2020 Primary Care Spending in Oregon Report Executive Summary

June 2022

LINK TO REPORT: https://go.usa.gov/xuuSF

About this report

Primary care is the front line of Oregon’s health care system. Research indicates that availability of primary care providers is associated with improved health outcomes, including reduced mortality rates, reduced rates of low birth weight and preventable hospitalizations, and better self-rated health status.¹

Senate Bill 231 (2015) and House Bill 4017 (2016) require the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) and the Department of Consumer and Business Services (DCBS) to report the percentage of medical spending allocated to primary care by the following health care payers:

- Prominent carriers, defined as health insurance carriers with annual health premium income of $200 million or more.
- Health insurance plans contracted by the Public Employees’ Benefit Board (PEBB) and Oregon Educators Benefit Board (OEBB).
- Medicaid coordinated care organizations (CCOs).

Senate Bill 934 (2017) requires health insurance carriers and CCOs to allocate at least 12 percent of their health care expenditures to primary care by 2023.

This report includes both claims-based and non-claims-based (i.e., not directly tied to the provision of health care services) payments made to health care providers in 2020. Data was obtained from OHA’s All Payer All Claims Data Reporting Program (APAC).

2020 Report Findings

CCOs and prominent carriers listed in this report spent $1.5 billion on primary care in 2020. On average, commercial and PEBB and OEBB plans allocated a greater percentage of total medical spending to primary care than CCOs and Medicare Advantage plans.

- Commercial carriers’ primary care spending averaged 14 percent of total medical spending.
- Medicare Advantage carriers’ primary care spending averaged 11 percent.

• PEBB and OEBB carriers’ primary care spending averaged 14 percent.
• CCOs’ primary care spending averaged 13 percent.

The range of primary care as a percent of total medical spending varied among carriers. Commercial carriers allocated from 8 to 19 percent of medical spending to primary care. Medicare Advantage plans allocated from 3 to 19 percent to primary care. PEBB and OEBB plans allocated from 12 to 19 percent to primary care. CCOs allocated from 7 to 29 percent to primary care.

On average, non-claims-based payments made up a greater percentage of primary care spending by Medicare Advantage plans than by other payer types. Non-claims-based payments, also known as non-fee-for-service, are payments to a health care provider not directly tied to the provision of health care services, which are intended to:
• Motivate efficient care delivery
• Reward achievement of quality or cost-savings goals, and
• Build health care infrastructure and capacity.

In total, more than 66 percent of primary care spending by Medicare Advantage plans was non-claims-based. More than 50 percent of primary care spending by CCOs was non-claims-based. Non-claims-based payments made up 46 and 41 percent of primary care spending for commercial carriers and PEBB and OEBB plans, respectively.

Of non-claims-based primary care spending, pay for performance and foundational payments for infrastructure and operations were the most frequently used categories. Many reported capitated payments and payments with shared savings. Other non-claims-based categories were less common.

Differences from Previous Primary Care Spending in Oregon Reports

Beginning in 2021, OHA’s All Payer All Claims (APAC) vendor is validating, processing and storing the non-claims payment arrangement files (PAF) in the same system as the APAC claims data. Each payer identifies the payment category for each payment based on the Oregon modification of the Health Care Payment Learning and Action Network (HCP-LAN) Alternative Payment Methodology (APM) Framework.

After a competitive procurement process, OHA contracted with Human Services Research Institute (HSRI) to collect and maintain APAC data starting January 2021. Data analyses in the HSRI system will not match data analyzed previously due to differences in data processing between vendors. Although the analytic methodology used to create this dashboard is the same as that used in previous reports, the data presented here should not be compared to previous reports of per-member-per-month spending to assess longitudinal trends due to the vendor transition.