

» State of Oregon West Nile Virus Summary Report



Oregon
Health
Authority

PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION
Acute and Communicable Disease Prevention Program

Acknowledgments

This report was prepared by:

Emilio DeBess, DVM, MPVM

Cedric Cicognani, BS

Acute and Communicable Disease Prevention

800 N.E. Oregon St., Suite 772

Portland, OR 97232

Phone: 971-673-1111

Fax: 971-673-1100

This report is possible because of the input and hard work of all Oregon vector control districts and the Oregon State University Oregon Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory.

For more information, contact Emilio.E.DeBess@state.or.us.

Please cite this publication as follows:

Oregon Public Health Division. State of Oregon West Nile virus summary report 2018. Oregon Health Authority. Portland, OR. 2019 April.

Contents

» Acknowledgments	ii
» Executive summary.....	iv
» Introduction.....	1
» WNV surveillance and related activities.....	3
» Human surveillance.....	3
» Veterinary surveillance	4
» Avian surveillance	4
» Sentinel chicken surveillance.....	6
» Mosquito surveillance	6
» Vector control districts in Oregon	12

List of tables

Table 1. Confirmed WNV infections by species, Oregon, 2004–2018.....	iv
Table 2. Trend data for Oregon residents who contracted WNV in Oregon, 2004–2018.....	3
Table 3. Positive equine WNV test results, Oregon, 2018.....	4
Table 4. Avian WNV test results by county, Oregon, 2018.....	5
Table 5. Avian WNV tests and trend of positive test results, Oregon, 2004–2018.....	5
Table 6. WNV-positive mosquito pools, Oregon, 2018	6
Table 7. Total female mosquitoes collected for surveillance purposes by Oregon VCDs, 2018.....	7
Table 8. Female mosquito pools collected by Oregon VCDs and tested for WNV at Oregon State University, 2018	8
Table 9. Trend data, WNV-positive mosquito pools*, Oregon, 2004–2018.....	9

List of figures

Figure 1. Number of positive WNV tests, Oregon, 2018.....	iv
Figure 2. Map of Oregon with shaded counties reporting WNV, 2018	2
Figure 3. Potential Oregon vectors of WNV based on laboratory vector competence studies	11
Figure 4. Oregon counties with participating vector control districts (VCDs) and their activities	12

Executive summary

2018 program highlights

Oregon’s surveillance for West Nile virus (WNV) in 2018 identified the following:

- 2 human cases
- 2 equine cases
- 1 avian case
- 57 positive mosquito pools

Figure 1. Number of positive WNV tests, Oregon, 2018




Table 1. Confirmed WNV infections by species, Oregon, 2004–2018

Group	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Human	5	8	73	27	16	12	0	0	12	16	8	1	3	7	2
Horse	32	46	35	16	0	5	0	2	2	6	3	6	6	5	2
Bird	23	15	25	52	2	16	0	0	2	2	7	11	12	1	1
Mosquito	0	11	22	28	16	262	4	3	71	89	58	59	51	92	57
Sentinel chickens	0	15	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Source: Oregon State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory and Oregon State Public Health Laboratory

Introduction

Oregon launched a West Nile virus (WNV) surveillance program in 2001. The virus was first identified in humans, birds and horses in Oregon in 2004. Our peak year followed two years later when 73 human cases were reported.

Incidence of human WNV disease remained low in Oregon in 2018. Two human cases, one bird, two horses and 57 mosquito pools tested positive for WNV in 2018.

Thirteen vector control districts (VCDs) collect, identify and test mosquitoes and dead birds for WNV surveillance (Figure 4). Some VCDs conduct initial WNV tests for mosquito pools and dead birds using the Rapid Analyte Measurement Platform (RAMP). The Oregon State Public Health Laboratory (OSPHL) performs confirmatory testing of WNV for human specimens.


Oregon State University's (OSU's) Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory performs WNV testing of mosquitoes, dead birds, horses and other mammals.

The following sections summarize Oregon WNV surveillance findings for humans, horses, birds and mosquitoes in 2018.

