STATUS UPDATE: COVID-19 EPIDEMIC TRENDS AND SCENARIO PROJECTIONS IN OREGON

Results as of 6-10-2021, 9am

PURPOSE OF THIS STATUS UPDATE
This report uses numerous measures to create the most accurate picture of past COVID-19 transmission and incidence of infection over time in Oregon, and it projects possible trends over the next month assuming different scenarios. This report complements the extensive epidemiologic data (e.g., demographic trends in cases, testing patterns) for Oregon available at the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) COVID-19 webpage.

RESULTS UPDATED EVERY THREE WEEKS
Please note that the COVID-19 data used for the modeling are continually being updated. (For daily up-to-date information, visit the OHA COVID-19 webpage.) The results in this brief will be updated every three weeks as more data become available, the science to inform the model assumptions expands, and modeling methods continue to be refined. The model serves as a useful tool for summarizing trends in COVID-19 transmission in Oregon and for understanding the potential impact of different future scenarios. Point estimates should be interpreted with caution, however, due to considerable uncertainty behind COVID-19 model assumptions and limitations to the methods.
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METHODS

For this status update, we used the COVID-19 modeling software Rainier. Rainier is software designed by the Institute for Disease Modeling (IDM) to algorithmically estimate the effective reproduction number ($R_e$) over time based on local data and to conduct simple projections. Rainier fits a stochastic SEIR (susceptible – exposed – infectious – recovered) model to testing, hospitalization, and mortality time series. This software has been used to generate regular situation updates for the State of Washington overall and by two regions within Washington (Example WA Report).

Results are based on COVID-19 data compiled June 9 from the Oregon Pandemic Emergency Response Application (Opera) on COVID-19 testing, total diagnosed cases, hospitalized cases, and deaths among people living in Oregon. To account for delays in reporting, diagnosed cases with a specimen collection date after June 1 were not used; we used the same cutoff date for hospital admissions and deaths. In the model, cases tested on June 1 reflect exposures that occurred around May 26.

RESULTS

Effective reproduction number

From the model results (Figure 1), it is clear the statewide $R_e$ -- the average number of secondary cases that a single case generates -- has continued to fluctuate up and down over time, with dramatic shifts sometimes happening quickly.

In mid-March, the $R_e$ increased above 1 and continued on a generally upward trend until starting to decrease in mid-April. As of May 26, the statewide $R_e$ was likely between 0.44 and 0.89, with a best estimate of 0.66. The best-estimate $R_e$ also averaged 0.66 over the two-week period ending on May 26.

---

1 Total diagnosed cases include confirmed (positive test) and presumptive cases (symptoms with epidemiologic link).
2 This date reflects the cutoff through when individuals had a test specimen collected, were admitted to a hospital, or died. Any of these events may have been reported to OHA at a later date.
Figure 1: $R_e$ estimates over time for Oregon, with shaded 95% confidence interval. $R_e = 1$ is the threshold for declining transmission.

It is important to note that the changes in $R_e$ over time may be due to some combination of changing behaviors, changes in opportunities for potential exposure as counties’ interventions become more or less stringent, viral infectivity, and/or immunity (either from vaccination or recovering from infection). In addition, these $R_e$ estimates are based on averages statewide, but the growth in cases in Oregon has varied by county (OHA County Dashboard), race, ethnicity, and age (COVID-19 Weekly Report).

Our best estimate of the $R_e$ for May 26 (0.66) is lower than estimates for that date\(^4\) from Harvard, Yale, and Stanford (0.83), Covid Act Now (0.82) and CMMID (0.87).

---

\(^3\) Our $R_e$ confidence interval may be narrower at times because of how we estimated specimen collection dates for negative tests (and thus positive test rate for each day), as described in Appendix 1.

\(^4\) Model $R_e$ estimates are dated May 26, 2021. All were accessed on June 8, 2021. $R_e$ estimates from RT Live and covid19-projections.com have been discontinued.
Recent case trends

Our $R_e$ estimates are based on a model that used data on diagnosed cases, hospitalized cases, and deaths, while taking into account changes in testing volume and practice. Examination of these outcomes (Figure 2) helps explain the recent trends in the estimated $R_e$. The 7-day rolling average of diagnosed cases decreased throughout May after peaking in late April.

