

AGENDA

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD Incentives and Funding Subcommittee

July 12, 2016

1:00-2:00 pm

Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon St., Room 1C, Portland, OR 97232

Webinar: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/3807558564888323073>

Conference line: (877) 873-8017

Access code: 767068

Meeting Chair: Akiko Saito

Subcommittee Members: Silas Halloran-Steiner, Jeff Luck, Alejandro Queral, Akiko Saito, Tricia Tillman

Meeting Objectives

- Approve June meeting minutes
- Continue to develop funding formula framework by making recommendations on list of indicators, data sources and funding allocations for each indicator
- Prepare for subcommittee update at July PHAB meeting

1:00-1:05 pm	Welcome and introductions	Akiko Saito, Meeting Chair
1:05-1:10 pm	Approve June 15th meeting minutes	Akiko Saito, Meeting Chair
1:10-1:40 pm	Continue work to develop funding formula <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Discuss and make recommendations for additional indicators.• Discuss and make recommendations for data sources.• Discuss and make initial recommendations for percent of funding allocation for each indicator.• Discuss modifying methodology from ranking to actual percentages.	Subcommittee members
1:40-1:45 pm	Discuss subcommittee update for July 21st PHAB meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify who will give update• Identify changes needed to draft funding formula prior to meeting	Subcommittee members
1:45-1:50 pm	Set agenda for August 9th meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify agenda items• Identify Meeting Chair	Subcommittee members

1:50-2:00 pm **Public comment**

2:00 pm **Adjourn**

Akiko Saito,
Meeting Chair

**PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD
DRAFT Incentives and Funding Subcommittee Meeting Minutes**

**June 15, 2016
3:00-4:00 pm**

Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon St., Room 918, Portland, OR 97232
Conference line: (877) 873-8017
Access code: 767068

Meeting chair: Silas Halloran-Steiner

PHAB subcommittee members present: Silas Halloran-Steiner, Jeff Luck, Alejandro Queral, Akiko Saito, Tricia Tillman

PHAB subcommittee members absent: none

OHA staff: Sara Beaudrault, Cara Biddlecom, Chris Curtis, Angela Rowland

Members of the public: Gabby Costello, Multnomah County; Adelle Adams, Multnomah County; Morgan Cowling, Coalition of Local Health Officials; and Stacy Michaelson, Association of Oregon Counties

Welcome and introductions – Silas Halloran-Steiner

Approval of minutes – Silas Halloran-Steiner

Sara reviewed action items from the May 17th meeting minutes.

1. Add work plan activity for seeking additional funding sources. (This was added as a potential subcommittee activity - pending guidance from PHAB - for a future meeting.)
2. Provide definition of health equity included in Public Health Modernization Manual. (Included in the meeting packet.)
3. Tricia and Jeff to explore equity definitions or frameworks that might be applicable to this subcommittee's work.
4. Explore feasibility of including BERK drivers in funding formula. (Racial/ethnic diversity driver and poverty driver included as equity measures in example funding formula framework.)
5. Provide additional detail on county general fund contributions. (Included in meeting packet.)
6. Provide additional information about total amount currently distributed through Program Elements, and about state/local split of funding. (This conversation will be tabled for a future meeting, after the initial funding formula framework is complete.)

Tricia asked why the amount of current state public health funding to counties through Program Elements is not being provided. The funding formula required in House Bill

3100 is for new monies for LPHAs to implement public health modernization and does not affect current funding streams.

Subcommittee members voted to approve the May 17th, 2016 subcommittee meeting minutes. All in favor.

Review draft funding formula– Subcommittee members

HB 3100 states that the formula must include baseline funding (county population, burden of disease, and health status). Race/ethnicity and poverty are not required but were added to the framework in response to subcommittee recommendations for additional health equity indicators.

PHD provided an overview of the methodology for the funding formula example.

Silas questioned whether the model is too ambitious, with too many indicators. He suggested including a funding floor and weighting county population higher than other indicators.

PHD clarified that with the current rankings, a “1” indicates the lowest level of need and a “34” indicates the highest level of need. The subcommittee recommended that this be noted on the funding formula example.

