



SHIP SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

Bias Trauma Economic Drivers Access to Care

Behavioral Health

September 27rd, 2019 | 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm. |

Members Present: Trilby Dejung, Alan DeLaTorre, Brenda Bateman, Brian Frank, Caitlin Baggot- Davis, Krista Collins (for Carly Hood), Carolina Iraheta Gonzalez, Emileigh Canales, Jacob Fox, Julie Maher, Kim Sogge, Lucia Ramirez, Sam Engle, Tameka Brazile

Members Absent: Mayra Rosales, Bill Baney, Connor McDonnell, Cord Van Riper, Courtney Crowell, Kim Sogge, Sarah Beaubien, Carly Hood, Chris Baker, Michelle Thurston

OHA Staff: Heather Owens, Cara Biddlecom, Stephanie Jarem, Trilby Dejung

Meeting Recording:

<https://transcripts.gotomeeting.com/#/s/83a3976d9cfd3ea2146d3f8afa3a4c2dab47e5f3670df0d703dc612a0d563eda>

AGENDA ITEM #1 – Welcome and agenda overview

Trilby opened the meeting and invited everyone to introduce themselves.

AGENDA ITEM #2 – Subcommittee members introduction

Subcommittee members introduced themselves and shared why this work is important to them. Members bring a range of diversity in experience and interest.

AGENDA ITEM #3 – Set the stage

Cara reviewed how members were identified for the subcommittee and reviewed subcommittee expectations which are outlined in greater detail in the charter. Please make sure that you are bringing the perspectives of not only yourself but of the communities and organizations that you represent. Decisions will be based on consensus. All materials will be available on basecamp. Meetings are being recorded. Trilby confirmed that best practice in the essence of maintaining a safe space for lived experiences to be shared is for recordings not be posted publicly. Recordings will be made available for note taking purposes and for member who were unable to attend.

Cara reviewed health equity framework, proposed work plan, and available tools. Basecamp is a web-based project management tool and contains meeting times and dates, agendas, minutes, materials, and resources. Subcommittee members are encouraged to use this tool to explore and share documents,

resources and information. Group reviewed group agreements and technology that will be used for this process. OHA is in the process of getting Zoom for remote meetings, which will allow the meeting attendees to use web cams and see each other. Cara reviewed the roles of the various OHA staff and support; Trilby is the lead, Steph, Emileigh, Cara and Heather are here to provide various supports.

Brian Frank asked how overlap within the subcommittees will be dealt with. Cara responded that there are a few channels to track these connections and they will need to be sorted through as they come up using basecamp, reviewing the monthly SHIP subcommittee digests, and through discussions that take place about every two weeks at the SHIP core group meetings.

Cara asked for volunteers to co-chair the subcommittee with Trilby and explained the roles of the co-chair. Steph suggested that folks who are interested can reach out. Tammy Baney voiced interest, Trilby will follow up with her.

AGENDA ITEM #4 – Understanding the data

Subcommittee members reviewed a data placemat that provides just a snapshot of available data in this topic area. Most of the quantitative data comes from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). There is also quite a bit of qualitative data that was collected during the community feedback process to inform the SHIP priorities. That data has been exported and coded and is available in Basecamp.

Trilby asked for the group to share reactions and interpretations of the data. Alan DeLaTorre stated that he felt households paying out at 30% or more on rent, that in not inclusive of the transportation element. He shared that the center for neighborhood technology has transportation data available on this. Trilby thanked him for the transportation resource. Brain Frank voiced that while there is no data point on the slide that there is a huge disparity between rural and urban divide and reminded the group not to lose sight of that as the group work through addressing these different disparities.

In response, Brenda Bateman voiced that Business Oregon is prioritizing similar issues in rural areas and currently working through how they can help to create better infrastructure, such as broadband in rural areas. They are seeking out ways to measure these things and hopes that being a part of this group will help with that.

AGENDA ITEM #5 – Define the goal

Trilby moved on to the next slide introducing the four drivers provided by the PartnerSHIP. Asking if there was anything missing? The four drivers provided are Housing, Food Insecurity, Transportation, and Living Wage.

Alan asked if aspects of the physical environment such as transportation and other infrastructure being discussed in other subcommittees? Trilby responded that would fall under transportation. Caitlin added that the cost of childcare, a significant cost for families, should be considered in these drivers. Trilby proposed that this be covered under living wage. The group discussed what is considered economic

activity like raising children and does not include folks that aren't earning an income may be discounted if lumped into living wage. Tammy supports comments being made about caregiving, thanked the group. Cara suggested economic viability as an option in order to better capture both resources coming in and resources going out, e.g. housing cost to income. Caitlin talked about the self-sufficiency standard measure captured geographically. This measures the cost of basic needs by county. She will share this information with group.

Trilby let folks know that the intent is to break into smaller workgroups to discuss each of these drivers separately, there are resources to support 4 groups. Keeping that in mind, the group should be thinking of how we are able to group concepts like child care and self-sufficiency together in order to keep these manageable. Caitlin, another consideration is access to wealth in order to encompass those who sustain day to day but are not equipped to deal with a medical emergency, proposing that wealth and income are discussed separately. Trilby added that she heard an interesting measure about people's ability to afford to take FMLA and proposed that all these suggestions may be covered under economic viability.

Brian Frank wondered why education was not being discussed in greater detail. Trilby discussed how the Student Success Act is about education and health together, suggested the group be open to folding this into one of the drivers. Cara and Steph talked about Rise Up Oregon and other work happening within OHA and across agencies for consideration when placing education in a driver to assure we aren't duplicating work. Brenda talked about collaborative cross agency work happening using geographical location, using that lens to assess unintended consequences to other areas, not as a standalone driver but to keep in mind as we move through these conversations. Caitlyn went back to the 4 initially proposed drivers and offered some new language to have two overarching categories; self-sufficiency and stability (ability to have and hold assets). Then, further bring those to include housing/transit, cost of care, living wage and achieving stability. Cara suggested that identifying goals, objectives and strategies may lead to some of these topics rolling up into groups naturally, Trilby agreed. The group continued to engage in a robust conversation about how to best define and combine issues into four drivers that will become workgroups of this subcommittee. Additional topics discussed were living wage, food insecurity, the cost paid and unpaid caregiving, transportation, housing, infrastructure, ability to save, access to wealth, and physical environment. This included encouragement to think about how potential strategies will align. The group landed on food insecurity, cost of caregiving, economic viability and physical environment. Present members expressed their workgroup participation interests.

Trilby moved on to identifying a goal. Encouraging the group to be lofty in this thought process as they looked through the proposed options. Starting from the last goal, improve health by increasing the economic opportunities that support the optimal livability for those experiencing inequities. The discussion went on to include various considerations such as deadline, the use of the word economic, and opportunities to get organizational feedback, and the ability and capacity for fulfillment. Ultimately deciding on "Improving health by decreasing social and economic inequities." Tasking more detailed goal generation to the workgroups before the next meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Jamal signed up for public comment but had left prior to that portion of the agenda.

NEXT STEPS

1. Members will review Basecamp and contribute documents and resources.
2. Members will decide which work group they would like to participate in, sign up on basecamp and work with other members to define one goal before the next large meeting.
3. Trilby/Cara will follow up with Tammy about co-chairing the subcommittee.
4. Heather will reach out to members for scheduling December meeting date and get feedback about current recurring schedule.

ADJOURN

Next meeting is October 25, 2019