

# AGENDA

## **PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD Accountability Metrics Subcommittee**

**March 22, 2017**

**10:00-11:00 am**

Portland State Office Building, room 915

Conference line: (877) 873-8017

Access code: 767068#

Webinar link: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/5150607625475124481>

### Meeting Objectives

- Approve February meeting minutes
- Discuss progress toward developing health outcome metrics
- Review draft stakeholder survey

PHAB members: Muriel DeLaVergne-Brown, Eva Rippeteau, Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer, Jennifer Vines

---

10:00-10:05 am	<b>Welcome and introductions</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review and approve February 14 minutes</li></ul>	Sara Beaudrault, Oregon Health Authority
10:05-10:15 am	<b>Health outcome metrics</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provide update on selection of an initial list of health outcome metrics</li><li>• Review process to finalize health outcome metrics</li></ul>	Sara Beaudrault, Oregon Health Authority
10:15-10:35	<b>Stakeholder survey</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provide feedback on questions for stakeholder survey</li><li>• Provide feedback on process for fielding survey</li></ul>	All
10:35-10:45 am	<b>Subcommittee business</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Confirm that Teri will provide update at April PHAB meeting, or determine which subcommittee member will provide update</li><li>• The next subcommittee is scheduled for April 26<sup>th</sup>, from 10:00-11:00</li></ul>	All
10:45-10:50 am	<b>Public comment</b>	
10:50 am	<b>Adjourn</b>	

---

## **PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD**

### **DRAFT Accountability Metrics Subcommittee Meeting Minutes**

**February 14, 2017**

**9:00 – 10:00am**

**PHAB Subcommittee members in attendance:** Muriel DeLaVergne-Brown, Eva Rippeteau, Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer, Jennifer Vines

**OHA staff:** Sara Beaudrault, Cara Biddlecom, Christy Hudson, Joey Razzano, Angela Rowland

**Members of the public:** Omar Abdirahman, Sarafina Crowell, Mark England, Courtney Johnston, LaunaRae Mathews, Kelly McDonald, Kristen Tjaden

#### **Welcome and introductions**

The December 6, 2016 meeting minutes were approved.

Eli stated that Clackamas County has shifted to using the term “infectious disease” instead of “communicable disease” for broader understanding.

#### **Proposal for developing accountability metrics**

Sara presented OHA’s proposal for developing accountability metrics. OHA worked with colleagues who helped to create CCO incentive metrics to provide guidance for this project. The PHAB Accountability Metrics made significant progress in 2016, but a number of barriers or challenges for establishing accountability metrics for public health were identified. These included:

- Lack of existing public health data sets;
- Challenges to identifying measures where public health is solely responsible;
- Feasibility of measuring the impact of public health interventions;
- The time needed to see changes in population health outcomes resulting from public health interventions;
- Insufficient subject matter expertise for making recommendations on measures for specific health areas.

This proposal aims to address these challenges.

To achieve the goal for developing population health outcome measures, OHA proposes to use state health profile indicators, create statewide benchmarks, and

provide recommendations to the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee from now until April 2017. Feedback will be solicited from local and tribal health.

This proposal also includes identifying local public health accountability metrics through small groups of subject matter experts along with public health staff and PHAB subcommittee members. These groups will look at Public Health Activities and Services Tracking (PHAST) measures, the Public Health Modernization Manual deliverables, or others to help define measurement criteria from now through June 2017.

In July through September 2017, OHA and the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee will field a stakeholder survey to solicit feedback on the proposed measures. The stakeholders will include CCOs, Early Learning, and health care providers.

The collection of baseline data will proceed in the next step, date to be determined.

Eli requested that data sources be included for each metric in the statewide population health metrics column of the proposal table in the meeting materials.

Lack of financial support for this process might pose difficulty on local public health departments. It will also be new work for the Public Health Division to collect and report on this information. Teri stated that health departments cannot do more work for no more funding. Muriel agreed.

Jen proposed that the subcommittee give a directive to look at CCO metrics, PHAST measures, or End HIV measures to compare to peer health departments. Aligning public health metrics with CCO or other established metrics may open up additional opportunities for collaboration and potentially funding.

