



# HB 2100 Task Force on Homelessness & Racial Disparities | MEETING SUMMARY

**Meeting date | time** January 12, 2022, | **3:00-4:30pm** | **Meeting location** Virtual

**Facilitator** Sophia Tzeng  
**Note Taker + Timekeeper** Mary Frances Kenion

**Task Force Members in Attendance:** Marcus Mundy, Andrea Ball, Sami Jo Difuntorum, Lizzy Atwood Wills, Jennifer Parrish Taylor, Paula Hall, Marisa Espinoza, Sen. Dick Anderson, Rep. Wlmsvey Campos, Sen. Kayse Jama, Jimmy Jones, Katrina Holland

*Additional attendees included members of the public.*

## AGENDA TOPICS

### Agenda topic: **Human Centering + Introductions/Icebreaker** |

Task Force members kicked off the meeting by taking a collective breath and were provided an opportunity to share “what emerges for you.” The range of responses from Task Force members included:

<b>Take a deep breath. What emerges for you? (Feel free to add with every deeper breath)</b> <b>Understanding what is arising in each of us, will help us move together better today.</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We have an opportunity to do some transformational work and we need to be brave.</li> <li>We need to be brave, thoughtful and educated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> <li>?</li> </ul>

### Agenda topic: **Task Force Goals + Outputs** |

The Facilitator shared the session goals and outputs. Goals included:

- Aligning on agenda
- Clarifying and voting on recommendations

Desired kickoff meeting outputs included:

- Session capture
- Final Report for submission

**Agenda topic: Vote on Voting |**

The Facilitator talked through three potential rounds of voting for the Task Force to consider. The Task Force was asked the following questions (by round):

- Round 1: Will the Task Force reconsider and re-vote on approved recommendations with integrated comments?
- Round 2: Will the Task Force reconsider and re-vote on not approved recommendations with integrated comments?
- Round 3: Will the Task Force consider and vote on unclear recommendations that have been refined with comments?

Full vote tally listed below:

	<u>1/10 Vote Status</u>	<u>1/10 TF Comments Integrated for Today</u>	<u>1/12 Agenda Question</u>	<u>Yes</u>		<u>No</u>	
<u>Round 1</u> Approved, Revised Recs	✓ - passed	✓	Will TF reconsider and re-vote on approved recommendations with integrated comments?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KZH</li> <li>• JJ</li> <li>• SJD</li> <li>• JPT</li> <li>• WC</li> <li>• MCM</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ME</li> <li>• PH</li> <li>• LAW</li> <li>• ?KJ</li> <li>• AB</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>
<u>Round 2</u> Declined, Revised Recs	✓ - <u>not</u> passed	✓	Will TF reconsider and re-vote on not approved recommendations with integrated comments?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KZH</li> <li>• JPT</li> <li>• SJD</li> <li>• JJ</li> <li>• MCM</li> <li>• WC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ME</li> <li>• ?KJ</li> <li>• AB</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• LAW</li> <li>• PH</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>
<u>Round 3</u> Recs Not Yet Considered, Revised	not yet considered	✓	Will TF consider and vote on unclear recommendations that have been refined with comments?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KZH</li> <li>• JPT</li> <li>• SJD</li> <li>• JJ</li> <li>• MCM</li> <li>• PH</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ME</li> <li>• ?KJ</li> <li>• AB</li> <li>• LAW</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• WC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>

The Facilitator made a point of information for each round of voting:

<p><u>Note for Round 1</u></p> <p><b>IF TF CHOOSES NOT TO RECONSIDER + REVOTE ON 1/10-APPROVED RECS, AS REVISED with 1/10 TF COMMENTS, THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE STANDS</b></p>	<p><u>Note for Round 2</u></p> <p><b>IF TF CHOOSES NOT TO APPROVE 1/10-DECLINED, REVISED RECS, THESE RECOMMENDATIONS WILL <u>NOT</u> BE REPORTED</b></p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note for Round 3

**IF TF CHOOSES NOT TO CONSIDER + VOTE ON REVISED 1/12 RECS, THESE RECOMMENDATIONS WILL NOT BE REPORTED**

**Agenda topic: Revised Task Force Workplan + Final Week |**

The Facilitator reviewed the Task Force revised workplan final week, remaining steps prior to submission, and potential next steps (see below).