Figure 2. Map of Oregon with shaded counties reporting WNV, 2018

County	Mosquitoes	Birds	Horses	Human
Malheur	13	0	0	0
Umatilla	44	1	0	0
Harney	0	0	0	1
Clackamas*	0	0	0	1
Klamath	0	0	2	0
Total	57	1	2	2

* Acquired out-of-state



See <https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/DISEASESCONDITIONS/DISEASESAZ/WESTNILEVIRUS/Pages/wnile.aspx> for more information about West Nile virus.

WNV surveillance and related activities

Human surveillance

In 2018, two Oregon residents tested positive for WNV by Immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibody and polymerase chain reaction (PCR); both had neuroinvasive disease (Table 2). Illnesses related to neuroinvasive disease are usually characterized by the acute onset of fever with stiff neck, altered mental status, seizures, limb weakness, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pleocytosis or abnormal neuroimaging. Acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) may result from anterior (“polio”) myelitis, peripheral neuritis or post-infectious peripheral demyelinating neuropathy (i.e., Guillain-Barré syndrome). Less common neurological manifestations, such as cranial nerve palsies, also occur.

Table 2. Trend data for Oregon residents who contracted WNV in Oregon, 2004–2018

Year	All cases	Neuroinvasive	Deaths
2004	5	0	0
2005	8	1	0
2006	73	13	1
2007	27	7	1
2008	15	3	0
2009	8	0	0
2010	0	0	0
2011	0	0	0
2012	12	1	0
2013	16	8	0
2014	8	2	0
2015	1	0	0
2016	3	1	0
2017	7	4	1
2018	2	2	0
TOTAL	185	42	3

Source: Oregon State Public Health Laboratory

Veterinary surveillance

WNV surveillance in Oregon’s equine population resulted in two positive tests. Table 3 summarizes positive test results by county. No other mammals tested positive for WNV in 2018.

Table 3. Positive equine WNV test results, Oregon, 2018

County	Horses tested for WNV	Horses with positive WNV test results
Columbia	1	0
Deschutes	1	0
Grant	1	0
Harney	3	0
Klamath	4	2
Union	1	0
Total	11	2

Source: Oregon State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory

Avian surveillance

WNV surveillance in Oregon’s avian population resulted in one positive test result out of 30 birds tested by OSU’s Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory and the VCDs. Of the 30 birds collected, 25 were of the family Corvidae (aka corvids), while the remaining 5 were American species other than corvid. Table 4 shows Oregon’s avian species collection totals by county for 2018. Table 5 presents trend data for avian WNV testing and positive test results for Oregon counties for the years 2004–2018.

Table 4. Avian WNV test results by county, Oregon, 2018

County	Corvids tested	All other species tested	Total positives
Baker	1	0	0
Benton	0	1	0
Deschutes	1	0	0
Jackson	1	0	0
Klamath	3	0	0
Lane	1	0	0
Linn	0	2	0
Malheur	0	1	0
Marion	0	1	0
Multnomah	14	0	0
Umatilla	1	0	1
Union	2	0	0
Yamhill	1	0	0
TOTAL	25	5	1

Source: Oregon State Public Health Laboratory

Table 5. Avian WNV tests and trend of positive test results, Oregon, 2004–2018

Year	Number tested	Number positive	% positive
2004	448	23	5%
2005	298	15	5%
2006	212	25	12%
2007	246	55	22%
2008	117	2	2%
2009	90	16	18%
2010	24	0	0%
2011	20	0	0%
2012	35	2	6%
2013	22	2	9%
2014	35	7	20%
2015	36	11	30%
2016	44	12	27%
2017	27	1	4%
2018	30	1	3%

Source: Oregon State Public Health Laboratory

Sentinel chicken surveillance

Sentinel chicken surveillance was discontinued in 2011.

Mosquito surveillance

In 2018, the VCDs conducted WNV surveillance in Oregon's mosquito population. Figure 4, page 12 shows the counties with participating VCDs and their activities. Statewide, 143,029 mosquitoes were sampled (see Table 7, page 7). Of those, 105,520 mosquitoes in 2,638 mosquito pools were tested for WNV (see Table 8, page 8). The tested mosquitoes comprise 19 mosquito species. OSU conducted polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing, and some VCDs performed RAMP. Table 6 below displays the number of Oregon mosquito pools by species that tested positive for WNV in 2018. Table 9, page 9-10 displays Oregon mosquito species between 2004 and 2018 found positive for WNV. Figure 3, page 11 indicates the efficiency of vector transmission for various mosquito species (information obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention).

