# **Behavioral Health Crisis System Advisory Committee**

Committee Web Page
Meeting Recording



**Members present:** Amy Fellows, Cherryl Ramirez, Chris Bouneff, Greg Borders, Juan Pablo Villalobos, Sheriff Lane Magill, Laura Rose, Shree Aier, Stacy Brubaker, **Members absent:** Brant Wolf, Dannielle Brown, Erica Stolhand, Jennifer Fraga, Robin Henderson, Stephanie Wachter

#### 1. Agenda Item: Update Federal Impacts on Oregon

- a. American Rescue Plan Act was rescinded by the federal government. Those funds were used to fund a lot of our contracts. Oregon was able to find funds to replace that funding for existing contracts and Ebony Clack sent out a memo regarding this issue.
- b. Each division at the Oregon Health Authority has staff involved in the Federal Response Team. They have a website that is managed by the OHA Director's office and OHA External Relations Division. A lot of the information we have can't be posted until the Governor's Office approves it.
  - i. The 988 team will look into putting the memo on the website.
  - ii. The 988 team will look into adding a mental health section to the website.
  - iii. Any other suggestions can be sent to the BHCSAC email BHCSAC@oha.oregon.gov.

## 2. Agenda Item: Update Legislative House Bills

- a. All three bills are in Ways and Means.
- b. House Bill 2056 is related to House Bill 4092. 4092 established a cost study of the CMHPs in crisis services, aid and assist and civil commitment, to see what these services actually cost. The study produced House Bill 2056 for funding. (Presented by Lauren Palma)
- c. <u>House Bill 2015</u> is NAMIs bill and is a laundry list of things that need to be fixed. (Presented by Chris Bouneff)
- d. <u>House Bill 2059</u> is a request from the Governor to invest \$90 million in developing new residential facilities for mental health and substance use disorder treatment. (Presented by Chris Bouneff)

# 3. Agenda Item: Update Crisis Stabilization Centers Rules

- a. Rules Advisory Committee meetings are tentatively on May 19<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup>.
- b. OHA would like to take careful consideration of all the issues that were raised during the community engagement meeting. Some issues were raised that would impact existing facilities, and facilities that are in the planning phase. We are consulting with the Department of Justice and the Licensing and Certification team.
- c. Public Rules meetings can be found at this <u>link</u>. The site is being updated, and the calendar is not always right. Please scroll to the bottom of the website for accurate information.

# 4. Agenda Item: Update MCIS One-page Meeting Summary Discussion

- a. OHA shared the "MCIS & Hospitals Meeting" summary. The summary will be included with the notes on the <u>committee webpage</u> and can be seen in the <u>video recording</u>.
- 5. Agenda Item: Update Discussion to Attach Previous Meeting Summary with Current Meeting Agenda

a. The previous meeting summary and meeting materials that are ready will be attached and sent with each agenda.

#### 6. Agenda Item: 988 Quarterly Budget presentation

a. Annabelle Atalig and Alisa Web shared a presentation. The presentation will be included with the notes on the <u>committee webpage</u> and can be seen in the <u>video recording</u>.

### 7. Agenda Item: Future Meetings

- a. 988 Tax, what it's generating and how it is reported.
  - i. Oregon Health Authority is still working on this topic.
- b. Economic impact of federal reductions.
- c. Outcome of the Rules Advisory Committee meetings.
- d. Institute for Mental Disease (IMD) exclusion to be interpreted by Oregon Health Authority. (Lauren will follow-up with Oregon Health Authority staff)
- e. 988's Marketing vendor will give a walkthrough of the marketing plan (**Dean Carson**, **June agenda**)
- f. Update on the Oregon Suicide Prevention Conference (Dean Carson, June agenda)
- g. Change meeting time from 2 hours to 1.5 hours.

### 8. Agenda Item: Public Comment

- a. (Julie Magers) I just wanted to make in the public record what I already put in the chat. Just that we really prioritize looking at the impact. Either lost or gained on our focus to youth and families in the crisis system and what is what is needed to stabilize youth and engage their family as different from what might be the right response for adults. And just really kind of get a look at what impact is happening when we don't have MRSS in every county. I know there are a whole variety of reasons is the case. But it still feels concerning that we kick the can on this program for a number of years to try and make space for overcoming the barriers to getting that program funded, fully staffed and fully operational. I just want to express concern that we're still not there a couple of years later.
- b. (Amy Fellows) I was just agreeing with Julie. I mean, I think I'm kind of one of the main abuse families and IDD representatives here and yeah it feels like you can families that have really been left behind. And also, as a resident of Eugene, I'm really concerned about us losing cahoots that have been here since the 80s and was the national model. I know that I don't understand everything that happened, but I know part of it was that there were changes made to the rules. Something happened with Cahoots, I also know what happened with the counting when mobile response came up instead of finding a way to collaborate and stay focused on Eugene-Springfield in the county on our very large county outside of Eugene-Springfield. Seems like there could have been a collaborative conversation. I also believe since I've been involved in these meetings for many years, I keep asking why Cahoots wasn't at the table. That's all. We have a federal bill named after them and they're not uplifted.