-	Yes	
City of Sandy	\$	1,359,614	\$	313,575	\$	15,361	\$	1,688,550	\$	108,749	Yes	
Clackamas County	\$	2,512,199	\$	1,577,634	\$	360,356	\$	4,450,189	\$	-	Yes	
Columbia County Rider	\$	116,976	\$	-	\$	-	\$	116,976	\$	-	Yes	
Multnomah County	\$	1,201,278	\$	973,280	\$	15,651	\$	2,190,209	\$	-	Yes	
South Clackamas Transportation District	\$	478,000	\$	193,000	\$	3,500	\$	674,500	\$	-	Yes	
South Metro Area Regional Transit	\$	3,036,230	\$	897,386	\$	1,464,602	\$	5,398,218	\$	-	Yes	
Tri County Metropolitan Transportation Distr	\$ 1	101,288,495	\$	31,011,571	\$	9,062,153	\$	141,362,219	\$	30,846,827	Yes	
Washington County	\$	3,003,088	\$	733,776	\$	803,284	\$	4,540,148	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$1	.15,058,182	\$	36,213,897	\$4	40,831,907	\$:	192,103,986	\$	30,955,576		

**Table 138.** 

Tri County Metropolitan Transp	ortation Dist	rict of Oregor	ı - Statewi	de Transpor	tation Improven	nent Fund -	2021-2023 ľ	Measurable Pro	ject Benefits a	nd Outcomes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Canby Area Transit	43,400	9,015	20,000	49,137	14,371	-	-	1,453	-	-
City of Portland	427,910	71,000	4,500,000	28,000	5,699	-	-	-	-	-
City of Sandy	296,465	18,944	79,920	76,747	10,124	1	200	1,211	-	1,211
Clackamas County	299,200	16,928	41,658	146,745	39,388	6	2,100	-	500	-
Columbia County Rider	50,490	1,464	3,400	33,396	8,149	-	-	1,933	-	-
Multnomah County	108,036	8,863	74,928	423,747	142,192	22	-	-	-	-
South Clackamas Transportation District	126,700	5,294	13,400	42,000	7,180	-	-	1,460	-	-
South Metro Area Regional Transit	717,898	16,545	159,520	32,504	3,488	8	-	-	-	-
Tri County Metropolitan Transportation Distr	6,373,729	480,061	24,575,922	880,605	92,439	-	-	-	-	32,786
Washington County	716,785	47,541	318,429	130,979	14,877	11	45,027	-	1,500	-
Totals	9,160,613	675,655	29,787,177	1,843,860	337,907	48	47,327	6,057	2,000	33,997

**Table 139.** 

Tri County Metropolitan	Transpo	ortation	District	of Oregon -	Statewi	de Transpo	rtation In	nproven	nent Fund	- 2021-20	23 Capital Inves	tment Outcomes
				Vehicl	e Assets						Other Assets	
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other				Facilities		Signs and Shelters	
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description
Canby Area Transit	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	
City of Portland	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
City of Sandy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	Stop improvements
Clackamas County	9	-	-	-	-	3	6	9	-	1	42	Dispatch software, signs, shelters
Columbia County Rider	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Multnomah County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
South Clackamas Transportation District	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	156	Signage and shelters
South Metro Area Regional Transit	-	3	2	-	-	4	1	5	-	2	-	Electric chargers
												Sanitation equipment, digital
												displays, security cameras,
Tri County Metropolitan Transportation Distr	11	-	4	-	-	14	1	15	-	786	340	lighting, shelters, concrete pads
												Electric chargers, signs, shelters,
Washington County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	26	concrete pads
Totals	25	3	6	-	-	24	10	34	2	789	565	

