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Project Purpose

The Oregon Health Care Workforce Committee was directed by the Oregon Legislature (HB 4003) to conduct a study of Oregon’s nursing workforce to identify and describe challenges in addressing staffing shortages in nursing. This study is intended to provide information to inform the legislature and Oregon Health Authority (OHA) in their efforts to address critical concerns about nursing workforce shortages. The study considered all levels of care, including, but not limited to, hospitals, long-term care facilities, community health centers, home health, public health, and schools.

The specific topics which interested the legislature and committee included:

- size and characteristics of the Oregon nursing workforce;
- administrative capacity of the Oregon State Board of Nursing (OSBN) to process licenses and renewals, monitor disciplinary actions, and track the workforce, and related regulatory issues, such as reciprocity with other states and the Nurse Licensure Compact (Compact);
- training capacity in Oregon, including availability of clinical placements;
- transition of newly graduated nurses into practice, including the workload impact to incumbent nurses of onboarding newly graduated nurses;
- compensation of nurses, including both wages and benefits, across employment settings and nurse experience;
- workload of nurses, including variation across settings, the use of unlicensed assistive personnel, and the impact of skill mix;
- nurse burnout, retention, and vacancies across employment settings, age groups, and experience levels;
- concerns about current and potential nursing shortages;
- inter-state migration of nurses;
- international recruitment and immigration; and
- the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the nursing workforce.

The cultural responsiveness of the nursing workforce is a foundational concern of the legislature and Health Care Workforce Committee. In this context, cultural responsiveness describes the capacity of the nursing workforce and of individual nurses to respond to the issues of diverse communities. The cultural responsiveness of the workforce and of individual nurses aims to assure competent language access and incorporation of diverse cultural approaches, strengths, perspectives, experiences, frames of reference, values, norms and performance styles of clients and communities to make services and programs more welcoming, accessible, appropriate and effective for all intended recipients. The domain of cultural responsiveness was interwoven with each of the specific topics to ensure this foundational domain was considered in every aspect of this work.

Our research team undertook a number of activities for this study. We began with reviews of the national literature on nurse staffing, burnout, workloads, and the impact of COVID-19. We also examined the literature on nurse transition-to-practice and education. We analyzed license and
education data from OSBN, licensing survey data from the Health Care Reporting Program, and nurse well-being survey data from the Oregon Center for Nursing (OCN). We also analyzed data from the U.S. American Community Survey, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the 2018 National Sample Survey of Registered Nurses, the Oregon Employment Department, and the American Hospital Association. Finally, we conducted interviews with leadership from OSBN, the Oregon Nurses Association (ONA), the Oregon Association of Hospitals and Health Systems, the Oregon Health Care Association, and the Northwest Organization of Nurse Leaders. We interviewed hospital chief nursing officers and clinical education leaders from rural and urban hospitals, experts in long-term care and ambulatory care, deans and directors of registered nurse (RN) and licensed practical nurse (LPN) education programs, and staff nurses.

Nursing Practice in Oregon and the Nation

What is Nursing?

Nursing is the largest health profession in the world and in the United States, with more than 4.2 million RNs,1 640,000 employed LPNs,2 and 1.3 million employed certified nursing assistants (CNAs).3 Nursing practice involves providing holistic care that includes monitoring patients and assessing their situation and status, administering treatments and medications, supporting basic needs such as toileting and feeding, providing education to patients and their families, and collaborating with interprofessional teams.

Nursing practice spans many care domains across the life span, including disease prevention, health education, treatment, supporting people living with disabilities, care coordination, and end-of-life care. Nurses work closely with patients and the public, bringing a scientific understanding of care processes that gives them what the Institute of Medicine described as “a unique ability to act as partners with other health professionals and to lead in the improvement and redesign of the health care system and its many practice environments.”4

Nurse License Types and Specialties

There are three distinct categories of nursing care providers in the United States, and within these there are specialties and advanced providers.

Certified nursing assistants (CNA) provide basic care under the direction of licensed nurses. They support activities of daily living such as bathing, dressing, mobilization, toileting, and eating. Federal standards for certification require 75 hours of state-approved training, and many states have greater training requirements. The most common employment settings of CNAs are nursing homes, home health agencies, and hospitals.

---

Licensed practical nurses (LPNs), called licensed vocational nurses in California and Texas, are licensed professionals who provide basic nursing care including monitoring vital signs, administering medications, and performing other tasks such as dressing changes and basic management of intravenous lines. LPNs complete 12 to 18 months of education at a community college or vocational/technical school and pass a national certification exam (the NCLEX-PN). The most common employment settings of LPNs are home health, nursing homes, physician offices, and hospitals.

Registered nurses (RNs) provide essential nursing services including patient assessment and monitoring, administering treatments and medications, educating patients and family members, and coordinating care. According to ORS 678.010 (8)(a), the practice of nursing in Oregon means “diagnosing and treating human responses to actual or potential health problems through services such as identification thereof, health teaching, health counseling and providing care supportive to or restorative of life and well-being and including the performance of additional services requiring education and training that are recognized by the nursing profession as proper to be performed by nurses licensed under ORS 678.010 (Definitions for ORS 678.010 to 678.410) to 678.410 (Fees) and that are recognized by rules of the board.” Registered nurses complete an education program at a community college, diploma school of nursing, or university, and pass a national licensing exam (NCLEX-RN). Some schools offer programs specifically designed for LPNs to easily move into RN-level education and licensure. RNs are the most numerous of the nursing occupations and many people use the term “nurses” to refer specifically to RNs. The most common employment setting of RNs is hospitals, but RNs can be found in every setting where health care and public health services are provided.

Many RNs work in health care specialties, including critical care, public health, home health, emergency and urgent care, occupational health, oncology, mental health, palliative care, and perioperative care. Many of these specialties have their own certifications associated with some combination of formal education, on-the-job experience, and examination. Some RNs obtain master’s degrees to support their knowledge in these specialties, as well as in nursing administration, education, and leadership.

Advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) are RNs who have completed a master’s degree in nursing (MSN) or doctor of nursing practice (DNP) in one of four categories:

- **Nurse practitioners (NPs)** are the most numerous of the APRNs and can specialize in primary care, specialty care, acute care, and/or psychiatric-mental health care. They take health histories and provide complete physical examinations, interpret laboratory results and other tests, diagnose and treat common acute and chronic problems, and provide counseling and education. They refer patients to other health care professionals when needed.

- **Nurse midwives (NMs)** provide reproductive and primary care, including management of low-risk labor and delivery and neonatal care. If they are nationally certified, they are called certified nurse midwives (CNMs).

- **Nurse anesthetists (NAs)** administer anesthesia including during surgery, obstetrical procedures, and for pain management. They provide more than 65 percent of all anesthetics to patients in the U.S. If they are nationally certified, they are called certified registered nurse anesthetists (CRNAs).
• **Clinical nurse specialists (CNSs)** are trained in a specialty area and practice in a variety of fields and specialties including adult health, community health, geriatrics, school health, psychiatric-mental health, and women’s health.

**Licensing and Regulation of Nurses**

Occupational licensure is the legal structure through which governments establish the qualifications required to work in a profession. Only individuals with licenses are allowed to work in that occupation. The intention of occupational licensure is to protect consumers by ensuring that professionals and tradespeople are qualified for the services they provide.\(^5\) For most occupations, licensure is regulated by state governments.\(^6\) The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reports 21.3 percent of the civilian labor force held an occupational license in 2021.\(^7\)

Another component of occupational regulation is scope-of-practice regulation. This type of regulation specifies the types of services and tasks that people in a licensed occupation are allowed to perform. Scope-of-practice regulations are common among health care occupations and, like licensing regulations, are generally considered to be the purview of states.\(^8\)

There are no federal standards or requirements for nurses to practice. States are expected to define what constitutes competent and safe practice for nurses who treat their residents. Therefore, it is the responsibility of each state to set the regulations for nurses and nursing practice.

**Nurse regulation in Oregon**

In Oregon, regulations related to nurse licensure and practice are defined in the Oregon Nurse Practice Act, which contains both laws set by the legislature [Oregon Revised Statutes, Chapter 678.010-678.445] and rules established by the Oregon State Board of Nursing (OSBN) [Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 851].

The Nurse Practice Act sets standards for all aspects of nursing including:

• rules of practice and procedure;

• agency fees;

• nurse certification and licensing;

• scope-of-practice for nursing at all levels (CNAs, LPNs, RNs, and APRNs); and

• approval and standards for nursing education programs.

As is the case in most states, Oregon’s legislature has established broad requirements for nursing regulation, and the details of regulation are left to the OSBN to determine. This structure supports the

---


evolution of policies to meet current needs and address emergent issues because it is easier to amend regulations than laws.

Licenses and certification in Oregon

Nurse licensing requirements in Oregon are similar to those of other states and generally aligned with the national Nurse Licensure Compact, which is a set of regulatory recommendations developed by the National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN). The only substantive difference between Oregon’s requirements and the Compact requirements is that Oregon allows people who have a prior felony conviction to apply for licensure as an RN or LPN whereas the Compact does not. The OSBN reviews prior arrests and convictions and makes determinations on an individual basis.9

Oregon’s standards for CNAs differ from federal minimum training requirements. The federal standard is 75 hours of training, of which 16 must be clinical. Oregon requires 155 hours of training. However, a CNA who is certified by another state can apply to be a CNA in Oregon without completing additional training even if the other state’s requirements are less than 155 hours. Oregon also has multiple levels of certification for nursing assistants: CNA 1, CNA2, and certified medication assistant (CMA). To become a CNA2, a CNA1 must have 75 hours of work experience as a CNA1 or a combination of work and clinical training that adds to 75 hours, complete additional training in an OSBN-approved program, and pass that program’s competency evaluation (Oregon Administrative Rules 851-062-0052). To qualify for CMA certification, a CNA can complete an OSBN-approved medication aide training program and pass an examination. A student in a licensed nursing education program also can qualify as a CMA, as can military corpsmen or medics and graduations of medication aide programs in other states.

Scope of practice in Oregon

RNs’ scope of practice does not vary substantially across states and Oregon’s scope of practice for RNs is aligned with nationally accepted standards. In contrast, there is notable variation in LPN scope of practice across states. Oregon’s scope-of-practice regulations for LPNs provide general guidance that they must practice in alignment with their training and skills, which affords their employers with flexibility in the assignment of tasks to LPNs. For example, Oregon regulations allow LPNs to administer intravenous therapy, at the discretion of their employer, whereas LPNs are not allowed to do this in California.

NPs and NMNs in Oregon have full practice authority, which means they can practice independently to the fullest extent of their knowledge and training. Oregon’s NPs and NMNs are not required to be supervised by or collaborate with a physician at any time.10,11 Many other states require that NPs and/or NMNs practice under physician oversight; Oregon is viewed as a leader in allowing their APRNs to have full practice authority as recommended by the Institute of Medicine.4

---

9 For more information, see https://www.oregon.gov/osbn/pages/criminal-history.aspx
CNAs in Oregon have a scope of practice that is quite expansive and aligned with the majority of states. Some states place more restrictions on CNAs. In Oregon, a CNA1 provides care as directed in an individual’s plan of care, which is developed by a licensed nurse. The CNA1 may carry out tasks associated with infection control and prevention, transporting people to a wheelchair or other specialized chair, using lifts and other client handling devices, turning oxygen on and off, assisting with eating and elimination, including administering enemas, assisting with personal care such as shampooing, and a variety of tasks associated with technical skills such as changing a suction canister. The CNA2 may perform the same functions as a CNA1 and can help clients navigate the acute care system, obtain throat swabs and urine specimens, assist with human milk pumping and handling, add fluid to established tube feedings, change tube feeding bags, use adaptive equipment such as braces and splints, and perform a wider range of tasks that require technical skills.

All CNAs may administer over-the-counter suppositories, topical barrier skin creams/ointments, and treatments for lice, but they are not allowed to administer any other medications. CNAs can administer oral, eye, ear, nasal, rectal, and vaginal medications under the direction of a licensed nurse. CNAs also may administer medications delivered by nebulizers and can administer PRN (as needed) medications.

The Role of the Oregon State Board of Nursing
OSBN’s mission is to protect the public by regulating nursing education, licensure, and practice. OSBN is responsible for:

- interpreting the Nurse Practice Act;
- conducting rule-making activities for Nurse Practice Act statutes, including public engagement, rule-writing, and rule implementation;
- evaluating and approve nursing education and nursing assistant training programs;
- issuing licenses and renewals;
- investigating complaints and take disciplinary action against licensees who violate the Nurse Practice Act;
- maintaining the nursing assistant registry and administer competency evaluations for nursing assistants; and
- providing testimony to the legislature and other organizations as needed.\(^{12}\)

OSBN is governed by a nine-person board representing a variety of geographic locations and consisting of two public members, one nurse educator, one nurse administrator, two direct-care non-supervisory nurses, one licensed practical nurse, one certified nursing assistant, and one nurse practitioner. As a state agency, OSBN board meetings are open to the public and include public comment, except in cases of disciplinary hearings and executive session.

As of September 2022, OSBN reported overseeing licenses for more than 113,000 individuals (see Table 1).

The licensing process at OSBN requires several steps in which staff must directly verify components of the application, such as transcripts and criminal record checks. According to OSBN, applications can get delayed whenever a process isn’t automated or is reliant on staff or applicant intervention. Nurse license applications can be delayed when applicants delay requesting documents required to verify information such as school transcripts or out-of-state licensing verification, or applicants fail to obtain fingerprints for background checks in a timely manner. Sometimes, if an applicant abandons the application without notifying OSBN, the application retains a “Still in Progress” status, requiring staff follow-up. Also, staff absences or turnover can delay the licensing process.