Alejandro stated that race/ethnicity may be correlated with burden of disease and poverty. He asked if there is a way to address potential overlap between these indicators. Jeff stated that based on other data in public health there is a strong correlation between race/ethnicity and health disparities. Tricia says it is more complex than this and depends on the disease or health behavior. YPLL may be a simpler measure.

It was recommended by Jeff and Tricia to include a cultural competency indicator.

Silas asked if the funding formula framework needs to be completed by June 30th or whether PHD can submit a straw proposal. PHD will submit a straw proposal, and the subcommittee can continue to work on the funding formula over the coming months.

Akiko and Jeff indicated their support for including the added indicators (race/ethnicity and poverty). Tricia suggested adding limited English proficiency and community complexity. Language access is a civil right and LPHAs must comply with the law. Community complexity could look at lead and air toxics, or jurisdictions with multiple cities, school districts and health care systems. Silas stated that frontier counties encounter geographic complexities. Additional options for indicators include education and unemployment.

Jeff asked for confirmation that the subcommittee can continue to discuss options for adding metrics and to allocate percentages across indicators. This was confirmed.

There was consensus to support the general funding formula framework without the percentages for allocating funds across indicators and to add limited English proficiency as an indicator.

Discuss subcommittee update for June 16th PHAB – Subcommittee members

Silas will provide a subcommittee update at the June 16 PHAB meeting.

Set agenda for July 12th meeting – Subcommittee members

Akiko will chair next month's meeting.

Possible agenda topics:

- Process for collecting information on county contributions
- Continue to develop the funding formula
 - o Data sources. Subcommittee members to identify potential data sources for discussion.
 - o Additional indicators
 - o Percent allocations across indicators
- Develop strategy for identifying potential funding and revenue streams

Subcommittee members request that the July meeting be held via webinar so subcommittee members can look at how changes to the funding formula impact funding to counties.

Public comment – No public testimony.

Adjournment – Silas Halloran-Steiner

The meeting was adjourned.

PHAB Incentives and Funding Subcommittee

Funding formula indicators matrix

July 2016

Subcommittee members: Silas Halloran-Steiner, Jeff Luck, Alejandro Queral, Akiko Saito, Tricia Tillman

Questions for PHAB subcommittee members:

- What indicators, if any, should be added to those that are required under House Bill 3100?
- What data source should be used for each indicator?
- What percent of funding should be allocated to each indicator?

Indicator	Data source	Percent allocation	Notes
County population (required)	American Community Survey population 5-year estimate, 2009-14		
Burden of disease (required)	<i>(option for consideration)</i> Age-adjusted cancer death rate, Oregon, 2007-2013. Oregon State Health Profile, Death Data Maps .		
Health status (required)	<p><i>(option for consideration)</i> Premature death: Leading causes of years of potential life lost before age 75, Oregon, 2013. Oregon State Health Profile.</p> <p><i>(option for consideration)</i> Quality of life: Good or excellent health, Oregon, 2013. Oregon State Health Profile.</p> <p><i>(option for consideration)</i> Premature death: Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted). National Center for Health Statistics, mortality files. Accessed through County Health Rankings website. 2011-23 data</p>		Oregon State Health Profile data at the county level may be rolled up into a multi-year average, depending on the indicator.
Race/ethnicity	American Community Survey population 5-year estimate, 2009-14		

Poverty	American Community Survey population 5-year estimate, 2009-14		
Limited English proficiency	American Community Survey population 5-year estimate, 2009-14		
Cultural competency			
Community complexity			Ex: lead and air toxics; jurisdictions with multiple cities, school districts and health care systems.
Geographic complexity			Ex. population per square mile, density of health care providers
Education			
Unemployment			

Considerations:

- The subcommittee identified achieving equity as a guiding principle for all decisions made about the funding formula.
- House Bill 3100 requires that the funding formula include mechanisms for awarding matching funds for county contributions to support public health and for awarding incentives to counties. These components of the funding formula will not be in place for the 2017-19 biennium.
- The subcommittee will consider the funding formula as it relates to findings from the public health modernization assessment and priorities for the 2017 legislative session.