Oregon Adults in Good Mental Health, Oregon, 2014-2017						
Good Mental Health: Had no poor mental health in past 30 days.						
Adulto 19 voore	Unadjusted			Age-Adjusted		
Adults 18 years & older	%	95% Confidence Interval <sup>1</sup>		0/	95% Confidence Interval <sup>1</sup>	
		L. limit %	U. limit %	%	L. limit %	U. limit %
STATEWIDE	59.0	58.3	59.6	57.3	56.6	58.0
BAKER	57.7	49.4	65.6	51.1	41.5	60.7
BENTON	55.6	51.7	59.5	57.4	53.5	61.3
CLACKAMAS	61.2	59.1	63.2	58.8	56.5	61.0
CLATSOP	63.2	57.3	68.7	59.7	53.1	66.1
COLUMBIA	58.9	53.6	64.0	56.9	50.9	62.7
COOS	62.3	57.5	66.8	58.5	52.7	64.1
CROOK	68.5	60.5	75.6	65.5	54.6	74.9
CURRY	62.7	55.0	69.7	56.4	46.7	65.7
DESCHUTES	62.5	59.3	65.5	59.9	56.5	63.2
DOUGLAS	61.3	57.9	64.6	56.5	52.6	60.3
GRANT	60.7	50.8	69.8	52.9	41.2	64.4
HARNEY	60.8	48.1	72.1	54.8	41.3	67.6
HOOD RIVER	59.8	51.0	68.0	59.0	50.1	67.4
JACKSON	59.0	56.2	61.7	55.4	52.3	58.5
JEFFERSON	50.4	39.9	60.8	49.1	37.6	60.7
JOSEPHINE	62.4	58.4	66.1	58.2	53.5	62.8
KLAMATH	60.8	56.0	65.3	59.6	54.2	64.7
LAKE	70.7	59.4	80.0	69.4	51.7	82.8
LANE	54.7	52.6	56.8	53.0 ~	50.7	55.2
LINCOLN	61.5	56.4	66.3	58.7	52.1	65.0
LINN	60.9	57.5	64.2	58.7	55.0	62.4
MALHEUR	58.1	49.8	66.0	56.2	47.4	64.6
MARION	57.7	55.2	60.1	56.7	54.1	59.2
MORROW	72.7	57.3	84.1	70.9	54.7	83.1
MULTNOMAH	53.6	52.0	55.1	53.8 ~	52.3	55.4
POLK	57.6	53.0	62.0	55.6	51.0	60.1
TILLAMOOK	60.6	53.3	67.4	55.5	46.6	64.0
UMATILLA	65.2	59.9	70.1	64.5 ~	59.0	69.6
UNION	65.0	58.0	71.4	62.4	55.0	69.3
WALLOWA	70.6	60.7	79.0	63.7	52.7	73.3
WASHINGTON	62.5	60.6	64.3	62.2 ~	60.3	64.1
WHEELER	64.0	38.2	83.7	55.7	28.3	80.0
YAMHILL	60.0	55.8	64.0	58.7	54.3	63.0
GILLIAM/WASCO						
/SHERMAN	61.6	54.7	68.1	59.8	52.0	67.1

<sup>\*</sup> This number is suppressed because it is statistically unreliable.

Source: Oregon Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System 2014-2017 county combined NOTE: Age adjustment is based on three age groups: 18-34; 35-54; and 55+, per U.S. Standard Million Population.

<sup>~</sup> Statistically Significant: significantly higher or lower than the statewide rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Confidence intervals provide a measure of how much an estimate might vary due to chance. We present 95% confidence intervals, which means that if we conducted this survey over and over, 95% of the confidence intervals calculated would contain the true population value. Wider intervals suggest the estimate is more unreliable and should be interpreted with caution, however it is the best estimate available.