Jen questioned that if public health funding gets tighter in the coming years, is this a chance to demonstrate how the system is working differently on things that matter. Subcommittee members discussed how demand for local health departments to provide clinical services may increase if the population doesn't have insurance. Those clinical services are helping to pay for some of the staff.

Cara says that public health is being asked to produce numbers in terms of its impact to justify the services provided and their importance. If budget cuts result in allocating work in another way that is negative, that is another story to tell.

The subcommittee agrees to bring this proposal forward to the PHAB meeting on February 16, 2017.

### **Subcommittee business**

Jennifer Vines will provide the subcommittee update to the PHAB on February 16, 2017.

The group decided to continue to meet monthly for one hour. A doodle poll will be sent out to the subcommittee to determine a regularly scheduled meeting for the rest of 2017.

**Public Comment:** No public testimony.

### **Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned.

DRAFT

**PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee  
Public Health Accountability Metrics Plan**



Approved by PHAB on February 16, 2017

**Subcommittee members:** Muriel DeLaVergne-Brown, Eva Rippeteau, Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer, Jennifer Vines

**Identify population health outcome metrics for each foundational program (February-April 2017)**

Population health outcome metrics are intended to measure progress for the public health system, not individual public health authorities.

1. Public Health Division will identify an initial set of recommended population health outcome metrics, pulling from the State Health Profile, State Health Improvement Plan and other health outcome metrics (for example, metrics for End HIV Oregon) for each foundational program.
2. Public Health Division will make recommendations for statewide benchmarks.
3. Public Health Division will review and solicit feedback from local and tribal public health authorities on this set of recommended population health outcome metrics.
4. Recommended population health outcome metrics will be provided to the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee.

**Conduct stakeholder survey on population health outcome metrics (March-May 2017)**

The Public Health Division and PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee will field a stakeholder survey to collect feedback on the proposed population health outcome measures for the public health system, and to identify opportunities for measure alignment across sectors.

1. Public Health Division and PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee will develop and launch survey.
2. PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee will review survey results.
3. PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee will finalize recommendations for population health outcome measures.
4. PHAB will review and approve population health outcome measures

**Identify local public health accountability metrics (July-September 2017)**

Local public health accountability metrics will measure progress toward achieving roles and deliverables outlined in the Public Health Modernization Manual. Local public health accountability metrics will directly connect to population health outcome metrics.

1. PHD staff will convene small groups of subject matter experts (SMEs) to identify and develop local public health accountability metrics.

2. Small groups will include state and local public health staff. PHAB subcommittee members are welcome to be involved.
3. One to two small groups will be formed initially to focus on accountability metrics for 1-2 foundational programs. (possibly communicable disease and chronic disease/prevention and health promotion)
  - a. These groups can look at PHAST measures, *Public Health Modernization Manual* deliverables, etc.
4. Small groups will use the criteria established by the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee to select measures to identify a small number (1-2) of recommended measures.
5. Small groups will also define measurement criteria (what information is collected, pass/no pass)
6. Recommendations will be provided to the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee
7. PHAB will review and approve local public health accountability metrics.

**Identify and establish mechanism for data collection (September-October 2017)**

1. Public Health Division will identify short-term and long-term data collection mechanisms.
2. Public Health Division will make recommendations to the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee

**Collect baseline data (November-December 2017)**

1. Collect and analyze baseline data
2. Set benchmark and improvement targets
3. Issue accountability metrics report

**Develop mechanism for awarding performance-based incentives to local public health authorities (timeline TBD)**

1. Work with Incentives and Funding subcommittee to develop a mechanism for awarding performance-based incentives to local public health authorities through the local public health funding formula.

## PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee

March 22, 2017

### Public health accountability metrics: stakeholder survey DRAFT

**Subcommittee members:** Muriel DeLaVergne-Brown, Eva Rippeteau, Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer, Jennifer Vines

---

#### Survey draft

Oregon's Public Health Advisory Board (PHAB) is developing a set of accountability metrics for the public health system. These metrics will be used to track progress toward improving health outcomes for Oregon's most urgent population health priorities and demonstrate the value of a [modern public health system](#).

