



SHIP SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

Bias Trauma Economic Drivers Access to Care Behavioral Health

September 3rd, 2019 | 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm. |

Members Present: Annie Valtierra-Sanchez, Claire Ranit, Danica Brown, Frank Franklin, Ginny Rake, Jessica Nye, Kim Hatfield, Kimberlee Handloser, Laura Williams, Mandy Davis, Margaret Braun, Sheldon Levy, Susan Boldt, Suzanne Hansche, Tammi Martin, Nait Fishler

Members Absent: David Bangsberg, Dolores Jimerson, Jenny Jackson, Kirt Toombs, Terra Hernandez, Vanessa Timmons

OHA Staff: Christy Hudson, Nat Jacobs

Members of the public: Jamal Furqan (Cedarbridge group)

Meeting Recording:

<https://global.gotomeeting.com/play/recording/c20aed25d1d9a3a55d4b3a6c4b2ebb7a0634e8667d4a88263d79ad0515d6d56e>

AGENDA ITEM #1 – Welcome and agenda overview

Nat opened the meeting and invited everyone to introduce themselves.

AGENDA ITEM #2 – Subcommittee members introduction

Subcommittee members introduced themselves and shared why this work is important to them. Members bring a range of diversity in experience and interest.

AGENDA ITEM #3 – Set the stage

Christy reviewed how members were identified for the subcommittee. Nat asked for group to identify who isn't represented on the group. Members offered the following suggestions: ensuring voices from around the state are presented and that Portland metro doesn't dominate the conversation, undocumented persons, refugees and immigrants, law enforcement, sex trafficking, violence prevention from a public health perspective. Christy clarified that IRCO and Oregon Latino Health Coalition are involved in other SHIP subcommittees. Capacity is sometimes a barrier for smaller organizations for participation, so invite to a specific subcommittee was left up to organizations to identify which priority area was of greatest relevance to them. If there are specific contacts members would like to include, please pass those along to Christy Hudson.

Nat reviewed subcommittee expectations which are outlined in greater detail in the charter. Decisions will be based on consensus. There are a variety of methods to do this (thumbs up/thumbs down, 1-5, etc.). Group can experiment with methods as decisions need to be made.

Group reviewed group agreements. Suzanne asked that in regards to step up/step back, that members be particularly mindful of those participating by phone. Nat also asked for help with this. There was a question about consensus building with persons on the phone. Christy offered that thumbs up/down/sideways was successfully used during PartnerSHIP meetings where those on the phone would use the chat box to indicate how they felt about a decision. OHA is in the process of getting Zoom for remote meetings, which will allow the meeting attendees to use web cams and see each other. Suzanne offered up a concern that smaller agencies often run into firewall issues with new technology. Christy offered up her team for support in addressing any technology barriers. Nurit asked about confidentiality concerns for members. In the spirit of transparency, meetings are open to the public so members should be mindful of this when sharing information. Meetings are also being recorded to enable note-taking and for sharing with members who are absent from a meeting. Sheldon asked what is meant by the term “step up/step back”. Nat clarified that this means being aware of our privilege and power within a group, how often we’re talking and stepping back to allow for others to share.

Christy reviewed health equity framework, proposed work plan, and available tools. Goal is to have final strategies and measures for SHIP by July 2020. Basecamp is a web-based project management tool and contains meeting times and dates, agendas, minutes, materials, and resources. Subcommittee members are encouraged to use this tool to explore and share documents, resources and information.

Nat asked for volunteers to co-chair the subcommittee. Annie voiced tentative interest and Christy will follow-up with her to discuss details.

AGENDA ITEM #4 – Understanding the data

Subcommittee members reviewed a data placemat that provides just a snapshot of available data in this topic area. Most of the quantitative data comes from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). There is also quite a bit of qualitative data that was collected during the community feedback process to inform the SHIP priorities. That data has been exported and coded and is available in Basecamp.

Nat asked for reactions to the data. Members noted disparity in incarceration for Black/African Americans, low ACE prevalence among Asian Americans. There was discussion about how BRFSS questions are asked. Nurit clarified that BRFSS isn’t comprehensive and only asks about the nine traditional traumatic events and doesn’t ask about historical trauma, experience of racism etc. Nurit will share specifics of how ACEs are being asked in BRFSS and will upload additional information and data. Margaret offered that the 2015-2016 BRFSS asked about experiences of racism and analyzed by ACEs and health outcomes and will see if she can share that data with group. There was also a question about whether there is additional resilience focused data beyond the flourishing indicator. Nurit will share additional information about this measure. Mandy will also do some research on existence of other statewide resilience measures. Central Oregon is also implementing a resilience scale in the schools and Kim will share framework for this. TRACES did a write up for the TIO blog about this work and will also share that resource. Ginny also offered to do some research on data available from the Good Behavior Game. Christy offered that there is also work within the PHD to develop a series of indicators related

to climate resilience – around social connectivity/social cohesion, etc. Margaret will share information about these indicators.

AGENDA ITEM #5 – Define the goal

Nat moved members to start identifying the goal for the priority area. Sheldon asked for further clarification for what the purpose of the subcommittee is – how will the recommendations of the group be used? Nat asked if there was a limit on the number of goals that could be identified. This is up to the subcommittee, and will hopefully land somewhere between 1 -3 goals. Nurit asked whether goal development was for the work of the subcommittee or the priority area. Clarified that goal development should be for the priority area. What needs to happen within the priority area of adversity, trauma and toxic stress to make progress towards the vision of the SHIP. There was also a question of how the goals and strategies of the subcommittee will be used. Recommendations from the SHIP will be used in a number of ways: to inform policy agenda for OHA and other cross-sector partners, to inform implementation of Community Health improvement Plans and investments in the social determinants, and as a primary communication tool related to health.

Ginny asked if subcommittee could use example goals provided. The third goal seems to strike preference among members “support efforts to mitigate trauma and increase resilience”. Nat suggested that subcommittee look at data to ensure goal is grounded in what is true for Oregon. To move this forward, Nat summarized 3 possible next steps: 1) form a small group to flush out goal before next meeting 2) use basecamp to brainstorm possible goals and prioritize or 3) hold conversation for next meeting. Nurit suggested we use a combination of all and group agreed. Members will be asked to contribute goal ideas via basecamp and Nat and Christy will help to distill down into a few proposed goals that group will revisit at the next meeting. Annie offered she’d like to see a focus on policy, prevention and upstream root causes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Jamal offered public comment – highlighting disparity of trauma among communities of color and asked subcommittee to be mindful of role of historical context, with data, for these communities, such as redlining, displacement etc. He also offered a process question related to public input that he wasn’t sure whether he could speak up during the meeting. Christy responded that it’s up ultimately up to the subcommittee to determine how they’d like to incorporate public comment. Sheldon shared an idea from another state where public comment was reserved at the end of every agenda item so that public voice was threaded throughout the agenda.

Dr. Franklin also asked that discriminatory practice/policies not only be addressed as an historical issue but as a current issue as well.

NEXT STEPS

1. Members will review Basecamp and contribute documents and resources.
2. Members will contribute goal ideas and Nat/Christy will distill and bring back to next meeting.
3. Christy will follow up with Annie regarding co-chairing group.

ADJOURN

meeting is October 1, 2019