Table 1. License types and current numbers, 2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>License Type</th>
<th>Number of Licensees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registered Nurses</td>
<td>80,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Practical Nurses</td>
<td>6,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse Practitioners</td>
<td>6,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Nurse Specialists</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse Emeritus</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Nursing Assistants</td>
<td>18,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Medication Aides</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LICENSES</td>
<td>113,661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSBN Presentation to House Interim Committee on Health Care, Sept. 2022

Emergency Authorization Licensure 2020-2022

The COVID-19 pandemic caused major disruption in the licensing process in Oregon and the entire country. When Governor Kate Brown issued Executive Order 20-03, which declared an emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic, OSBN created a new licensure, “Emergency Authorization Licenses” (EAL, under OAR 851-001-0145), which allowed health employers to rapidly hire nurses, especially travel nurses, to respond to increased demand for services. OSBN issued more than 13,000 EALs for out-of-state nurses to work in Oregon during the pandemic.

Because the EAL was created in response to the Emergency Declaration, the EAL was set to expire when the Emergency Declaration was rescinded by the Governor on April 1, 2022. OSBN extended EALs for 90 days to allow nurses to apply for an Oregon license. This caused an increased demand for Oregon licenses in February and March 2022 for nurses who wished to continue practice after the EAL expired. The increased demand for licensure led to delays in the processing of applications. After OSBN extended the EALs, the rush of applications slowed, as seen in Table 2.
Table 2. Applications and licenses issued, Jan. - Aug. 2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan 2022</th>
<th>Feb 2022</th>
<th>March 2022</th>
<th>April 2022</th>
<th>May 2022</th>
<th>June 2022</th>
<th>July 2022</th>
<th>Aug 2022</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RN Applications</td>
<td>1,353</td>
<td>1,443</td>
<td>2,738</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,990</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>1,485</td>
<td>14,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>1,169</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>1,960</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>10,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNA Applications</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>4,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>2,320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSBN Presentation to House Committee on Health Care, Sept. 2022

Perceptions of OSBN among key informants

Some of those interviewed perceived the processing of nursing license endorsements in Oregon takes longer than in other states. One interviewee compared the OSBN to the nursing board in Washington state, giving an example that in Washington, even at the height of the pandemic, the state nursing board was processing endorsement applications within seven days while the interviewee believed it took three months or longer to begin processing the application in Oregon. One interviewee described the entire process taking anywhere from six months to a year to complete. Another stated that employers have had to delay new employee start dates because OSBN could not process license applications in a timely manner, and temporary licenses that cover a period of 90 days would often expire before applications were processed. The OSBN reported in an interview that their time to process a new application has been reduced from three months to 38 days, and their goal is to process applications in within two weeks. They also noted that some delays in licensing are due to applicants’ not submitting complete files; OSBN measures its productivity based on when the application is reviewed from completeness and validity. If the file is complete and valid, licensure occurs almost immediately.

Some interviewees referenced a “lack of trust” between health care employers and OSBN. The lack of transparency in the licensing process and the lack of communication between applicants and OSBN was cited by multiple interviewees as an ongoing source of frustration for both applicants and employers. Some of those interviewed noted that OSBN does not have any representation from the long-term care sector, which may lead to decisions that affect organizations and nurses in long-term care not considering those interests adequately. Some of those interviewed perceive that OSBN lacks the resources to provide needed technical assistance to both applicants and employers. However, OSBN reported in our interview that they have enough staff to clear the backlog of applications and return to normal processing times soon.
Solutions to OSBN Licensing Delays

OSBN’s benchmark to processing license applications is between 10 and 14 days. Because of the increase demand for licenses during the pandemic, the time to process an application is currently about 38 days, down from about 90 days earlier in 2022.

To further reduce the time to process licenses, verification requirements would need to be revisited, such as criminal background checks, competency verification through testing requirements, and disciplinary actions taken on nurse licenses from other states.

Overview of Oregon’s Nursing Workforce

Size and Demographics

Number of Nursing Professionals in Oregon

During 2020, almost 90,000 nursing professionals held a license to practice in the state of Oregon. Of these, an estimated 70,000 are considered currently practicing in Oregon. RNs and CNAs are the most numerous licensed professions with 59,778 and 18,640 licensees, respectively.

In Oregon, nursing professionals renew their licenses every two years, and a demographic/workforce survey is conducted during renewal to gather date of birth, race/ethnicity, language spoken, gender, educational attainment, employment status, practice characteristics. The survey collected race/ethnicity using federal Office of Management and Budget standards, although a 2022 revision of the survey incorporates Oregon’s REALD categories. Because of the timing of the survey, little is known about the employment setting of newly licensed nursing professionals who obtain their first licenses and do not take the workforce survey. To understand the total supply of nursing professionals in Oregon and take into consideration newly licensed professionals, OCN developed a method to estimate the number of practicing licensees. The employment rate of licensees completing the survey is applied to the newly licensed individuals (and those who did not complete the survey) to estimate the number of practicing individuals shown below. As can be seen in Table 3, in 2020, approximately 87 percent of CNAs, 83 percent of LPNs, 75 percent of RNs, and 78 percent of APRNs were practicing in their profession. A survey conducted by the NCSBN reported that 76.6 percent of RNs and 76.5 percent of LPNs nationwide were employed in nursing in 2020.13

---

Table 3. Numbers of licensed and certified nurses in Oregon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Certified Nursing Assistants (CNA)</th>
<th>Licensed Practical Nurses (LPN)</th>
<th>Registered Nurses (RN)</th>
<th>Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licensed</td>
<td>18,640</td>
<td>5,644</td>
<td>59,778</td>
<td>5,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practicing</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>4,680</td>
<td>44,900</td>
<td>4,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Practicing</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OHA, Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2020

Age Distribution of Oregon Nurses

Oregon nurses tend to be younger than in other states. The median age of an RN in Oregon is 51 years,\(^{14}\) as compared to a median age of 52 for RNs nationwide.\(^{13}\) The national sample shows the largest cohort of RNs is over the age of 55. As seen in Figure 1, the largest age cohorts for CNAs, LPNs, and RNs are 25-34 and 35-44, while the largest age cohorts for NPs are 35-44 and 45-55.

This trend of a younger nursing workforce has been developing for many years. In 2012, for example, the largest age cohort of nurses was those between 55 and 60 years old (see Figure 2). Eight years later, the largest age cohort is now between the ages of 30 and 35.

Figure 1. Age cohort by license type.

Source: OHA, Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2020

\(^{14}\) Oregon Health Authority. (2020). *Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File.*
Figure 2. Age distribution for Oregon registered nurses, 2012 vs. 2020.

Source: OHA Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2012 and 2020

Gender of Oregon nurses

Currently, about 14 percent of licensed RNs in Oregon are male; nationally 9.4 percent of licensed RNs are male. Generally, the percent of male RNs and APRNs has grown over time. There are no data with which the gender distribution of CNAs can be tracked over time.

Figure 3. Gender distribution of Oregon nurses by license type.

Source: OHA, Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2020

Racial and ethnic diversity of Oregon nurses

Racial/ethnic minority groups are under-represented in Oregon’s nursing workforce compared to the racial/ethnic makeup of the state’s population, although this representation is improving. In 2020, about 77 percent of licensed RNs identified as white, while 74 percent of the population of Oregonians identified as white (see Table 4). In 2016, more than 88 percent of licensed RNs identified as white.
Hispanics are the most under-represented population, with only 3.9 percent of RNs identifying as Hispanic, while almost 14 percent of the state’s population is Hispanic. Asians and Native Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders are slightly over-represented (4.0% vs. 3.6%, 0.4% vs. 0.3%, respectively). CNAs have the most diversity, particularly among Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx populations.

Table 4. Racial/ethnic distribution of Oregon nurses by license type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity/Gender</th>
<th>CNA</th>
<th>LPN</th>
<th>RN</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>State Pop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latinx</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Not Hispanic)</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OHA Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2020; US Census, 2021. Note: Some nurses do not provide race/ethnicity data and thus columns may not add to 100%.

Languages spoken by Oregon nurses

Most of Oregon’s nursing workforce speak only English (see Table 5). Spanish is the second most common language spoken by Oregon nurses, with 6.8 percent of RNs speak Spanish. Spanish was the most common language spoken, other than English, for CNAs (13.7%), LPNs (7.4%), and NPs (14.4%) as well. Tagalog and Russian were spoken by about 1.3 percent and 0.8 percent, respectively, by RNs practicing in Oregon.

Table 5. Languages spoken by license type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language spoken</th>
<th>CNA</th>
<th>LPN</th>
<th>RN</th>
<th>NP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English Only</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Sign Language</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantonese</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romanian</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OHA Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2020. Note: Only languages spoken by at least 100 nurses across license type are included, thus columns may not add to 100%. 
Education and Training of nurses in Oregon

Figure 4 maps Oregon's RN and LPN education programs. Table 6 lists the schools that offer licensed nurse education and indicates the programs they offer.

Oregon has eight LPN and 17 RN ADN programs offered at community colleges, and six bachelors of science in nursing (BSN) programs offered at universities. OHSU School of Nursing, the only publicly funded BSN-level program in Oregon, has five campuses across the state. Three universities have Accelerated BSN programs where students with other bachelor’s degrees can earn a BSN in a shortened amount of time. Four universities offer graduate-level education. Only OHSU and the University of Portland offer DNP or a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) degrees in nursing.

Figure 4. Locations of Oregon’s RN and LPN education programs.

Five schools offer a pathway for students with an ADN to earn a BSN (RN-to-BSN). OHSU has partnered with 11 community colleges to form the Oregon Consortium of Nursing Education (OCNE). These schools use a shared curriculum taught on all campuses, which allows students to take the same core nursing courses in the first two years of nursing school, regardless of where they attend. Upon completion, students can then complete the ADN program or continue to a BSN program through OHSU either in person or online. According to the OCNE website, 6,045 students graduated from the OCNE curriculum since its inception in 2001 and, as of winter 2021, 1,210 ADN graduates completed their BSN at OHSU.
Table 6. Programs offered by Oregon’s RN and LPN education programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>LPN</th>
<th>ADN</th>
<th>BSN</th>
<th>Accelerated BSN</th>
<th>RN-BSN</th>
<th>Graduate Degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ashland</td>
<td>OHSU - Southern Oregon University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astoria</td>
<td>Clatsop Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bend</td>
<td>Central Oregon Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coos Bay</td>
<td>Southwestern Oregon Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugene</td>
<td>Bushnell University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants Pass</td>
<td>Rogue Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gresham</td>
<td>Mt Hood Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klamath Falls</td>
<td>Klamath Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OHSU - Oregon Institute of Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Grande</td>
<td>OHSU - Eastern Oregon University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Linn-Benton Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukie</td>
<td>Clackamas Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>OHSU - Western Oregon University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newberg</td>
<td>George Fox University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport</td>
<td>Oregon Coast Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Treasure Valley Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendleton</td>
<td>Blue Mountain Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>Concorde Career College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concordia University - St. Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linfield University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OHSU School of Nursing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Portland Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sumner College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Portland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walla Walla University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warner Pacific University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburg</td>
<td>Umpqua Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>Chemeketa Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Institute of Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Dalles</td>
<td>Columbia Gorge Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White City</td>
<td>Rogue Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oregon Center for Nursing, 2022

**Licensed Nurse Program Enrollments and Graduations**

The number of registered nursing education programs in Oregon has not changed for more than a decade. However, the number of students enrolled in programs continues to climb, particularly for BSN programs. As seen in Figure 5, Oregon enrolls more than twice the number of BSN students as ADN students. Since 2014, BSN programs have increased enrollment by 42 percent while ADN programs have decreased enrollment by 15 percent. Data on CNA training program enrollments and graduations are not available.

Student interest in nursing school remains strong in Oregon. New enrollments in BSN programs have been growing at a high rate, particularly since 2017 (see Figure 6). Part of the increase in BSN new enrollments can be attributed to two factors: a new BSN program opening in the Portland area (Warner Pacific University), and an increase in new student enrollment for another program (University of Portland School of Nursing) in 2018.
Figure 5. Total enrollment in Oregon's RN programs.

Source: OSBN, Nursing Program Annual Report, 2012-2021

Figure 6. New enrollments in Oregon's RN programs.

Note: The OSBN Nursing Program Annual Report did not collect data for new enrollments in 2020, but resumed this data collection in 2021.

Since there are only eight schools offering LPN courses, and the number of schools has not changed markedly since 2012, LPN graduates tend to be a small cohort. As seen in Table 7, Oregon’s practical nursing programs received about 780 applications per year and about 70 percent of applicants were admitted. About 500 LPN students are enrolled in programs in total.
Table 7. Total student enrollment in practical nursing programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications Received</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance Rate</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSBN, Nursing Program Annual Report, 2012 and 2020

Applications to Oregon’s nursing programs have been declining since a peak in the 2017-2018 school year (Figure 7). The decrease in applications has been most noticeable in ADN programs. From 2018 to 2021, applications to BSN programs decreased by 12 percent, while applications to ADN programs decreased by 22 percent.

Figure 7. Numbers of qualified applicants to Oregon RN education programs.

Source: OSBN, Nursing Program Annual Report, 2017-2021

Oregon has a growing number of RN graduates, particularly in BSN level education. As seen in Figure 8, the number of BSN graduates has almost quadrupled in the past 20 years. In contrast, ADN graduates have remained at a stable level since 2005.
Figure 8. Registered nurse graduates over time.

Source: OSBN Annual Surveys of Nursing Education Programs, 2022

Quality of Oregon’s nursing education programs

Oregon’s nursing education programs are exceptionally successful in ensuring their graduates pass national board examinations and thus qualify for licensure. As seen in Figure 34, the percentage of graduates who pass the NCLEX-RN the first time they take it is notably higher in Oregon than the nation. Pass rates have declined somewhat over the past few years; decreases in 2020 and 2021 are often attributed to the pandemic, which disrupted both nursing education and the examination process.

Figure 9. NCLEX-RN first time pass rate, Oregon vs. U.S.

