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Transit Study Overview:

- Metro-led DEIS focusing on evaluating 3 transit alternatives for the Hwy 43 corridor
  - No-build
  - Enhanced Bus
  - Streetcar

- Key Decision: **Selection of a “Locally-Preferred Alternative”**
Goals of HIA Pilot Project:

1. To provide the project’s steering committee and other interested stakeholders with information about the health impacts of the project’s proposed alternatives, so that they can more effectively consider health outcomes when selecting their preferred alternative and providing public comment.

2. To provide Metro with an example of an HIA that will inform their consideration of its utility in aiding decision-making for future plans, policies, and projects.

3. To help OPHI and other local HIA practitioners develop the capacity to conduct HIAs.

4. To better understand how HIA process can be best integrated into projects that require an EIS.
1. Identify Decision Point(s)
   • *Goals + Project Timeline*

2. Identify Decision-Makers, Stakeholders, and Opportunities
   • *Stakeholder Analysis Matrix*

3. Select Reporting Materials
   • *Report, Summary Materials, Posters, Presentations, Website, etc.*

4. Report!
1. Identify Decision Point(s)
   - Goals + Project Timeline

- DEIS Preparation
- Scoping
- Assessment
- Reporting
- Evaluation

- Public Comment Period
- Deliberation Period
- Dec. 3rd
- Jan. 31st

2010

2011

LPA
2. Identify Decision-Makers, Stakeholders, and Opportunities
   • *Stakeholder Analysis Matrix*

3. Select Reporting Materials
   • *Report, Summary Materials, Posters, Presentations, Website, etc.*
### Reporting

### Stakeholders, Internal and External

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Specific Stakeholders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOPT Project Management Group</td>
<td>Presentation at PMG meetings, submission of written materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOPT Steering Committee</td>
<td>Presentation at SC meetings, submission of written materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOPT Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Presentation at TAC meetings, submission of written materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOPT Community Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Presentation at CAC meetings, submission of written materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor residents</td>
<td>Public open houses, DEIS presentations</td>
<td>One pagers, poster board describing project at open house</td>
<td>Medium (October)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business owners along the corridor</td>
<td>Public open houses, DEIS presentations</td>
<td>One pagers, poster board describing project at open house</td>
<td>Medium (October)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and health providers along the corridor</td>
<td>Public open houses, DEIS presentations</td>
<td>One pagers, poster board describing project at open house</td>
<td>Medium (October)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal Stakeholders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Council</td>
<td>Presentation at work session and individual briefings if needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro internal HIA Steering Committee</td>
<td>Email updates, update them at Climate Initiative Steering Committee meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Staff</td>
<td>Brown bags, presentation of the work at departmental staff meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Senior Leadership Team</td>
<td>Present at Senior Leadership meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>High (August/Sept)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other external stakeholders (Other Agencies), Process Stakeholders (HIA Network)*
4. Report!

Internal (Metro):
- Brown Bag series
- Powerpoint presentations at Council and Steering Committee work sessions
- HIA project overview write-up (2 pages)
- Final Report and 8-page summary of findings and recommendations

External:
- Posters for Project open houses
- Public comment at Citizen Advisory Committee
- 8-page summaries, HIA overview, and web-links to full report
- HIA Network participation/presentations
1. Review of other HIA evaluations and best practices
   • *New South Wales HIA guide*
   • *Human Impact Partners*
   • *European Policy HIA Guide*
   • *UCLA CLIC*

2. Develop evaluation questions, indicators, and methodology
   • *Process*
   • *Impact*

3. Keep track of decision-makers and stakeholders who were exposed to HIA materials

4. Evaluate!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question to be answered</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what extent was the delivery of inputs consistent with what was originally planned? (EPHIA)</td>
<td>Consistency of outputs</td>
<td>Compare original HIA SOW with outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How much time was spent on HIA and by whom? (EPHIA)</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td>Review of timesheets and time estimate requests to those involved with the HIA (e.g., project team, Advisory Team, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What were the associated financial costs (salaries, travel, expenses, etc.) (EPHIA)</td>
<td>Hours and budget</td>
<td>Costing out of hours and reviewing budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were vulnerable groups or their representatives involved in the HIA? (EPHIA)</td>
<td>vulnerable groups’ involvement levels</td>
<td>Identify ‘vulnerable’ groups from the stakeholder list and identify the extent of their involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was routine data on vulnerable groups readily available and accessible? (EPHIA)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the impacts identify the differential distribution across different population groups, not just impacts on vulnerable groups? (EPHIA)</td>
<td>Populations analyzed vs. populations that will be impacted</td>
<td>Review of population analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did recommendations include actions to address any differential distribution of impacts? (EPHIA)</td>
<td>Geographical and population distribution in recommendations</td>
<td>Review of recommendations for distribution language and implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What stages of HIA were used? (NSW)</td>
<td>HIA stages</td>
<td>Review of HIA process documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full report available at:
http://www.orphi.org/healthy-community-planning/health-impact-assessments
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