**Table 140.** 

Tri County Metro	pc	olitan Trans	sportati	on	District of	Oregon -	- Statewide T	ransport	tation Improv	ement l	Fur	nd - 2021-2	023 Fund	dir	ng Allocate	d to Key	Pro	ogram Crit	eria
									4. Procures of L	ow or No	5	. Improves freq	uency and						
	1	L. Increases free	quency to	:	2. Expands route	s/services	3. Reduces fa	ares in	Emission Buses	for use in	rel	liability of servic	e between	$\epsilon$	5. Improves coo	rdination			
	а	reas with high s	% of Low-	to	o areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	n high % of	areas with popu	lation of		communities in	side and	b	etween PTSPs	to reduce	7.	Provides stude	ent transit
		Income Hous	eholds		Income House	eholds	Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or i	nore	ου	utside of QE's se	rvice area.	f	fragmentation of	of service	for	students in gr	rades 9-12
			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget
Canby Area Transit	\$	729,451.20	64%	<b>á</b> \$	729,451.20	64%	\$ 29,867.50	3%	\$ -	0%	\$	280,181.20	25%	\$	124,160.40	11%	\$	90,167.50	8%
City of Portland	\$	1,442,000.00	100%	<b>á</b> \$	1,442,000.00	100%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%
City of Sandy	\$	709,920.50	42%	<b>ś</b> \$	839,288.50	50%	\$ 10,360.00	1%	\$ 10,360.00	1%	\$	945,980.10	57%	\$	767,322.10	46%	\$	88,868.80	5%
Clackamas County	\$	1,930,507.50	47%	<b>á</b> \$	693,868.50	17%	\$ 3,030,116.20	74%	\$ -	0%	\$	763,013.20	19%	\$	892,212.30	22%	\$	566,176.30	14%
Columbia County Rider	\$	105,278.40	90%	<b>á</b> \$	-	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$	116,976.00	100%	\$	-	0%	\$	11,697.60	10%
Multnomah County	\$	960,604.00	44%	<b>á</b> \$	960,604.00	44%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$	1,229,605.00	57%	\$	1,229,605.00	57%	\$	-	0%
South Clackamas Transportati	\$	113,550.00	17%	<b>\$</b>	38,000.00	6%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$	504,400.00	75%	\$	293,700.00	44%	\$	35,350.00	5%
South Metro Area Regional Tr	\$	1,092,575.74	28%	<b>\$</b>	503,801.06	13%	\$ 907,632.56	23%	\$ 1,768,346.56	45%	\$	1,108,354.06	28%	\$	1,121,854.06	29%	\$	307,028.96	8%
Tri County Metropolitan Trans	\$	76,668,433.00	58%	<b>\$</b>	76,668,433.00	58%	\$ 51,800,000.00	39%	\$ 28,689,200.00	22%	\$	14,560,504.00	11%	\$	14,560,504.00	11%	\$	2,000,000.00	2%
Washington County	\$	1,171,704.00	31%	<b>\$</b>	1,654,834.00	44%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$	1,767,536.50	47%	\$	2,076,865.00	56%	\$	930,400.50	25%
Totals	\$	84,924,024	56%	<b>\$</b>	83,530,280	55%	\$ 55,777,976	37%	\$ 30,467,907	20%	\$	21,276,550	14%	\$	21,066,223	14%	\$	4,029,690	3%

### **Umatilla County**

**Table 141.** 

Umatilla County	- S	Statewid	e T	ranspo	rta	ation In	np	rovemer	nt I	und - 2021-2	023 Formula Prog	ram
				Fiscal Yea	ır 2	021-23 Exp	oen	diture Budg	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			ST	IF 19-21					Fu	nds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Car	ry	Ot	ther	То	tal 2021-23	Ex	ended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	ST	TF Funds	For	ward	Fu	nds	Ex	penditures	Pla	n Period	considerations?	Plan.
City of Hermiston	\$	205,000	\$	-	\$	115,000	\$	320,000	\$	-	Yes	
City of Milton-Freewater	\$	345,000	\$	-	\$	941,000	\$	1,286,000	\$	-	Yes	
City of Pendleton	\$	1,181,966	\$	66,634	\$2	2,346,400	\$	3,595,000	\$	-	Yes	
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian R	\$	316,584	\$	100,000	\$	116,584	\$	533,168	\$	-	Yes	
Umatilla County	\$	240,000	\$	675,000	\$	100,000	\$	1,015,000	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$	2,288,550	\$	841,634	\$3	3,618,984	\$	6,749,168	\$	-		