Source: NCSBN, NCLEX Examination Statistics, 2017-2021
First-time NCLEX-RN pass rates are similar for ADN and BSN program, as seen in Figure 35. Key informants generally held the view that nursing education at the ADN provides a solid foundation for entering nursing. Although, they also generally expressed a belief that ADN-educated nurses are more “task oriented,” have less developed clinical reasoning skills, and are less confident in their clinical judgement. It was emphasized by many interviewees that ADN-educated nurses are critical to the nursing workforce in rural and frontier areas of Oregon.

Figure 10. Oregon's NCLEX-RN first time pass rate by program type.

Several interviewees emphasized that ADN nursing education is overly focused on the provision of acute care and recommended curricula incorporate a greater level of exposure to population health and community-based care models. In contrast, key informants generally expressed the view that nursing education at the BSN level was doing a good job of preparing new nurses for roles outside of acute care, including in roles related to population health and community-based care – in particular care for vulnerable populations. Several key informants noted that BSN programs are in the process of transitioning away from a focus on specialty care areas and toward the “four spheres of care” model: prevention/promotion of health and wellbeing, chronic disease care, regenerative (critical/trauma) care, and hospice/palliative care.

In general, key informants felt pre-license nursing education at both degree levels should place a greater emphasis on conceptual models and take less of a task-based approach. Curricula need to emphasize foundational concepts to prepare students to be able to cope with care situations they have not encountered before. There was also a perception among key informants that pre-license nursing students are too infrequently exposed to care settings outside of acute care, and in particular they are critically underexposed to long-term care. One key informant commented that the lack of clinical exposure to long-term care, and the lack of emphasis on aging and geriatrics, simply reinforces a bias against working in long-term care settings. Some organizations recognize this challenge. The Oregon Health Care Association recently received approval to train all community nurses to become certified geriatric nurses, and is working on developing mentorship opportunities and a support program to improve recruitment of nurses to long-term care settings.
Highest Educational Attainment of Oregon RNs

In the past two decades, national and state campaigns have emphasized the importance of higher education for nurses. This message has resulted in an increase in the number nurses whose highest educational level is a BSN or higher degree, and slight decline in the number of nurses with an ADN. As seen in Table 8, the percentage of nurses with the highest level of education being a master’s degree or higher has remained stable since 2014.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than ADN</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADN</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSN</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s or Higher</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OHA, Public Use Nursing Workforce Data Files, 2014 - 2020

Demographics of Oregon’s Licensed Nursing Students

A diverse, representative workforce contributes to better health outcomes, satisfaction with health care, and access to care. However, there continue to be gaps between the representation of Black, Native American, Hispanic/Latinx, and some Asian subgroups in health care occupations as compared with the general population. Development of a diverse nursing workforce begins with cultivating students from diverse backgrounds to enter nursing education programs. The success of this endeavor is dependent on the capacity of the K-12 education system to support the success of diverse students; a full exploration of this within Oregon’s elementary and secondary schools was outside the scope of our study. Nonetheless, attention must be paid to the pipeline of students through the educational system before they matriculate to nursing education.

Recent graduates from Oregon RN programs are more diverse than nurses in the workforce. For example, graduates from BSN programs only report about 67 percent white, and ADN graduates report 64 percent white, compared to 77 percent white in the general RN population. While the diversity among ADN and BSN graduates are similar, more graduates from ADN programs report their race as “Other/Unknown,” and more BSN graduates report their ethnicity as Asian.

For both enrollments and graduations, and for students in both ADN and BSN programs, the number of students from Native American, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Black/African American backgrounds were mostly unchanged from 2012 to present (Table 9). Year over year, however, the number of nursing students from Hispanic backgrounds continues to grow. This is good news given the disparity between the number of nurses who identify as Hispanic (3.9%) compared to the general population (14%).


Table 9. Enrollment and graduation by race/ethnicity in Oregon's RN programs over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADN Students</th>
<th>BSN Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>Graduated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>4% 6% 11%</td>
<td>4% 5% 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>2% 1% 2%</td>
<td>1% 1% 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4% 3% 5%</td>
<td>4% 2% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>2% 1% 2%</td>
<td>1% 1% 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1% 1% 1%</td>
<td>1% 1% 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>73% 68% 68%</td>
<td>81% 68% 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Than One Race</td>
<td>0% 2% 3%</td>
<td>0% 2% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>16% 18% 9%</td>
<td>7% 20% 13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSBN, Nursing Program Annual Report, 2013, 2019, 2021

The racial/ethnic composition of LPN students is more diverse than that of all licensed LPNs. Enrollment and graduate percentages for LPN students from almost all racial backgrounds grew noticeably between 2012 and 2020, except for students from Native American or Pacific Islander backgrounds (see Table 10). While the percentage of LPN students who identify as Native American remained unchanged over eight years, students who identified as being from Pacific Islander backgrounds decreased for both enrollments and graduations to less than one percent of LPN students in Oregon. While the data suggest that practical nursing programs are becoming more diverse, some of this change could be due to reporting issues, including a marked decrease in the percent of the students reporting an unknown racial/ethnic identity since 2012.

Table 10. Race/ethnicity of practical nursing students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Newly Enrolled</th>
<th>Graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012 2020</td>
<td>2012 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>6% 7%</td>
<td>3% 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>1% 1%</td>
<td>2% 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4% 7%</td>
<td>3% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>3% 0%</td>
<td>4% 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1% 10%</td>
<td>1% 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>61% 54%</td>
<td>62% 52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Than One Race</td>
<td>0% 3%</td>
<td>0% 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>24% 17%</td>
<td>25% 14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSBN, Nursing Program Annual Report, 2012 and 2020
Most RN graduates are between the ages of 21 and 40 years, as seen in Figure 12. Following national trends, nurses who graduate from BSN programs are younger than ADN graduates, with more than half between the ages of 21 and 25. ADN programs have almost 60 percent of their graduates falling between the ages of 26 and 40.

**Figure 11. Graduates by age group in Oregon's RN programs.**

![Graduates by age group in Oregon's RN programs](image)

Source: OSBN Nursing Program Annual Report, 2019

Students enrolled and graduating from LPN programs tend to be in their 20s. The age distribution of LPN graduates continued this trend with 50 percent of 2012 graduates and 58 percent of 2018 graduates age 30 years-of-age or younger. Very few practical nursing students and graduates were over the age of 50. Comparable data on age of LPN students was not collected in 2020.

**Table 11. Age of practical nursing students and graduates.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Newly Enrolled</th>
<th>Graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSBN Nursing Program Annual Report, 2012 and 2020
Licensed Practical Nurse Program Graduations

LPN graduations averaged 393 per year between 2012 and 2020. As presented in Figure 9, there was a decrease in LPN graduations between 2012 and 2016, followed by an increase between 2016 and 2018. Since 2018, there has been a decrease in LPN graduations.

Figure 12. Graduations from practical nursing programs.

Source: OSBN Annual Surveys of Nursing Education Programs, 2012-2020
Inter-state migration of nurses

There are two pathways to nurse licensure conducted by OSBN. One is referred to as “licensed via examination,” which describes RNs who were educated and passed the NCLEX in Oregon. The second pathway applies to licensed RNs who are practicing in another state. In this process, called “licensed via endorsement,” OSBN staff verify the applicant has an unencumbered license in another state, has the required education, and has passed the NCLEX examination. Once these requirements are met, the applicant can receive an Oregon’s nursing license.

The proportion of RNs licensed via endorsement increased to 60 percent of the workforce for RNs licensed since 2010 (Figure 13). It appears that the marked growth increase began around this time, although the reason for this is not known. The rate of growth in the number of licenses obtained via endorsement shows little sign of abating.

Figure 13. Number of RN licenses approved by year of licensure.

Source: OHA, Public Use Nursing Workforce Data File, 2020

A survey of Oregon nurses licensed by endorsement suggested that about 30 percent of endorsing nurses were currently practicing in Oregon and another 11 percent lived in Oregon while practicing in another state, namely California, Idaho, and Washington. Thus, about 40 percent of endorsing RNs were available to practice in Oregon based on their state of residence. More recent data from the OHA indicate that 35 percent of RNs licensed by endorsement were practicing in Oregon (Figure 14).

Studieds by OCN have shown the nurses who obtain their license by endorsement and practice within the state generally practice in Oregon’s small, rural communities, often in non-hospital settings. A decrease in the number of nurses migrating from other states and practicing in Oregon would likely impact small counties the most.

**International recruitment and immigration**

There is very little data about international recruitment and immigration of foreign-educated nurses. According to the OHA Nursing Public Use File from 2020, 50 LPNs and 1,385 RNs identified themselves as foreign educated, accounting for less than one percent of LPNs and only 2.3 percent of RNs.

Foreign-educated nurses must complete an established re-entry program before they can be licensed to work in Oregon. Oregon has two re-entry programs recognized by OSBN. One of these programs, the Immigrant Nurse Credential (INC), is designed to help foreign-educated nurses pass the requirements to become a registered nurse in Oregon.

There is no data about how many foreign-educated nurses have immigrated to Oregon. Between 2018 and 2020, the INC program graduated 30 participants, and currently have about 19 foreign-educated nurses on a waitlist for the next cohort of the program.
Patterns of Nurse Employment

As seen in Figure 15, the majority of Oregon’s licensed RNs practice in a hospital setting. In 2020, 55 percent of RNs worked in hospitals, which is the most common setting. Office/clinic settings was the second most common setting, but only 12 percent of RNs reported practicing in this setting. National data show similar findings. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, about 60 percent of RNs worked in a hospital during 2020. The “Other Practice Setting” category includes nurses in public health, K-12 education, policy, education, and regulation.

Figure 15. Practice settings of Oregon RNs.

Compensation of nurses

Most of the data presented in this section are derived from the 2018 National Sample Survey Registered Nurses (NSSRN). These data allow for analysis of the differences in RN compensation associated with employment status, highest level of education, years of experience, and practice setting. Figure 16 compares the 2018 median annual income for all nursing employment earned by nurses employed in Oregon with the national average, for both full-time and part-time employment. The median annual income for Oregon nurses who worked full-time was $14,000 (or 19%) greater than the national average. Among those who reported part-time employment, the median annual income for Oregon nurses was $23,000 (or 55%) greater than the national average.
Figure 16. Median income from all nursing employment by full-time/part-time status, Oregon vs. U.S., 2018.

Source: NSSRN, 2018
Note: U.S. median excludes Oregon. Data includes all nurses regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.

Figure 17 replicates the comparison shown in Figure 16, but the data are limited to those employed as a NP. In 2018, the median annual income earned by full-time NPs in Oregon was $12,000 (or 11%) greater than the national average. Among those employed part-time, the difference in median annual income was much smaller: part-time NPs in Oregon earned just $3,800 (or 6%) more.

Figure 17. Nursing practitioner median income from all nursing employment by full-time/part-time status, Oregon vs. U.S., 2018.

Source: NSSRN, 2018
Note: U.S. median excludes Oregon.

Figure 18 compares the 2018 median annual income from all nursing employment earned by full-time nurses in Oregon, based on their highest level of education in any field. These data show additional education correlates with higher earnings. Nurses with a bachelor’s degree earned, on average, $9,000
(or 11%) more than nurses with an associate degree. The data indicate a smaller increase in earnings, comparing those with a bachelor’s degree to those with a master’s degree. The largest increase in earnings was associated with possession of a doctoral degree. Annual median earnings for nurses with a doctoral degree earned was $25,000 to $39,000 more than nurses holding less advanced degrees.

**Figure 18. Full-time median income from all nursing employment, by highest level of education, Oregon, 2018.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Education</th>
<th>Median Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associate degree</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSSRN, 2018  
Note: These data describe highest level of education in any field, and include all nurses regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.

Figure 19 compares the 2018 annual median income from all nursing employment earned by full-time nurses in Oregon, based on their years of nursing experience. These data demonstrate earnings generally increase with more years of nursing experience, up to a point, after which earnings level off. The biggest relative increases in earnings occur in the first 10 years of nursing employment. Annual earnings for full-time nurses with 6 to 10 years of experience were, on average, $9,000 (or 12%) more than nurses with five or fewer years of nursing experience.

**Figure 19. Full-time median income from all nursing employment, by nursing experience, Oregon, 2018.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of Experience</th>
<th>Median Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 6 years</td>
<td>$76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10 years</td>
<td>$87,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 15 years</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - 20 years</td>
<td>$94,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25 years</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 30 years</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 35 years</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 - 40 years</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 40 years</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSSRN, 2018  
Note: These data include all nurses regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.
Figure 20 compares the 2018 annual median income from all nursing employment earned by full-time nurses in Oregon, based on their employment setting. Nurses’ employment settings have been grouped into four categories: Hospitals, other (non-hospital) inpatient settings, clinics and other ambulatory care settings, and then all other employment settings. Table 12 details the different employment settings that the 2018 NSSRN have been grouped together into these four broad categories. On average, earnings for nurses employed in a hospital setting were higher by comparison with all other settings. This earnings difference ranged from $5,000 to $13,000 annually.

Figure 20. Annual median income from all nursing employment earned by full-time RNs, by employment setting, 2018.