	Grounding	Discovery			Design	Iteration	Implementation
	Week of 11/29	Week of 12/6	Week of 12/13	Week of 12/20	Week of 12/27	Week of 1/3	Week of 1/10
<b>Task Force</b>	S1: KICKOFF 1.5 hrs	3 hrs, w/Prework Interviews (19)		S2: SYNTHESIS 2 hrs, w/Prework	S3: DESIGN 2 hrs, w/Prework	S4: IMPLEMENTATION 2 hrs, w/Prework	S5: REFINEMENT I S6: REFINEMENT II 1/14 submission
<b>Stakeholders</b>		Survey and Insights Development Interviews Data, policy and financial research and analysis				Further Survey, Interviews and Research As Needed	
<b>Project Leads</b> <i>Chair and Co-Chair</i>		Weekly check-In w/Agenda Design	Weekly check-In w/Agenda Design	Weekly check-In w/Agenda Design	Weekly check-In w/Agenda Design	Weekly check-In w/Agenda Design	Weekly check-In w/Agenda Design
<b>OUTPUTS</b>	Roadmap Discovery Plan	Draft Discovery and Insights Report Draft Design Framework					Final Report + Recommendations

	1/10	1/11	1/12	1/13	1/14	1/15
	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
<b>Task Force</b>	<b>8-10a Session 5 Vote*</b>	<i>Refine recommendations by 10a PT</i>	<b>2-3:30p Session 6 Vote*</b>	<i>Final Feedback on Exec Summary from TF by 11:59 pm PST</i>		<b>HB2100 TASK FORCE DEADLINE</b>
<b>Outputs</b>	Final Report Version 1	Recommendations for Wed. vote by 5p PT  Final Report Version 2 (w/Exec Summary) By 2p	Final Report Version 3	Final Report Version 3 w/updated recs to TF by 1pm PST	Final Report	

FEB	(TBD)
Optional Reflection Meeting	Survey for Historic Task Force

The Facilitator revisited Task Force Collaboration commitments and encouraged Task Force members to make additions:

### Task Force Collaboration

REMOTE COMMITMENTS	INTERPERSONAL COMMITMENTS
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Share your thoughts - aloud or write it on the doc</li> <li>Mute if not talking</li> <li>Crafting is encouraged (it helps me to focus to do something with my hands)</li> <li>Snacking welcome!</li> <li>Pets included</li> <li>Children and Grandchildren</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Give grace and forgiveness</li> <li>Take space and make space - openly share and support your colleagues as they openly share experiences</li> <li>Take good care - manage your boundaries</li> <li>Engage in lively conversation, even if it feels awkward</li> <li>Be thoughtful and compassionate</li> <li>Does it need to be said, does it need to be said by me, does it need to be said by me right now</li> </ol>

**Agenda topic: Problem Statement |**

The Facilitator shared the original problem statement with comments for refinement.

**PRIOR VERSION (as of S4 on 1/10/21):**  
**Integrating S4's 17 Problem Statements into One:**  
**Oregon has a unique opportunity to reimagine its current homeless services funding system and structure in pursuit of racial equity and justice, but this opportunity for change is complex, and demands a long-term commitment to achieve alignment across philosophical differences and effectuate the transformational change required to reduce racial disparities by people experiencing homelessness.**

YES (initial)	NO (or YES, IF...)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KJ</li> <li>• VT</li> <li>• sjd</li> <li>• AB</li> <li>• AN</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• JPT, yes but outline that some solutions can happen now, while others require more time - that though it is hard work, that is not an out from doing the work</li> <li>• Yes if- incorporate where it says "demands a long term commitment to achieve alignment" add "alignment and structural change"; add to "philosophical differences" - "philosophical differences and historical positionality within existing structures" -ME</li> <li>• JJ - Yes, with some concerns.</li> <li>• PH - Yes, but with some reservation.</li> <li>• Yes, with acknowledgement of a general lack of resources currently available to address the homeless system-- LAW</li> <li>• Yes, but recognizing there are things we can do now, and others will take more time - and these still need to get done - even if it will take more time. And all will take commitment. The work doesn't stop after addressing just a few things and calling it good. - WC</li> <li>• Yes with concerns AE</li> </ul>