Table 6. WNV-positive mosquito pools, Oregon, 2018

VCD	Mosquito species	Number of positive mosquito pools	Collection date
Malheur	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	13	6/14-8/16
Umatilla	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	13	7/26-9/11
Umatilla	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	24	6/19-9/5
Umatilla	<i>Genus Culex</i>	7	8/14-9/7
Total		57	

Source: Oregon vector control districts

Table 7. Total female mosquitoes collected for surveillance purposes by Oregon VCDs, 2018

County / VCD	<i>Aedes cinereus</i>	<i>Aedes dorsalis</i>	<i>Aedes increpitus</i>	<i>Aedes melaninon</i>	<i>Aedes nigromaculis</i>	<i>Aedes species</i>	<i>Aedes sierrensis</i>	<i>Aedes sticticus</i>	<i>Aedes vexans</i>	<i>Aedes / Oc. waahinoi</i>	<i>Anopheles punctipennis</i>	<i>Anopheles freeborni</i>	<i>Coquilletria peluobans</i>
Baker								5,161				1,071	
Clackamas					2	66	7	2	255	278	25		
Columbia										100			824
Crook											260		
Deschutes								2,150				385	
Jackson								83					
Klamath		302		9	179			16,119				4,011	
Lane								5,528					
Linn								53		52	10		4
Malheur													
Multnomah	18							1,794	491	5,652	354	1,275	
Morrow			27		79			512				179	
Umatilla													
Union								201				403	
Total:	18	302	27	9	258	2	66	7	31,603	746	6,082	6,698	2,103

Additional Mosquito species

County / VCD	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	<i>Culex species</i>	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	<i>Culex territans</i>	<i>Culiseta inornata</i>	<i>Culiseta inornata</i>	<i>Culiseta minnesotae</i>	<i>Culiseta parva</i>	<i>Culiseta parva</i>	<i>Ochlerotatus dorsalis</i>	<i>Ochlerotatus nigromaculis</i>	<i>Ochlerotatus sierrensis</i>	<i>Ochlerotatus sticticus</i>	Total mosquitoes collected
Baker	231		10,218			660			2,683	5,105				25,129
Clackamas	1,676		605		2,745	150		6						5,817
Columbia	1,135		859		107	175								3,200
Crook			1,135			50								1,445
Deschutes	365		1,395			305								4,600
Jackson	4,017		1,490											5,590
Klamath			4,714			5,143				409				30,886
Lane	1,426		745											7,699
Linn	961		66		14	4								1,164
Malheur	277		3,504											3,781
Multnomah	1,610		12,797	2	1,242	339	63	2		89	2,242			27,970
Morrow	12,107		2,733											15,637
Umatilla	3,024	604	2,679											6,307
Union	1,713		1,355			132								3,804
Total:	28,542	604	44,295	2	4,108	6,958	63	8	2,683	5,514	89	2,242		143,029

Source: Oregon Vector control districts

Table 8. Female mosquito pools collected by Oregon VCDs and tested for WNV at Oregon State University, 2018

Total Mosquito Pools tested by OSU
Source: OSU Report

County	<i>Aedes albopictus</i>	<i>Aedes triseriatus</i>	<i>Aedes albopictus</i>	<i>Aedes nigromaculis</i>	<i>Aedes sierrensis</i>	<i>Aedes albopictus</i>	<i>Aedes vexans</i>	<i>Aedes canadensis</i>	<i>Anopheles freeborni</i>	<i>Anopheles punctipennis</i>	<i>Culiseta inornata</i>	<i>Culiseta erythrocephala</i>	<i>Culiseta pipiens</i>	<i>Culiseta tritaenia</i>	<i>Culiseta inornata</i>	<i>Culiseta inornata</i>	Family culicidae	Genus Culicx	<i>Culiseta</i>	<i>Anopheles</i>	Other mosquito pools	Total	
Baker													222										222
Clackamas				2	1	1	6	1	7		1	42	15	69	4								149
Columbia									2	21		29	24	7									83
Deschutes						54		17				10	62		8								151
Harney													1										1
Jackson						2						102	36										140
Klamath	7		1	1		410		99					113		99						9		739
Lane						138						32	16										186
Linn						1		1	1	1		24	1		1								30
Malheur												2	9										11
Morrow		1		2		13		4				1	312	70									403
Multnomah												6	107										113
Umatilla												53	24			1	10						88
Union						155						46	121										322
Total:	7	1	1	3	2	1	774	6	122	10	22	2	658	821	76	112	1	10	9	9	9	2,638	