Table 12. Detailed description of employment settings used in the NSSRN, 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Detailed Employment Settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>Critical Access Hospital, Inpatient Unit, Emergency Department, Hospital Ancillary Unit, Hospital Nursing Home Unit, Hospital Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Inpatient</td>
<td>Non-hospital Nursing Home Unit, Rehabilitation Facility/Long-term Care, Inpatient Mental Health, Correctional Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic/Ambulatory</td>
<td>Nurse-managed Health Center, Private Medical Practice, Public Clinic (FQHC, Tribal, Rural), School Health Service, Outpatient Mental Health/Substance Abuse, Ambulatory Surgery Center (free-standing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Setting</td>
<td>Home Health, Occupational Health/Employee Health Service, Public/Community Health Agency (non-clinic), Other Government Agency, Outpatient Dialysis Center, Academic Institution, Case Management/Disease Management/Insurance Company, Call Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13 compares the 2022 estimated median hourly wage earned by RNs, NPs, LPNs, and nursing assistants across different geographic regions of Oregon. These data highlight the substantial difference in earnings by type of nursing practice. On average, NPs earned approximately $14 per hour more than RNs, RNs earned approximately $19 per hour more than LPNs, and LPNs earned approximately $11 per hour more than nursing assistants. Table 13 also indicates the highest paid nurses, across all three occupations, were employed in the Portland Metro area, and the regional wage differential was greatest among NPs. The estimated hourly wage difference between the highest paid NPs (Portland Metro) and lowest paid NPs (Southwestern Oregon and Eastern Oregon) was approximately $4.39 per hour (or an estimated $9,131 annually for full-time employment). The difference between the highest paid RNs (Portland Metro) and lowest paid RNs (Rogue Valley and Eastern Oregon) was $3.42 per hour (or an estimated $7,114 annually for full-time employment). The difference between the highest paid LPNs (Portland Metro) and lowest paid LPNs (Southwestern Oregon and Eastern Oregon) was $1.46 per hour (or an estimated $3,037 annually for full-time employment). The difference between the highest paid nursing assistants (Portland Metro) and lowest paid nursing assistants (Eastern Oregon) was $1.20 per hour (or an estimated $2,496 annually for full-time employment).

Table 13. Median hourly wage by region and occupation, 2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Registered Nurse</th>
<th>Nurse Practitioner</th>
<th>Licensed Practical/Vocational Nurse</th>
<th>Nursing Assistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>$49.52</td>
<td>$63.60</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$19.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Cascades</td>
<td>$49.15</td>
<td>$62.81</td>
<td>$29.58</td>
<td>$18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>$47.17</td>
<td>$60.32</td>
<td>$29.26</td>
<td>$18.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>$49.20</td>
<td>$63.85</td>
<td>$29.45</td>
<td>$19.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Valley</td>
<td>$48.83</td>
<td>$63.93</td>
<td>$29.98</td>
<td>$19.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Oregon</td>
<td>$47.90</td>
<td>$63.28</td>
<td>$29.29</td>
<td>$19.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland Metro</td>
<td>$50.59</td>
<td>$64.66</td>
<td>$30.72</td>
<td>$19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>$49.98</td>
<td>$64.66</td>
<td>$30.46</td>
<td>$19.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogue Valley</td>
<td>$47.17</td>
<td>$63.69</td>
<td>$29.31</td>
<td>$19.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Oregon</td>
<td>$48.49</td>
<td>$60.27</td>
<td>$29.26</td>
<td>$18.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oregon Employment Department, 2022 Oregon Wage Information, June 17, 2022
Current Challenges for the Nursing Workforce

The national context

During the COVID-19 pandemic, there were severe disruptions in health care delivery and employment. Data prior to the pandemic suggested the nation was graduating enough new nurses to replace retiring nurses, although there was some debate about whether a small shortage or surplus of nurses might arise in the future.\(^{18}\) There was consensus about notable differences across regions of the U.S., and even within states.\(^{19}\) Prior research has found rural regions and safety-net employers are more likely to report shortages of nurses than other regions and employers.\(^{20,21}\) Prior to the pandemic, western states had lower RN supply than other regions of the country,\(^{19}\) but the relatively low supply might have reflected lower demand for nurses due to healthier populations and more efficient health care systems. When shortages of RNs were reported, they were often for specialty fields such as emergency, intensive care, labor/delivery, and peri-operative care.\(^{23}\)

Several studies have examined changes in the nursing labor market after the COVID-19 pandemic reached the U.S. in early 2020. National studies of health care employment have reported some transient and persistent changes. All health care industry sectors experienced decreases in employment in the second quarter of 2020.\(^{24}\) Turnover of health care workers was notably higher from April through December 2020 than it had been prior to the pandemic.\(^{25}\) Between mid-2020 and mid-2021, employment returned to pre-pandemic levels except for skilled nursing facilities, which have faced a persistent decrease in employment.\(^{24}\) In addition, turnover rates returned to pre-pandemic levels in 2021, except for in skilled nursing facilities which have had rising turnover rates.\(^{25}\)

As for all health care workers, nursing also experienced transient and persistent changes. Specifically nursing employment decreased in all health care sectors starting in the second quarter of 2020 and then gradually recovered to near-pandemic levels by late 2021, except for in nursing homes where there has been a continuous decline in nursing employment.\(^{26}\) When the data are disaggregated to look at RNs, LPNs, and CNAs separately, they reveal that employment has fully recovered for RNs, but LPN and CNA employment has remained below pre-pandemic levels. Analysis of turnover data finds that turnover increased for all nursing categories in the first nine months of the pandemic and recovered for
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RNAs and CNAs. However, turnover for LPNs continued to increase through the first nine months of 2021.\textsuperscript{25} The unemployment rate for RNs was somewhat above pre-pandemic levels in the second quarter of 2021, notably higher for CNAs, and no different for LPNs.\textsuperscript{26} Together, declining employment numbers and stable unemployment rates for LPNs suggest many LPNs left the labor market and are no longer working or seeking work in the profession.

**Nursing shortages**

Nursing shortages arise when employers are unable to hire nurses at prevailing wages to achieve the level of employment they desire. Economic theory indicates this imbalance in labor supply and demand should be short-lived, with wages rapidly adjusting to attract a greater supply of labor. However, recurrent shortages of RNs have been reported for decades.\textsuperscript{27} Researchers have proposed a few reasons this might be the case, including slow wage increases due to constrained employer budgets, delays in producing new nurses due to education and licensure requirements, and market power held by large employers, particularly in small markets where there may be only a few employers.\textsuperscript{28,29}

There is no objective measure of whether a labor shortage exists. Rising vacancy rates can suggest a shortage, but vacancies also could rise when there is a transient or sudden change in demand such as when a new hospital building is constructed or there is a particularly bad flu season. Vacancies also could be posted, but employers might not be actively seeking to fill the vacant positions. Data on the average length of time required to fill a vacant position can be illuminating, but are rarely collected. Finally, surveys of employers can provide some indication of the presence of shortages, although survey responses may be biased. Research on these different indicators of nursing shortages generally find them to be highly correlated with each other.\textsuperscript{27}

Debates about whether a statewide labor shortage exists and how severe it is overlook other important dimensions of labor shortages, particularly for nursing. Geographic maldistribution, imbalances in the skills and experience desired by employers and the labor supply available, and concerns about quality of care require at least as much attention as general shortages.

Key informants interviewed expressed mixed views in characterizing concerns about nursing workforce shortages prior to the pandemic. Some reported no concerns, others described nursing workforce shortage as manageable, while a few emphasized their health care systems had been under stress from the lack of available nursing staff for years prior to the pandemic. However, the experience of the COVID-19 pandemic was uniformly characterized by key informants as having created demand for nursing staff that could not be met by the available supply, even with the emergency actions taken by the state to allow out-of-state nurses to practice in Oregon. Interviewees confirmed a shortage of nurses of all types (RNs, LPNs, and nursing assistants) continues to be an issue for health care systems across the state. One interviewee, who represented a large health care system in rural Oregon, emphasized health care workforce shortages are not limited to nursing, but encompass a broad range of occupations, both patient care-facing, technical, and ancillary support staff.


The causes of the shortage of nursing staff are difficult to precisely determine. Several interviewees acknowledged having lost staff nurses as a result of COVID-19 vaccine mandates; others referenced employers that were more accommodative of vaccine exemptions and expressed the view that a willingness to accommodate may have helped retain staff and even attract new employees. However, it is likely a much more important factor driving the current shortage of nursing staff affecting health care systems across the state is simply the impact of the pandemic itself. There is reason to believe the negative experience of having been a direct care nurse through the pandemic, especially one who was employed in an acute care setting, is contributing to nurses opting for early retirement, to seek opportunities to work as a traveler nurse and dramatically reduce the number of hours worked, to shift care settings away from acute care or away from patient care, or simply leave the health care workforce entirely.

**Ramifications of nursing shortages on organization operations and new graduate onboarding**

Interviewees provided multiple examples of ramifications stemming from an ongoing shortage of nursing and health care facility staff. Several key informants emphasized daily operations at their hospitals have been severely challenged: patient diversions are increasing; holding patients in the emergency department for longer than needed while waiting for a bed or opportunity to transfer is increasingly common; taking beds offline is more common, as is flexing the number of beds depending on the availability of staff on a given shift. It is important to underscore that staff shortages are not isolated to nursing. For some health care systems, they entail a wide range of occupations in direct patient care, in laboratory and technical positions, and in critical support positions (e.g. housekeeping, food service). Many of the interviewees acknowledged the burden of being consistently short-staffed is negatively affecting staff morale.

In addition to their impact on patient care and staff morale, key informants noted nurse staffing shortfalls have limited the capacity of health care systems to provide clinical placements for nursing students. A factor contributing to this is a lack of nurses available to precept students because they are needed to cover shifts in other areas. The effect of having limited access to clinical rotations means new graduate nurses are entering the workforce with less confidence in their clinical skills and reasoning, but employers need to them to fill positions left open by a lack of experienced nurses, including areas of clinical specialty care. Several interviewees expressed concern that specialty care units may become over-saturated with inexperienced nurses, which in turn contributes to staffing challenges.

**Nurse vacancies**

Data from the American Hospital Association’s Annual Survey of Hospitals provided information about hospital vacancy rates in 2019 for Oregon and other states. As seen in Table 14, on average, Oregon hospitals reported lower vacancy rates for RNs and nursing aides than other states but slightly higher vacancy rates for LPNs. Note that the vast majority of hospitals in Oregon and more than half of hospitals in other states reported no LPN vacancies; thus, the average vacancy rates represent the situation in only a few hospitals.

**Table 14. Hospital vacancies in Oregon and other states, 2019.**
According to the Oregon Employment Department 2021 Job Vacancy Survey, across the entire state, RNs had the seventh most open positions (2,099) among 432 different occupations. Seventy-six percent of those openings were full-time positions and 76 percent were considered “difficult to fill” by employers. Approximately 40 percent of those open RN positions had been vacant for 60 days or more at the time of the survey. At the regional level, RN was in the top ten occupations with the most total vacancies and largest share of vacancies considered “difficult to fill” in five of the nine different regions for which vacancy data were reported.

This same survey reported nursing assistants had the sixth most open positions (2,540) across the state. Seventy-seven percent of those openings were full-time positions and 87 percent were considered “difficult to fill” by employers. Thirty percent of those open nursing assistant positions had been vacant for 60 days or more at the time of the survey. At the regional level, nursing assistant was in the top ten occupations with the most total vacancies and largest share of vacancies considered “difficult to fill” in seven of the nine different regions for which vacancy data were reported.

At the state level, LPN was not among the occupations with either the most total vacancies, nor vacancies considered “difficult to fill”. However, LPNs were among the top ten occupations, in terms of the most vacancies, in the Mid-Valley region (Linn, Marion, Polk, and Yamhill counties), although not among the occupations with the most vacancies considered “difficult to fill”.

Vacancies and difficulty recruiting nurses varies across employment settings. Key informants reported that, in some regions, hospitals have sought to fill RN vacancies by hiring LPNs, which led to more LPN vacancies in long-term care settings. Similarly, some employers may have increased their demand for CNAs to relieve RN and LPN workload, which may have led to more difficulty recruiting CNAs in other settings.

**Retention of Nurses in Oregon**

Data from the 2018 NSSRN describing changes in nurses’ employment status are presented below. Although employment conditions have likely changed in dramatic ways in the past two years, these data provide some context for understanding nursing workforce retention in Oregon prior to the experience of the pandemic. Figure 21 indicates that Oregon had a much higher retention rate of nursing employment than the national average. Approximately 97 percent of RNs who reported being employed in nursing, also reported they had been employed in nursing in the prior year. This is 11 percentage points greater than the U.S. average.
Figure 21. Percentage of RNs employed who were also employed in nursing one year before, Oregon vs United States, 2018.

Source: NSSRN, 2018
Note: U.S. data excludes Oregon. Data include all nurses regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.

Figure 22 shows that 96 percent of the RNs who reported being employed in nursing, in the state of Oregon, also reported they had been employed in nursing in Oregon in the prior year. Approximately four percent of Oregon’s RNs were employed in nursing in a different state in the prior year. These proportions are comparable to national averages.

Figure 22. Change in Nursing Employment Location from Prior Year, Oregon vs United States, 2018.

Source: NSSRN, 2018
Note: U.S. data excludes Oregon. Data include all nurses who reported employment in both current and prior year, regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.

Figure 23 shows that nursing employers in Oregon had a comparable retention rate of their RN workforce to the national average. Approximately 90 percent of RNs in Oregon were employed with the
same employer compared to the prior year (85% in the same nursing position); the U.S. average was approximately 89 percent.

Figure 23. Change in nursing position and employer from prior year, Oregon vs. U.S., 2018.

Source: NSSRN, 2018
Note: U.S. data excludes Oregon. Data include all nurses who reported employment in both current and prior year, regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.

Figure 24 compares changes in employment status among Oregon’s RN workforce with the rest of the U.S. These data indicate that Oregon had a higher than average share of RNs working part-time in nursing. Approximately 26 percent of Oregon’s RNs reported part-time nursing employment in both the survey year and the year prior; across all other states, an average of 16 percent of RNs reported part-time nursing employment in both years. The data in Figure 24 also show most RNs in Oregon and across the U.S. maintained their nursing employment status, whether staying in full-time or part-time positions. Approximately eight percent of RNs in Oregon, and an average of seven percent of RNs across all other states, reported a change in their full-time or part-time nursing employment status.

Figure 24. Change in nursing employment status from prior year, Oregon vs. U.S., 2018.

