

Part 1: High-Level Description of Possible Significant Change

The table below provides high-level information about the possible significant change, as submitted.

Tracking #	2027-026
Q6. Provide a short name for possible change	Metric rollover
Q1. Which CCO Contract(s) will be impacted?	(c) Medicaid Contract
Q2. High-level description of possible change	We are trying to encourage Metrics & Scoring Committee to select metrics (and benchmarks) for 2 or 3 years rather than every year. So where the contract refers to an annual metric selection/benchmarking process for M&SC, could we instead say they 'may select different measures each year' or 'metrics carry forward into the next year unless M&SC votes in changes'. Something like that.
Q3. Which prioritized restatement category applies?	(b) CCO Table Considerations
Explain how change fits into "CCO Table Considerations" category	This allows some flexibility to enact a 2-3 year window for metrics, rather than the assumption that their decisions must be revisited every year.
Q4. Could entire change be deferred to later contract year?	Yes
Consequence(s) if not included in 2027 contract	
Q5. Could change be reduced to smaller components so it's phased in over multiple contract years?	No
Which component(s) could be in later contract year(s)?	
Contact One: Name	Derek Reinke
Contact One: Title	Quality Metrics, Surveys and Reporting Manager
Contact One: Email	derek.reinke@oha.oregon.gov
Contact One: OHA Division/Program/Unit	Health Analytics
Q8. Executive Sponsor Name	Stacey Schubert
Executive Sponsor Title	Health Analytics Director
Executive Sponsor Email	stacey.schubert@oha.oregon.gov
Executive Sponsor OHA Division/Program/Unit	Health Analytics

Part 2: Information for Engagement

This should be completed by the responsible OHA unit. **Be specific yet clear and concise in your responses; use bullet points.**

Tracking #	2027-026
Short name	Metric rollover

1. What is the specific issue that will be addressed by this change?

This should explain the current state and why it's an issue to be addressed.

Metrics & Scoring Committee (plus OHA staff and other partners) spends a large amount of time every year debating the metric set and setting benchmarks. Resulting changes are often very minor, while the time invested is significant (and expensive).

2. What is the preliminary suggestion for how to address the issue?

This should align with the high-level description submitted for the change. Refer to Part 1: High-Level Description of Possible Significant Change. The final proposed change may differ from the preliminary suggestion as a result of OHA and CCO engagement.

Relax the language in places where it labels the M&SC process as 'annual'. The metric set and benchmarks roll to the next year if not specifically debated, reset, or removed – thus leading the Committee to narrow its focus on a subset of what it feels needs to be changed.

3. What knowledge and/or skills should CCOs consider when deciding which staff to work with OHA on this issue?

Familiarity with the M&SC process, Quality Metrics, Measurement Design, etc.

4. Is there an existing meeting where OHA and CCOs can work – or are already working – on this issue? If so, identify the meeting and its schedule.

This work may require regular OHA-CCO engagement over a few weeks, possibly up to a couple months. If there is not a suitable existing forum, then OHA will hold a dedicated work session/s specifically for this purpose.

The CCO Metrics Technical Advisory Group (TAG) is one similar venue, but this would likely benefit from its own dedicated discussion space.