**Table 142.** 

1 9.919 = 1 = 1							•			
Umatilla C	ounty - State	wide Transpo	rtation In	nprovement	Fund - 2021-202	3 Measurab	le Project B	enefits and Out	tcomes	
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit		Students in	School Served by	Students in Grades 9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
City of Hermiston	80,000	6,570	16,000	36,801	6,488	-	-	1,500	-	-
City of Milton-Freewater	48,600	6,400	12,500	14,420	5,440	8	-	1,004	-	-
City of Pendleton	100,000	17,300	38,000	24,676	6,000	26	-	1,700	-	-
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian R	58,000	1,365	6,500	5,887	4,035	1	-	400	-	-
Umatilla County	2,598	48	200	6,071	3,157	5	-	-	-	-
Totals	289,198	31,683	73,200	87,855	25,120	40	-	4,604	-	-

**Table 143.** 

Umatill	a Count	y - State	wide Tr	ansportatio	n Impro	vement Fur	nd - 2021-	2023 Ca	pital Inves	tment Ou	tcomes	
				Vehicl	e Assets						Other Assets	
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other				Facilities		Signs and Shelters	
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description
City of Hermiston	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
City of Milton-Freewater	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
City of Pendleton	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	Bus Barn Construction
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian R	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	
Umatilla County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Totals	3	-	-	-	-	1	2	3	1	-	-	

#### **Table 144.**

		Umatilla	County	- St	tatewide T	ranspor	tatio	n Improv	ement l	Fund	d - 2021-2	023 Fun	diı	ng Allocate	d to Key	Pro	ogram Crit	eria		
										4.	Procures of L	ow or No	5	5. Improves frequ	uency and					
	1	Increases fred	quency to	2.	Expands route	s/services	3	3. Reduces fa	ares in	Em	nission Buses f	for use in	rel	liability of servic	e between	6.	. Improves coo	rdination		
	a	reas with high 9	% of Low-	to a	areas with high	% of Low-	comn	nunities with	n high % of	are	eas with popu	lation of		communities in	side and	be	etween PTSPs t	o reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
		Income House	eholds				Low	v-Income Ho	useholds		<b>200,000</b> or r	nore	οι	utside of QE's se	rvice area.	fr	ragmentation o	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	A	Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Amount	budget
City of Hermiston	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	192,700.00	94%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	196,800.00	96%	\$ 20,500.00	10%
City of Milton-Freewater	\$	172,500.00	50%	\$	34,500.00	10%	\$	172,500.00	50%	\$	-	0%	\$	172,500.00	50%	\$	69,000.00	20%	\$ 69,000.00	20%
City of Pendleton	\$	499,440.00	40%	\$	499,440.00	40%	\$	374,580.00	30%	\$	-	0%	\$	499,440.00	40%	\$	499,440.00	40%	\$ 124,860.00	10%
Confederated Tribes of the Ur	\$	83,316.80	20%	\$	291,608.80	70%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	249,950.40	60%	\$	166,633.60	40%	\$ 41,658.40	10%
Umatilla County	\$	366,000.00	40%	\$	366,000.00	40%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	457,500.00	50%	\$	549,000.00	60%	\$ 91,500.00	10%
Totals	\$	1,121,257	36%	\$	1,191,549	38%	\$	739,780	24%	\$	-	0%	\$	1,379,390	44%	\$	1,480,874	47%	\$ 347,518	11%

# Umpqua Public Transportation District Table 145.

Umpqua P	ublic Transpo	rtation Distri	ct - Statewide	Transportatio	n Improvement Fund	d - 2021-2023 Formula F	Program
		Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Exp	enditure Budge	et	Are projects consistent	If No, describe
		STIF 19-21			Funds to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
		Carry		Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Other Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.
Umpqua Public Transportatio	\$ 3,210,825	\$ 2,728,881	\$ 4,914,358	\$ 10,854,064	\$ -	Yes	
Totals	\$ 3,210,825	\$ 2,728,881	\$ 4,914,358	\$ 10,854,064	\$ -		

Table 146.

