



III. Scientific Merit Pre-IRB Review Tool

This is intended to help the "PHD Responsible Party" determine the level of scientific merit a proposed study holds. Please send this forward to the External Principal Investigator in conjunction with your approval or denial of the Data Use Agreement. Ultimately, this form should be sent forth to the PH IRB Coordinator as documentation that the PHD Responsible Party has performed a Scientific Merit Review on the study.

Title of Research Project: Principal Investigator:	
Date of review:	
PHD Responsible Party (primary reviewer):	
This project is: Public Health Practice Research Unclear whether research or public health practice (refer project to Chief Sc	cience Officer)
Reasoning for research or public health practice designation:	

Topic	Yes	No	NA	Comments
Are the Specific Aims and corresponding				
hypotheses or questions clearly stated?				
Are the outcomes clearly stated and defined?				
Has a literature search supporting study				
rationale and providing sufficient preliminary				
data to justify the proposed research been				
performed?				
Will testing the hypothesis or question provide				
important knowledge for the field or for the				
population being served?				
Are the methods described appropriate?				
Will the proposed tests/measurements answer				
the hypothesis or question in a valid/reliable				
manner?				
Is the requested data the right information to				
answer the proposed hypothesis or question?				

1 October 2015





Oregon EMS Information System

Do all of the proposed tests/measurements answer the scientific hypothesis or question?		
Are the proposed analysis methods, including statistical methods, clearly stated?		
Do the statistical methods correlate with the		
design?		
Is the sample size or population proposed		
justified?		
Are the researchers or analysts appropriately		
qualified, knowledgeable and experienced to		
perform the procedures included in the study?		
Is the timeline feasible?		
Are there sufficient resources to complete the		
inquiry in the proposed timeline?		
If applicable, is the ability to recruit, retain,		
and/or follow subjects/populations feasible?		

OREMSIS Additional Topics:

Topic	Yes	No	NA	Comments

Summary of Reviewers Comments and overall assessment:

2 October 2015





Protocol is acceptable as written	
Protocol requires these minor modifications to be	acceptable:
Protocol is not acceptable for the following reason	ns:
At this time, the Oregon Public Health Division doe Research Project	es not have the capacity to support this
Additional comments from reviewer(s):	
Investigator comments regarding review:	
Reviewer response to requested changes in protocol	
PHD Responsible Party D	ate

October 2015