October 31, 2018 Meeting Minutes  
FGI Standards Workgroup  

Date: October 31, 2018  

Time: 10:00am - 4:00pm  

Location: Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon St., Rm. 1-A, Portland, OR  

Attendees: Ben Taylor, Providence Health Services; Tom Pride, Mazzetti; Solvei Neiger, ZGF Architects; Matt Ottinger, SRG Partnerships, Inc; Thane Eddington, PKA Architects; Karl Attberry, Mazzetti; Jon Anderson, Anderson Dabrowski Architects; Elaine Dabrowski, OHSU Design and Construction; Elaine LaRochelle, Grand Ronde Hospital; Chris King, Fresenius Medical Care; Phone: Kim Weller, Kaiser Permanente; Phil Roach, Mazzetti; Tim Pro doloff, Mazzetti; Keith Russell, Asante; Barbara Hansen, OR Hospice and Palliative Care; Brian Dieker, Salem Hospital  

OHA staff/Consultants in attendance: Matt Gilman, OHA; Patrick Young, OHA; Mellony Bernal, OHA; Lisa Humphries, OHA; Dana Selover, OHA; Barb Atkins, OHA; Sam Imperati, ICM Resolutions; Devin Howington, ICM Resolutions.  

Agenda Item: Welcome and Introductions, Sam Imperati, Facilitator  

Sam Imperati welcomed the group and began the meeting with introductions. Everyone in attendance introduced themselves and gave their affiliation.  

Sam Imperati asked if there were any edits required for the September meeting notes. There were no comments and the notes were accepted as-is.  

Agenda Item: Schedule of Revisions – Patrick Young, Sam Imperati  

The group began their review of the rule text with the remainder of the Outpatient rules from Part 2 of the Outpatient FGI rules. The OHA suggestions for revisions to the FGI rules were provided in a revision index with links to the main rule document. The comments on the particular rules are noted in the DRAFT FGI-OAR Outpatient Part 2_Combined 10.31.18 Notes document.  

The group wrapped up the Outpatient language and moved on to the Hospital language. The revisions to FGI were listed in a revision index and linked to the main rule document, where the comments from the group discussion are noted in “DRAFT FGI-OAR Hospitals Part 2_Combined 10.31.18 Notes”. The group covered sections 2.1 to 2.2 and also 2.6; however, there were no suggested changes to section 2.6 for Rehab Hospitals; those rules were accepted as written in the FGI.  

Agenda Item: Continue schedule of revisions with Residential Facilities  

Mellony Bernal provided an overview of the Special Inpatient Care Facilities (SICFs) to the group when they moved into the Residential Facilities portion of the meeting. Mellony said these are free-standing facilities that are licensed outside of hospitals. The proposed rules under
consideration will include four classification types – 1) Freestanding hospice facilities, 2) Alcohol & Drug Treatment Facility, 3) Rehabilitation hospital, and 4) Religious institution.

Patrick Young and Barbara Atkins walked through the Residential Facility revision index with the group. The comments from the group on the proposed changes are noted in those rule language documents covering Residential Care Parts 1, 2, 3.2, 4.3, and 5.2. The group began these revisions prior to lunch and began again in this document after lunch the lunch break ended at 1:00pm.

Barbara Atkins walked the group through the final revisions for the meeting and said that there were no revisions for sections 5.2 (Residential Health Wellness Centers) and 5.3 (Outpatient Rehab Therapy), specifically as a support service of either hospice or substance abuse centers. That concluded the revisions planned for this meeting.

**Agenda Item: Wrap-up Discussion/Next Steps – Sam Imperati**

Sam Imperati asked if there were any next steps to report for the rules that we have completed. Mellony Bernal reported that the ASC/ESC rules were going to the rules coordinator this Friday and they will be notifying interested parties the week of November 14. The public hearing will be on December 18 at 3:00pm. She will make sure that information gets to everyone in the group.

Mellony Bernal also explained the goals for the SICF rules. She said the SICF licensing RAC will meet November 7 and November 27 and if necessary they will have a last meeting on December 7. Those rules will be filed on December 14 and the public hearing is scheduled for January 18, 2019 at 9:00 a.m..

Sam Imperati asked for any more final statements from the group.

Ben Taylor said the materials are great, and asked that for future discussion that they receive a pared down version of the rules. The group decided they liked the combination of the revision index with the hyperlinks. A group member requested the addition of bookmarks because the hyperlinks do not always work.

Jon Anderson noted that he would like to have a discussion soon regarding ICRA and submitting ICRA as part of the plan check. He said there is some confusion about that process and he would like to have a future discussion about that.

**Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned early, at 1:43 pm.
October 31, 2018 FGI Workgroup Meeting
Meeting Evaluations

Total Responses: 9

Frequency Tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Too Slow (1)</th>
<th>Just Right (3)</th>
<th>Too Fast (5)</th>
<th>No Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pacing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average pacing was 2.88.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Overall Mtg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Presentations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Materials</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Discussion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Facilitator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Most Useful?

- Cheat sheets, well done.
- Open discussion/debates - allowing everyone to voice their perspectives and working through conflicts.
- The "pared" down revision is very helpful to review the material quickly. The full document was helpful to put revisions in context.
- The overhead projection and the colored print outs

8. Suggestions for Improvement?

- Keep the sense of humor.
- At end of meeting review what is our next meeting agenda for topics.
- When the materials are sent out prior to the meeting, bookmarks be made because the links seem to be broken.

9. Additional Comments or questions?

- The part of the meeting I was able to catch was great!
- Please review agenda for next meeting.