New hospitalizations in Opera have also declined since late April. Hospital COVID-19 census data (not shown) has also shown a recent decrease in occupancy, but only since mid-May; the discrepancy in early-May hospital trends may have been due in part to longer-than-typical reporting delays of hospital admissions into Opera caused by a high burden on case investigators.

The number of deaths had declined for several months through mid-March but has been gradually increasing since mid-April. Deaths typically lag diagnosed cases by several weeks, so this increase is reflective of the surge in cases that peaked in the second half of April 2021.

**Figure 2**: Seven-day rolling average numbers of new diagnosed cases (left axis), new hospitalizations (right axis), and new deaths (right axis) due to COVID-19. Dates reflect when individuals had a test specimen collected (diagnosed cases), were admitted to the hospital, or when they died.
Model fit to Oregon COVID-19 data

Figure 3 shows how the transmission model captures trends in the daily Oregon COVID-19 outcomes over time.

**Figure 3**: Fitting the transmission model to Oregon’s COVID-19 data on diagnosed cases, hospitalizations, and deaths. The lines represent the mean of 10,000 runs; the 25th-75th percentiles are given in dark shaded areas, 2.5th-97.5th percentiles in the lighter shade, and 1st-99th percentiles the lightest shade. The black dots are observed data. Top panel: Modeled
cases (teal) capture the trend in observed, daily new diagnosed cases based on \( R_e \) estimates and a free number of importations on January 20, 2020 and February 1, 2020. Middle panel: Simultaneously, the model (pink) captures the trend in observed daily new hospitalizations by assuming hospitalizations are independent of testing volume. Bottom panel: With its time-varying infection fatality ratio, the model (orange) captures the observed trend in daily deaths.

Population-level immunity

Figure 4 shows population-level immunity from SARS-CoV-2 infection over time.

![Population-level immunity](image)

**Figure 4:** Population-level immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection over time. The “natural component” consists of people who developed and then recovered from COVID-19. The “vaccine-derived component” consists of people who were not previously infected, but who achieved immunity from a vaccination dose administered 21 days prior.

Rainier estimates that as of June 1, the population-level immunity to SARS-CoV-2 was 41.8% (95% confidence interval: 40.1% - 43.7%). The estimated immunity from vaccination (33.3%) is about four times the estimate for natural immunity (8.5%).

Vaccine immunity is helping prevent further spread of COVID-19. If we remove all of those who have vaccine immunity from the model calculations and look at the rate of infection, we see each infection spreading on average to 1.11 other people. That is to say, without any vaccine immunity, our estimated population \( R_e \) would be 1.11 instead of 0.66, and new infections would still be increasing.
COVID-19 trends after the data cutoff

Since we did not include COVID-19 outcome data occurring after June 1 in our modeling dataset due to reporting delays, we examined counts of Oregon COVID-19 hospital occupancy to see if trends have changed more recently. Data from HOSCAP, which is updated daily, indicate that hospital occupancy decreased between June 1 and June 9.

Additionally, more infectious SARS-CoV-2 variants could increase transmission rates. As of mid-May, most of the viral samples genetically sequenced in Oregon were B117 (OHA Variant Dashboard), which is estimated to be 50% more infectious than the earlier SARS-CoV-2 strains (CDC Information on Variants). The presence of other variants of interest and concern is being monitored.

Scenario Projections

With the fitted model, we can explore outcomes under future scenarios. That is, we do short-term projections to compare what would happen if we assume different future scenarios, rather than specific forecasting about what will happen. More about this distinction is described here. Some forecasts of COVID-19 trends generated by others are summarized in Appendix 2.

For the current report, we modeled what would happen to case and hospitalization trends under two future scenarios having different transmission rates.\(^5\) These scenarios assume recent vaccination levels will continue in the upcoming weeks.