PHAB has developed an initial set of recommendations for public health metrics and is soliciting feedback from public health stakeholders on these recommended metrics.

1. Name
2. Organization
3. E-mail address
4. We would like feedback from all of our stakeholders. Please select which group(s) you identify with (select all that apply):
  - a. Local health official
  - b. OHA office/program
  - c. Community member
  - d. Community-based organization
  - e. Health care provider
  - f. Coordinated care organization
  - g. Public Health Advisory Board member
  - h. Early learning provider
  - i. Other (please specify)

Please answer the following questions for each of the four foundational program areas for the public health system.

### **Communicable disease control**

Review the following metrics that have been recommended by PHAB and answer the following questions.

PHAB recommended metrics

- Metric 1
- Metric 2
- Metric 3
- Metric 4
- Metric 5

5. Which of these metrics align with priorities for you or your organization?  
*(Metrics will be listed, plus a checkbox for “none”).*
6. Please rank these metrics in order of importance with “1” being the most important and “5” being the least important.  
*(Metrics will be listed).*
7. If you would like to suggest additional public health accountability metrics for communicable disease, please do so below.
  - a. Proposed metric suggestion (summary of the metric and/or numerator and denominator)
  - b. Please explain why you are proposing the metric. Why is it important?
  - c. Proposed metric reference (Healthy People 2020, State Health Improvement Plan, etc.)
  - d. Data source(s) for the proposed metric

### **Prevention and Health Promotion**

Review the following metrics that have been recommended by PHAB and answer the following questions. (Consider adding the criteria that was used to select recommended metrics)

PHAB recommended metrics

- Metric 1
- Metric 2
- Metric 3
- Metric 4

- Metric 5
8. Which of these metrics align with priorities for you or your organization?  
*(Metrics will be listed, plus a checkbox for “none”).*
  9. Please rank these metrics in order of importance with “1” being the most important and “5” being the least important.  
*(Metrics will be listed).*
  10. If you would like to suggest additional public health accountability metrics for prevention and health promotion, please do so below.
    - a. Proposed measure suggestion (summary of the measure and/or numerator and denominator)
    - b. Please explain why you are proposing the measure. Why is it important?
    - c. Proposed data source
    - d. Proposed measure reference (Healthy People 2020, etc.)

### **Environmental Public Health**

Review the following metrics that have been recommended by PHAB and answer the following questions. (Consider adding the criteria that was used to select recommended metrics)

#### PHAB recommended metrics

- Metric 1
  - Metric 2
  - Metric 3
  - Metric 4
  - Metric 5
11. Which of these metrics align with priorities for you or your organization?  
*(Metrics will be listed, plus a checkbox for “none”).*
  12. Please rank these metrics in order of importance with “1” being the most important and “5” being the least important.  
*(Metrics will be listed).*
  13. If you would like to suggest additional public health accountability metrics for environmental public health, please do so below.

- a. Proposed measure suggestion (summary of the measure and/or numerator and denominator)
- b. Please explain why you are proposing the measure. Why is it important?
- c. Proposed data source
- d. Proposed measure reference (Healthy People 2020, etc.)

### **Access to Clinical Preventive Services**

Review the following metrics that have been recommended by PHAB and answer the following questions. (Consider adding the criteria that was used to select recommended metrics)

#### PHAB recommended metrics

- Metric 1
- Metric 2
- Metric 3
- Metric 4
- Metric 5

14. Which of these metrics align with priorities for you or your organization?  
*(Metrics will be listed, plus a checkbox for "none").*

15. Please rank these metrics in order of importance with "1" being the most important and "5" being the least important.  
*(Metrics will be listed).*

16. If you would like to suggest additional public health accountability metrics for access to clinical preventive services, please do so below.

- a. Proposed measure suggestion (summary of the measure and/or numerator and denominator)
- b. Please explain why you are proposing the measure. Why is it important?
- c. Proposed data source
- d. Proposed measure reference (Healthy People 2020, etc.)