The Task Force co-chair was asked to read a refined problem statement that incorporated Task Force members comments and the Task Force members were asked to vote with the tally listed below:

**REVISION (integrating TF comments from S5 on 1/10):**  
**Oregon has a unique and complex opportunity to reimagine its current homeless services funding system and structure in pursuit of racial equity and justice, demanding both immediate action and a long-term commitment to achieve 1) alignment, 2) structural change across philosophical differences and historical positionality within existing structures, and 3) adequate resources to effectuate the transformational change required to reduce racial disparities by people experiencing homelessness.**

YES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• JPT</li> <li>• AB</li> <li>• JJ</li> <li>• MCM</li> <li>• KZH</li> <li>• SJD</li> <li>• ME</li> <li>• LAW</li> <li>• ?KJ</li> <li>• WC</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>

**Agenda topic: Recommendations |**

**Note:** Due to the number of recommendations, comments, and conversation during this meeting, the following section is abbreviated. Please reference the available PDF slide deck for full record of votes (which are not included in this summary to do the depth and breadth of votes, comments, and discussion found on [slides 19-47](#)). **The key below can be used to cross-reference official votes by Task Force members who were present for voting on recommendations in one or both voting sessions held on 1/10/22 and 1/12/22 meetings.**

Task Force Member	Task Force Member Initials	Task Force Member	Task Force Member Initials
Marcus Mundy	MCM	Paula Hall	PH
Andrea Ball	AB	Marisa Espinosa	ME
Sami Jo Difuntorum	SJD	Sen. Dick Anderson	DA
Lizzy Atwood Wills	LAW	Rep. Wlnsvey Campos	WC

Task Force Member	Task Force Member Initials	Task Force Member	Task Force Member Initials
Jennifer Parrish Taylor	JPT	Sen. Kayse Jama	KJ
Jimmy Jones	JJ	Katrina Holland	KZH
Alan Evans	AE	Vanessa Timmons	VT

**Review of Approved Recommendations:** The Facilitator reviewed the recommendations that were approved by majority vote with no comments which can be found on [slides 19-22](#).

**Voting on Approved Recommendations with Comment Integration (Round 1):** Prior to moving into voting, a Task Force member asked a clarifying question related to the different text colors. The Facilitation Team clarified. It was further clarified that even if Task Force members voted yes in the 1/10/22 session, they would need to vote again to approve or disapprove the changes. The key below highlights the differences between colors.

Text Color	Meaning
Black	Original language
Purple	Original group language refinement to incorporate Task Force comments
Blue	Additional language refinement submitted by individual Task Force members
<del>Strikethrough</del>	Language proposed for deletion by Task Force members directly or through refinement process

The Task Force reviewed and voted on approved recommendation with comment integration (Round 1) which can be found on [slides 25-30](#) for a full tally of the votes. During the vote, a Task Force member raised concerns around some of the language additions feeling like a pendulum swing with no ability to have dialogue. Additional Task Force members shared differing opinions from their own perspectives. A full record of the dialogue can be reviewed in the recording.

**Voting on Declined Recommendations with Comment Integration (Round 2):** The Task Force reviewed and voted on declined recommendations with comment integration which can be found on [slide 33](#) for a full tally of the votes.

**Voting on Recommendations Not Yet Considered with Refinements (Round 3):** The Task Force reviewed and voted on recommendations not yet considered with language refinement which can be found on slides 36-40 for a full tally of the votes.

**Recommendations with No Resolution or Refinement Possible:** The Task Force was asked to review the recommendations on slides 42-47 that were not passed by majority vote and were unable to be refined or resolved.