Source: NSSRN, 2018
Note: U.S. data excludes Oregon. Data include all nurses who reported employment in both current and prior year, regardless of employment setting or advanced practice status.
### Nurse workloads

#### The importance of nurse workload

Across care settings, higher nurse-to-patient ratios are associated with better patient outcomes. Multiple systematic literature reviews have reported positive relationships between RN staffing and patient outcomes.\(^{30,31}\) Patients on units that have periods of “understaffing” relative to what patient acuity requires have higher risk of negative outcomes.\(^{32,33,34,35,36}\) However, no study points to a single “optimal” staffing model. Rigorous studies have found there are diminishing returns to increased nurse staffing, and some studies suggest adding more nurses may be detrimental after some point.\(^{37,38}\)

The lack of consensus about ideal nurse staffing is likely due to there being other nursing-related factors that affect patient outcomes. First, individual nurse productivity varies based on their experience, education, and other unmeasured attributes; this variability has been linked to patient outcomes.\(^{39}\) Second, the work environment in which nurses practice can influence patient outcomes. Positive nurse work environments have positive effects on patient outcomes and satisfaction,\(^{40}\) although there is some ambiguity regarding the strength of the relationship.\(^{41}\) For example, research on organizational safety culture has not found consistent significant relationships with patient safety and quality of care.\(^{42}\)

Some research suggests that investments in nurse work environment and workload might save money for health care organizations. Higher staffing is associated with fewer patient complications, and fewer
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patient complications may produce lower net costs. Moreover, it was suggested in interviews that shortages of support staff result in RNs being less able to practice using all of the knowledge and skills they possess.

Nurse workload in Oregon

The American Hospital Association Annual Survey of Hospitals provides data on full-time equivalent employment of RNs, LPNs, and aides. We divided these figures by the number of adjusted patient days to obtain a standardized measure of nurse staffing. As seen in Table 15, average RN staffing in Oregon is higher than in other states, but average LPN and aide staffing are lower. Median RN and aide staffing are higher in Oregon than other states, and median LPN staffing is lower. Note that these comparisons do not account for the possibility that hospitalized patients in Oregon are more acutely ill than the national average, and thus Oregon’s patient care needs may warrant higher staffing levels.

In interviews, key informants held mixed views about the workload of nurses prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Some described it as having been balanced and generally manageable, but others reported unfilled nursing positions were already causing workload stress. The pandemic changed conditions for nurses dramatically, particularly for those working in acute care settings. The intensity of patients’ care needs was much greater and there weren’t enough nurses to meet those needs, despite shifting nurses from other units to deal with the influx of COVID-related admissions. Moreover, hospitals needed to open new units to accommodate the influx of patients, adding volume to the intensity of the workload.

Table 15. Hospital full-time equivalent employment per 1000 adjusted patient days, 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RNs – Oregon</th>
<th>RNs – Other states</th>
<th>LPNs – Oregon</th>
<th>LPNs – Other states</th>
<th>Aides – Oregon</th>
<th>Aides – Other states</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentile</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th percentile</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentile</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: American Hospital Association, 2019
Note: Adjusted patient days are adjusted to account for ancillary and ambulatory services

During the pandemic, hospitals had to rely on unprecedented numbers of traveler nurses who were often unfamiliar with many operational aspects of the units in which they were working. At the same time, some hospital leaders indicated they had traveler RNs who had previously been employed by the same hospital or another hospital in the same system. When traveling nurses are new to an organization, it creates an additional burden for hospital-employed staff to have to train and support traveler nurses. Over the past two years, the sheer volume of travelers contributed to a sense of instability. Beyond the intensity of providing patient care, nurses with families experienced challenges outside of work related to school closures and childcare-related needs. Some hospitals reported their staff nurses leaving positions to work as travelers, taking advantage of the opportunity to make considerably more money. This added to the workload of nurses who remained on staff. Finally, key informants emphasized that nursing workload continues to be an issue, given the “crisis level” shortage of available nursing to staff to fill open positions. In addition, they emphasized that the shortage is not confined to RNs, in some parts of Oregon there is acute shortage of nursing assistants.46

Responses to deal with increased workload

Key informants provided several examples of hospital efforts to manage the increased workload of nurses. One interviewee described placing an experienced nurse in every unit of the hospital, free of patient assignments, so that experienced nurses can focus on supporting other nurses on the unit. The experienced nurse reviews nursing care plans, helps prioritize patients, consults on patients with complex care needs, and makes sure nurses get their breaks. Another interviewee referenced taking a new approach to admissions and discharges, where specialized teams were created to handle all of these functions in order to free up nursing staff to focus only on providing direct care to patients. Hospitals set up external COVID-19 testing sites to reduce the burden placed on emergency departments, which have been a primary site for patients who wanted COVID-19 testing. Finally, one interviewee reported their health care system had implemented a pilot program for “virtual nurses” who can be available to support multiple units remotely. This program was described as a way to not only reduce workload and address staff shortages but also retain experienced nurses at the end of their career (i.e., nurses who no longer want to practice bedside care and may be ready to retire).

Regulatory approaches to manage nurse workloads

There are four general approaches states have taken to regulate nurse staffing.

The most common regulatory approach, taken by seven states including Oregon, is the requirement that hospitals have nurse staffing committees composed of representatives of management and staff that develop nurse staffing plans. This is the regulatory approach recommended by the American Nurses Association. There has been limited research on the impact of this type of regulation. A study of Texas’s regulation found increases in nurse staffing, but these were smaller than national trend.47 A
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study in New Jersey reported the regulations improved nurse staffing.48 Finally, a recent national study found no overall effect on RN or aide staffing and a negative effect on LPN staffing.49 Oregon’s nurse staffing law has not been objectively evaluated to assess its effects on nurse staffing, workload, and satisfaction or on patient outcomes.

The second most common staffing-related regulatory approach is requiring public reporting of nurse staffing levels; this was in five states in 2019. A national study found no overall effect of this type of regulation on RN or aide staffing, and a positive effect on LPN staffing.49 California is the only state to adopt the general approach of fixed minimum staffing ratios. California’s regulations resulted in higher levels of licensed nurse staffing, particularly for safety-net hospitals,50 but reductions in staffing of nursing assistants.52,53,54 The regulations have been associated with greater RN job satisfaction,54,55 fewer occupational injuries,56 increased RN wages,57,58,59 and slower growth in uncompensated care services.60 There is no evidence that the ratios had a causal effect on patient outcomes.61,62,63,64 A recent literature review concluded: “All studies that reported on nurse outcomes demonstrated an improvement associated with the implementation of mandated minimum nurse-to-patient ratio, but findings related to patient outcomes were inconclusive.”65

50 Munnich, 2014, Health Economics; Serratt, 2013, J of Nursing Admin; Spetz et al., 2013, Medical Care Research and Review
Another more limited regulatory approach, which California has had in place since before it established its minimum staffing regulations, is to require health care organizations have formal acuity systems to rate patients’ care needs at the beginning of each shift and staff nurses accordingly. Massachusetts utilizes an acuity tool as well. Oregon’s nurse staffing law requires that nurse staffing plans account for differences in patient acuity, but does not require a formal shift-by-shift acuity rating system. There has not been any research on the effectiveness of requirements that hospitals have formal acuity systems to determine nurse staffing.

Several studies find that hospitals with proactive hiring and staffing strategies can reduce costs and improve quality. Predictive hiring strategies can reduce expensive overtime pay and agency nurse use, leading to notable cost savings. Moreover, an analysis of United Kingdom hospital data reported that staffing approaches that relied more on permanent staff than contingent/flexible staff were better for costs and quality of care.

Oregon’s 2015 Nurse Staffing Law

In Oregon, hospitals are required to develop and implement a nurse staffing plan approved by the hospital nurse staffing committee that establishes minimum numbers of RNs, LPNs, and CNAs required on specified shifts. That minimum number may not be less than one RN and one other nursing staff member (RN, LPN, or CNA) when a patient is on the unit, and the nurse staffing plan must clearly identify the number of RNs, LPNs, and CNAs required at any particular patient census. The hospital is required to meet the minimum number established in the nurse staffing plan any time a patient is present on the unit, including times when nurses are on meal or rest breaks (OAR 333-510-0110(2)(f)(h)).

It is important to recognize that Oregon’s requirement for minimum numbers on a shift is not the same as requiring the staffing plan to set minimum ratios. Oregon’s nurse staffing rules do not have requirements for the ratio of staff to patients. For example, RN Binh may have a ratio of 1:3 while RN Sara has a ratio of 1:5, but RN Binh’s patients have high acuity and/or nursing care intensity, while RN Sara’s five patients have low acuity and/or nursing care intensity.

Oregon’s hospital nurse staffing law was first enacted in 2001. It was intended to ensure patients receive safe nursing care and appropriate levels of nurse staffing in acute care hospital settings. The law was amended with minor changes in 2005, however, it was substantially expanded with the passage of SB 469 in 2015. Key changes to the law concerned the structure and responsibilities of the Hospital Nurse Staffing Committee (HNSC), a requirement that the HNSC develop and implement a detailed nurse staffing plan, and the structure of the audit process.

It is beyond the scope of this report to inventory the full extent of the changes brought about by SB 469. However, some of the most important effects of its implementation included enlarging the
responsibilities of the HNSC, increasing the number and type of nurses who must be represented on the committee, defining new administrative requirements, and creating a mechanism for nursing staff to report perceived violations of rules concerned with mandatory overtime. With respect to the actual nurse staffing plan, the 2015 statutory changes created detailed and specific requirements with respect to the qualifications and competencies of all nursing staff, requirements for measuring the activities of each nursing unit (i.e., how many discrete tasks were completed and how long did each take), and accounting for all patient diagnoses in each nursing unit and the variation in patient acuity. It also established that staffing plans must specify the minimum number of nurses and mix of nursing staff for each unit, based on patient census and acuity, and account for required meal and rest breaks.

The 2015 changes to Oregon’s hospital nurse staffing law also expanded the scope and structure of the audit process conducted by staff within the Health Facility License and Certification (HFLC) Program, which is housed within the OHA and is responsible for monitoring compliance with the law. Prior to 2015, HFLC needed to audit seven percent of Oregon’s hospitals annually; SB 469 increased the number of annual audits, effectively, to 33 percent of all hospitals and special inpatient care facilities, such as inpatient hospices. Additional changes to the audit process enacted in 2015 included requiring that HFLC staff interview each of the co-chairs of the hospital’s nurse staffing committee (the HNSC), provide a written report of the audit’s findings to the HNSC co-chairs, and conduct a second survey to determine compliance.

Interviews with key informants revealed that SB 469 significantly increased the workload of HFLC staff responsible for monitoring compliance, while only providing limited funding for this increased regulatory work. In recognition of the need for increased funding for the nurse staffing program, the Oregon State Legislature appropriated additional funding during the 2021 legislative session to support the ongoing implementation of Oregon’s nurse staffing law. HFLC has begun the process of expanding the nurse staffing team to facilitate improved implementation of the law.

Interviewees noted it has been very challenging to effectively monitor compliance issues, evaluate actions taken to remedy those issues, and provide technical assistance to hospitals and staffing committees that continue to struggle to maintain compliance with the law. Moreover, the increased workload associated with the audit process has caused delays in conducting complaint investigations. Key informants noted there is a general lack of understanding of what the law requires, who is covered by the law, how the audit process works, and what information is needed to ensure compliance, despite substantial efforts of HFLC staff to communicate on these topics and make themselves available to answer questions.

Results of the first three-year audit cycle (2017-2019) confirmed that hospitals are struggling to comply with the nurse staffing law. According to interviews, there were violations at every hospital that was surveyed; the average number of citations was 18 out of a possible 34 violations. The lack of understanding about what the law requires, who is covered by the law, how the survey process works, and what information is needed to ensure compliance has also had the effect of making it very challenging for hospitals to develop a “Plan of Correction,” which outlines in detail how the hospital will return to compliance. Improvements to the survey process were introduced for the second survey cycle (2021-2024), including the use of new tools designed to streamline the survey process and focus attention on critical elements of compliance.
Future of Oregon’s Nursing Workforce

Key informants emphasized hospital nurse staffing is inherently complicated and dynamic. The breadth and specificity of the hospital nurse staffing law may add additional challenges to ensure staffing is in accordance with the law. While the nurse staffing law has safe patient care as its focus, interviewees suggested the law’s requirements may not always be helpful in terms of having the right staff at the right time for the right unit to take care of patients.

**Nurse burnout**

**National estimates of nurse burnout**

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, national studies estimated that 35 to 45 percent of U.S. nurses reported experiencing burnout. In 2018, 31.5 percent of nurses across the nation reported leaving their employment due to burnout. Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, multiple studies have reported significant increases in self-reported nurse burnout. Between July 2020 and August 2021, the American Nurses Foundation reported a 350 percent increase in the level of self-reported burnout. Three additional studies conducted between May and December 2020 reported 54-64 percent of nurses experiencing burnout, with one study reporting 76 percent of critical care nurses experiencing burnout.

