Capital and Equipment Grant Scoring Rubric

Criteria / Questions	Points	Full Points	Partial Points	No Points
Community Benefit (20 possible / 20%)				
What community transportation need(s) will the proposed equipment address and how was the need(s) identified?	10	Applicant has clearly articulated a specific community transportation need and has made a strong connection between the identified need and the proposed equipment.	While the applicant has identified a need and a connection between the proposed equipment and the need, the description lacks detail and questions remain.	Applicant has not identified a community transportation need, or the proposed equipment is not connected to the need.
How will the proposed equipment fill a gap in the transportation system and/or facilitate improved connections between modes?	5	Applicant has clearly described a gap in the transportation system and has provided a reasonable explanation about how the proposed equipment will fill the gap or facilitate improved connections between modes.	Applicant has described a gap in the transportation system, but the explanation about how the proposed equipment will fill the gap or facilitate connections lacks clarity.	Applicant has not described a gap in the transportation system or has not provided an explanation about how the proposed equipment will fill the gap or facilitate connections between modes.
Is the requested equipment referenced in a local plan?	5	Applicant has identified if the requested equipment is refenced in a local plan and has clearly identified the plan and project.	Applicant has identified if the requested equipment is refenced in a local plan, but some questions remain.	Applicant has not identified if the requested equipment is refenced in a local plan.
Alignment with ODOT Priorities (30 possible / 30%)				
How will under-resourced communities be served by this project?	5	Applicant has clearly described and detailed the intended benefits for under-resourced communities, and the proposed benefits seem reasonable to achieve with the proposed project.	Applicant has broadly described the intended benefits for under-resourced communities but details are lacking, and the proposed benefits may be difficult to achieve with the proposed project.	Applicant has not identified the intended benefits for under-resourced communities, or the benefits are not achievable with the proposed project as described.
How will this project support or encourage non-drive-alone modes of travel?	5	Applicant has provided a clear and reasonable description of how the project will support or encourage non-drive-alone travel, and the likelihood that the project will support non-drive-alone modes is high.	Applicant has provided a description of how the project will support or encourage non-drive-alone modes, but response lacks detail and the likelihood the project will support non-drive-alone modes is questionable.	Applicant has not described how the project will support or encourage non-drive-alone modes.
How does the project align with priorities in the Oregon Transportation Plan or one of the related topic modal plans, such as the Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan and/or the Oregon Public Transportation Plan?	20	Applicant has provided a clear and reasonable description of how the project aligns with priorities (equity, climate and safety) in the Oregon Transportation Plan or one of the related topic modal plans.	Applicant has provided a description of how the project aligns with priorities (equity, climate and safety) in the Oregon Transportation Plan or one of the related topic modal plans, but response lacks detail.	Applicant has not provided a description of how the project aligns with priorities (equity, climate and safety) in the Oregon Transportation Plan or one of the related topic modal plans.

Project Approach and Readiness (50 possible / 50%)				
How do you plan to accomplish the project in the designated timeframe? Please include a list of anticipated project activities along with a timeline of key milestones and deliverables in your response.	10	Applicant has clearly described their project approach and has included a list of key milestones and deliverables as well as a scope and timeline for NEPA work. Milestones and deliverables are achievable within the proposed timeframe.	Applicant has described their project approach, but some questions remain. Key milestones and deliverables are unclear or may not be achievable within the proposed timeframe.	Applicant's project approach is vague and lacks clarity. No key milestones or deliverables are identified.
Who will be part of your project team? Please provide a staffing overview that identifies roles and responsibilities for the project management team. Describe the availability of the project manager and other staff to scope the proposed project and participate in tasks.	5	Applicant has identified project team members and roles and responsibilities are clearly outlined.	Applicant has identified project team members but roles and responsibilities are not clearly outlined.	Applicant has not identified project team members and roles and responsibilities are not outlined.
What are the potential risks or barriers to accomplishing the proposed project and how do you intend to address those barriers?	10	Applicant has clearly identified and described potential risks or barriers and reasonable plans are in place to address them.	Applicant has identified potential risks or barriers but details are lacking or plans to address them are vague.	Applicant has not conducted an assessment of risks and barriers.
How do you plan to accomplish maintenance and operations for the equipment? Please detail how the equipment will be maintained to operate at a full level of performance including anticipated maintenance and operations costs and how your organization intends to fund those costs.	20	Applicant has clearly described their plan for maintenance and operations for the equipment and have included how the equipment will be maintained to operate at a full level of performance including anticipated maintenance and operations costs. Applicant shared how their organization intends to fund maintenance and operations costs.	Applicant has described their plan for maintenance and operations for the equipment, but some questions remain. How their organization intends to fund these costs is unclear or may not be achievable.	Applicant's plan for maintenance and operations is vague and lacks clarity. How these costs will be funded is not identified.
What experience does your organization have with implementing federally funded projects, if any?	5	Applicant has clearly described the organization or entity's experience implementing federally funded projects with specific examples.	Applicant has described the organization or entity's experience implementing federally funded projects but has not provided specific examples.	Applicant's organization or entity does not have experience implementing federally funded projects.
TOTAL	**100**			