



I, Gayle V. Trotter, County Clerk for Malheur County,
Oregon certify that the instrument identified herein was
recorded in the Clerk records.
Gayle V. Trotter - County Clerk

Kim Ross

From: Kim Ross
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:34 AM
To: Dan Joyce; Don Hodge ; Ron Jacobs; Lorinda dubois
Subject: FW: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application
Attachments: Project Budget Final - Revised.pdf; Summary of Projects 2021-2023 Revised.docx

Please see below from Brittany at MCOA regarding the STIF plan and application she visited with you about last Wednesday. After review please respond via email if you are in agreement with the changes and I will make a notation in the Court minutes.

Thanks
kim

From: Brittany White <brittany.white@mcoacs.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Kim Ross <Kim.Ross@malheurco.org>
Subject: Re: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Hi Kim,

When preparing my budget for our 5310 application and reviewing the signed executive summary of projects from the court for STIF, I noticed a couple of errors in the budget and on the summary I provided the court on January 20th.

1. The amount listed under the "10%" funding for the Contractor Staffing project included a portion of money needed to fund adding additional staff task. The contractor staff incentive/benefit task should have only shown \$50,000. Because of this, I had to adjust the project budget in other areas in order for us to completely fund adding additional staff for 2 years. I have attached a revised project budget for the court's review. As you can see, I had to reduce the technology upgrade funding and the reserve funding to show that these two items will only be funded if we receive 10% more than the forecast we received from ODOT.

2. The executive summary of projects I provided only reflected the projects that would be funded at ODOT's forecast. Again, the contractor staffing project does not reflect the correct amount and I did not include the projects that would be funded if we see 10% more revenue than expected. I have also attached a revised copy of this for the court's review.

We will proceed with submitting the application by February 1st if there are no objections to the changes and I will need to get another copy of the signed executive summary from the court if possible.

Best Regards,

Brittany White
Transportation Manager
SRT/Malheur Express
Malheur Council on Aging/ADRC
842 SE 1st Ave
PO Box 937
Ontario, OR 97914
Phone: 541-881-0000
Fax: 541-889-7433

Kim Ross

From: Don Hodge <valeviking69@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Kim Ross; Dan Joyce; Ron Jacobs; Lorinda dubois
Subject: Re: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Kim, I have no problem with the changes. I'm still in favor of the application presented to the court last Wednesday. DWH

Sent from [Outlook](#)

From: Kim Ross <Kim.Ross@malheurco.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Dan Joyce <Dan.Joyce@malheurco.org>; Don Hodge <valeviking69@hotmail.com>; Ron Jacobs <Ron.Jacobs@malheurco.org>; Lorinda dubois <Lorinda.dubois@malheurco.org>
Subject: FW: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Please see below from Brittany at MCOA regarding the STIF plan and application she visited with you about last Wednesday. After review please respond via email if you are in agreement with the changes and I will make a notation in the Court minutes.

Thanks
kim

From: Brittany White <brittany.white@mcoacs.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Kim Ross <Kim.Ross@malheurco.org>
Subject: Re: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Hi Kim,

When preparing my budget for our 5310 application and reviewing the signed executive summary of projects from the court for STIF, I noticed a couple of errors in the budget and on the summary I provided the court on January 20th.

1. The amount listed under the "10%" funding for the Contractor Staffing project included a portion of money needed to fund adding additional staff task. The contractor staff incentive/benefit task should have only shown \$50,000. Because of this, I had to adjust the project budget in other areas in order for us to completely fund adding additional staff for 2 years. I have attached a revised project budget for the court's review. As you can see, I had to reduce the technology upgrade funding and the reserve funding to show that these two items will only be funded if we receive 10% more than the forecast we received from ODOT.
2. The executive summary of projects I provided only reflected the projects that would be funded at ODOT's forecast. Again, the contractor staffing project does not reflect the correct amount and I did not include the projects that would be funded if we see 10% more revenue than expected. I have also attached a revised copy of this for the court's review.