**Factors contributing to nurse burnout**

Studies documenting the various factors contributing to burnout have existed long before the COVID-19 pandemic. These factors include insufficient or inadequate staffing, unmanageable work schedules, repeated exposure to facing death and loss, administrators who do not respond to concerns, increased threats of physical or psychological harm, pressures to adapt to new technologies, and performing non-nursing tasks – all issues that only heightened during the COVID-19 pandemic. Multiple studies and literature reviews also document structural organizational issues that lead to nurse burnout, including a perceived lack of organizational support, perceived negative practice environment,
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organizational culture, or ethical climate, and negative perceptions of staffing, management, and resources.78,79

Effect of Nurse Burnout on Patient and Organizational Outcomes

Abundant studies have documented the negative impact of burnout. Burnout lowers nurses’ quality of care, organizational commitment, and increases their intention to leave the job. Multiple systematic reviews document that nurse burnout is associated with decreased quality of care, patient safety, patient satisfaction, nurses’ job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and productivity.69,80 In direct patient care, nurse burnout is associated with higher odds of patient mortality, failure to rescue, and prolonged hospital stay.81

Many nurses who are experiencing burnout choose to leave their positions or the nursing field altogether.69,71,73,74,82 Those who continue working amidst burnout are more likely to rate care quality at their workplace as less than excellent and give their hospital an unfavorable patient safety rating.70 In addition to the disruption to patient care, the loss of a nurse leaving their position is also associated with significant financial costs, estimated from $11,000 to $90,000 per nurse with up to $8.5 million in associated wider costs (e.g., unfilled vacancies, patient deferment, training, and orientations).83

Evidence for mitigating burnout

One study found evidence that hospitals with good work environments as well as American Nurses Credentialing Center Magnet Recognition Program84 status attenuated the effect of nurse burnout on poor patient outcomes.81 Additional studies report positive nurse work environments have positive effects on burnout, job satisfaction, and intention to leave,85,86 while electronic health records can have negative impacts on nurse satisfaction and stress.87
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84 ANCC Magnet Recognition Program®: https://nursingworld.org/organizational-programs/magnet/
Multiple reports and articles offer recommendations on the individual, organizational, and policy or community levels address nurse burnout, but the effectiveness of these recommendations and programs have not yet been evaluated.\textsuperscript{69,81,88,89}

**Oregon Center for Nursing’s Survey on Nurse Burnout**

**Survey and Demographics**

In response to the evidence about nurse burnout, OCN created the RN Well-Being Project, an initiative established in 2020 designed to provide research and resources on the mental and emotional well-being for Oregon’s nurses, and to implement evidence-based interventions to positively impact nurse mental health. This project has brought together nursing leaders from all areas of health care, legislators, policy analysts, association representatives, research analysts, and mental health professionals who created a multi-phase approach to address nurse well-being.

As part of the first phase of this project, OCN partnered with the OSBN in April 2022 to survey LPNs, RNs, and APRNs licensed to practice in Oregon. The purpose of the survey was to better understand the stressors licensed nurses experience in the work environment in the state of Oregon. The respondents were not asked to identify themselves or their employer.

The survey was sent to more than 80,000 individuals, and OCN received 5,500 completed surveys. Of those, 572 were located out of state, and were removed from the analysis. Table 16 provides information about the numbers of each type of nurse represented in the data.


Table 16. Nurse Well-Being Mental Health Survey respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LPN</th>
<th>RN</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>CRNA</th>
<th>CNS</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Surveys Received</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>4,870</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Nurses Practicing in Oregon</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>4,356</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4,928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Surveys were sent out to every licensed LPN, RN, NP, CRNA, and CNS, including to those working out-of-state. Only surveys received from nurses practicing in Oregon were included in the analyses.

The overall demographics of the survey respondents reflected the Oregon nurse population. The notable exceptions occurred in three areas: age, work setting, and years of experience. Respondents tended to be older (Figure 25), over-represented in the hospital setting, and under-represented in the public policy/regulator agency setting (Figure 26). In addition, a high proportion of respondents indicated they have practiced as a nurse for more than 25 years (Figure 27).

Figure 25. Age of RN Well-Being Mental Health Survey respondents.
Figure 26. Work settings of RN Well-Being Mental Health Survey respondents.

Figure 27. Years of experience of RN Well-Being Mental Health Survey respondents.
Most nurses surveyed reported working directly with COVID-19 patients, particularly in hospital, long-term care, and home health or hospice settings. About 30 percent of respondents indicated they did not work with COVID-19 patients. Of those, 23 percent indicated they had a risk of potential exposure to COVID-19 despite not working directly with patients.

**Results**

The sample collected indicated that, despite mental and emotional health supports implemented by employers and state agencies, Oregon nurses continue to report severe mental suffering and exhaustion. In this unpublished study, 83 percent of nurses reported increased feelings of stress, 80 percent reported increased feelings of frustration, and 68 percent reported increased feelings of anxiety in the last three months (see Figure 28). About 97 percent of nurses reported experiencing a work-related stressor, such as a heavy workload, uncertainty about the future, and burnout (Figure 29).

**Figure 28. Feelings regularly experienced at work.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeling</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustration</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety, Exhaustion, &amp; Burnout</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being Overwhelmed, Undervalued</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unappreciated</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 29. Top five workplace stressors.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stressor</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy or Increased Workload</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty About Return to Normal</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Communication w/ Leadership</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with Colleagues w/ Mixed Competencies</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These stressors are impacting nurses both physically and mentally. Nurses from all settings report feelings of emotional exhaustion, work-related dread, difficulty falling or staying asleep, and physical exhaustion (Figure 30). An alarming one in four nurses reported having upsetting thoughts, images, and/or dreams with 22 percent stating they are more likely to smoke, drink, and/or use substances to cope with their symptoms. Of nurses who work in ambulatory care, hospitals, home health or hospice, or public health, 50 percent or more reported questioning their career path.

**Figure 30. Symptoms experienced recently by respondents.**

Despite increased services offered by employers to provide mental and emotional health services to their employees, almost half of respondents said they do not receive adequate support at work (Figure 31). Two out of five nurses reported actively seeking out mental health services, but only 15 percent utilized work-provided employee assistance plans (EAP), and about 12 percent utilized work-provided apps and training. Overwhelmingly, nurses report receiving most of their emotional support from home and personal sources (Figure 32).
Figure 31. Do nurses receive adequate emotional support at work?

Figure 32. Do nurses receive adequate emotional support at home?

Despite the mental health challenges nurses are experiencing, 95 percent of the respondents indicated a belief that workplaces could change to support mental and emotional well-being. As presented in Figures 33 and 34, nurses reported changes they both need and want their employers to take to improve their mental health, including hiring more nurses and support staff, engaging in meaningful employee recognition, providing more flexibility with work schedules and opportunities for learning, and more support from managers.
The findings of the RNWB Mental Health survey indicate there is a gap in prevention measures that consider the individual area of practice, organization, environment, and culture of the workplace to support mental health.

**Burnout reported in interviews**

In interviews, key informants noted that prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, some hospitals had begun to emphasize moving patients to sub-acute settings as rapidly as possible, to admit higher acuity patients with more intense care needs. This was already a source of concern regarding potential burnout among nurses. The experience of caring for patients throughout the pandemic, however, was unprecedented in terms of the psychological effect on hospital nursing staff. One interviewee characterized the
phenomenon of burnout resulting from the pandemic as having two components: change fatigue and mourning. The sheer number of changes in both the workplace and life outside of work were difficult to cope with. There was also some sense of mourning for the loss of normal life, and anxiety stemming from the realization that it may be gone forever. In this context, several key informants commented the experience of the pandemic has caused both nursing education programs and employers to realize how little focus has been given to preparing nurses to cope with stress and trauma.

One key informant, an RN who works in a medical intensive care unit for a large hospital system, described how the intensity of patient care needs during the different waves of COVID-19 admissions consumed resources across all nursing units. Nurses who were not trained to provide critical care, by necessity, were doing so. It created a highly stressful environment for everyone. “We were so consumed with caring for COVID-19 patients, and we knew that other patients were being denied beds and weren’t getting care, and you felt so guilty. And then the patients you were caring for…so many of them died. It was terrible,” she commented. The weight of these circumstances was very challenging to process emotionally.

Another key informant, also a critical care nurse, described the experience of caring for a second wave COVID-19 patients who had refused to be vaccinated. It engendered feelings of anger and resentment, she acknowledged nurses found it hard to be empathetic towards these patients. There was a “collective feeling that we had given so much to get through the early days of COVID, before the vaccine, and now we were going through it all over again, and we didn’t need to…it could have been prevented,” she remarked. She said the experience was demoralizing, and she described it as a watershed moment, after which nurses began leaving the hospital.

The experience of burnout brought about by the experience of caring for patients throughout the COVID-19 pandemic appears to be a critical factor contributing to a nursing shortage in Oregon. The nursing shortage, in turn, is contributing to feelings of anxiety, stress, and burnout. As experienced nurses have left, their positions are being filled with inexperienced nurses or traveler nurses. “There is always this sense that things are not safe,” commented one interviewee who works in a hospital ICU. She added, “Our hospital acquired infection numbers are up, and regular staff resent the travelers who are getting paid way more money.” She referenced the lack of cohesion in the unit culture, and described how new hires are being trained by new hires, inexperienced nurses are being “thrown” into preceptor and training roles. “There just aren’t enough experienced nurses to backstop, to stalk our notes, to make sure things are being done safely,” she said.

Several key informants described how health care systems are adapting to nursing staff burnout. For example, by creating more opportunities for nurses to work across different care settings or clinical areas within the inpatient setting. Another interviewee noted hospitals are trying to be more flexible around length of shift, the mix of days and nights, and the ability to work part-time. Key informants also reported hospitals are reconfiguring patient care transitions to reduce the volume of “hand-offs”, and instituting “respite” assignments that allow nurses to go and work in other areas of the hospital in a non-patient care capacity. Several key informants talked about the importance of strong shared governance – giving nurses more ownership over decisions that directly affect them – as part of any strategy to create a healthier workplace environment. Some interviewees acknowledged that health care systems aren’t sure what to do to support their nursing staff, who are trying to process the trauma of the past several years. Some interviewees believe there needs to be a “cultural shift”; some employers are
experimenting with “mindfulness-based stress reduction” and “cognitive behavioral therapy groups.” There was a view that repeating the “same old mantras” of exercise, wellness, nutrition was insufficient, and that deeper and concerted programs were required to address burnout. However, one interviewee, a critical care nurse, emphasized that there was a collective sense among nurses that “there is no end in sight.”

“I feel like the past two years have been spent growing apart from most everybody else’s point of view. When you spend so much time, years, trying to keep people alive, and so many of them die, and it feels like it was preventable…it makes it hard to have conversations with people about anything else. But you realize that if you are real with people, you freak them out, so you downplay it and so they don’t get it. I don’t know if there is a way to communicate what happened over the past two years, and have people really understand, but also be okay with you telling them. I don’t know how we are going help nurses be okay. We haven’t figured out how to help people who experience war, the trauma of war. I just don’t think we’re going to figure this out either.”

**Nursing education capacity in Oregon**

An important consideration for ensuring an adequate nursing workforce is how to expand nursing program capacity. If schools can graduate more students, employers would have a larger pool of candidates from which to hire. However, there are two major limitations to growing nursing education programs: the lack of nurses who wish to work as nurse faculty, and challenge of identifying clinical placement experiences for student training.

**Faculty shortages**

Key informants and research from OCN emphasized that the inability to expand capacity Oregon’s nursing education programs to increase the number of new graduates is a major factor contributing to an inadequate supply of new entrants to workforce. A principal factor limiting nursing programs’ expansion is their inability to recruit and hire for open nurse faculty positions. The shortage of nursing faculty was described as a “crisis” by multiple interviewees.

The challenge of finding nurses to serve as faculty has been an ongoing problem in the state of Oregon for more than 15 years. Much literature has been published both nationally and from OCN describing the factors discouraging nurses from becoming faculty. According to a 2017 study by OCN90, the main factors contributing to difficulties in recruiting faculty include:

- **Faculty salaries:** According to the Oregon Employment Department, nurse teachers and instructors in Oregon make an average of $91,042 annually, while the average registered nurse salary is $102,180.91 Most nurse educators must have higher education (master’s level or higher), which they must obtain often at their own expense, to work as faculty at an ADN or BSN program. This means many nursing students leave the classroom with positions where they make more than the faculty who taught them. Among those interviewed for this study, noncompetitive pay was uniformly reported as the main reason why it is so hard to attract nurse faculty.
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90 Oregon Center for Nursing (2017). Oregon’s Nursing Faculty: Why Are They Leaving? Portland, OR.
91 Oregon Employment Department (2022). 2022 Oregon Wage Information. Salem, OR.
• **Workload**: Nurse faculty are required to fulfill all responsibilities of higher education (publishing, participating in academic committees, spending extra hours with students), but also often supervise experiential learning (clinical placements) as part of their job description.

• **Work environment**: Oregon nurse faculty report difficult work environments, with conflict among managers and co-workers to be a main factor in job dissatisfaction.

Despite these challenges, nursing education programs appear to have been able to increase the number of faculty they employ. As seen in Figure 35, the number of faculty in Oregon’s RN education programs grew between 2018 and 2020; although the number decreased between 2020 and 2021, it remained higher than in 2018.

**Figure 35. Number of faculty at Oregon’s RN programs.**

![Graph showing the number of faculty at Oregon’s RN programs between 2012 and 2021](image)

*Source: OSBN, Nursing Program Annual Report, 2012-2021
Note: The OSBN Nursing Program Annual Report did not collect data for number of faculty at Oregon’s RN programs in 2020, but resumed this data collection in 2021.*

**Lack of Clinical Placements**

All nursing students must engage in clinical experiences as part of their education. Clinical experiences are provided at hospitals, long-term care facilities, and other community-based locations, and rely on the shared agreements between facilities and schools. While placements can be completed at almost any health care facility where students can be supervised, there is a preference for placements to be held in hospital settings. Admitting more students to nursing schools without identifying clinical placement experiences for students will delay education and strain relationships. Moreover, it increases strain on nurse preceptors who support newly graduated nurses during the onboarding process because preceptors must compensate for graduates limited clinical experiences. This, in turn, reduces the availability of clinical placements because hospitals often use the same pool of expert nurses as preceptors for both new graduate onboarding and student placements.

The challenges associated with clinical placements existed prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, however the restrictions of in-person clinical training for nursing students exacerbated the problem. OCN
conducted a study among healthcare agencies and nursing programs at the onset of the pandemic, and found the current model of clinical education to be sorely lacking, particularly in collaboration among facilities and programs, and the full acceptance of nursing students as functional members of the healthcare team. For decades, Oregon has attempted to address clinical placement shortages with consortiums, shared databases, and alternate placement models, and still the challenges with clinical placement restricts nursing school capacity and Oregon’s ability to produce more graduates.

