| Criteria | Question | How we will score | Points (Max) |
|-------------|---|---|-----------------|
| | Applicant is an eligible entity? | Yes or No | |
| | Amount requested is within the minimums and maximums established for this grant cycle? | Yes or No | |
| Eligibility | Will the project contribute to development of an accessible biking and/or walking path to high need locations (i.e. transportation disadvantaged areas, schools, shopping or employment centers, medical services, connections to transit and regional paths, and downtowns)? | Yes or No | |
| | Will the project contribute to development of a biking and/or walking path in areas where no connections exist, transportation options are limited, or significant safety concerns are addressed by the improvement? | Yes or No | |
| | Will the project contribute to development of a continuous path made up of one or more connected segments that (Check all that apply): ☐ Primarily serves a transportation purposels primarily physically separated from the roadway ☐ Connects two or more communities, with each community no more than 15 miles apart, or traverses through a single large community with a path that is 10 miles or longer. Large community defined as any single community that can accommodate the proposed path 10 miles or longer within its boundaries ☐ Will serve as a connection point for people commuting between communities, or is a part of an officially designated walking and bicycling route as shown in a local, county or regional plan ☐ Is endorsed by elected bodies along path alignment, as indicated in a local, county or regional plan. | Yes or no | |
| | Is your project included/supported in a local or regional plan (e.g. Comprehensive Plan, Transportation System Plan, Safe Routes to School Action Plan, Corridor plan, Area Master Plan, Active Transportation plan, Metropolitan Transportation Plan, or other locally adopted plan)? | Yes or no | |
| | How does the proposed project address the above problem statement? Explain why the proposed project is the right solution to the problem. | Answer addresses the problem, Y/N | |
| Equity | What is your Transportation Disadventered Index Cores | 1 941 2 2 - 100 | 100 |
| Equity | What is your Transportation Disadvantaged Index Score? | 1.841-2.3 = 100 1.381-1.840=75 | 100 |
| | | 0.921-1.380 = 50 | |
| | | 0.461-0.920 = 20 | |
| | | 0-0.460 = 0 | |
| | Is the project providing a parallel route to a specific road with the road having the following characteristics? Check all that apply | 0 0.400 = 0 | 100 |
| | Is the posted speed or 85th percentile speed of traffic 40 miles per hour or greater, | 20 | |
| Safety | ☐ Posted speed limit 30 miles per hour or greater; | Yes - 10 No - 0 | |
| | ☐ More than 2 lanes or a crossing distance greater than 30 feet; | Yes - 10 No - 0 | |
| Surcty | 12,000 or greater annual average daily traffic; | Yes - 20 No - 0 | |
| | ☐ Has one or more bicyclist or pedestrian involved crashes in the last five years. | Yes - 20 No - 0 | |
| | ☐ Bicycle or pedestrian crash resulted in serious injury or fatality. | Yes - 20 No - 0 | |
| Outreach | What community outreach have you conducted? (from description of Community Engagement Practices response) | Significant - 10 some -5 none - 0 | 10 |
| | Public Outreach Plan included as part of Application | Yes - 20 No - 0 | 20 |
| | Application includes/identifies a diverse range of leaders and stakeholders to assess needs, identify gaps, establish priorities, and develop solutions. | Yes = 10 Somewhat = 5 | 10 |
| | Application addresses the needs expressed by historically excluded communities, and describes benefits and burdens to those communities | Yes = 10 Somewhat = 5 | 10 |
| | Are you leveraging OCP to support other programs, to provide additional opportunities/amenities that benefit historically excluded communities? (e.g. Partnering with health agencies, housing, etc) | Yes = 10 Somewhat = 5 | 10 |
| | Outreach to historically excluded communities has been done as part of project development (construction) | Yes = 10 Somewhat = 5 | 10 |

| Scope and Environmental Prospectus Project is ready to start alternatives analysis/conceptual plan, or Project is ready to start design/engineering, or Project is ready to start federal environmental analysis, or Project is ready to start federal environmental analysis, or Project is ready to start construction (all federal requirements completed) Are there any known environmental hazards within or adjacent to the project area? Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or Yes, but we are working on a plan for mitigation (describe) No. Documentation? Don't know Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. | Project Readiness | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|----|-----|
| have an easement for the proposed project? Yes (or has attached letter of support from property owner) 20 | Project Readiness | Do you currently own the Right of Way (roadway, Railroad, etc.,)for the proposed project or | | 20 |
| No or I don't know | | have an easement for the proposed project? | | 20 |
| Applicant has completed a Project Refinement Grant and/or provided a Technical Scope and Environmental Prospectus 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2 | | ☐ Yes (or has attached letter of support from property owner) | 20 | |
