Study Design and Analytic Epidemiology for Outbreak Investigations

Rosalie Trevejo, DVM, MPVM, PhD
Oregon Public Health Division
Acute and Communicable Disease Prevention



1


Objectives

- Define analytic epidemiology
- Describe types of studies to investigate outbreaks
- Discuss control group selection
- Prepare 2 x 2 table
- Calculate and interpret measures of association

Health

Analytic Epidemiology

- Differs from descriptive epi (person, place, time)
- · Develop then test hypothesis
- Examine exposures and outcomes
- Use comparison group (controls)



3

Exposure and Outcome

- Exposure= potential risk factor
 - ➤ Food item
 - ➤ Occupational
 - ➤ Behavioral (e.g., smoking)
- Outcome= Disease
 - ➤ Cancer
 - > Diarrhea
 - ➤ Injury

Health Authority

Common Types of Studies

- Cohort
- Case-Control
- Case-case
- These are observational studies, as compared with experimental studies

Health

_

Cohort Design

- Start with defined group, classified by exposure status
 - ➤ Exposed group
 - ➤ Non-exposed group
- Assess who developed disease



Cohort Studies examples

- Nurse's Health Study- 1970's
 120,000 female nurses risk factors for cancer and cardiovascular disease
- Outbreak following a wedding reception
- Illness in a school classroom
- Outbreak after church potluck



7


Why use a cohort?


- Known denominator: # at risk of disease
- Compare exposed and unexposed groups
- However, not always possible to define cohort





_





Case-Control Design

- · Classify people by outcome
 - > Cases: have disease
 - > Controls: do not have disease
- Assess past exposure



11

Case-control study examples

- Smoking and lung cancer, 1950s
- Salmonella outbreak associated with nationally distributed product

Restaurant outbreak of norovirus





Selecting Controls

- Do not have the disease
- · At risk of disease: had potential for exposure
- Should be representative of cases
- Key: If they developed the disease, could they be identified as case?

13





13

Potential control groups

- Others who ate at same restaurant
- Neighborhood residents
- Family members
- Friends
- Coworkers
- Random digit dialing
- Classmates
- Medical clinic patients







Advantages & Disadvantages

	Cohort	Case-control
Advantages	Can evaluate rare exposures Can calculate risk	Quick Smaller size Cost effective Can evaluate rare disease
Disadvantages	Inefficient if incubation is long Potential expense Loss to follow up	Recall bias Selection bias



17

Measures of Association

- Quantifies magnitude of association between exposure and disease
 - ➤ Cohort study: relative risk (RR)
 - ➤ Case-control study: odds ratio (OR)



18

2 X 2 table

	Diseased	Not diseased	Row total
Exposed	а	b	a+b
Not exposed	С	d	c+d
Column total	a+c	b+d	a+b+c+d= Grand total

Health Luthority

19

Cohort Study: Relative Risk (RR)

- Risk of disease in exposed ÷ risk of disease in unexposed
- RR= a/(a+b) ÷ c/(c+d)

Disease
Yes No

a b

C C d

How to interpret If RR = 1?

Health Authority

20

Calculate RR for Cohort Study

- Salmonella Enteritidis outbreak among group of coworkers that had catered lunch with taco bar
- 40 workers ate fresh salsa; 30 developed Salmonella Enteritidis and 10 did not
- Another 40 workers did not eat fresh salsa;
 2 developed Salmonella Enteritidis and 38 did not

Health Authority

21

Relative Risk 2 X 2 table

	Salmonella Enteritidis	No S <i>almonella</i> Enteritidis	Total	
Salsa	а	b	a+b	
No salsa	С	d	c+d	

Fill in table and calculate RR Put your answer in chat

Health Authority

22

2X2 table results

	Salmonella Enteritidis	No Salmonella Enteritidis	Total
Salsa	30	10	40
No salsa	2	38	40

$$RR = a/(a+b) \div c/(c+d)$$

$$30/(30+10) \div 2/(2+38)$$

Health Authority

23

Relative Risk conclusion:


Those who ate salsa had higher risk (15X) of developing *Salmonella* Enteritidis than those who didn't eat salsa



24

Case-Control Study: Odds Ratio (OR)

- Compare odds of exposure for cases and controls
- Odds Ratio (OR) =
 - ➤ a/c ÷ b/d
 - > ad/bc



25

Case-Control Study: Calculate OR

- Cases: 40 restaurant patrons with Salmonella Enteritidis; 30 ate eggs and 10 did not
- Controls: 40 restaurant patrons without Salmonella Enteritidis; 2 ate eggs and 38 did not

Why might a case-control study be good option for restaurant outbreak?