Figures 5 and 6 illustrate what could happen over the next month:

- If the transmission rate as estimated for May 26 persisted.
  - We would see a continued decline in diagnosed cases. For the two-week period between June 16 and June 29, the projected number of new diagnosed cases would decline to 34 per 100,000 people. This rate translates to a daily average of 100 cases.
  - New hospitalizations would decrease to 5 per day by June 29.

---

\(^5\) On May 26, the estimated rate of transmission corresponded to an \(Re\) of 0.66. However, a given transmission rate will result in slower growth in cases over time (and lower \(Re\)) as the population immunity increases, because people who are infected becomes less and less likely over time to encounter someone not immune. Hence, as a larger proportion of the population becomes vaccinated, the \(Re\) for Oregon will decrease even if the transmission rate stays the same.
• **If the transmission rate increased by 20% after June 1**, this scenario is intended to illustrate what might happen over the next month if the transmission rate were to increase due to more infectious variants and/or if protective behaviors were to decline among those not vaccinated as local restrictions are relaxed.

  - New diagnosed cases would decline more gradually, falling to 45 per 100,000 people for the two-week period between June 16 and June 29; this rate translates to a daily average of 135 cases.

  - New hospitalizations would decrease to 7 per day by June 29.

**Figure 5:** Observed diagnosed cases (per 100k population over the previous 14 days) for Oregon and projected cases under two scenarios. The black line shows observed cases, while the colored lines show diagnosed cases projected if the transmission rate estimated for May 26 persists (green) or increases by 20% after June 1 (red). Shaded areas: 25th-75th percentile ranges of the model fit. The risk levels of COVID activity (dashed horizontal lines) are defined by the [Oregon Framework for County Risk Levels](https://health.oregon.gov).
Oregon’s COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations have declined significantly over the past month as more Oregonians have become vaccinated. Consistent with both of our scenario projections, forecasts compiled by the CDC suggest that COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations in Oregon will continue to decrease in the month ahead (Appendix 2). People vaccinated against COVID-19 are at an extremely low risk of serious illness or death associated with the virus. With over 2.3 million Oregonians having already received at least one COVID-19 vaccine dose, we are on track to meet Oregon’s vaccination goal of 70% adults by late June and to remove the County Risk Level Framework Restrictions, but only if Oregonians continue to get vaccinated at recent levels. “If initiation of COVID-19 vaccination in Oregon continues the downward trend seen in previous weeks, the projections included in this report for potential future cases and hospitalizations would likely increase.
Appendix 1: Additional assumptions and limitations

We used a COVID-specific transmission model fit to Oregon data on testing, confirmed COVID-19 cases, hospitalized cases, and deaths to estimate the effective reproduction number ($R_e$) over time. The key modeling assumption is that individuals can be grouped into one of four disease states: susceptible, exposed (latent) but non-infectious, infectious, and recovered.

