

Jamie Taub

8403 SE 23rd Ave

Portland, OR 97202

jamietaub@gmail.com

4/1/2025

The Honorable Tina Kotek

Governor of Oregon

900 Court Street NE, Suite 254

Salem, OR 97301

Subject: The Oregon National Primate Center at OHSU must remain open

Dear Governor Kotek,

I am writing to express my strong support for the Oregon National Primate Research Center (ONPRC) and to urge you to reject misinformation that threatens this critical research institution.

Recently, false claims by groups like the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine (PCRM) have distorted the reality of ONPRC's work and its commitment to both scientific progress and animal welfare. These attacks not only misrepresent the facts but also endanger the future of medical innovation, public health, and compassionate animal care.

Setting the Record Straight: Why ONPRC must remain open

False Claim #1: ONPRC mistreats animals.

Fact: ONPRC upholds the highest animal welfare standards, exceeding the requirements of the Animal Welfare Act and USDA oversight. Animal research is often

misunderstood, but the reality is simple: this work is a mission of love—for people and animals alike. Researchers, veterinarians, and animal care teams dedicate their lives to advancing medicine, ensuring the highest ethical standards, and protecting both human and animal health.

Veterinary technicians and animal care teams form deep bonds with the animals they work with, providing them with enrichment, comfort, and the best possible care. Veterinarians and animal care professionals at ONPRC provide around-the-clock care, enrichment, and medical attention, ensuring that all animals receive humane and ethical treatment.

I have yet to meet a vet, vet tech, or animal care team who doesn't love animals.

Vets have told me personally that prefer working in animal research to private practice because animals in research are so much better take care of than many, many pets.

False Claim #2: Primate research is outdated and unnecessary.

Fact: While scientific advancements have introduced alternative models, no technology fully replicates the complexity of a living system. Primate research remains critical for studying conditions such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, infectious diseases, and reproductive health—areas where animal-free methods cannot yet provide complete answers.

The public demands new medicines, vaccines, and therapies—but those advancements do not happen without ethical research, including necessary animal studies.

We live in a world of scientific reality, where new treatments and cures require rigorous study before they are safe for people or animals.

False Claim #3: ONPRC research is wasteful and doesn't benefit human health.

Fact: ONPRC has contributed to breakthrough medical discoveries that have improved and saved millions of lives. For example:

- Vaccines & Infectious Disease Research – ONPRC studies were key to the development of COVID-19, Zika, and HIV treatments.
- Neurological Disorders – ONPRC research has advanced treatments for multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer’s, and Parkinson’s disease.
- Cancer Therapies – Studies at ONPRC have led to new cancer drugs and improved radiation therapies.

Why This Matters—For Oregon and Beyond

ONPRC is not just an Oregon institution—it is a national leader in biomedical research, driving medical innovation across the country. Restricting or closing ONPRC would mean:

- A loss of life-saving research that directly impacts millions of patients.
- A blow to Oregon’s economy—ONPRC supports thousands of jobs and generates millions in federal research funding.
- A shift of research to countries with weaker animal welfare regulations, rather than maintaining strong ethical oversight in the U.S.

My Personal Experience with Animal Research and Exceptional Animal Care

I was an employee of ONPRC for 9.5 years. I left my long-term job at the center to go to nursing school, where I see meet patients who receive medicines that are only possible due to research done through animal studies. And it is clear to me that more research is needed to either come up with better treatment options or more knowledge to avoid illnesses altogether. One of the ongoing studies at the center revolved around type II diabetes. These were rigorous long term projects that illuminated the fickle nature of keeping a functional pancreas and looked at the role inflammation played in disease. In my first term clinicals I visited a long term care facility and it stood out to me the sheer number of clients suffering from type II diabetes and how detrimental it was to their overall health and quality of life. I do not doubt that as I continue my education that I will run into more crossovers from the research done on my old campus. I believe that ONPRC remains an integral part of that process. I could not have stayed at that job for so long had the work seemed pointless or barbaric. I do not expect everyone to be comfortable with working in

my former field, but I wish they approached it with less distrust and more judgement. The television ads were heartbreaking and misleading. They were great propaganda, not an expose of the center. They did a disservice to the work that I remain proud of even after moving on from myself.

Governor Kotek, I urge you to stand with science, ethics, and progress. Please publicly support ONPRC and reject the misleading attacks that threaten both Oregon's research leadership and the future of medical innovation.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I appreciate your commitment to facts, animal welfare and compassion, and Oregon's place as a leader in medical innovation.

Sincerely,

Jamie Taub