

Minutes	Immunization Policy Advisory Team (IPAT) Thursday, March 7, 2019/ 11:45 – 2:00pm/Room 1B
----------------	---

ATTENDEES

X	Joell Archibald	X	Nadine Gartner	X	Jessica Nagelkirk
X	Mark Bajorek	X	Erica Gillespie		Janet Patin
	Sara Beaudrault	X	Holly Groom	X	Diane Quiring
X	Nicole O’Kane for Carrie Beck		Judy Guzman	X	Joanne Rogovoy
	Jessica Burgon	X	Linda Howrey	R	Deborah Rumsey
X	Albert Chaffin		Fiona Karbowicz	X	Danielle Sobel
X	Lisa Chambliss		Maggie Klein	X	Amanda Timmons
X	Clarice Charging	X	Tami Kochan	X	Cecile Town
X	Paul Cieslak	X	Jan Larsen	X	Amy Valdez
R	George Conway	X	Rex Larsen		Collette Young
X	Erin Corrigan	X	Richard Leman		
X	Jessica Daniels	X	Paul Lewis		
X	Stacy de Assis Matthews	X	Mimi Luther		
X	Aaron Dunn		Jenne McKibben		
X	Barbra Fisher		Bob Mendelson		

X – in person R – via webinar or phone

Guests:

Kimmarie Austin Felicia Butler Nick Chew Juliet Dang	Lisa Glasser Bryan Goodin Jeremy Kjar Subrenie Thomas Smith	Jonathon Modie Bryan Pearson Joe Schnabel	James Shelton Ariel Slifka Gaby Tanaka
---	--	---	--

Agenda	Minutes/Action Items
---------------	-----------------------------

Introductions / Announcements / Old Business	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Universal Purchase Workgroup – Convened; 20 people in group <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Health economics review underway. ○ Hope to have recommendation by end of May. • Consensus Statement Workgroup - Practices refusing to see families who do not vaccinate – drafting consensus statement. • Council of Local Health Officers (CLHO) – forwarded policy platform and current legislation input documents • Introduce new manager in the Immunization program, Rex Larsen. • Comments on recent Surgeon General’s visit – very approachable, appreciate the opportunity to attend event.
--	---

Public Comment	Two commenters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Topics included vaccine injury (VAERS) reporting data for Oregon and a call for more transparency on vaccine reporting and materials, request for IPAT to consider how best to communicate, especially to legislators.
----------------	--

<p>Immunizations Hot Topics / Roundtable</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portland State University – more compliance with students getting vaccine records as a result of measles outbreak in Clark County. Also seeing more students interested in getting vaccinated. • Legacy Health – More providers are getting titers and vaccination. Providers are categorized differently than employees. ALERT IIS has been very helpful with records but would like to see titers tracked also. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Follow-up re: ALERT IIS: OIP has discussed tracking titers. Currently there is a way to say that a person is immune in the system, but it will not allow you to track the titer results in a way people are used too. EHRs can send a comment in HL7 that says immune to “X” and we store the information and it is reflected in the forecast, but not listed as an immunization in the record. The current functionality is common in IISs nationally. Storing a result of a titer test and listing it with an Imm record is not currently common nationally. • OB/GYN – More conversations about how to protect newborns who are too young to get MMR. • Schools - More awareness of staff immunization status • Tri-county health departments working on a campaign to address vaccine hesitancy <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In regard to SB 649, is there a reason provider wouldn’t want to give out the vaccine insert? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Only one included with vaccine; would need to reproduce in quantity ○ Very technical language ○ VIS forms come in 22 languages while inserts do not ○ Bill also has a clause to move age of consent for vaccination from current 15 to 18. • Could OIP send more detailed PDF for amendments to bills?
<p>ACIP Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only votes were on Japanese Encephalitis and anthrax <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Refined recommendations on Japanese Encephalitis ○ Added recommendation for non-high risk person who could become high risk and had previous vaccine to allow for booster to maintain immunity • Average flu activity currently for season. Primarily H1N1 strains but seeing a rise in H3N2 recently. Vaccine 47% effective. • Reviewed a safety study on flu vaccine in pregnant women • Quadrivalent flu vaccine dose is now .5 for all ages • A DTap-IPV-Hib-HepB combination vaccine is in development. • Vote on HPV age range will be in June
<p>Legislative Update</p>	<p>School-related bill with no movement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HB2783 – both video and provider education before exemption allowed • SB628 – create a video for all possible vaccine for college entry • HB 2744 and SB 647 (sister bills) – remove exclusion process and have schools do at start of school year. Only excluded students when are symptomatic. • HB3063 – eliminate nonmedical exemptions – amendment introduced to allow religious exemptions • SB565 – make it illegal for employers to require vaccination for employment

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SB649 and HB 2745 (sister bills) – require providers to give patients/parents additional information with VIS form, including vaccine package insert, • the vaccine excipient table, and a list of any high-priority chemicals included in the vaccine <p>Bill that has moved forward:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SB488 – requires dept. of corrections to offer flu vaccination to inmates each year <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SB565 – that is already a law • SB628 – would this generate a certificate only for the school, as only measles vaccine is state-required? <i>Bill would transfer vaccine education responsibility to the state.</i> • HB 3063 – what is the definition of “religious”? <i>Not one in statute currently; would need to be defined in rules if passed.</i> • How would medical exemption be defined? <i>Nothing in the bill that would change current process of review by local health department.</i> • HB2783 – concern about the two types of education. It would put a large administrative and time use burden on providers. Anticipate some push back from providers. <i>Included that in OIP analysis report</i> • HB 2744 and SB 647 – concern about not excluding students until showing symptoms. Often person is contagious before any symptoms appear, and school staff not trained to recognize symptoms. Impact on medically fragile could be severe. • Elimination of exclusion process would push a lot of tasks to the schools that would take time to figure out.
Immunize Oregon Update	<p>New website launched https://www.immunizeor.org/</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deadline for mini-grant applications is April 1. Application is online, and grants are for up to \$4,000.00. • Recruiting for the steering committee; first meeting will be in April • CDC Pink Book Conference is scheduled for August; 3-day conference. Dates being finalized. • Coalition has been providing technical support for Clark county, helping with clinical counseling, health education and vaccine clinics through Legacy in Washington. <p>Action: Members asked to send immunization materials to Immunize Oregon for the site. Check out the site!</p>
Post Licensure Vaccine Safety Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vaccine safety FDA pre-licensure (briefly) • Overview of vaccine safety post-licensure programs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Phase IV trials ○ Passive surveillance reporting; VAERS and CISA • Large-linked databases (LLDB) for epi studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ FDA Sentinel and Vaccine Safety Datalink program • Request to IPAT members to consider ways that data from safety studies can be better incorporated into messaging to the community <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It seems there is a publication bias for positive findings. Do null findings get published? Yes, likely because the VSD conducts very large studies that are regarded as credible evidence for vaccine safety, which is a high profile topic. However, studies with null findings may not get much attention. • Is there information published on how VAERS data is categorized and how to understand the information? <i>Have not seen anything like that.</i>

Wrap-Up and Next Steps	
------------------------	--