However, the availability of clinical placements is now threatened by the acute shortage of experienced nurses. This is having an impact on clinical training across all types of care settings, acute, sub-acute, and community-based. One key informant noted that nursing students in her local program cannot access clinical training outside the hospital setting, as there are no training spots available. In locations with more than one nursing education program, there is an insufficient number of clinical spots to meet demand.

An important ramification of this reduction in capacity to provide clinical training to nursing students is that new graduates are entering the workforce with little hands-on experience working with patients. Employers are trying to address this by recalibrating their transition-to-practice programs. But it remains a significant challenge. Key informants described efforts by nursing education programs to adapt to the lack of clinical placements. One example was to have a faculty member placed at a clinical site to precept students directly during their clinical rotations. In this case, the faculty member was an NP with experience in ambulatory care. The nursing program was able to structure an agreement with a local outpatient clinic whereby the program covered the malpractice insurance for the faculty member, and that NP billed for services through the clinic site. Key informants emphasized that nursing education programs will need to be creative in finding solutions to the issue of “missing” clinical spots, part of which will be to exhibit flexibility and develop new kinds of partnerships with health care systems.

**Transition into Practice for New Nurse Graduates**

When nurses complete their RN education, they have the knowledge and skills required to work as licensed nurses. Nurse researchers and educators refer to these new nurses as “novices” who will acquire skills over the course of their careers. The novice nurse needs experience and mentoring to develop skills to make decisions when unusual circumstances arise. As the nurse encounters more complex situations, he or she develops competency as a nurse – typically requiring two or three years of work. With continued practice, the nurse becomes proficient and advances to the expert level of nursing. The expert nurse has an intuitive grasp on most situations and can rapidly make decisions in the face of complexity.

During early independent practice, many new graduate nurses face challenges due to a discrepancy between demonstrated knowledge and competent practice. The disparity between new graduate nurses’ academic knowledge and their ability to provide basic or complex nursing care in various health care settings has long been documented. Multiple national systemic reviews and studies have documented the challenges during the transition-to-practice from new graduate nurse to accomplished
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practitioner. New nurses often identify their initial professional adjustment in terms of the feelings of anxiety, insecurity, inadequacy, and instability it produces.94,95 A large contributor of stress comes from knowledge insecurity, or not feeling adequately prepared or confident in their skill performance. New nurses often experience a fear of “missing something,” making mistakes, or asking questions, and especially feel that they do not have enough time to apply careful assessment and critical thinking to new situations.96,97,98,99

New graduate nurses are also navigating new roles and tasks during this time, and stress related to time management, organization, priority-setting, and personal accountability arises while they delegate and supervise unlicensed assistive personnel or when they through different work units during their orientation.100 Systemic organizational issues such as inadequate staffing patterns, redundant and unnecessary paperwork, delayed and arbitrary work schedules, and problems accessing vital policies and procedures also add to new nurses’ daily operational burdens.93 Multiple studies have also found new nurses often feel unsupported by their work environments when preceptors, physicians, or other team members are perceived to be disinterested, unprofessional, or inconsistent in their communication. Many new nurses feel a lack of career development opportunities, dissatisfaction with salary, and dissatisfaction with schedules.93,94,95

For the academic years 2020 and 2021, clinical hours requirements were waived; ultimately, OSBN made several of the pandemic-related changes to clinical hours requirements permanent (e.g. no minimum hours, increased the number of hours completed as simulation, lowered NCLEX cohort, pass-rate threshold). The long-term effect of these changes on nurse readiness for practice are unknown.

**Strategies to address transition-to-practice challenges**

Today, the transition of new nurses to practice is even more important due to health care systems becoming increasingly complex, changes in health services, such as decreased length of hospital stay, and advanced technologies. Whereas these challenges have been documented since the early 1970s, there is renewed urgency to examine new graduate nurse perceptions of the initial practice experience due of concerns about retention.

Many hospitals and health care employers provide orientation, residency, preceptorships, or transition-to-practice programs to bridge this knowledge and practice gap. These programs often address transition-to-practice challenges with two areas of focus. The first area of focus addresses nurse competency for safe practice. This is often accomplished by increasing new graduate nurses’ clinical
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knowledge through structured programming and simulations for development of technical skills,\textsuperscript{101,102} as well as increasing professional socialization through simulations for care prioritization, managing clinical dilemmas, communication, and clinical decision skills; implementation of Dedicated Education Units or immersion programs prior to graduation.\textsuperscript{100,101,103}

The second area of focus addresses the stress experienced by new nurses during the transition period. Health care organizations employing new nurses are increasingly requiring preceptor training, offering mentorship through an evidence-based practice program, providing resources to manage stress, transition shock, and mental wellness, and building new nurse confidence with supportive staff, inclusion as a vital member of the organization, and time to familiarize oneself to organizational policies, procedures, and routines.\textsuperscript{100,101,102,104}

Multiple systematic reviews and studies find interventions to ease the transition-to-practice experience are beneficial and can have a positive impact on job satisfaction and retention. However, these reviews also suggest the need for greater standardization of concepts that should be evaluated and the instruments used to determine if new nurses are successfully transitioning into professional nursing.\textsuperscript{98,100,102,103,104,105}

In interviews, key informants acknowledged robust transition-to-practice nursing programs have been widely adopted by hospital-based employers. These include both general onboarding and orientation-style programs as well as formal residency-style programs. Interviewees noted that health care system leadership has come to understand that these programs are a necessary investment in supporting new graduate nurses in the first year of employment. Key informants referred to the support nurses receive in the first few years of employment as being correlated with remaining in the profession. Transition-to-practice programs are an important part of any workforce retention strategy.

These types of programs are not limited to new graduate nurses. One interviewee described a fellowship program his health care system has developed for experienced nurses who want to move into specialty care areas. Eventually, all experienced nurses in this health care system who move from general practice to specialty care will go through this inpatient fellowship training program. However, key informants also acknowledged that rural hospitals, including critical access hospitals were less likely to have well organized, transition-to-practice programs for either new graduate hires or experienced nurses transitioning to a new area of clinical care. It was suggested state agencies could offer targeted support to these rural sites to help develop and sustain these types of programs.

OCN has identified about 15 nurse residency programs in the state, some of which have multiple sites (~20 sites total). There may be more programs, and some onboarding programs have characteristics similar to nurse residencies. As seen in Figure 36, many of these programs are in rural and small communities.

**Figure 36. Known nurse residency programs in Oregon.**

![Map of Oregon showing known nurse residency programs](image)

*Source: Oregon Center for Nursing, 2022*

**Challenges with the LPN and CNA workforce**

As previously discussed, Oregon’s LPN workforce is small, and are predominantly employed in outpatient care settings, for example in long-term care sites (e.g., skilled nursing facilities or residential care facilities) or ambulatory care clinics. Some key informants noted that LPNs once were employed in acute care settings with greater frequency, and this may still be the case in rural areas of the state. It was suggested that LPNs could play a role in addressing the current nursing shortage affecting so many inpatient facilities. One interviewee stated, “I would love to hire LPNs into our system, but I don’t even know where to source them.”

However, one interviewee who oversees workforce development and the nurse residency program for a rural health care system reported having been consistently successful in recruiting LPNs to work in the system’s acute care hospitals. This health system has historically employed LPNs in acute care, and LPNs participate in the system’s nurse residency training program. It may be the case that health care systems that have always utilized LPNs for inpatient settings may be in better position to recruit and retain them. These systems have both the experience defining the scope and role of LPNs, and
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106 Oregon Center for Nursing: https://oregoncenterfornursing.org/residency-transition-to-practice-programs/
documentation processes in place to ensure LPNs are meeting standards of patient care. This is something other key informants acknowledged would be a challenge, with respect to integrating LPNs into the acute care setting.

In contrast, some interviewees expressed the view that LPN education programs may not be equipping graduates with the skills needed to work in inpatient settings, even though many inpatient procedures and related skills are within their scope. One key informant, whose health care system does employ LPNs in acute care, acknowledged needing to establish a partnership with the local LPN education program to help shape the curriculum to ensure graduates were exposed to the full scope of competencies with the LPN scope of practice. LPN willingness to move from non-acute care settings into acute care was perceived by some interviewees to be correlated with age and experience. A new LPN graduate may be more willing to go into acute care relative to an LPN with many years of experience in non-acute care settings. One interviewee referred to recent graduates as being “still in the learning mode,” which may help them overcome any anxiety about developing additional skills. Professional cultural issues may also be a factor in the willingness of LPNs to work in acute care, as LPNs have historically been viewed by RNs as “less than” RNs in hospital settings. Finally, one key informant expressed the view that hiring LPNs away from sub-acute care settings presented an ethical issue, given that these settings are experiencing acute LPN and CNA staffing shortages.

Some of those interviewed expressed concern about training requirements for CNAs. OSBN requires more than double the number of training hours than the federal standard. Some of those interviewed thought that OSBN regulations that define skills and scope of practice for CNAs make it difficult to define a clear career ladder from CNA to LPN to RN. The existence of two levels of CNA was perceived by some as confusing; some believed that the intent of two categories was to define a CNA1 that would work in long-term care settings and a CNA2 that would work in acute care settings, but thought that OSBN “muddied the waters” by defining skills and scope for CNA1 that overlap with CNA2. This was perceived as a challenge to the recruitment and retention of CNAs in long-term care. The OSBN observed in our interview that many other states have more hours of training required for CNAs than the federal standard, often justifying this by noting advances in care practices and technology and require more training. OSBN also observed current regulations allow CNAs who were certified in other states with fewer hours of training can have their certification endorsed into Oregon. Any change in the number of hours required for certification must be proposed and established to the Board, as it is not under the purview of the legislature.

**Solutions Implemented and Considered in Oregon**

**Oregon Wellness Program**

To address the immediate needs of nurse mental health, the state allocated $500,000 from OSBN to support the Oregon Wellness Program, a nonprofit that promotes health care professionals’ well-being through free counseling, education, and research. Originally designed to support the well-being of physicians, physician assistants, advanced practice providers, nurse practitioners, and dentists, the funding from OSBN expanded the reach to RNs, LPNs, and CNAs.
Temporary Licensure

New regulations were passed through HB 4003 that expanded the availability of temporary licenses to all nurses endorsing into Oregon, regardless of clinical specialty; previously, the temporary license-seeker had to be employed in specific clinical areas. This new regulation also extended the length of time in which the temporary license was valid from 30 days to 60 days, with one renewal for another 30 days. The change was viewed as an important component of maintaining the inflow of nurses as emergency authorization licenses (EAL) ended. In an interview, OSBN reported there are now approximately 1000 people who have been allowed to continue to work while the OSBN clears a backlog of applicants.

Nurse Intern Licensure

In addition, HB 4003 also created a “nurse intern” license for nursing students who are enrolled in a nursing education program and employed in a hospital or other facility. The nurse intern role is like the registered apprenticeship model, in which student nurses can be paid while they obtain clinical experience. This approach can increase capacity at the hospital and provide access to clinical education for nursing programs. OSBN is in the process of creating rules for how the nurse intern license will be administered and regulated.107

Nurse Licensure Compact

The Nurse Licensure Compact (Compact) is an interstate agreement that allows nurses to hold a single license issued by a Compact member state, permitting them to practice in any other state that is also a Compact member. While Oregon has discussed being a part of the Compact for many years, and no legislation has been seriously considered.

A Compact license enables nurses to provide care to patients in person or via telehealth to patients in any Compact state, subject to each state’s practice laws and subject to continued residency in the issuing state (i.e., the nurse’s primary state of residence). States that participate in the Compact can choose to offer both single-state licenses and multi-state licenses.

The NCSBN and state Compact administrators describe the following benefits of the Compact:108

- expands access to care;
- enables telehealth practice (including triage, call centers, case managers);
- facilitates disaster relief;
- provides support for nurse spouses in military families
- facilitates online nursing education;
- is cost effective for nurses and employers;


• addresses access for rural populations and areas of health care shortages;
• facilitates transport nursing;
• enables facility staffing – travel nursing;
• enhances mobility for nurses residing near borders and practicing in adjacent states;
• provides administrative efficiency; and
• offers flexible licensure (i.e., nurses may still obtain a single-state license, if ineligible for a multi-state license).

There is limited academic literature published on the impact of the Compact. One study found no impact of Compact adoption on labor force participation, employment levels, hours worked, or nurse wages. It also found “little evidence that the labor supply or mobility of nurses increased following the adoption of the Compact” in the nurses’ home state, even among nurses who lived in counties bordering other Compact states who were potentially most affected by the Compact.109 Another study found an 11 percent increase in job-to-job movement (or “job flows”) upon joining the Compact from Compact-to-Compact states and an 11 percent decrease in job flows from Compact-to-non-Compact states.110

It is generally recognized that the Compact does not increase the size of the overall nursing workforce, but it is a policy solution that addresses short-term staffing needs and facilitates telehealth.111 It also could be beneficial during emergencies. Although nurses licensed in other states could practice in Oregon through emergency provisions, those nurses were not under the supervision of the OSBN and the OSBN could not initiate disciplinary processes. If those nurses had been practicing in Oregon through the Compact, OSBN would have had authority to oversee their practice and initiate disciplinary action if necessary.

Currently, there are 35 states that have implemented Compact legislation with more than two million nurses living in these states.112 Oregon, however, is not a Compact state. The main effect of the Compact is that it addresses licensure portability issues; it removes the administrative barriers associated with state boards of nursing having to adjudicate each application for licensure endorsement from nurses licensed in another state. In interviews, key informants generally expressed support for Oregon joining the Compact. However, there are several factors to consider when weighing the decision to enact legislation to join the Compact:

• There has been rising concern about fraudulent nursing education programs and false transcripts;\textsuperscript{113} participation in the Compact may increase the risk of unqualified individuals practicing in Oregon.

