

HB 2235 Workgroup Public Meeting

Agenda

Start	Time	End	Topic
1:00	5	1:05	Welcome
			Community Agreements Review
1:05	5	1:10	Roll Call & Minutes Approval
1:10	5	1:15	Public Comment Period
1:15	5	1:20	OBLPCT Proposed Rules and Recent Rulemaking
1:20	10	1:30	Executive Session (6/4) Review
1:30	30	2:00	Presentation
2:00	10	2:10	Break- transition to executive session
2:10	40	2:50	Large Group Discussion
2:50	10	3:00	Wrap Up / Next Steps

Community Engagement Agreements

- We acknowledge that we bring our lived experiences into our conversations
- We strive to engage non-judgmentally, with respect, humility and inclusivity
- We try to stay open minded
- We work to make conversations accessible, and trauma informed
- We honor everyone's lived experiences and expertise
- We expect it to get messy at times. When it does, we will acknowledge ruptures and focus on repair.
- We show up with humility and a place of vulnerability

Roll Call and Minutes Approval

- Roll call completed alphabetically by last name
- First roll call to establish quorum
- Second roll call to approve previous meeting minutes



Public Comment



- Period is 5 minutes total
- Please keep comment to two minutes or less
- After this period of time, no further public comment will be allowed, including the chat.
- Any additional public comment can be sent to the workgroup via email to HB2235.workgroup@OHA.Oregon.g ov

OBLPCT Proposed Rules and Recent Rulemaking

Proposed Rules

- Implements Servicemembers Civil Relief Act via new servicemember portability application method and licensure requirements
 - Notice of Proposed Rulemaking- filed 6/10/25
 - The public comment period ends 7/23/25 at 5pm
 - Anticipated adoption: 8/1/25
- Registered associate supervisor requirements
 - Notice of Proposed Rulemaking- filed 6/11/25
 - The public comment period ends 7/23/25 at 5pm
 - Anticipated adoption: 8/1/25

Recent Rulemaking

- Implements Senate Bill 1552, Petition for Predetermination Based on Criminal Conviction, Fee, and Definitions
 - Permanent Administrative Order- filed 6/10/25, effective 7/1/25
 - The public comment period ended 5/21/25 at 5pm. No comments were received.
 - Notice of Proposed Rulemaking filed 4/8/25

Executive Session (6/4) Review

- Revised recommendations
- Updated Project Plan:
 - 7/2 Burnout, Workload Reduction, Admin Burden
 - 7/16 Reimbursement,
 Pay
 - 8/6 Incentives
 - 8/20 Recommendation Recap



Guest Speaker: Angella James



- Evidence-Based Practice Coordinator
- ACT/IPS Policy & Compliance Oversight
- Oregon Health Authority, Adult Mental Health Division



10 Minute Break

Large Group Discussion

 There is one more problem statement for Licensing, Certification, Credentialing.

Today's goal: as a group, move through the remaining problem statement and associated key issues, and create recommendations together.

Problem D: There are unnecessary licensing requirements and other pitfalls that cause workforce issues.

SMARTEE Framework

- S = Specific
- M = Measurable
- A = Achievable
- R = Relevant/Realistic
- **T** = Time-Bound (i.e., short term vs. long term solution)
- E = Equity-Focused
- E = Expected Outcome

Problem Statements (Optional Prompt)

*Only use this slide if your small group finds the problem statement(s) need to be revised or new statements need to be created.

Instructions:

- Rewrite the problem statements based on your small group's experiences, or;
- Write a new problem statement if all members of your group feel that the problem statements provided are not their experience.

Key Issues for Problem D

- Issue 1: Developing different certification levels can create an inequitable workforce, since not everyone can afford extra exams and fees (i.e., differential impact on CLSS providers due to fees and other barriers).
- Issue 2: CEU costs and requirements can be prohibitive.
- Issue 3: Background checks can be prohibitive for certain certifications/designations, creating inequitable access.
- Issue 4: Licensure/certification does not take into account important characteristics like lived experience, history, international education/training, and other valuable/relevant factors, but instead prioritizes dominant, Western academic learning.

Key Issue 1:

Developing different certification levels can create an inequitable workforce, since not everyone can afford extra exams and fees (i.e., differential impact on CLSS providers due to fees and other barriers).

Key Issue 2:

CEU costs and requirements can be prohibitive.

Key Issue 3:

Background checks can be prohibitive for certain certifications/designations, creating inequitable access.

Key Issue 4:

Licensure/certification does not take into account important characteristics like lived experience, history, international education/training, and other valuable/relevant factors, but instead prioritizes dominant, Western academic learning.

Wrap Up / Next Steps

Action for the next Executive Session (July 2)

 Review Problem Statements for our next topic: Burnout, Workload Reduction, Administrative Burden

Next Meetings:

July 2 – Executive session

July 16 – Hybrid public and executive session

Thank you!

You can get this document in other languages, large print, braille or a format you prefer free of charge. Contact Jen Allen at HB2235.Workgroup@oha.oregon.gov or 503-580-7591 (voice/text). We accept all relay calls.

Behavioral Health Division
Behavioral Health Workforce Incentives



HB 2235 Workgroup

Website: https://www.oregon.gov/oha/HSD/AMH/Pages/HB-2235-

Workgroup.aspx

Email: HB2235.Workgroup@oha.oregon.gov