HSAG HEALTH SERVICES ADVISORY GROUP	State of Oregon 2019 PIP Validation Tool <pip topic=""> for <plan name=""></plan></pip>	Performance Improvement Projects
	Demographic Information	
Plan Name: < <u>Plan Name&gt;</u>		
Project Leader Name:	Title:	
Telephone Number:	Email Address:	
PIP Title: < <u>PIP Topic&gt;</u>		
Submission Date:		

Draft Copy for Review





		Evaluation Elements			Scoring	Comments
Per	forn	nance Improvement Project Validation				
١.	of	lect the Study Topic(s): The study topic should be s the project should be to improve processes and ou pic:			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
C*	1.	Was selected following collection and analysis of data. <i>NA</i> is not applicable to this element for scoring.	Met		Partially Met 🗌 Not Met 🗌 NA	
	2.	Has the potential to affect member health, functional status, or satisfaction. The scoring for this element will be <i>Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> .	Met		Partially Met 🗌 Not Met 🗌 NA	
			Results	fo	· Step I	
		Total Evaluation Elements			Critical Ele	ements

	Total Evaluation Elements						CIII	ical Liements		
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
2	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements		Scoring	Comments							
Perf	formance Improvement Project Validation										
н.	Define the Study Question(s): Stating the study quest collection, analysis, and interpretation. The study que		ps maintain the focus of the PIP and	sets the framework for data							
C*	<ol> <li>Was stated in simple terms and in the recommended X/Y format.</li> <li><i>NA</i> is not applicable to this element for scoring.</li> </ol>	Met	Partially Met Not Met N.	4							
	Results for Step II										
	Total Evaluation Elements		Critical	Elements							

	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents			Crit	ical Elements			
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA	Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA	
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





		Evaluation	Elements				Scoring			Commer	nts	
Perf	Performance Improvement Project Validation											
III.	III. Define the Study Population: The study population should be clearly defined to represent the population to which the study question and indicators apply, without excluding members with special healthcare needs. The study population:											
C*	1. Was accurately and completely defined and captured all members to whom the study question(s) applied.       Image: Met image: Partially Met image: Not Met ima											
					Results	foi	r Step III					
		Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Elements	5		
Total Evaluation Elements**MetPartially MetNot Met				NA	NACritical Elements***MetPartially MetNot Met					NA		
	1 0 0 0						0 1 0 0 0					

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments										
Per	Performance Improvement Project Validation												
IV.	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or variable that reflects a discrete event or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performance or improvement over time. The indicator(s) should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowledge or health services research. The study indicator(s):												
C*	1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA											
	<ol> <li>Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed.</li> </ol>	Met Partially Met Not Met NA											
		Poculta for Stop IV											

				Results	for	r Step IV				
	Total Evaluation Elements						Crit	ical Elements		
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	· Not Met NA	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
2	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments									
Perf	ormance Improvement Project Validation											
v.	Use Sound Sampling Techniques: (If sampling was not used, each evaluation element will be scored Not Applicable [NA]). If sampling was used to select members in the population, proper sampling techniques are necessary to provide valid and reliable information on the quality of care provided. Sampling methods:											
	1. Included the measurement period for the sampling methods used (e.g., baseline, Remeasurement 1).	Met Partially Met Not Met NA										
	2. Included the title of each study indicator.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA										
	3. Included the population size for each study indicator.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA										
C*	4. Included the sample size for each study indicator.	Met 🗌 Partially Met 🗌 Not Met 🗌 NA										
	5. Included the margin of error and confidence level for each study indicator.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA										
	6. Described the method used to select the sample.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA										
C*	7. Allowed for the generalization of results to the study population.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA										

	Results for Step V													
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ients			Critical Elements								
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	· Not Met NA	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA				
7	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	0				

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments								
Per	formance Improvement Project Validation										
VI.	Reliably Collect Data: The data collection process must ensure that the data collected on the study indicator(s) was valid and reliable. Validity is an indication of the accuracy of the information obtained. Reliability is an indication of the repeatability or reproducibility of a measurement. Data collection procedures include:										
	<ol> <li>Clearly defined sources of data and data elements collected for the study indicator(s).</li> <li><i>NA</i> is not applicable to this element for scoring.</li> </ol>	Met Partially Met Not Met NA									
C*	<ol> <li>A clearly defined and systematic process for collecting baseline and remeasurement data for the study indicator(s).</li> <li><i>NA</i> is not applicable to this element for scoring.</li> </ol>	Met Partially Met Not Met NA									
C*	<ol> <li>A manual data collection tool that ensured consistent and accurate collection of data according to indicator specifications.</li> </ol>	Met Partially Met Not Met NA									
	4. The percentage of administrative data completeness following allowable claims lag and the process used to calculate the percentage.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA									

	Results for Step VI													
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Elements						
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA				
4	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	0				

