Presenters

- Susan Otter, Director of Health IT, Office of Health IT, Oregon Health Authority (OHA)
- Kristin Bork, Lead Policy Analyst, Office of Health IT, OHA
- Francie Nevill, Policy Analyst, Office of Health IT, OHA
Agenda

• Webinar introduction, objective, procurement notes
• HIE landscape, challenges, and this opportunity
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  – HIE Criteria, priority providers, community-centered onboarding, and connection types
  – Payments to HIEs and provider-side costs
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• Your Input (Q&A)
• Next steps
Webinar Logistics

• Please let us know if your item is a question or input

• During the presentation
  – Please use the chat function
  – If it’s a brief clarifying question, we’ll address it right away
  – If it’s a detailed question or input only, feel free to ask when you think of it. We’ll address questions in the Input section.

• During the 5 minute question opportunities
  – Please use the chat function to ask us questions
  – We will address as many questions as we can in 5 minutes

• During the Input section
  – Please use chat to ask questions or provide input
  – Remember to let us know if your item is a question or input
Disclaimers

• One of the purposes of this webinar is to explain some of the requirements/needs of the upcoming Request For Proposals (RFP). We also anticipate obtaining additional information and answering general questions prospective proposers may have. Statements made at the webinar are not binding upon OHA. Prospective proposers are cautioned that the official RFP, when released, may vary, and after released will change only by written addenda issued by OHA.

• This session is being recorded and will be posted on our website. All questions and answers will also be transcribed and posted on our website.
Webinar Introduction

• Procurement update
  – Currently drafting Request for Proposals (RFP) and gathering feedback from a wide variety of sources including this webinar
  – We will consider your feedback as we revise the RFP
  – **June 9** is last opportunity for feedback before RFP goes to CMS for review and approval (will likely take 60 days for approval)
  – Anticipate RFP release summer 2017
  – We want to hear all of your feedback, even though we may not be able to answer all questions due to procurement restrictions
Webinar Introduction (cont.)

• Your input
  – There will be 30-45 minutes to gather your input following the presentation
  – Can submit additional input in writing through June 9

• Feedback requested
  – What are your thoughts on the program?
  – Is there anything in the program design that impacts the feasibility of the program?
  – What are your major concerns about the program design?
  – What sounds like it will work well?
  – What else would you like to share?
Program Schedule (draft)

Convene HOP-AG

Review HOP-AG output with standing committees
Winter 2016-2017

Develop program concept/criteria, request funds from CMS
Spring 2017

RFA/RFP Posted
Summer 2017

Recipients announced
Fall 2017

HOP launched
Winter 2017

UNDERWAY: Implementation/HIEs onboarding clinics and providers
Summer 2018
HIE in Oregon and the HIE Onboarding Program Opportunity

Susan Otter, Director of Health IT
## Goals of HIT-Optimized Health Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Sharing Patient Information Across the Care Team</th>
<th>2. Using Aggregated Data for System Improvement</th>
<th>3. Patient Access to Their Own Health Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Providers have access to meaningful, timely, relevant and actionable patient information to coordinate and deliver “whole person” care.</td>
<td>• Systems (health systems, CCOs, health plans) effectively and efficiently collect and use aggregated clinical data for quality improvement, population management and incentivizing health and prevention. • In turn, policymakers use aggregated data and metrics to provide transparency into the health and quality of care in the state, and to inform policy development.</td>
<td>• Individuals and their families access their clinical information and use it as a tool to improve their health and engage with their providers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIE is Critical to Healthcare Transformation

Oregon’s HIT Strategic Plan

• Goal 1: Providers have access to meaningful, timely, relevant and actionable patient information to coordinate and deliver “whole person” care.
  
  – Electronic health record (EHR) adoption is foundational
    
    – Rates in Oregon are high for physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and hospitals
    
    – Rates are much lower for behavioral health, oral health, long term services and support, and many other critical Medicaid providers

  – Next step is to make the electronic patient health information available to care team via health information exchange (HIE)
HIE Options in Oregon (a brief summary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State-supported: HIE, HIE-enabling infrastructure, and statewide services</th>
<th>Other HIE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Direct secure messaging (e.g., via EHRs, HIEs, CareAccord)</td>
<td>• Regional HIEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EDIE/PreManage</td>
<td>• Vendor-driven solutions/National networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Public health reporting (e.g., Immunization registry, PDMP)</td>
<td>• Federal initiatives (Sequoia: eHealth Exchange, CareEquality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• FlatFile Directory for Direct secure messaging addresses</td>
<td>• Organizational efforts by CCOs, health plans, health systems, IPAs, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provider Directory*</td>
<td>▪ Including private HIEs, point-to-point interfaces, HIT tools, hosted EHRs, etc., that support sharing information across users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clinical Quality Metrics Registry*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In development
Challenge: HIE Gaps Impact Medicaid