Source: Oregon vector control districts and Oregon State University

Table 9. Trend data, WNV-positive mosquito pools*, Oregon, 2004–2018

Year	Mosquito species	Number of positives
2004	-	-
2005	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	11 pools
	<i>Culex stigmatosoma</i>	
	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	
2006	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	22 pools
2007	<i>Aedes vexans</i>	8 pools
	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	2 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	23 pools
2008	<i>Aedes vexans</i>	5 pools
	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	3 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	8 pools
2009	<i>Aedes vexans</i>	1 pool
	<i>Anopheles freeborni</i>	1 pool
	<i>Anopheles punctipennis</i>	1 pool
	<i>Coquillettidia perturbans</i>	1 pool
	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	75 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	131 pools
	<i>Culex</i> sp.	52 pools
2010	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	1 pool
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	2 pools
	<i>Culex</i> sp.	1 pool
2011	<i>Culex</i> sp.	3 pools
2012	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	53 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	3 pools
	<i>Culex</i> sp.	15 pools
2013	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	14 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	74 pools
	<i>Anopheles freeborni</i>	1 pool
2014	<i>Aedes vexans</i>	4 pools
	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	13 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	41 pools

Year	Mosquito species	Number of positives
2015	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	20 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	35 pools
	<i>Genus Culex</i>	4 pools
2016	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	21 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	28 pools
	<i>Genus Culex</i>	2 pools
2017	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	49 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	15 pools
	<i>Genus Culex</i>	28 pools
2018	<i>Culex pipiens</i>	13 pools
	<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	37 pools
	<i>Genus Culex</i>	7 pools

Source: Oregon State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory

*1 pool ≈ 40 mosquitoes

Figure 3. Potential Oregon vectors of WNV based on laboratory vector competence studies