• OSBN would lose approximately $700,000 in revenues from licensing fees that would no longer be paid by nurses practicing under the Compact.

• OSBN may face higher administrative costs to manage two tracks of licensure (Oregon-only and multi-state).

• OSBN and OHA would have less information about nurses practicing in the state because surveys now conducted through the licensing and renewal process would not be completed by nurses with a Compact license.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions
The capacity and resilience of Oregon’s nursing workforce have been severely stressed by the COVID-19 pandemic, exacerbating pre-existing problems. Oregon is not alone in this experience; many of the challenges observed in data and heard in interviews can be found in other states. Some of the most important findings of this study include:

- Widespread nursing shortages are being reported, and there are multiple causes of these shortages. There are reports of nurses retiring early, reducing their working hours, and seeking positions that allow remote work, such as telehealth.
  - Changes in nurse supply are linked to high rates of burnout among nurses, which was a concern before the COVID-19 pandemic. Both nurses themselves and their employers have concerns about nurse burnout and employers are deeply concerned about how to address the situation.
  - The main causes of nursing shortages differ across regions of the state and by type of health care setting.
  - There is little data available to assess the extent and depth of nursing shortages, or about the extent to which nurses have left the profession.

- Nurse workload is a concern among both nurses and their employers. Oregon’s nurse staffing law was intended to create collaborative processes to ensure adequate staffing for high quality patient care. There was agreement that the regulations are not fully achieving their goal, but there were differences in opinion about why this is the case.
  - Some concerns were raised about the complexity of the survey process and perceptions that enforcement was not as rigorous as desired.
  - There has not been any objective evaluation of the effectiveness of the law’s impact on nurse staffing, nurse workloads, or patient outcomes.
  - Recent data indicate that nurse staffing in Oregon hospitals is higher than the national average, but nurses nonetheless attribute a great deal of their stress and burnout to heavy workloads.

- Growth in new enrollments in Oregon RN education programs has slowed over the past three years and LPN enrollments also have declined. Education programs struggle to grow due to difficulty recruiting faculty and finding clinical placements for students.
  - Faculty shortages were attributed by many people to low salaries paid to nursing faculty compared with salaries that can be received for clinical work.
  - Shortages of clinical placements were attributed to several factors, including general shortages of nurses and the need to dedicate the time of experienced nurses toward onboarding newly-hired new graduates.
  - Applications to RN education programs have declined over the past four years; however, it is not known why.
- Oregon is heavily reliant on nurses educated in other states because of its relatively limited education capacity.

- Oregon’s licensed nurse workforce is not as diverse as the state’s population, although the current nursing student population more closely resembles the racial/ethnic diversity of the state.

  - The CNA and LPN workforces are somewhat more diverse than the total population in Oregon. CNAs and LPNs have greater percentages of people who identify as Black/African-American and CNAs also have a greater percentage of people who identifies as Hispanic/Latinx.

  - The RN and NP workforces are less diverse than Oregon’s population, with under-representation of people who identify as Hispanic/Latinx, Black/African-American, Asian, and American Indian/Alaska Native.

  - RN students have become more diverse over time, and recently-graduated RNs more closely resemble Oregon’s population except for people who identify as Black.

  - LPN students have also become more diverse over time, although people who identify as Hispanic/Latinx remain under-represented.

**Recommendations**

The recommendations we offer will not provide immediate relief to the challenges Oregon now faces with its nursing workforce. Multiple actions must be considered and simultaneously implemented to make long-term progress toward a sustainable, skilled, and satisfied nursing workforce.

Our recommendations are organized by domain of concern.

1. **Workforce retention.** Health care organizations must take responsibility for retaining their nursing workforce.

   a. The mental health and well-being of nurses must be prioritized and supported. It is essential that employers redouble their efforts to address nurse well-being and that they create and maintain well-developed programs that create a culture of the well-being for staff. Employers can support the creation of peer support groups, provide training to managers to recognize and address burnout among staff, and ensure that nurses take all the paid time off they are allotted. There are numerous organizations that have published guides and resources to help employers and educational institutions support health care worker well-being. The National Academy of Medicine has published a Resource Compendium for Health Care Worker Well-Being that includes evidence-based resources to strengthen leadership behaviors, assess the well-being of staff, assess the workplace’s wellness culture, and create a supportive culture. The U.S. Surgeon General published an Advisory on Building a Thriving Health Workforce that provides detailed recommendations to address health care worker burnout. The Joint Commission has published several Safety Issues on increasing the resilience of the workforce.

nursing workforce.\textsuperscript{115} OCN’s RN Well-Being Project provides links to resources for nurses,\textsuperscript{116} and the Oregon Wellness Program offers free counseling and education.\textsuperscript{117}

b. Employers must create healthy work environment interventions to support health and well-being, and they need to address issues such as protection from workplace violence, bullying, and racism head-on.\textsuperscript{118} Components of a positive nursing work environment include professional autonomy, adequate staff and resources, and good working relationships with physicians and management.\textsuperscript{81} There is a strong relationship between nursing workload and burnout;\textsuperscript{54,119} thus, employers need to address workload concerns as part of the process of improving work environments to improve nurse retention and the quality of patient care.\textsuperscript{69} This includes staffing levels for both nursing and non-nursing staff, as shortages of any staff lead to greater workloads for other staff. The National Academy of Medicine’s Resource Compendium includes resources to assess the healthiness of the work environment and advance organizational commitment to a healthy work environment.\textsuperscript{114}

c. Oregon’s government can support employer efforts to improve employee well-being by maintaining and expanding the Oregon Wellness Program, creating incentives for workplace health programs, and providing resource guides and seed funding to support development of new employer and educator programs.

2. \textbf{Education pipeline}. The growth of the nursing workforce begins before college and depends on the success of nursing students in their education programs. Declines in the numbers of applicants to RN and LPN education programs need to be reversed, and the commitment to increasing the diversity of the nursing workforce needs to be reaffirmed.

a. Middle schools and high schools play an important role in preparing students to pursue nursing education and diversifying the nursing workforce. A recent study of diversifying the health professions recommended state policymakers prioritize K-12 resources for students from socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds, support programs that provide mentorship to aspiring health professionals, and create shadowing opportunities for high school students to learn more about career opportunities in health care.\textsuperscript{16}

b. High schools and post-secondary education institutions should provide educational resources to students to help them navigate pre-requisites and admission processes and to help them be successful in their post-secondary education. Community colleges and state agencies should create more pathways to leverage community colleges to offer standardized pre-requisites. The Oregon Consortium of Nursing Education (OCNE)
framework should be leveraged to support standardization of pre-requisites and streamlining RN-to-BSN education. This effort should actively engage private BSN programs.

c. Educational institutions should develop diversity pathway programs, provide racially/ethnically concordant mentoring, and explore holistic admissions practices. State policymakers could provide funding to support the development, expansion, and sustenance of these programs. Moreover, there should be greater funding for direct support to diverse students, including grants, scholarships, and stipends.16

3. **Education capacity.** Oregon’s nursing education capacity does not produce all the nurses the state needs, leaving Oregon dependent on inter-state migration. Oregon should strive to have sufficient education capacity to meet its long-term nursing needs.

   a. RN education capacity should be expanded in rural areas. Oregon’s RN education programs should develop and expand distance-based LPN-to-RN programs and semi-remote RN education programs. State government funds and grant programs could facilitate this endeavor.

   b. Colleges that want to have both ADN and LPN programs should consider sharing faculty. In addition, schools should ensure their programs are structured to allow RN students to take the LPN licensure exam while they are continuing in their RN education.

   c. RN and LPN education programs should create and expand educational options and supportive services. Many prospective students may prefer part-time programs; currently employed CNAs and LPNs cannot easily advance their education if they must quit their jobs for full-time education programs. In addition, education programs and other state agencies should increase support services for RN students, particularly first-generation-to-college and under-represented-in-health care populations.

   d. The pay differential between clinical jobs and faculty positions should be redressed. Nurses in academic roles earn up to 40 percent less than those in clinical roles. Community college and OHSU pay scales need to ensure they pay nursing faculty adequately. This may be challenging as these institutions value pay equity across faculty categories; however, this goal is creating a significant barrier to growth of public nursing programs, which are essential to serving low-income and under-represented-in-health care students. Educational institutions should work closely with faculty unions and other stakeholders to explore strategies to supplement nursing faculty salaries, such as developing clinical revenue streams and pursuing grant or private sector funds. Oregon policy makers can consider providing grant funds to support faculty hiring and compensation.

   e. State policymakers should consider providing financial incentives to faculty such as expanded tax breaks and loan repayment.

4. **Clinical experiences for students.** More high-quality experiences are needed to enable the expansion of the nurse workforce and support the education-to-practice transition.
a. Centralized clinical placement systems are needed to support nursing programs outside the Portland area. One or more collaborative groups should be established to advanced centralized systems statewide with local leadership and coordination.

b. Simulation laboratory facilities need expansion and educators need enhanced training to optimize their use. Simulation facilities are difficult to access in rural areas and shared facilities are needed. Nurse educators should receive opportunities for formal training about the various simulation modalities and strategies, including the range from simulation skills through clinical judgement. OSBN should collect data on simulation offerings in Oregon nursing programs and support credentialing of faculty in simulation modalities and technique.

c. Apprenticeships, internships, and/or residencies are needed to expand the nursing workforce in both general nursing and specialized clinical fields. Oregon government agencies and employers should explore strategies to fund these types of programs.

d. The “nurse intern” licensure regulations should be evaluated and the innovation be encouraged to optimize its use. Employers and educational institutions should seek to take full advantage of the nurse intern role.

e. Education programs should establish elective courses in specialties as partnerships between employers and educational institutions, including community colleges. Establishment of these programs could be incentivized with start-up funds and grants.

5. **Certified Nursing Assistant education and scope of practice.** CNAs provide important services in the health care system. More can be done to advance their skills and develop career pathways in other health occupations.

   a. CNA1 vs CNA2 categories have created confusion around career pathways. OSBN should work with employers and other stakeholders to assess whether two CNA categories are needed and, if they are, define them as a continuous career pathway pointing toward LPN and/or other health professions education.

   b. OSBN should continue its assessment of the length of training required for CNA certification in Oregon and provide evidence-based justification for the number of hours required. Input from employers, including long-term care, hospitals, and ambulatory care, should be solicited in this process.

6. **Nurse Licensure Compact.** OSBN and the Legislature should explore joining the Nurse Licensure Compact with a realistic understanding of its benefits and with strategies to mitigate its costs. If Oregon joins the Compact, it needs to identify strategies to track information about Compact nurses working in the state. Additional funds may need to be allocated to OSBN to ensure it can adapt to the higher administrative costs of managing two types of licenses while losing revenue from licensing fees.

7. **Nurse staffing regulations.** Oregon was one of the first states in the U.S. to enact staffing regulations that require collaborative committees to develop staffing plans in hospitals. There
are opportunities to refine Oregon’s regulations to more effectively advance the goals of high-quality patient care and manageable nurse workloads.

a. Oregon’s Nurse Staffing Law needs to be evaluated, as there has not yet been an objective analysis of whether the 2015 changes have achieved improvements in nurse staffing, nurse workload, patient safety, and nurse satisfaction.

b. Revisions to the Nurse Staffing Law should aim to increase clarity, support effective partnerships between nurse staff and management, and reduce unnecessary regulatory burden. Enforcement of the Law should be consistent.

c. Oregon health care leaders should explore whether requirements that staffing plans consider acuity would benefit from more structure, such as defining specific requirements for acuity systems.

d. Exploration of establishing fixed minimum staffing requirements should be considered with a realistic understanding of both the benefits and drawbacks. Any new staffing regulations should consider health care staffing holistically, as nurses’ ability to practice to the highest level of their knowledge and skill depends on having adequate support and collaborative staff.

8. **Local solutions to shortages**. All strategies undertaken to address nursing shortages should address the need for localized solutions to shortages. Recruiting and retaining nurses requires different strategies depending on where nurses are needed in the state. Incentives to recruit nurses to urban areas are different than those needed to recruit nurses to rural areas, and these incentives may be related more to economic development and community building than health care industry-specific solutions. A complete understanding of local conditions is critical to identifying solutions to local nursing workforce needs.

a. Oregon’s policymakers and health care employers should support market research to understand local barriers to nurse recruitment and retention.

b. Rural communities can explore developing economic opportunities for partners or spouses of nurses, as well as invest in high-quality K-12 education and internet infrastructure.

c. Both rural and urban communities must explore reducing the cost and increasing the supply of housing to incentivize nurses to stay in those areas to practice.

9. **Anticipating nurse shortages as a path for effective action**. OSBN, OHA, HECC, OCN and others should collaborate to advance strategies to track and predict nursing shortages and to track the diversity of the nursing workforce. These approaches can include:

a. Regularly collecting data on employer vacancies and perceptions of the local labor market. For example, Oregon could adopt the Sentinel Network developed by the University of Washington, which surveys a panel of employers at frequent intervals to measure vacancies and identify emerging shortages on a rapid basis.
b. Developing data systems that support more detailed projections of future nurse supply and demand. Forecasting models used by other states such as California could be adapted for Oregon, but current OSBN data systems do not generate many of the necessary parameters for such models. The supply of licensed nurses can be modeling using a stock-and-flow approach that accounts for different employment behaviors across age groups. The demand for licensed nurses should be assessed using multiple strategies, because competing conceptual frameworks exist for forecasting demand and there is no evidence that one framework is more valid than another.

c. Investment in identifying and understanding the root causes of racial disparities in the nursing workforce through research. This work should emphasize identifying successful strategies to expand opportunities for marginalized populations to enter the nursing workforce in Oregon and should involve workers from those communities in identifying root causes and crafting solutions.