Task Force on Cannabis-Derived Intoxicants and Illegal Cannabis Production – Cannabinoid Subcommittee – Agenda

Date: Tuesday, August 2, 2022

Time: 9:30 - 11:30

Location: TEAMS
Microsoft Teams mee

Microsoft Teams meeting
Click here to join the meeting
Or join by entering a meeting ID

Meeting ID: 237 749 934 588 Passcode: ZL7UDE

Or call in (audio only)

+1 323-553-3576,,282099157# ID: 282 099 157#

Agenda:

- Attendance
- Review and discuss considerations and associated questions

Methods to prevent sales to minors of industrial hemp commodities or products that contain intoxicating cannabinoids

Are the current OLCC rules acceptable?

845-026-0300 (edited for brevity)

- Contains 0.5 milligrams or more of:
- Total delta-9-THC;
- Any other tetrahydrocannabinol or tetrahydrocannabinolic acid, including delta-8-tetrahydrocannabinol; or
- Any other cannabinoids advertised as having an intoxicating effect;
- Contains any quantity of artificially derived cannabinoids; or
- The testing was performed using a method with a LOQ that is not sufficient to demonstrate that the total delta-9-THC does not exceed 0.5 milligrams.
- Is additional authority needed for ODA or OLCC to enforce the sale limits?
 - OLCC can currently impose a civil penalty of no more than \$10,000 for a violation.
- Should there be resources given to OLCC or ODA for public outreach?

<u>Testing requirements and methods of enforcement of testing requirements for cannabinoids, including artificially derived cannabinoids, to protect the public health and safety</u>

- Is additional authority needed to enforce the existing testing requirements for hemp products?
- Are additional enforcement resources needed for agency staff to ensure compliance with hemp product testing requirements?

<u>Changes to state law to support the regulation of intoxicating cannabis-derived</u>
<u>products and artificially derived cannabinoids</u> (Next meeting will further address this consideration)

- Should cannabinoid hemp products be required to comply with label requirements like marijuana before being sold to consumers in Oregon? If no, does the group see a need for minimum labeling standards?
- Which agency should be responsible for setting label requirements and taking administrative action on violations?
- What are the impacts on agency capacity if enforcement is increased?
- Should the law address products containing Artificially Derived Cannabinoids manufactured in Oregon, and sold out of State?
- Next Steps Future Meetings

Resources:

OLCC – <u>Chapter 845 Division 26</u> – Adult Use Cannabis and Hemp Concentration Limits

OLCC – <u>Chapter 845 Division 25</u> – Recreational Marijuana

- <u>845-025-1310</u> Artificially Derived Cannabinoids
- 845-025-7145 Artificially Derived Cannabinoid Labeling

OLCC – Whitepaper: <u>Considerations in Establishing Cannabinoid Limits for Hemp</u> Products

OHA - Chapter 333 Division 7 - Marijuana and Hemp Testing

 333-007-0430 - Standards for Adult Use Cannabinoid and CBD Compliance Testing