Umpqua Pul	olic Transporta	ation District -	Statewide	Transportat	ion Improvement	Fund - 2021-	-2023 Measu	rable Project Be	nefits and Outco	omes
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Umpqua Public Transportatio	735,740	43,606	99,120	152,653	56,465	4	-	11,832	-	-
Total	735,740	43,606	99,120	152,653	56,465	4	-	11,832	-	-

**Table 147.** 

Umpqua Publi	c Trans	portatio	n Distri	ct - Statewid	e Trans	portation Ir	mprovem	ent Fund	d - 2021-20	23 Capita	al Investment O	utcomes			
				Vehicle	e Assets						Other Assets				
	Gas or														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description				
Umpqua Public Transportatio	9	-	-	14	-	10	13	23	-	-	3	Shelters			
Totals	9	-	-	14	-	10	13	23	-	-	3				

Table 148.

	Umpqua Pul	olic Transp	ortation Distri	ct - State	wide Transport	tation Imp	rovement Fun	d - 2021-2	2023 Funding A	llocated t	to Key Program	Criteria		
	1. Increases fre	• •	2. Expands route	•	3. Reduces for		4. Procures of L Emission Buses areas with popu	for use in	5. Improves freq reliability of service communities in	ce between	6. Improves coo		7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Hou		1	o areas with high % of Low- Income Households		useholds	200,000 or		outside of QE's se		fragmentation		for students in g	
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Umpqua Public Transportatio	\$ 2,905,016	49%	\$ 4,019,571	68%	\$ 519,541	9%	\$ 517,178.40	9%	\$ 2,297,177	39%	\$ 1,220,173	21%	\$ 401,716	7%
Totals	\$ 2,905,016	49%	\$ 4,019,571	68%	\$ 519,541	9%	\$ 517,178	9%	\$ 2,297,177	39%	\$ 1,220,173	21%	\$ 401,716	7%

# Union County Table 149.

	Ur	ion Coun	ty -	Statewide	Trans	portati	ion lı	mprovem	ent Fund - 2	2021-2023	Formula Program	
				Fiscal Ye	ar <mark>2021</mark> -	23 Ехр	endi	ture Budge	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21					Funds to be	е	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Car	ry			Tota	al 2021-23	n Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of	
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Other	Funds	Ехре	enditures	<b>Plan Period</b>		considerations?	Plan.
Union County	\$ 727,715 \$ 113,000 \$					-	\$	840,715	\$	53,000	Yes	
Totals	\$	727,715	\$	113,000	\$	-	\$	840,715	\$	53,000		

Table 150.

	<b>Union County</b>	- Statewide Ti	ransportat	ion Improver	ment Fund - 2021-	<b>2023</b> Measu	rable Project	t Benefits and Ou	utcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	Demand Response	Fares
Union County	74,324	5,732	18,464	23,557	8,263	5	-	-	-	1,386
Total	74,324	5,732	18,464	23,557	8,263	5	-	-	-	1,386

**Table 151.** 

l	Jnion Co	unty - St	tatewid	e Transporta	ation Im	provement	Fund - 20	21-2023	3 Capital In	vestmen	t Outcomes				
				Vehicle	e Assets						Other Assets				
	Gas or														
	Diesel														
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Union County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4				

Table 152.

