

Instructions for all exercises

Exercise 1: uses review

The purpose of this exercise is to check our understanding of the uses.

Each use that was numerically ranked is listed on separate tabs in your Excel workbook needs.

1. Does the use case wording make sense?
2. Is the depiction of the "likely users" associated with the use accurate?
3. Do the preconditions (assumptions, precursor uses, and affiliated uses) make sense?
4. Do the expected results make sense?
5. Your comments regarding the total score is based on a scale from 0-4 and represents the ca

Each use is listed on separate tabs in the workbook labeled "Ex1_Use1", Ex1_Use2", etc. Be sure to co

Exercise 2: Data elements classification

The purpose of this exercise is to understand which data elements are essential to be in the provider di

Data elements on this sheet were taken primarily from the IHE-HPD Provider Directory standard and fie

Elements that come from Common Credentialing and HPD provider directories are marked with an "x" i

Elements that are primary source verified (PSV) are also identified

Data elements that are not in either source are also listed

Answers to the following is needed (based on your perspective and uses of the provider directory):

- Which elements need to be included in the provider directory (rank as must have, nice to hav
- What is the level of accuracy needed for the data element (rank as high, medium, and low)?

The exercise is listed on the tab labeled Exercise 2

Exercise 3: State source ranking

The purpose of this exercise is to understand the use of state data and prioritization of the data sources

State sources listed are ones that have been identified by stakeholders.

The following is needed for each of the 10 sources:

- What data do you expect/need to get from this source
- What is it going to be used for?
- Rank each source – from 1-10

The exercise is listed on the tab labeled Exercise 3

Exercise 4: Plans and Delivery

The purpose of this exercise is to obtain PDAG's identification of various provider directory regulations

We are trying to understand the various standards that the provider directory will need to meet in orde

This list was developed from common credentialing regulatory and accrediting bodies, with a few additi

The following is needed:

- Review regulatory and accrediting bodies that are listed. Do any need to be added? Do any ne
- Review Provider directory processes/data. Do these make sense? Are there any that need to
- Any other comments

The exercise is listed on the tab labeled Exercise 4

Please mail your completed responses to karen.hale@state.or.us

Responses are due by Wednesday September 9, 2015

If you have questions: karen.hale@state.or.us or 503-602-3252

The following needs to be reviewed for each use:

culated ranking across all PDAG groups.
complete all tabs.

rectory as well as the degree of accuracy for those elements.
elds from the Oregon Common Credentialing application
in the table

e, not needed)?

s.

and standards that we (OHA) will research and analyze.
er for the provider directory to be a trusted source of information.
ions for provider directory.

eed to be removed? Are any questionable?
be added?