**Agenda topic: Next Steps & Closing |**

The Facilitator reviewed revised next steps and clarified “final feedback” parameters (both noted below):

	1/10	1/11	1/12	1/13	1/14	1/15
	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
Task Force	8-10a <b>Session 5</b> Vote*	Refine recommendations by <u>10a PT</u>	2-3:30p <b>Session 6</b> Vote*	Final Feedback on Exec Summary from TF by <u>11:59 pm PST</u>		HB2100 TASK FORCE DEADLINE
Outputs	Final Report Version 1	Recommendations for Wed. vote by <u>5p PT</u>  Final Report Version 2 (w/Exec Summary) <u>By 2p</u>	Final Report Version 3	Final Report Version 3 w/updated recs to TF by <u>1pm PST</u>	Final Report	
<b>FEB</b>			<b>(TBD)</b>			
Optional Reflection Meeting			Survey for Historic Task Force			

“Final Feedback” parameters:

IS	IS NOT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Summary Only</li> <li>• Courtesy Review</li> <li>• Endorsement</li> <li>• Proofreading               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Spelling</li> <li>○ Grammar</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rewriting</li> <li>• New Content</li> </ul>

The Facilitator outlined final report submission requirements and asked Task Force members’ preference. The Task Force Chair declined to send.

<b>Requirements</b>	<b>Multiple Emails:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Exec Summary + Report to House Housing Committee</li> <li>2. Exec Summary + Report to Senate Housing and Development Committee</li> <li>3. 2-pg. Exec Summary to <u>full</u> legislative body</li> <li>4. Executive Summary + Report to Legislative Administrator</li> </ol>
<b>Open Question</b>	Would TF Committee Chair prefer to send - or Team to send and cc all on TF?
<b>Notes</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team to send</li> </ul>

The Facilitator asked the Task Force what purpose they might use an optional reflection meeting for and ideal outputs. Task Force members shared a range of responses:

BRAINSTORM		
For what purpose?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bourbon tasting (Secoded)</li> <li>• Build community + understanding</li> <li>• Thought we passed a rec to continue meeting- so continue work? (secoded, thirded)</li> <li>• Process feedback</li> <li>• Translate intentions into specific actions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not during short session (Feb-mid-Mar)</li> <li>• Invite community to begin their heavier participation in these processes</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>
What are ideal outputs?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify and prioritize which areas the taskforce want to engage</li> <li>• Specific implementation details - ensure continued transparency</li> <li>• Start talking about how we'll adjust funding structure for 23-25 w/ OHCS</li> <li>• Legislative download for those who will be voting on and implementing these recommendations</li> <li>• Change - services to the underserved</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community inclusion into the data, the recommendations, the goals, etc.</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>

Task Force members were asked to vote on additional meetings, with results noted below.

		Yes	No
Shall TF meet again?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not required by law</li> <li>• If yes, we will optimize schedule for a late February facilitated meeting</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WC</li> <li>• JPT</li> <li>• kzh</li> <li>• MCM</li> <li>• AB</li> <li>• ME</li> <li>• AN?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• SJD</li> <li>• LAW</li> <li>• KJ</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> <li>• ?</li> </ul>

Task Force members were asked by the Facilitator to participate in a final closing exercise. Task Force members offered a range of responses (found below):

<i>Take a deep breath. What emerges for you? (Feel free to add with every deeper breath)</i> <i>With appreciation for you, for your time and commitment, and for what arises, moving forward.</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appreciation for colleagues and their shared willingness to take this opportunity to make transformative and systemic change towards racial equity</li> <li>• Appreciation and gratitude for all of my colleagues; the conversation and work is not over</li> <li>• This work isn't meant to be easy; it's about undoing harm which takes courage and discipline*</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change is hard, but change is necessary..</li> <li>• I'm thankful that we are encouraging shifts next year instead of putting off this transformational change - in an ideal world we would have had more time, but asking BIPOC to wait didn't uphold our shared goals</li> <li>• Invite those who were missing from this iteration to the next iteration</li> <li>• think about abundance not scarcity</li> <li>• I am hopeful that change will help our communities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Truthfully, this was exhausting</li> <li>• Remember this is not a zero sum model, but an abundance model, if done properly.</li> <li>• Housing is a human right</li> <li>• This is just beginning, we have lots more work to do to see this through</li> </ul>

The Task Force meeting was concluded at 4:22 p.m.