- Geographical gaps
- Different needs for rural, frontier, and urban providers
- Provider type gaps
  - Different providers have different EHR and HIE needs
  - Less investment in non-physical provider types
- Vendor-driven or single-EHR solution systems do not work for those who lack the right EHR or affiliation
- Need to create critical mass of major trading partners
- Providers need help with costs
Opportunity: HIE Onboarding Program

• Leverage 90 percent federal matching funds to
  – Support the costs of onboarding (connecting)
  – Priority Medicaid providers to
  – Community-based HIEs that
    • offer meaningful HIE opportunities and
    • play a vital role for Medicaid in communities
Opportunity: HIE Onboarding Program (cont.)

• Community-based HIEs offer
  – Services regardless of ownership affiliation
  – Services regardless of EHR product
  – Services to those that lack an EHR
  – HIE services that help providers manage transitions of care and care coordination among providers in different health systems/clinics with a variety of EHRs
Opportunity: HIE Onboarding Program (cont.)

• The program will also
  – Require HIEs to provide some meaningful support for providers’ costs (in-kind or other support)
  – Require HIEs to coordinate efforts with Medicaid partners
  – Incentivize the geographical spread of community-based HIE
  – Prioritize in Phase I: behavioral health, oral health, and critical physical health, and major trading partners (to create value for all communities), others in later phases
One strategy of many

- Community-based HIEs offer many opportunities to fill gaps, and is one facet of OHA’s commitment to many strategies to support HIE
- Work is underway with
  - Statewide HIT/HIE strategy update
  - An HIT Commons, a public/private governance effort
  - A network of networks, including connections to HIE-enabling infrastructure and other statewide services, connections among HIEs and other nodes, and connections to state data sources
- In the future, may help contracted HIEs connect to the planned network of networks
HIE Onboarding Program
Background

Kristin Bork, Lead Policy Analyst
CMS State Medicaid Director Letter 16-003

- HITECH 90% federal funding now available to support the onboarding of a broader range of Medicaid providers to an HIE entity or interoperable system

- Onboarding includes:
  - Legal activities, including establishment of user agreements
  - Technical development activities (interfaces)
  - Configuration
  - Testing
  - Workflow integration
  - Training
  - Post onboarding support (less than one year)
Providers now included are:

1. Medicaid providers who are eligible for Medicaid EHR Incentive Program (Physicians, Dentists, NPs, and PAs in certain settings)
2. And those providers they need to communicate with to meet Meaningful Use, such as:
   - Behavioral health, including substance use treatment
   - Long-term services & supports
   - Home health
   - Correctional health
   - Laboratory
   - Pharmacy
   - Emergency medical services
   - Public health providers
Program Development Overview

• Convened short-term advisory group Oct-Dec 2016
• Met with wide array of stakeholders, statewide oversight and health-related groups, and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
• Released Request for Information asking for information from entities operating an active HIE in Oregon
• Studied similar programs in eight other states
• Open invitation to all CCOs at HIT Advisory Group (represents CCOs)
# Stakeholders Engaged

## Engaged To Date

- Behavioral health
- Oral health
- Physical health
- Long term services and support
- Corrections health
- HIE entities
- Supported housing
- Social services
- Frontier health
- OHA Leadership

- HIT Oversight Council
- HIT/HIE Communities of Practice Subcommittee (represents many HIEs)
- HIT Advisory Group (represents CCOs)
- Quality Health and Outcomes Committee
- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- Government to government: tribes
- Regional Health Equity Councils

**Plan to do ongoing stakeholder engagement throughout Program**
Research on other states

- Interviewed eight other states with HITECH-funded onboarding programs (AK, AZ, CO, MD, MI, NJ, NY, PA)
- Detailed interviews with lessons learned
- Lots of variation overall, but some common themes
  - Connect major trading partners early in the program
  - Most are now prioritizing behavioral health
  - Large variability in funding actual costs versus incentives
  - Long term care is important but challenging technically
  - HIEs must be skilled at communicating value to providers
  - Expect to spend more time and effort than predicted
Stakeholder Input Themes