| Scope and Environmental Prospectus Project is ready to start alternatives analysis/conceptual plan, or Project is ready to start design/engineering, or Project is ready to start design/engineering, or Project is ready to start deferal environmental analysis, or Project is ready to start construction (all federal requirements completed) Are there any known environmental hazards within or adjacent to the project area? Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or No. Documentation? Don't know Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). The very support of the facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). The very support for entire length for the very support for entire length for the very support for entire length for facility width, surface type, support for entire length for facility width, surface type, support for entire length for facility width, surface type, support for entire length for facility width, surface type, support for entire length for facility width, surface type, support for entire leng | | □ No or I don't know | 0 | |
| Project is ready to start alternatives analysis/conceptual plan, or | | | 20 | 20 |
| Project is ready to start design/engineering, or 10 Project is ready to start federal environmental analysis, or 10 Project is ready to start federal environmental analysis, or 10 Project is ready to start construction (all federal requirements completed) 20 Are there any known environmental hazards within or adjacent to the project area? Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or 10 No. Documentation? 10 Don't know 0 Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length 10 Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support 0 No, this project will not go through restricted areas. 10 Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. 20 Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 20 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | | | 0 | |
| Project is ready to start construction (all federal requirements completed) Are there any known environmental hazards within or adjacent to the project area? Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or No. Documentation? Don't know Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | | ☐ Project is ready to start design/engineering, or | 10 | |
| Are there any known environmental hazards within or adjacent to the project area? Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or 10 10 10 Yes, but we are working on a plan for mitigation (describe) 5 10 Don't know 0 0 0 0 0 Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? 10 Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length 10 Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support 0 No, this project will not go through restricted areas. 10 10 Yes 10 | | ☐ Project is ready to start federal environmental analysis, or | 10 | |
| Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or 10 Yes, but we are working on a plan for mitigation (describe) 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | ☐ Project is ready to start construction (all federal requirements completed) | 20 | |
| Yes, but we are working on a plan for mitigation (describe) 5 10 | | Are there any known environmental hazards within or adjacent to the project area? | | |
| Yes, but we are working on a plan for mitigation (describe) 5 | | ☐ Yes, and we have a plan for mitigation, or | 10 | 10 |
| Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | | ☐ Yes, but we are working on a plan for mitigation (describe) | 5 | |
| Design approach consistent with Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Guide (including PROWAG, AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | | ☐ No. Documentation? | 10 | |
| AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, separation from roadway). Check box for design guidance) Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | | ☐ Don't know | 0 | |
| Will this path go through restricted areas (farmland, agricultural reserves), that would require special-use permits? Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length | | AASHTO, and/or other path design guidelines (e.g. anticipated facility width, surface type, | | 20 |
| Test, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | | | | |
| Pes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support No, this project will not go through restricted areas. Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | | Yes, we have provided permit(s)/Letters of support for entire length | 10 | 20 |
| Detailed project cost estimate appears reasonable, including recommended contingency fees of 30% Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | | Yes, but we do not have any special use permits or letters of support | 0 | |
| ost Benefit Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | | No, this project will not go through restricted areas. | 10 | |
| ost Benefit Yes Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | | | | |
| Applicant Scope of Work and deliverables are consistent with the SOW outline provided with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | Cost Benefit | | 20 | |
| with application worksheet and guidelines. Schedule appears realistic? Task timelines appear reasonable. Project completion within 36 months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | | Yes | | 70 |
| months Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping 10 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 20 | |
| | | | 20 | |
| 430 | | Applicant included a detailed technical scoping sheet consistent with ODOT Scoping | 10 | |
| | | | | 430 |