		Į	Jnion Cou	unty - Sta	atewid	e Transpo	ortation Impro	vement F	und - 2021-20	23 Funding	Alloca	ted to K	ey Progra	m Cr	riteria			
		. Increases frec	• •	-		s/services % of Low-	3. Reduces f		4. Procures of Emission Buses areas with pop	for use in	reliabilit	-	uency and e between	6. Ir	mproves coor ween PTSPs to		7. Provides stude	ent transit
	u.	Income House		to areas with high % of Low- Income Households			Low-Income Ho	ŭ	200,000 o				rvice area.		gmentation o		for students in g	
			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amo	unt	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Am	ount	budget	A	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Union County	\$	494,334	59%	\$ :	114,903	14%	\$ 435,778	52%	\$ -	0%	\$	114,903	14%	\$	173,550	21%	\$ 128,179	15%
Totals	\$	494,334	59%	\$ :	114,903	14%	\$ 435,778	52%	\$ -	0%	\$	114,903	14%	\$	173,550	21%	\$ 128,179	15%

### **Wallowa County**

Table 153.

	Wal	llowa Cou	inty - St	atewi	de Tr	ansporta	ition	Improver	ment Fund - 2021-	202	3 Formula Program	
			Fis	scal Ye	ar 20	21-23 Ехр	endi	iture Budge	et		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STIF 19	9-21					Funds to be		with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Carry				Tot	al 2021-23	Expended in Futur	е	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	Forwar	ď	Othe	er Funds	Exp	enditures	Plan Period		considerations?	Plan.
Wallowa County	\$	Funds Forward Other Funds Expenditures Plan Period 200,000 \$ 8,139 \$ 67,220 \$ 275,359 \$									Yes	
Totals	\$	200,000	\$	8,139	\$	67,220	\$	275,359	\$ -			

Table 154.

V	Vallowa Coun	ty - Statewide	Transporta	ation Improve	ement Fund - 202	1-2023 Meas	surable Proje	ct Benefits and (	Outcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Wallowa County	37,151	3,640	8,680	7,412	1,158	-	-	420	420	-
Total	37,151	3,640	8,680	7,412	1,158	-	-	420	420	-

**Table 155.** 

Wa	allowa C	County -	Statewi	de Transpor	tation I	mprovemer	nt Fund - 2	2021-20	23 Capital	Investme	nt Outcomes			
				Vehicle	e Assets						Other Assets			
	Gas or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters													
	Diesel													
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description		
Wallowa County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 156.

	W	allowa Co	ounty	- Statewi	de Transp	oortation Impr	ovement	Fun	d - 2021-20	23 Fundir	ng A	Allocated to	Key Progr	ram	n Criteria				
	Increases freq reas with high 9	• •		cpands route	•	3. Reduces t		En	. Procures of Lo mission Buses f reas with popu	or use in	reli	. Improves frequiability of service communities in:	e between	6	i. Improves coo etween PTSPs t		7. Prov	vides stude	ent transit
	Income House	eholds	In	Income Households		Low-Income Ho	useholds		200,000 or r	nore	out	tside of QE's se	rvice area.	f	ragmentation o	of service	for stu	dents in gr	ades 9-12
		% of STIF			% of STIF		% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF			% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Α	Amount	budget	Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget		Amount	budget	Am	nount	budget
Wallowa County	\$ 180,814	87%	\$	87,372	42%	\$ 6,884	3%	\$	-	0%	\$	70,060	34%	\$	45,697	22%	\$	17,312	8%
Totals	\$ 180,814	87%	\$	87,372	42%	\$ 6,884	3%	\$	-	0%	\$	70,060	34%	\$	45,697	22%	\$	17,312	8%

# Wasco County Table 157.

	-										-	
	Wa	asco Coun	ıty -	Statewide	e Tr	ansportat	ion	Improvem	ent Fur	nd - 2021-2023	B Formula Program	
				Fiscal Ye	ar 2	021-23 Ехр	end	diture Budge	t		Are projects consistent	If No, describe
			STI	F 19-21					Funds	to be	with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may
			Car	ry			То	tal 2021-23	ed in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of	
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	For	ward	Otl	her Funds	Exp	penditures	Plan Pe	riod	considerations?	Plan.
Mid-Columbia Economic Deve	\$	858,399	\$	250,119	\$	495,224	\$	1,603,742	\$	-	Yes	
Totals	\$	858,399	\$	250,119	\$	495,224	\$	1,603,742	\$	-		