Species	Association with other viruses ^a	Host preference	Activity time	Flight range	Vector competence for WNV ^b	Field isolations of WNV ^c	Potential to serve as a	
							Enzootic vector ^d	Bridge vector ^e
<i>Ae. aegypti</i>		Mammals	Crepuscular/day	200 m	+++ , 3	+	0	+
<i>Ae. albopictus</i>	EEE	Opportunistic	Crepuscular/day	200 m	++++, 3, 6	+	+	++++
<i>Ae. vexans</i>	EEE, WEE, SLE	Mammals	Crepuscular/night	>25 km	++ 1, 5, 8	+++	0	++
<i>Cq. perturbans</i>	EEE	Opportunistic	Crepuscular/night	5 km	+, 4	+	+	+
<i>Cs. melanura</i>	EEE	Birds	Crepuscular/night	9 km	+, 8	++	++	0
<i>Cs. inornata</i>	WEE	Mammals	Crepuscular/night	2 km	+++ , 5	+	+	++
<i>Cx. stigmatosoma</i>	SLE	Birds	Night	1 km	+++ , 5	0	+++	+
<i>Cx. erythrorhax</i>	WEE	Opportunistic	Crepuscular/day	<2 km	++++, 5	0	++	+++
<i>Cx. nigripalpus</i>	EEE, SLE	Opportunistic ^f	Crepuscular	5 km	++ , 4	+++	+++	++
<i>Cx. pipiens</i>	SLE	Birds	Crepuscular/night	2 km	+++ , 1, 3, 5	++++	++++	++
<i>Cx. quinquefasciatus</i>	SLE	Birds	Crepuscular/night	2 km	+++ , 4, 5	0	++++	++
<i>Cx. restuans</i>	SLE	Birds	Crepuscular/night	2 km	++++, 4	+++	++++	++
<i>Cx. salinarius</i>	EEE, SLE	Opportunistic	Crepuscular/night	10 km	++++, 4	+++	+++	++++
<i>Cx. tarsalis</i>	WEE, SLE	Opportunistic ^f	Crepuscular/night	>6 km	++++, 5, 7	++++	++++	+++
<i>Oc. atropalpus</i>		Mammals	Day and night	1 km	++++, 3	+	+	++
<i>Oc. canadensis</i>	EEE	Mammals	Day	2 km	++ , 8	+	0	++
<i>Oc. cantator</i>	EEE	Mammals	Day	>10 km	++ , 8	+	0	++
<i>Oc. dorsalis</i>	WEE	Mammals	Day and night	5 km	+++ , 5	+	0	++
<i>Oc. japonicus</i>	JE?	Mammals	Crepuscular/day	unk	++++, 2, 3	+++	+	++++
<i>Oc. melanimon</i>	WEE	Mammals	Day and night	>10 km	+++ , 5	0	0	++
<i>Oc. sierrensis</i>		Mammals	Crepuscular/day	1 km	+, 5	0	0	+
<i>Oc. sollicitans</i>	EEE	Mammals	Crepuscular/night	>25 km	++ , 1, 3	+	0	+
<i>Oc. taeniorhynchus</i>	EEE	Mammals	Day and night	>25 km	+, 1, 3	+	0	+
<i>Oc. triseriatus</i>		Mammals	Day	200 m	+++ , 8	++	0	+++
<i>Ps. ferox</i>	SLE	Mammals	Day	2 km	0, 8	+	0	0

Distribution and bionomics based on and generalized from information in Carpenter and LaCasse (1955), Darsie and Ward (1981), and Moore et al. (1993).

^a Known association with other viruses with a similar transmission cycle. EEE, eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus; JE; Japanese encephalitis virus; SLE; St. Louis encephalitis virus; WEE; western equine encephalomyelitis virus. Based on Karabatsos (1985).

^b Efficiency with which this species is able to transmit WNV in the laboratory. 0, incompetent; +, inefficient; +++++, extremely efficient vector. Based on 1 (Turell et al. 2000), 2 (Sardelis and Turell 2001), 3 (Turell et al. 2001), 4 (Sardelis et al. 2001), 5 (Goddard et al. 2002), 6 (Sardelis et al. 2002), 7 (Turell et al. 2003), or 8 (present study).

^c Relative number of WNV-positive pools detected. 0, none; +, few; +++++, many.

^d Potential for this species to be an enzootic or maintenance vector based on virus isolations from the field, vector competence, feeding behavior, etc. 0, little to no risk; +++++, this species may play a major role.

^e Potential for this species to be an epizootic or bridge vector based on virus isolations from the field, vector competence, feeding behavior, etc. 0, little to no risk; +++++, this species may play a major role.


^f Feeds primarily on avian hosts in spring and early summer and mixed between avian and mammalian hosts in late summer and fall.

* Turell MJ, Dohm DJ, Sardelis MR, Oquinn ML, Andreadis DJ, Blow JA. An update on the potential of North American mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) to transmit West Nile virus. J Med Entomol 2005; 42: 57–62. Used with permission.

Vector control districts in Oregon

Figure 4. Oregon counties with participating vector control districts (VCDs) and their activities

County	Mosquito collection	Bird collection
Baker	YES	YES
Clackamas	YES	YES
Columbia	YES	YES
Crook	YES	YES
Deschutes	YES	YES
Jackson	YES	YES
Klamath	YES	YES
Malheur	YES	YES
Morrow	YES	YES
Multnomah	YES	YES
Umatilla	YES	YES
Union	YES	YES
Washington	YES	YES



Source: Oregon Health Authority



PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION
Acute and Communicable Disease
Prevention Program
Phone: 971-673-1111
Fax: 971-673-1100

You can get this document in other languages, large print, braille or a format you prefer. Contact the Acute and Communicable Disease Prevention Program at 971-673-1111. We accept all relay calls or you can dial 711.