

AGENDA

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD Accountability Metrics Subcommittee

February 13, 2019

12:00-1:00 pm

Portland State Office Building, room 915

Conference line: (877) 873-8017

Access code: 767068#

Webinar link: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/5150607625475124481>

Meeting Objectives

- Review and discuss 2019 accountability metrics data
- Plan for March discussion with OHA Metrics and Scoring Chair

PHAB members: Muriel DeLaVergne-Brown, Eva Rippeteau, Jeanne Savage, Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer

12:00-12:05 pm	Welcome and introductions <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Confirm that March 8, May 23 and January 7 minutes are approved	Sara Beaudrault, Oregon Health Authority
12:05-12:45 pm	2019 Public Health Accountability Metrics Report <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review and discuss 2019 data• Discuss any notable areas that should be highlighted	Myde Boles, Oregon Health Authority
12:45-12:50 pm	March discussion with Metrics and Scoring <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Plan for March discussion with OHA Metrics and Scoring	Sara Beaudrault, Oregon Health Authority
12:50-12:55 pm	Subcommittee business <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Select subcommittee member to provide update at February 21 PHAB meeting• Next subcommittee meeting is scheduled for Monday, March 4 from 1:00-2:00	All
12:55-1:00 pm	Public comment	
1:00 pm	Adjourn	

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD

DRAFT Accountability Metrics Subcommittee meeting minutes

January 7, 2019

1:00-2:00 pm

PHAB Subcommittee members in attendance: Eva Rippeteau, Jeanne Savage, Eli Schwarz

Oregon Health Authority staff: Sara Beaudrault, Myde Boles, Krasimir Karamfilov

Welcome and introductions

Although a quorum was present, the subcommittee did not have enough members to approve the March 8 and May 23 meeting minutes.

2019 Public Health Accountability Metrics Report

Sara provided an update on reporting for the local public health process measure for active transportation, which reads: “Local public health authority participation in leadership or planning initiatives related to active transportation, parks and recreation, or land use”. Increasing active transportation and land use initiatives that support health is an emerging area for public health. Since PHAB adopted this process measure in 2018, staff from the Public Health Division (PHD), local public health authorities (LPHAs) and Department of Transportation have been working together to develop a measurement strategy for collecting data from LPHAs. All LPHAs are currently reporting data to PHD. Data for this process measure will be reported in the 2019 Public Health Accountability Metrics Report.

Sara provided an update on the local public health process measure for effective contraceptive use, which reads, “Annual strategic plan that identifies gaps, barriers and opportunities for improving access to effective contraceptive use”. Under a new Program Element for “Reproductive Health Community Participation and Assurance of Access” that was executed in July 2018, each LPHA has completed a local program plan. Under the local program plan, LPHAs select from a menu of components for using funding to improve access. The strategic plan is one component on the menu, but for the current year no LPHAs selected this option. OHA staff recommend continuing to report on the strategic plan for the process measure and asked for feedback from subcommittee members.

Jeanne noted that work to convene stakeholders to address barriers to access is active in Marion and Polk counties. She stated that there is a lack of providers to provide services, in part due to billing complications.

Eli noted that there is an opportunity for the PHAB Accountability Metrics subcommittee and the Metrics and Scoring committee to work together on this and other shared metrics.

Eli stated that funding needs to be directed to health priorities included in the public health accountability metrics measure set. Jeanne commented that there may be opportunities to prioritize or simplify the measure set and ensure funds are going to prioritized areas.

Subcommittee members agreed with OHA's recommendation to keep the process measure that was adopted in 2018 in place without changes.

Myde reviewed a draft layout for the 2019 Public Health Accountability Metrics Report. Since this year's report will include two years of data, changes to the layout are needed. Myde solicited feedback from subcommittee members on which sections should include two years of data and which should include only the current year.

- Subcommittee members recommend that two years of data be shown for the LPHA process measures, as it is shown in the draft layout.
- Subcommittee members recommend that two years of data be shown for the health outcome measure, including by race and ethnicity. This will require additional changes to the layout for the health outcome pages.

Subcommittee business

Eli will give the subcommittee update at the January 17 PHAB meeting. He recommends including the draft report layout pages in addition to the minutes in the PHAB packet.

Eli asked whether subcommittee minutes can be approved at the PHAB meeting. Sara will check on whether this could be done.

Public comment

No public comment was provided.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

The next Accountability Metrics Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for February 4 from 1:00-2:00.

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD

DRAFT Accountability Metrics Subcommittee meeting minutes

May 23, 2018

PHAB Subcommittee members in attendance: Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer

Oregon Health Authority staff: Sara Beaudrault, Cara Biddlecom, Julia Hakes

Welcome and introductions

A quorum was not present. The March 8 minutes were not approved.

