
Clinical Quality Metrics Registry (CQMR) Subject Matter Expert Workgroup

March 14, 2018



Agenda

- Welcome and agenda review
- Webform (wrap up of questions from last meeting)
- Reports and dashboards – feedback
- FAQ / communication materials needs
- Wrap up and next steps

Oregon Clinical Quality Metrics Registry (CQMR) reports and dashboards


Presentation to Oregon Health Authority
March 14, 2017



Bo Borgnakke
Senior Business Solutions Analyst
Michigan Health Information Network Shared Services



Agenda



CCO Webform demo and review


CQMR SME Webform feedback

- CCO Data Proposal Webform
 - Assumption: CQMR SME workgroup has provided feedback to propose moving forward with Webform
- CCO Submission Webform
 - Assumption: CQMR SME workgroup has provided feedback to propose moving forward with Webform
 - Question to CQMR SME workgroup:
 - Will Excel file still be utilized or retired?

Dashboard demo views and dynamic reporting


Dashboard views

- Organization provider performance grid




Dashboard views

- Inter-clinic performance grid




Dashboard views

- Intra-clinic provider performance grid



Dashboard views

- Provider performance by measure




Dashboard views

- Organization provider performance drill-down



Dashboard views

- Quality measure drill-down



Dashboard views

- eMeasure practice views

Providers Reports Quality Information Search						
<div> < nic Performance Grid Intra-clinic Provider Performan... Provider Performance by Meas... Quality Measure </div>						
eMeasure Practice View						
Organizatio..	eMeasure	Numerator	Denominator	T. Achievem..	Target	Inter-Organ..
888888 Eye Center	20/40 or Better Visual Ac..	215	534	57.95%	0.85	57.04%
	Children Who Have Dental..	0	2	0.00%	0.85	0.00%
	Communication with the ..	124	224	55.36%	0.85	60.19%
	Complications within 30 D..	1	661	4.17%	0.85	3.14%
	Controlling High Blood Pr..	1,600	2,303	72.63%	0.85	75.50%
	Documentation of Current..	3,757	4,164	92.45%	0.85	93.33%
	Documentation of Present..	148	232	63.79%	0.85	66.88%
	Eye Exam	93	107	86.92%	0.85	65.44%
	Optic Nerve Evaluation	42	295	14.24%	0.85	12.62%
	Receipt of Specialist Repo..	23	29	79.31%	0.85	64.63%
888888 Family Physicians #1	Tobacco Use: Screening a..	3,126	3,735	86.00%	0.85	80.68%
	Use of High-Risk Medicati..	2	504	0.40%	0.85	37.80%
	Beta-Blocker Therapy for ..	8,000	10,000	84.21%	0.85	86.96%
	Breast Cancer Screening	9,600	12,000	84.96%	0.85	87.03%
	Cervical Cancer Screening	1,600	2,000	88.89%	0.85	71.26%
	Cognitive Assessment	1,600	2,000	88.89%	0.85	88.89%
	Colorectal Cancer Screeni..	9,600	12,000	84.96%	0.85	87.12%
	Complete Lipid Panel and ..	12,400	15,200	81.58%	0.85	109.00%
	Controlling High Blood Pr..	10,078	13,868	76.57%	0.85	75.50%
	Depression Remission at ..	1,600	2,000	88.89%	0.85	88.89%
	Documentation of Current..	20,496	22,906	91.48%	0.85	93.33%
	Eye Exam	1,600	2,000	80.00%	0.85	65.44%
	Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) ..	10,040	11,940	84.09%	0.85	83.13%
	Influenza Immunization	9,048	13,928	69.13%	0.85	73.71%
	Initiation and Engagemen..	3,200	4,000	88.89%	0.85	88.89%
	Low Density Lipoprotein (..	8,022	9,788	81.96%	0.85	76.24%
	Pneumonia Vaccination St..	8,056	9,814	82.09%	0.85	76.83%
	Receipt of Specialist Repo..	1,600	2,000	80.00%	0.85	64.63%
	Screening for Clinical Dep..	8,000	10,028	88.61%	0.85	91.51%
	Screening for Future Fall ..	9,600	12,000	84.96%	0.85	87.12%
	Tobacco Use: Screening a..	16,118	19,880	84.04%	0.85	80.68%
	Use of High-Risk Medicati..	3,200	4,000	80.00%	0.85	37.80%
	Use of Imaging Studies fo..	1,600	2,000	80.00%	0.85	80.00%

Operational reports

- Suite of reports, separated by CCO participants and MEHRIP participants, based on roles to include the following requirements:
 - State, CCO and Provider level dashboards reporting total provider submissions to include:
 - Successful provider submissions
 - Meaningful Use reporting status for Organizational level by reporting period
 - Alerts built in for providers who have not made submissions for reporting period by State and CCO level

Organizational reports

- Static reports, separated by CCO participants and MEHRIP participants, to include the following requirements:
 - Filter functionality based on roles
 - Stratification by CCO organization, practice, practice physical location, provider, Race, Ethnicity and Age
 - Selection of reporting period
 - Measure selection
 - Payer selection
 - Meaningful Use participation (only MEHRIP report)

Communications – Needs and Priorities

- Coming in May, training material outline for workgroup review and feedback
- Looking now for input on information needs -- FAQs or other communications materials
 - Topics to cover
 - Audience needs
 - Timing/ priorities

Potential FAQ Topics

- Programs
 - Which programs will require use of the CQMR and when?
 - Which programs can optionally be supported through the CQMR and when?
- Measure sets
 - What measures can be reported through the CQMR?
 - Where are the measure specifications?
- User needs
 - Are there fees to use the CQMR?
 - When and how will users register to use the CQMR?
 - When and how do users get training on use of the CQMR?
 - What legal agreements need to be executed to use the CQMR?
- Other topics?

Next Steps

- Next meeting: April 11, 10-noon
- Feedback and suggestions for future meetings:
katrina.m.lonborg@state.or.us