- Wide range of technological/HIE readiness
- Different needs in urban, rural, and frontier areas
- Need to share information statewide/across state lines
- Need critical mass of trading partners
- Tension between need to connect major trading partners to create value and need to make sure behavioral health, oral health, smaller providers, and others are included
- Need tools that fit in workflow and provide high value—the right information at the right time
- Providers need help with HIT/HIE costs
HIE Onboarding Program
Description

Kristin Bork, Lead Policy Analyst
Francie Nevill, Policy Analyst
Program Summary

The Program will support the costs of connecting priority Medicaid providers to a community-based HIE that provides meaningful HIE opportunities and plays a vital role for Medicaid in that community.
What the HIE Onboarding Program will do

• Support Community-Based HIEs
  – At a minimum, core HIE services: community health record plus referrals and/or results delivery
  – Open to priority Medicaid providers regardless of ownership affiliation or EHR used

• Support HIE-side costs for onboarding priority Medicaid providers

• Require HIEs to make a meaningful financial contribution to provider-side costs (in-kind or otherwise)

• Support a variety of Medicaid provider types (phased)

• Leverage existing infrastructure

• Support a network of networks
What the HIE Onboarding Program will not do

• Support entities that are not Community-Based HIEs
  – Will not support HIEs that do not provide core services
  – Will not support HIEs that require a particular ownership affiliation to qualify for participation
  – Will not support EHR-based solutions that require providers to use a single EHR vendor in order to participate in exchange

• Provide funding directly to providers, clinics, hospitals, or health systems

• Establish a state-run HIE

• Establish new HIEs

• Support the ongoing costs of HIE entities after onboarding is complete, operational costs, or purchase EHRs
Budget

- 90 percent federal funding, 10 percent state match
- Available through 2021
- Funding will vary based on state and federal budgets
  - Federal funding for next biennium approved May 2017
  - Initial state match for the next biennium (2017-19) is already allocated
- If more than one contract, awards to each entity may vary based on capacity and work to be done
HIE Criteria

• Must be a community-based HIE
  – At a minimum, core services: community health record plus referrals and/or results delivery
  – Open to new priority Medicaid provider participants regardless of ownership affiliation
  – Does not require participants to use a particular EHR
  – Not a single EHR-based solution

• Key criteria include
  – Established and sustainable
  – Strong Oregon relationships and footprint
  – Robust privacy and security practices
5 Minute Question Opportunity

- Any clarification needed?
- Use the chat function to ask a question
## Priority Providers (Phase I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider type</th>
<th>Specific Providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral health</td>
<td>Community Mental Health Programs, Certified Community Behavioral Health Centers, behavioral health homes, ACT teams, mobile crisis teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral health</td>
<td>Clinics contracted with Medicaid DCOs serving CCO members and Fee for Service population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical physical health</td>
<td>Medicaid providers who participate in: PCPCH, FQHCs, RHCs, CPC+, tribal health, equity-focused clinics, county corrections health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major trading partners in behavioral, oral, and critical physical health</td>
<td>Major trading partners (hospitals, health systems, and other large organizations that priority Medicaid providers need to communicate with) that create value for priority Medicaid providers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Priority Providers (later phases)

- Likely to include
  - Long term services and supports
  - Social services
  - Supported housing
  - Other organizations whose work focuses on the social determinants of health

- Provider types from earlier phases may be included in later phases
Community-Centered Onboarding

• Local Medicaid partners (such as CCOs) will have the opportunity to consult on HIEs’ annual work plans for the program (the aspects that affect their communities)
  – OHA must approve HIEs’ annual work plans for the program
  – Only priority Medicaid providers will be included in work plans

• Want to ensure that the right local clinics and hospitals are connected at the right time

• Early onboarding of major trading partners helps ensure onboarding value, especially for rural/frontier providers with statewide/interstate trading partners
5 Minute Question Opportunity

• Any clarification needed?
• Use the chat function to ask a question
Community-Centered Onboarding (cont.)

• Will only support one HIE per region (see exceptions)

• In order to get support for onboarding in a region, contracted HIE must show:
  – A relationship with the* CCO in terms of data, funding, or governance
  – The CCO supports the HIE for onboarding in that region, in writing

• HIE will submit proof the first time it asks for approval for onboarding in that region (via work plan)

*Will address multiple CCO region issue in later slide
Community-centered onboarding: Exceptions

- Tribal clinics may choose to onboard to the contracted HIE of their choice.
- OHA may also support onboarding by a contracted HIE that serves a narrow range of providers if it is connected to an HIE that serves a broad range of providers. Clinics can decide which HIE to join.
- OHA retains the right to adjust to ensure the success of the program.
## Regional Onboarding Summary*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region with one CCO</th>
<th>One HIE</th>
<th>Multiple HIEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCO must <strong>agree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO must <strong>have a relationship</strong> with the HIE in terms of data, funding, or governance</td>
<td>CCO must <strong>choose a single HIE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO must <strong>have a relationship</strong> with the HIE in terms of data, funding, or governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ideally:**

All CCOs will **agree on a single HIE**

All CCOs will **have a relationship** with the HIE in terms of data, funding, or governance.