**Table 158.** 

14510 1501										
	Wasco County	y - Statewide T	ransportat	tion Improve	ment Fund - 2021	- <b>2023 M</b> easu	rable Projec	t Benefits and O	utcomes	
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares
Mid-Columbia Economic Deve	175,200	23,835	42,315	64,700	9,705	10	3,000	-	-	550
Total	175,200	23,835	42,315	64,700	9,705	10	3,000	-	-	550

**Table 159.** 

										.,				
W	asco Co	unty - S	tatewid	e Transporta	ation Im	provement	t Fund - 20	021-202	3 Capital I	nvestmen	t Outcomes			
				Vehicle	e Assets						Other Assets			
	Gas or	CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or Other Assets												
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description		
Mid-Columbia Economic Deve	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	2	Shelters		
Totals	4	-	-	1	-	4	-	4	-	-	2			

**Table 160.** 

10010 2001														
	•	Wasco Co	ounty - Statewic	le Transp	ortation Impro	vement F	und - 2021-202	3 Fundin	g Allocated to H	Key Progra	am Criteria	·		
	4 1		2 5	/	3. Reduces f		4. Procures of L		5. Improves freq	-				
	1. Increases fr	• •	-	Expands routes/services areas with high % of Low-			Emission Buses		reliability of servi		6. Improves co			
	areas with high	n % of Low-	to areas with high	% of Low-	communities with	h high % of	areas with popu	ılation of	communities in	side and	between PTSP	s to reduce	7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Hou	seholds	Income Hous	Income Households		useholds	200,000 or i	more	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Mid-Columbia Economic Deve	\$ 861,676	78%	\$ 591,815	53%	\$ 168,000	15%	\$ -	0%	\$ 421,468	38%	\$ 91,793	L 8%	\$ 24,000	2%
Totals	\$ 861,676	78%	\$ \$ 591,815	53%	\$ 168,000	15%	\$ -	0%	\$ 421,468	38%	\$ 91,793	L 8%	\$ 24,000	2%

### **Wheeler County**

**Table 161.** 

	Wheeler County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Formula Program													
				Fiscal Ye	ar 2021	-23 Ехр		Are projects consistent	If No, describe					
			STI	F 19-21			with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may						
			Car	ry			Tota	al 2021-23	Expended in	Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of		
PTSP Name	STIF	Funds	ward	Other	Funds	Ехре	enditures	Plan Period		considerations?	Plan.			
Wheeler County Community	\$	200,000	200,000 \$ 100,000 \$			-	\$	300,000	\$ -		Yes			
Totals	\$ 200,000 \$ 100,000 \$				-	\$	300,000	\$						

**Table 162.** 

										-			
Wheeler County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Measurable Project Benefits and Outcomes													
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in			
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with			
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced			
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares			
Wheeler County Community	263,000	7,365	1,312	-	-	-	12	-	12	-			
Total	263,000	7,365	1,312	-	-	-	12	-	12	-			

**Table 163.** 

Wh	Wheeler County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes														
	Vehicle Assets Other Assets														
	Gas or	s or Hybrid-Diesel Other Facilities Signs and Shelters													
	Diesel	CNG	Electric	or Hybrid-Gas	Alternati	Replacement	Expansion	Total	Constructed	Units of	Constructed or	Other Assets			
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Description			
Wheeler County Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

**Table 164.** 

	W	/heeler Co	ounty - Statewi	de Transp	oortation Impro	ovement l	Fund - 2021-20	23 Fundir	ng Allocated to	Key Progr	am Criteria			
	2.5				4. Procures of L		5. Improves freq	-						
	1. Increases free	quency to	2. Expands routes/services		3. Reduces f	ares in	Emission Buses	or use in	reliability of service	e between	6. Improves coo	rdination		
	areas with high % of Low-		to areas with high % of Low-		communities with high % of		areas with population of		communities inside and		between PTSPs to reduce		7. Provides stude	ent transit
	Income Hous	eholds	Income Households		Low-Income Households		200,000 or i	nore	outside of QE's se	rvice area.	fragmentation of	of service	for students in gr	rades 9-12
		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name	Amount	budget Amount budg		budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Wheeler County Community	\$ -	- 0% \$ 368,000		123%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 110,000	37%	\$ 12,000	4%
Totals	\$ -	0%	\$ 368,000	123%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ 110,000	37%	\$ 12,000	4%