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





Evaluation Elements						Scoring Comments						
Perfo	ormance In	nprovement	Project Valic	lation								
VII.	VII. Analyze Data and Interpretation of Study Indicator Results: Clearly present the results for each study indicator. Describe the data analysis performed, the results of the statistical analysis, and a narrative interpretation for each study indicator. Through data analysis and interpretation, real improvement, as well as sustained improvement, can be determined. The data analysis and interpretation of the study indicator outcomes:											
C*			clear, consiste ation in the da		Met		] Partially Met	] Not Met	] NA			
2. Included a narrative interpretation of results that addressed all requirements.						Met Partially Met Not Met NA						
	the da	ta reported an	that threatened and ability to co t with the rem	ompare the			] Partially Met	] Not Met	]NA			
					Results	for	Step VII					
		Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Ele	ments		
Eva	Fotal aluation ments**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Part M	ially let	Not Met	NA
	3	0	0	0	0		1	0	(	)	0	0

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments
Perfo	rmance Improvement Project Validation		
VIII.	Improvement Strategies and Interventions: Interventior of data measurement and data analysis. The improvem included:		
C*	1. A causal/barrier analysis with a clearly documented team, process/steps, and quality improvement tools.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA	
	2. Barriers that were identified and prioritized based on results of data analysis and/or other quality improvement processes.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA	
C*	3. Interventions that were logically linked to identified barriers and have the potential to impact study indicator outcomes.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA	
	4. Interventions that were implemented in a timely manner to allow for impact of study indicator outcomes.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA	
C*	5. An evaluation of effectiveness for each individual intervention.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA	
	6. Interventions that were continued, revised, or discontinued based on evaluation data.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA	

	Results for Step VIII													
Total Evaluation Elements						Critical Elements								
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA				
6	0	0	0	0		3	0	0	0	0				

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments						
Performance Improvement Project Validation									
IX.	Assess for Real Improvement: Real improvement or results.	meaningful change in performance is evaluated b	based on study indicator(s)						
	1. The remeasurement methodology was the same as the baseline methodology.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA							
C*	2. There was statistically significant improvement over the baseline across all study indicators.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA							
	Results for Step IX								

				Results	Step IX					
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Elements		
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
2	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments					
Perfo	Performance Improvement Project Validation							
х.	Assess for Sustained Improvement: Sustained impro time periods.	vement is demonstrated through repeated meas	urements over comparable					
C*	1. Repeated measurements over comparable time periods demonstrated sustained improvement over the baseline across all study indicators.	Met Partially Met Not Met NA						

	Results for Step X												
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Elements					
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA			
1	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0			

\* "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

\*\* This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.





Table B-1—2019 PIP Validation Tool Scores for <pip topic=""> for <plan name=""></plan></pip>										
Review Step	Total Possible Evaluation Elements (Including Critical Elements)	Total <i>Met</i>	Total Partially Met	Total <i>Not</i> Met	Total <i>NA</i>	Total Possible Critical Elements	Total Critical Elements <i>Met</i>	Total Critical Elements <i>Partially</i> <i>Met</i>	Total Critical Elements <i>Not Met</i>	Total Critical Elements NA
I. Select the Study Topic(s)	2					1				
II. Define the Study Question(s)	1					1				
III. Define the Study Population	1					1				
IV. Select the Study Indicator(s)	2					1				
V. Use Sound Sampling Techniques	7					2				
VI. Reliably Collect Data	4					2				
VII. Analyze Data and Interpret Study Results	3					1				
VIII. Improvement Strategies	6					3				
IX. Assess for Real Improvement	2					1				
X. Assess for Sustained Improvement	1					1				
Totals for All Steps	29					14				

Table B-2 PIP Validation Overall Score         for <pip topic=""> for <plan name=""></plan></pip>							
Percentage Score of Evaluation Elements Met*	%						
Percentage Score of Critical Elements <i>Met**</i> %							
Validation Status***	<met, met="" met,="" not="" or="" partially=""></met,>						

\* The percentage score for all evaluation elements *Met* is calculated by dividing the total *Met* by the sum of all evaluation elements *Met*, *Partially Met*, and *Not Met*. The Not Assessed and Not Applicable scores have been removed from the scoring calculations.

\*\* The percentage score for critical elements *Met* is calculated by dividing the total critical elements *Met* by the sum of the critical elements *Met*, *Partially Met*, and *Not Met*.

\*\*\* Validation Status: See confidence level definitions below.





#### **EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF PIP RESULTS**

HSAG assessed the validity and reliability of the results based on CMS validation protocols and determined whether the State and key stakeholders can have confidence in the reported PIP findings. Based on the validation of this PIP, HSAG's assessment determined the following:

*Met:* High confidence/confidence in reported PIP results. All critical evaluation elements were *Met*, and 80 to 100 percent of all evaluation elements were *Met* across all steps.

*Partially Met:* Low confidence in reported PIP results. All critical evaluation elements were *Met*, and 60 to 79 percent of all evaluation elements were *Met* across all steps; or one or more critical evaluation elements were *Partially Met*.

*Not Met:* All critical evaluation elements were *Met*, and less than 60 percent of all evaluation elements were *Met* across all steps; or one or more critical evaluation elements were *Not Met*.

	Validation Status	
Met	Partially Met	Not Met