- For an in-depth description of our approach to estimating $R_e$ and its assumptions and limitations, see IDM’s technical report for detailed methods information, as well as the November 23 WA Situation Report for methodology updates.
- As described previously, estimates of $R_e$ are based on an adjusted epidemiologic curve that accounts for changing test availability, test-positivity rates, and weekend effects, but all biases may not be accounted for.
- We included only diagnosed cases, hospitalized cases, and deaths occurring at least 8 days before our Opera data file extract to account for delays in reporting. If reporting delays are longer than that, the last few days of our model input data may undercount COVID-19 events.
- In calculating the proportion of the population with natural immunity, Rainier assumes all people infected and recovered have remained immune.
- Estimates of $R_e$ describe average transmission occurring across Oregon. This report does not separate case clusters associated with known super-spread events from diffuse community transmission. This report also does not estimate $R_e$ separately for specific populations, who might have higher risk of exposure because of their occupation, living arrangements, access to health care, etc.
- We assumed free / undefined numbers of importations occurring on 1/20/20 and 2/1/20, and specified changes in testing volumes occurring around dates in 2020 (4/1, 6/23, 9/29, 11/1, 11/28, 12/15, 12/27) and 2021 (1/15, 3/7, 4/10, 4/22, 5/21).
- In contrast to recent reports for Washington State, we assumed a mean exposure-to-hospitalized time of 12 days.
- Our age-specific infection fatality ratio (IFR) assumptions are based on those referenced by CDC’s Planning Scenarios. We used population fatality rate data from Opera to compute IFRs for the age groups used by Rainier.
- Our age-specific infection hospitalization ratio (IHR) assumptions were computed by dividing each age-specific IFR by the corresponding death-to-hospitalization ratio using data from Opera.
- We now assume that COVID-19 reported cases reflect a higher detection rate among symptomatic infections, and that symptomatic infection rate increase with age. We used age-specific % symptomatic assumptions as estimated here and adjusted our weekly case distribution to reflect these detection assumptions (without affecting the total number of cases detected).
• We compared our estimates of immunity with CDC’s latest commercial laboratory seroprevalence estimate for Oregon, which was for February 2021. Their estimate of seroprevalence (6.7%; confidence interval: 5.0% - 8.3%) was higher than Rainier’s estimate of natural immunity (5.3%) and lower than Rainier’s estimate of total immunity (i.e., either natural immunity or immunity from vaccination) (9.3%) for February 2021.

• We use test specimen collection date for new cases but have only lab report date for negative tests. To better align these two outcomes, we redistributed negative test counts. These counts were reallocated among the laboratory report day and the two days prior, according to distribution of positive cases (by specimen date) occurring over those same three days. Because Rainier’s Re uncertainty is partially based on variation in percent positive, this redistribution of negative cases may cause the Re confidence intervals to narrow.

• Point estimates should be interpreted with caution due to considerable uncertainty behind COVID-19 model assumptions and limitations to the methods.

Rainier now takes vaccination data into account -- both in estimating historical $R_e$ and in scenarios projecting future counts of diagnosed and hospitalized cases (assuming specified COVID-19 transmission rates). Detailed documentation of the model’s vaccination component is currently being prepared by the Institute for Disease Modeling. We describe those methods briefly here.

• For this report, Rainier assumed that a proportion of vaccinated individuals would be protected from SARS-CoV-2 infection 21 days after each vaccine dose: on average 58.0% of those vaccinated after the first dose, and an additional 24.4% after the second dose (for a total of 82.4%). Among vaccinated people not protected from SARS-CoV-2 infection, Rainier assumes roughly 20% to be protected from experiencing severe COVID-19 symptoms (i.e., hospitalization or death) but still able to transmit the virus.

• Rainier’s estimate of immunity from vaccination may be conservative – that is, slightly lower at any given point in time because it assumes no immunity develops until three weeks following a dose.

• Another limitation is Rainier’s use of these same assumptions for all the vaccines; hence, for this report the single-shot Johnson & Johnson vaccine was considered equivalent to first-doses of the Pfizer or Moderna vaccines. This limitation is not expected to have a large influence on results since the Johnson and Johnson vaccines currently constitute under 4% of total vaccine doses administered to-date in Oregon. However, this vaccine may be modeled separately in the future as it becomes more frequently administered.

---

Appendix 2: Summary of External COVID-19 Forecasts

CDC compiles state-level forecasts from numerous national modelers, and produces an ensemble forecast. For Oregon, CDC’s ensemble forecast for diagnosed cases predicts case counts will decrease through early July, from about 1,750 to 850 per week. CDC’s ensemble forecast for hospitalizations predicts that Oregon daily admissions will decrease through early July, from about 20 to 7 per day.\(^7\)

Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation’s June 4 forecast for Oregon estimates that daily new infections will most likely decline through late-September.

Oregon Health and Science University produces a weekly COVID-19 forecast for Oregon, available here. They present projections under various scenarios. Their forecast (referred to as “primary scenario”) suggests hospital occupancy will continue to decline in the coming weeks.

---

\(^7\) CDC ensemble forecasts are dated June 7, 2021; accessed on June 9, 2021.