#### **Yamhill County**

**Table 165.** 

	Yamhill County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Formula Program													
		Fiscal Ye	ar 2021-23 Exp	Are projects consistent	If No, describe									
		STIF 19-21		with statute, OARs,	inconsistencies that may									
		Carry		Total 2021-23	Expended in Future	guidance, and OTC	be basis for rejection of							
PTSP Name	STIF Funds	Forward	Other Funds	Expenditures	Plan Period	considerations?	Plan.							
Yamhill County Transit	\$ 2,480,558	\$ 2,264,118	\$ 276,320	\$ 5,020,996	\$ 500,000	Yes								
Totals	\$ 2,480,558	\$ 2,264,118	\$ 276,320											

Table 166.

Table 100.															
•	Yamhill County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Measurable Project Benefits and Outcomes														
					Low Income	New Stops	Rides	Students in Grades		Students in					
				People within	Households within	Shared with	Provided to	9-12 Attending a	Students in Grades	Grades 9-12 with					
				1/2 Mile of	1/2 Mile of Transit	Other	Students in	School Served by	9-12 Served by	Free or Reduced					
PTSP Name	Revenue Miles	Revenue Hours	Rides	Transit Stop	Stop	Providers	Grades 9-12	Transit	<b>Demand Response</b>	Fares					
Yamhill County Transit	1,749,933	93,430	660,616	606,095	79,956	5	-	39,092	16,871	-					
Total	1,749,933	93,430	660,616	606,095	79,956	5	-	39,092	16,871	-					

**Table 167.** 

	Yamhill County - Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund - 2021-2023 Capital Investment Outcomes													
				Vehicle	e Assets				Othe	Assets				
	Gas or			Hybrid-Diesel	Other			Facilities		Signs and Shelters				
	Diesel CNG Electric or Hybrid-Gas Alternati Replacement Expansion Total Constructed Units of Constructed or													
PTSP Name	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	ve Fuel	Vehicles	Vehicles	Vehicles	or Expanded	Equipment	Updated	Other Assets Description		
												Real Time software, mobile ticketing, digital		
												display, gate & security system, tenant		
Yamhill County Transit	1	-	-	-	-	- 1 1 5 25 192 improvements, shelter improvements								
Totals	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	5	25	192			

**Table 168.** 

		Υ	amhill Co	unty - State	ide Transp	ortation Impro	vement F	und - 2021-20	23 Fundin	g Allocated to	Key Progr	am Criteria			
	1	. Increases frec	quency to	2. Expands ro	Expands routes/services		3. Reduces fares in		Low or No for use in	5. Improves frequency and reliability of service between		6. Improves coordination			
	ar	eas with high 9	% of Low-	to areas with high % of Low- co		communities wit	communities with high % of		areas with population of		communities inside and		between PTSPs to reduce		ent transit
		Income House	eholds	Income Households		Low-Income Ho	useholds	200,000 or	more	outside of QE's s	ervice area.	fragmentation	of service	for students in g	rades 9-12
			% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF		% of STIF
PTSP Name		Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget	Amount	budget
Yamhill County Transit	\$	3,534,176	74%	\$ 3,927,1	6 83%	\$ 109,200	2%	\$ -	0%	\$ 913,369	19%	\$ 667,803	14%	\$ 534,632	11%
Totals	\$	3,534,176	74%	\$ 3,927,1	6 83%	\$ 109,200	2%	\$ -	0%	\$ 913,369	19%	\$ 667,803	14%	\$ 534,632	11%