

SHIP SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

Economic Drivers

January 24/ 2020 | 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm. |

Members Present: Brenda Bateman, Carolina Iraheta Gonzalez, Emileigh Canales, Bill Baney, Cord van Riper, Courtney Crowell, Jacob Fox, Lucia Ramirez, Michelle Thurston, Tammy Baney,

Members Absent: Caitlin Baggot, Sarah Beaubien, Carly Hood, Mayra Rosales, Kim Sogge,

OHA Staff: Trilby de Jung, Cara Biddlecom, Stephanie Jarem, Elizabeth Gharst, Heather Owens, Julie Maher

AGENDA ITEM #1 – Welcome, agenda overview, and subcommittee business

Cara opened the meeting:

- Focus of today's meeting is finalizing indicators and diving into strategies.
- Carly Hood has taken a new role and will no longer be participating in this subcommittee.
- The group reviewed the SHIP process map and discussed upcoming meetings
 - Spring PartnerSHIP meeting is tentatively scheduled for March 16 or March 18th, awaiting doodle poll results.
 - The March subcommittee meeting is in the process of being rescheduled due to the PartnerSHIP convening mid March. Proposed dates, March 6th or 9th have been sent out via Doodle poll to subcommittee members.

AGENDA ITEM #2 – Finalize Key Indicators

The group reviewed Key Indicator voting results and discovered there were just four votes submitted. After discussion, members identified two factors for the low vote count -not feeling comfortable voting on an indicator outside of their specific areas of expertise and, not wanting to choose only one indicator.

Final Indicators for the **physical environment** sub-priority are:

1. Housing Burden - % of income spent on rent/mortgage (American Community Survey)
2. 3rd grade reading proficiency (ODE)

Next the group discussed the indicators proposed for **economic viability (including cost of caregiving)**.

One indicator was agreed upon and finalized:

1. Opportunity Index – increase Oregon's economy score by 2.5 point over 5 years. (Opportunity Index – Economy Dimension)

Other economic viability (including cost of caregiving) indicators were discussed but not finalized:

2. Childcare cost burden (Consumer Expenditure Survey, Bureau of Labor Statistics)
3. Average wage of all care workers – (occupation profiles provided by State of Oregon Employment Department)

Child care: a. excluding preschool teachers and assistant teachers; b. preschool teachers

Hands-on health care: a. home health aides; b. personal care aides

4. Unpaid care giving - BRFFS question: Are you currently a caregiver (have provided regular care or assistance in past 30 days to a friend or a family member who has a health problem or disability)?

Discussion:

- The group agrees that more than one indicator in this sub-priority area is necessary.
- Group decided to drop BRFFS question from list of potentials.
- Childcare cost burden and average wage of care workers are showing different sides of the same problem. Steph and Julie agreed to come back to the group with a clear recommendation of how to proceed on these. They may be able to be combined or if one is used, the other could be used as a process measure.

For the food insecurity sub-priority area there was only one option brought to vote. The outcome indicator for this group was easily agreed upon and finalized:

1. Decrease in Food Insecurity – (USDA US Food Security Survey)

AGENDA ITEM #3 – Strategy development brainstorm

The group participated in a robust discussion presenting strategy ideas already submitted related to three sub-priority areas as well as sharing new strategy suggestions that they submitted prior to the meeting. For each there were ideas brought forth and documented into the larger strategy collection that is shared on basecamp.

For physical environment after reviewing proposed strategies In addition to strategy suggestions, other areas of needed strategy contribution were identified and discussed. Stephanie suggested that the group include more strategies related to transportation safety. Jacob Fox stated that he will follow up with a colleague to vet additional housing strategy suggestions to add to the list. In response to needing more ideas for housing and transportation. Courtney advocated for rural communities being included into housing strategies offering that the Statewide housing plan includes a rural priority area. Jacob responded that he would weave this into the strategy suggestions he adds to the list.

Tammy asked in regards to transportation if there was mention of the importance of health impact assessments, citing the CDC health impact assessment toolkits.

Steph added that we assure to be in alignment with our CCO 2.0 efforts. Posing the question, Is there something we can do as a SHIP to point CCO's in a specific direction?

The group was informed of a PHD internal SHIP workgroup that is currently brainstorming strategy suggestions for each priority and sub-priority area and that there may be strategies in these areas that come out of it for all sub-priority areas.

For economic viability, Trilby asked Brenda if there are there more strategies we are able to pull out from the Oregon Business Plan or if there were other resources that would be beneficial to review. Emileigh pulled up a potential outcome indicator Brenda stated that this was the best resource she knew of but would look for more strategies that align with the sub-priority area. Courtney was a champion for workforce investment and childcare. Steph discussed talked about the efforts to talk about child care costs via a Governor’s task force. Stating that Oregon has the 3rd highest cost of child care compared to median income.

Other strategies for this sub-priority area discussed were:

- Cost burden of caregiving - Carolina
- Universal pre-k – Cara
 - Stephanie mentioned she believes this may be a ballot measure next year.
- Ability to increase and keep savings - Carolina
- Neighborhood resources matching community members – Carolina
- Availability of care - Steph
- FMLA/OFLA data, usage, availability – Trilby/Steph
- Access to capital for small businesses in underserved communities – Brenda
- Cost of healthcare/insurance – Trilby
- Employability of native Oregonians – Heather

For food insecurity Steph discussed looking at the number of state community health assessments and regional health equity plans that have prioritized food insecurity in order to align and leverage with existing strategies. Emileigh the following strategies were discussed. Cara mentioned that this may be a good place to include older adults to make sure that we have the whole lifespan covered. Cara mentioned food insecurity with older adults to be inclusive of whole lifespan - Cara

- Food deserts and food swamp reduction - Steph
- “Hunger free schools” provisions - how can we align - Steph

Public Comment:

George, CareConnect – TANF and SSI asset limit should be increased from \$2000.00 and \$4000.00.
Question, What does it mean that CCO's have to be in alignment with the SHIP.

- Steph – CCO's are required to do a Community Health Assessment and a Community Health Improvement Plan in collaboration with their local public health authorities and local hospitals – for CCO 2.0 at least 2 of the SHIP priorities have to align with their CHIP.

Advocate from organization ASSIST, Melanie, works with SSI and SSD recipients representing the indigent and disabled community where folks are unable to navigate federal assistance forms. Would like to see economic stability for people with disabilities and those that are unemployable included in this plan.

- Trilby – We will take that into consideration thank you for your comment.

AGENDA ITEM #4 WRAP UP & NEXT STEPS

Next steps:

1. Emileigh will follow-up with food insecurity group to get indicators finalized.
2. Reach out to Tameka Braille re: REACH program in Gresham
3. Heather will ask internal workgroup for Housing and Food Insecurity strategies.
4. Follow up with Bill Baney re: Gov Child Care task force
5. Follow up with Carly about replacement for this subcommittee.

ADJOURN

Next meeting:

- Friday, February 28