The Public Health Accountability Metrics Report has been presented at a handful of other committee meetings. Rebecca Tiel presented the report to the Oregon Health Policy Board. Sara presented it at the CCO Medical Director QHOC meeting. And Jennifer presented to the CCO Metrics and Scoring committee/ The report has been well-received, with support for ongoing efforts to encourage CCO and public health collaborations to improve health outcomes.

Local public health process measures

Sara reviewed [the local public health process measure for opioid overdose deaths](#). The current process measure is “Percent of top prescribers enrolled in PDMP”. Sara asked the subcommittee to consider whether a new local public health process measure should be adopted for opioid overdose deaths given the passage of HB 4143 (2018), which requires all prescribers to enroll in the prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) program. Subcommittee members reviewed feedback provided by the Coalition of Local Health Officials and recommended we keep the process measure the same and monitor for compliance.

Isabelle Barbour reviewed [the active transportation process measure](#) and shared [proposed changes](#) to the process measure description based on discussions between PHD and Oregon Department of Transportation staff. Subcommittee members recommended simplifying the language in the process measure. Teri and Isabelle will work together on crafting more inclusive language for rural counties. Subcommittee members approved the proposed changes and agreed to move the revised process measure to PHAB for adoption.

Subcommittee business

Teri will give the subcommittee update at the June PHAB meeting.

Eli would like to revisit the 0-5 dental visits process measure after the subcommittee returns from its summer hiatus.

Public comment

No public comment was provided.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

The next Accountability Metrics Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for:

September 26, 2018 from 1-2 pm

DRAFT

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD

DRAFT Accountability Metrics Subcommittee meeting minutes

March 8, 2018

PHAB Subcommittee members in attendance: Eli Schwarz, Teri Thalhofer, Muriel DeLaVergne-Brown, Jennifer Vines, Eva Rippeteau

Oregon Health Authority staff: Sara Beaudrault, Cara Biddlecom, Myde Boles and Julia Hakes

Welcome and introductions

The January 24, 2018 meeting minutes were approved.

Public health accountability metrics report

Myde walked subcommittee members through the [Public Health Accountability Metrics Report](#).

Eli asked how LPHAs will achieve the benchmark without improvement targets. Myde explained that improvement targets and incentive funding will be discussed at the joint Accountability Metrics and Incentives and Funding subcommittee meeting on March 29.

Jennifer cited the [percent of gonorrhea cases that had at least one contact that received treatment](#) as a process measure where it is important to be specific with numbers. Jennifer gave the example of Multnomah County which has significantly more cases of gonorrhea than smaller counties but is not represented when shown by percentage. Myde agreed and will put the raw data on a table in the next iteration of the report.

Eli asked what it means when the benchmark has been established by the Public Health Division. Sara explained that Division programs either use existing benchmarks or look at benchmarks used by other states and/or other resources to establish benchmarks.

Eli expressed concern that some of the benchmarks are very high compared to the baseline and is worried that LPHAs will not be able to hit the benchmark in the given timeline. He gave the example of [the percent of gonorrhea case reports with complete priority fields](#) as a very high benchmark. Muriel said this is a process measure and the high benchmark does not concern her, it tells her that there needs to be more training. Cara clarified that the process measure timeline is more nimble than the accountability metric timeline because process measures reflect how the work is done and accountability metrics have a much longer timeline for change.

Muriel asked if the benchmark is too high for the [percent of top opioid prescribers enrolled in PDMP process measure](#). Subcommittee members cited the passage of [HB 4143](#) as justification for the high benchmark as all providers will now be required to register for PDMP.

Jennifer drafted some language for the introduction of the report that explains the importance of metrics and the process that PHAB used to identify measures and will send to Sara to be included in the report.

The subcommittee moves to present the report to the PHAB for adoption. All in favor.

Public comment

No public comment was provided.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

The next Accountability Metrics Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for:

March 29, 2018 from 1-3 pm

DRAFT

2019 accountability metrics report

- Review and discuss data, with attention to communicable disease control measures.
- Discuss any notable areas that should be highlighted in key messages.

Planning for March discussion with Metrics and Scoring

- What would the subcommittee like to get out of this discussion?
- How might a discussion with Metrics and Scoring inform PHAB's work to adopt account

Subcommittee business

- Select subcommittee member to provide update at February 21 PHAB meeting.
- Agenda for March meeting:
 1. Final review of 2019 report.
 2. Discussion with Metrics and Scoring committee member about measure alignment and opportunities for collaboration.

Public comment

Adjourn