Health Authority

Odds Ratio 2 X 2 Table

	Salmonella Enteritidis	No <i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	
Eggs	a b		
No eggs	С	d	
Total	a+c b+d		
Fill in table and calculate Put your answer in chat	OR	Healt	th

27

Odds ratio 2X2 table results

- Odds Ratio (OR) = (a*d)/(b*c)
- OR=
- Conclusion: Cases were ____ times more likely than controls to have eaten eggs



Complete with your table group.

Case-case study example: Shotgun

- Compare exposures between 2 groups of cases
- Cluster of STEC 4 cases: compare exposure frequencies with background exposure frequencies in Shotgun database
- Shotgun database provides background exposure frequencies from previously interviewed cases (Salmonella and STEC)

Exposure Code	YES	%	Backgrnd	Binomial	
331 CarrotsLoose	2/4	50	18%	0.1547	
336 PepperBell	2/4	50	26%	0.2813	
338 PepperRed	2/4	50	16%	0.1180	
339 PepperYellow	2/4	50	9%	0.0456	11.1
					caltr

31

Is this a true association?

• P-value <0.05)


https://tidsskriftet.no/en/2015/09/why-p-value-significant

 Case control study example OR=57 (95% CI, 12—280) P<0.0001 https://www.medcalc.org/calc/index.php



Health Authority

32



Exercise

- Handout: CD 303 Exercises (pages 6-8)
- Complete each question before proceeding to next question
- Review questions together at end

Health Call Authority

Review of Opening Scenario

On **August 10**, the Oregon County Health Department received a report of a child with *E. coli* O157 (O157) diarrhea. This was their first O157 report in over a year. Within 24 hours Oregon County had received 3 more reports of O157 infection in children.

All 4 ill children that were reported to Oregon County Health Department over 24-hour period had attended the Oregon County Fair and had bloody diarrhea. The fair had been held **August 1 – 6**. Over ~120,000 people had attended this fair. However, the fair ended 3 days earlier. The fairground facilities are used for activities throughout the year.



3

35

Study Design and Analytic Epidemiology

Additional Information:

The Oregon County Fair is a major annual event in Oregon each August. It is a large agricultural fair with hundreds of animal exhibits, food vendors, and amusement rides. More than 170,000 visits were recorded this year.

The fairgrounds are supplied by shallow wells approximately 20-feet deep. Since the fairgrounds are only in operation 42 days of year, the fairground water supply is not considered a community water system but is considered an alternative water source and is regulated under the food code. This type of well must be tested within 60 days of an event. Testing for coliforms was performed on June 20th and was negative.



Question 1: What hypotheses do you want to evaluate?



37

Study Design and Analytic Epidemiology

Additional information

An environmental investigation of the fairground revealed that much of the fair was supplied by chlorinated water. However, in one area of the fairground, a shallow well (well #6) provided unchlorinated water to several vendors who used the water to make beverages and ice. This area was close to the large animal barn.

Health

Question 2: Do we need a study, if so what type of study?

Why might case control study be used in this investigation?

39



39

Study Design and Analytic Epidemiology

Additional information

Cases eligible for inclusion in case control study were people who had attended the Fair and who had stool culture positive for *E. coli* O157 and were the first case in a household (primary cases).

Why limit to first case in household?

Health Authority

40

Question 3: Whom might you get for controls? How do you find them?

Health Authority

41

Study Design and Analytic Epidemiology

Additional information

For the Oregon County Fair, controls were obtained from credit card receipts of attendees and from persons entered in photography exhibit and children's art exhibit. Controls were selected who had a similar age distribution as the cases.

Health

Results

Investigators identified 46 primary cases and 84 controls. All primary cases had attended the fair during the last 4 days. Analysis included only controls who attended the fair at least once during the final 4 days and cases and controls with available exposure data (32 cases and 57 controls).

Complete 2X2 tables for each exposure.



43

Study Design and Analytic Epidemiology

Question 4: What is an appropriate measure of association for a case-control study? (put in chat)

- Odds ratio
- a/c = ad
- b/d bc
- Measure of association for water from well #6? (put in chat)
 OR=?
- Measure of association for Vendor A chicken? (put in chat)
 OR=?