We will proceed with submitting the application by February 1st if there are no objections to the changes and I will need to get another copy of the signed executive summary from the court if possible.

Best Regards,

Kim Ross

From: Dan Joyce
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:22 AM
To: Kim Ross; Don Hodge ; Ron Jacobs; Lorinda dubois
Subject: RE: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Kim,
I'm good with this change.
Dan

From: Kim Ross
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:34 AM
To: Dan Joyce <Dan.Joyce@malheurco.org>; Don Hodge <valeviking69@hotmail.com>; Ron Jacobs <Ron.Jacobs@malheurco.org>; Lorinda dubois <Lorinda.dubois@malheurco.org>
Subject: FW: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Please see below from Brittany at MCOA regarding the STIF plan and application she visited with you about last Wednesday. After review please respond via email if you are in agreement with the changes and I will make a notation in the Court minutes.

Thanks
kim

From: Brittany White <brittany.white@mcoacs.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Kim Ross <Kim.Ross@malheurco.org>
Subject: Re: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Hi Kim,

When preparing my budget for our 5310 application and reviewing the signed executive summary of projects from the court for STIF, I noticed a couple of errors in the budget and on the summary I provided the court on January 20th.

1. The amount listed under the "10%" funding for the Contractor Staffing project included a portion of money needed to fund adding additional staff task. The contractor staff incentive/benefit task should have only shown \$50,000. Because of this, I had to adjust the project budget in other areas in order for us to completely fund adding additional staff for 2 years. I have attached a revised project budget for the court's review. As you can see, I had to reduce the technology upgrade funding and the reserve funding to show that these two items will only be funded if we receive 10% more than the forecast we received from ODOT.

2. The executive summary of projects I provided only reflected the projects that would be funded at ODOT's forecast. Again, the contractor staffing project does not reflect the correct amount and I did not include the projects that would be funded if we see 10% more revenue than expected. I have also attached a revised copy of this for the court's review.

We will proceed with submitting the application by February 1st if there are no objections to the changes and I will need to get another copy of the signed executive summary from the court if possible.

Best Regards,

Brittany White

Kim Ross

From: Ron Jacobs
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:00 AM
To: Kim Ross; Dan Joyce; Don Hodge ; Lorinda dubois
Subject: Re: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Kim,

I have no problem with the changes and support the application with the changes.

Ron

From: Kim Ross
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:33:58 AM
To: Dan Joyce; Don Hodge ; Ron Jacobs; Lorinda dubois
Subject: FW: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Please see below from Brittany at MCOA regarding the STIF plan and application she visited with you about last Wednesday. After review please respond via email if you are in agreement with the changes and I will make a notation in the Court minutes.

Thanks
kim

From: Brittany White <brittany.white@mcoacs.org>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Kim Ross <Kim.Ross@malheurco.org>
Subject: Re: Recommendations to Approve STIF Plan Application

Hi Kim,

When preparing my budget for our 5310 application and reviewing the signed executive summary of projects from the court for STIF, I noticed a couple of errors in the budget and on the summary I provided the court on January 20th.

1. The amount listed under the "10%" funding for the Contractor Staffing project included a portion of money needed to fund adding additional staff task. The contractor staff incentive/benefit task should have only shown \$50,000. Because of this, I had to adjust the project budget in other areas in order for us to completely fund adding additional staff for 2 years. I have attached a revised project budget for the court's review. As you can see, I had to reduce the technology upgrade funding and the reserve funding to show that these two items will only be funded if we receive 10% more than the forecast we received from ODOT.
2. The executive summary of projects I provided only reflected the projects that would be funded at ODOT's forecast. Again, the contractor staffing project does not reflect the correct amount and I did not include the projects that would be funded if we see 10% more revenue than expected. I have also attached a revised copy of this for the court's review.

We will proceed with submitting the application by February 1st if there are no objections to the changes and I will need to get another copy of the signed executive summary from the court if possible.

Best Regards,