If CCOs disagree, **OHA will determine** if onboarding should be supported and which HIE should receive support

**OHA is less likely to support onboarding** for regions in which CCOs do not agree on whether/how onboarding should take place.

---

*See exceptions on prior slide*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>CCO(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Central Oregon and Hood River/The Dalles</td>
<td>Crook, Deschutes, Jefferson, Hood River and Wasco</td>
<td>PacificSource Community Solutions CCO, Central Oregon Region, PacificSource Community Solutions CCO, Columbia Gorge Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>Baker, Gilliam, Grant, Harney, Lake, Malheur, Morrow, Sherman, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa, and Wheeler</td>
<td>Eastern Oregon CCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eugene/ Springfield</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Trillium Community Health Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Northern Coast</td>
<td>Clatsop, Columbia, and Tillamook</td>
<td>Columbia Pacific CCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Portland Metro</td>
<td>Clackamas, Multnomah, Washington</td>
<td>Family Care, Inc., Health Share of Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Albany/Corvallis</td>
<td>Benton, Linn, and Lincoln</td>
<td>InterCommunity Health Network CCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>Marion and Polk</td>
<td>Willamette Valley Community Health, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Curry County</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>Western Oregon Advanced Health, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AllCare Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Coos County</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>Western Oregon Advanced Health, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Roseburg</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>Umpqua Health Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Southern Oregon</td>
<td>Jackson, Josephine, and Klamath</td>
<td>AllCare Health Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cascade Health Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jackson Care Connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PrimaryHealth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>Yamhill Community Care Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Minute Question Opportunity

• Any clarification needed?
• Use the chat function to ask a question
Connection types

• Clinics, hospitals, etc. can connect to the HIE via the HIE’s portal or through EHR integration

• HIEs can receive support for upgrading priority Medicaid providers from portals to EHR integration connections

• Clinics can use their own EHRs (no particular EHR required)

• Clinics do not need to have an EHR (portal access)
Payments to HIEs

- HIE will receive milestone-based, flat payments for each entity onboarded
  - 3 milestones: signed agreements, go-live, post connection support for less than one year
  - Intended to be a proxy for average connection cost
  - Payments for portal access will be lower than EHR integration
  - Payments will likely be higher for entities with a large number of different connections required (like hospital or large clinic that does labs, etc., in house)
  - Milestone amounts will be determined during negotiation
Provider-side costs

• OHA acknowledges that provider-side costs for onboarding can be a major barrier

• Will require HIEs to provide meaningful financial support for provider-side costs
  – Could be reducing/not charging subscription fees, supporting provider’s vendor costs, etc.
Monitoring, Reporting, Meetings

• Onboarding will be based on annual work plan approved by OHA (can be revised)
• HIEs will provide reports quarterly and annually, and at the end of the program
  – In some cases, additional data may be required
• HIEs will participate in program evaluation
• HIEs will participate in regular meetings with OHA
• HIEs may also be expected to present to or participate in statewide HIT/HIE workgroups, committees, and governance as needed
Your Input

Kristin Bork, Lead Policy Analyst
Opportunity: HIE Onboarding Program

- Leverage 90 percent federal matching funds to
  - Support the costs of onboarding (connecting)
  - Priority Medicaid providers to
  - Community-based HIEs that
    - offer meaningful HIE opportunities and
    - play a vital role for Medicaid in communities
Your feedback

• What are your thoughts on the program?
• Is there anything in the program design that impacts the feasibility of the program?
• What are your major concerns about the program design?
• What sounds like it will work well?
• What else would you like to share?

Reminder: Please send further feedback to Kristin Bork (OHA) by June 9.
Next steps

- Will accept feedback prior to submission to CMS today **and through June 9**
  - Please share any additional thoughts after today by contacting Kristin Bork (kristin.m.bork@state.or.us)
- Stay updated at https://www.oregon.gov/oha/OHIT/Pages/HIE-onboarding.aspx
- CMS must approve RFP
- Will likely release RFP in summer 2017
- CMS must approve contracts after negotiation
- Program will launch following contract execution
Thank you!