MCB
OREGON MORTUARY & CEMETERY BOARD
Regulating Death Care Facilities & Practitioners in Oregon.

July 14, 2026, General Session Meeting Minutes (FINAL draft - approved Oct 13, 2026)

Board Members Present: Robert **Gaskill,** President; Ruggiero **Canizares,** Vice President; Melissa **Porter** Secretary / Treasurer; Craig **Collins**; Debra **Harris**; Holly **Houston,** Elizabeth **Johnson,** Brady **Leder;** Neal **Naigus.**

Board Members Absent: Kevin **Loveland,** Vacant position

Staff Members Present: Chad **Dresselhaus**, Executive Director; Aaron **Cowell,** Education and Compliance Manager; Marianne **Itkin**, Investigator; Greg **Brown,** Compliance Specialist, Angela **Nelson**, Compliance Specialist; Ryan **Christopher**, Office & Licensing Manager; Sylvie **Huhn,** Program Administrator Indigent Disposition Fund; and Tony **Des Champs**, AAG

Staff Members Absent: None

Guests: Joey **Coomer,** Vanessa **Rowland,** Sherry **Lauer** with DAS HR.

I Call to Order

The General Session of the Mortuary and Cemetery Board (Board) was called to order at 9:03 am, online via Microsoft Teams.

II Roll Call

Staff member Christopher took roll call.
Member Johnson was not present for roll call.

III General Session

A Approval of General Session Meeting Minutes

- **April 14, 2026**

President Gaskill asked if there was a motion to approve this agenda item. He recognized member Porter.

There was no discussion.

Member Porter so moved. Member Naigus seconded.
President Gaskill asked those in favor to signify by saying aye. All others were aye, and the motion passed unanimously. Those voting in favor: Members Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Leder, Naigus, Porter.

Member Johnson was admitted to the meeting around 9:10 am and was not present for the above vote.

B. President's Comments

President Gaskill stated he's been under, and we've been under a lot right now as a board. He stated the following: And with our financial situation, I know there's a lot of concern going on with this, and I just wanna make sure everybody understands that this is not being taken lightly. It is a long process. We're working with state agencies from the governor's office, DOJ, accounting, DAS, all this stuff to get this figured out legally and otherwise and what's best for our community. For us as funeral professionals and owners, this does affect us too, and we're not willy-nilly making decisions. I just want to make it very clear to, especially the public that's with us today that I'm so thankful that are here. So that way we can make sure we are diligently, legally, and for the care of our industry to do the best we can. Rugy and I have both spent many hours on the phone with HR, with Sherry Lauer, who's amazing. We have other meetings coming up. I've spent a lot of time with Chad and Tony and Aaronm going through options and ideas and how we can do this in the best way possible. And to make sure it never happens again. And we got to fully understand how we got here. It's going to take time. I appreciate all of our staff. that have been working on this and just what's been going on. And I appreciate our board members for being there also. Unfortunately, as board members, we can't just sit down and talk to each other about all this stuff. So, we have to do it in a certain way. And that's at these meetings. Please, please know, I just want to make sure everybody understands how hard we're working on this and it's not being taken lightly at all. So, thank you for that. Now we can go to the presentation of an approval of the executive director's report.

Member Naigus asked if there was a timeline and asked when does this come out for public comment and when does it come back to the board and when will we get further information and be able to discuss the options again?

President Gaskill stated the following: One of the next questions, when we get down to general discussion, our first topic is setting up that board meeting. So that we're going to be meeting in August sometime too. Once we gather, get all the information, then we're going to be able to sit down and go through this in a meeting.

He asked Director Dresselhaus to go ahead with his executive director's report.

C. Presentation and Approval of Executive Director's Report for July 14, 2026

Director Dresselhaus stated the following: Under the biennial budget the beginning cash balance was adjusted somewhat. It's a difference of $2,714,040. And you'll see at the asterisks, it explains the how, why it was, if you will, incorrect, because there are some reconciling during the biennium. That explains kind of the difference between what was previously reported as a beginning cash balance, but actually it is a little less than that, just based on some internal calculations and adjustments that they make throughout the year, during the biennium that is reported here.

Member Naigus asked for clarification.

Director Dresselhaus stated the following: The 25-27 total biennial budget is the legislatively approved amount that we are allowed to spend. The beginning cash balance that's reported below

that is the cash reserve carried over from the previous biennium. So, at the end of the previous biennium, there was roughly 2.143 million, and for the with the 2527 biennial budget, we were approved to our budget was approved to basically equal that amount. So, it's kind of a carryover from previous because typically you don't want to be at a 0 balance. You want to have a cash balance that kind of carries over from biennium to biennium. And most likely they won't be the same number because it's a carryover from the previous. And then that's the 3.5 or almost 3.6 is the amount that has been approved by the legislature for that specific biennium.

Director Dresselhaus recognized Staff member Christopher.

Staff member Christopher stated that he wanted to see if we can get any information on this and brought to attention that he noticed under the agency's biennial budget review section of the executive director reports, a statement that appeared on the reports from October 2024 through July 2025. All of the executive director's reports during that time frame included a statement that read, "these projections do not account for Oregon FSPs that are filing death certificates. with Oregon vital records using Idaho funeral establishments as the funeral home of record and therefore are responsible for paying the Oregon death registration filing fees. However, there is not currently a mechanism for collecting these fees." Starting in October of 2025, that statement no longer appeared in the reports.

He asked, "Is that statement no longer true? If it was and is still true, then why is the statement no longer included in the reports?"

Director Dresselhaus stated the following: "I would have to look into why it was removed. I know I'm working with vital records right now and establishing a way to capture that revenue."

Staff member Christopher stated the following: "I feel as though that would be one of the factors that should be included as we are trying to deal with a budget crisis if we have no idea what amount is not being accounted for."

President Gaskill interrupted staff member Christopher and stated the following: "Hey, Ryan, this is not the time. This is not the time for this, Ryan, so you need to back off. Okay, go ahead, Chad."

Director Dresselhaus continued going over detail of the report.

Sherry Lauer with Department of Administrative Services asked for clarification regarding information at the top of his report, specifically if it is saying that as of May, the close of business May, that our expenditures are exceeding revenues? She asked him to clarify if we were over budget.

Director Dresselhaus stated the following: "I think I have to look into that. Because I'm thinking that is our total biennial budget, I need to look into that. The total biennial budget is 3.5, almost 3.6, 43% has been received, 43% of that has been received. The total expenditures is 3.6, and I don't recall, I don't think that's changed. So, and that we've received 4, or we've spent 40% of that. So that's a good question that I will ask."

President Gaskill acknowledged that Member Johnson was now present. He acknowledged that staff member Angela Nelson had her hand raised.

Staff member Nelson asked regarding the top where it says the revenue, all sources. “Does that include the funds that are removed and put into the IDP program? So the very first line revenue, all sources, including cash reserves and reimbursement, we have $1,540,000. Does that include what is inside the IDP? And if the amount that is going into IDP that is not part of general fund?”

Director Dresselhaus deferred to Vice President Canizares.

Vice President Canizares Ruggie stated “that we're getting hung up in this report, and this report basically is just for reporting purposes for our director's report.”

He stated that “this is not an accurate representation in what the actual financial sheets look like. For example, for starters, this is a commingled amount that includes the IDP fund. So, if you were to subtract that, this will be completely different. But I think we're getting bogged down to the weeds. And I'm not trying to diminish everybody's concerns and questions, but this is a generalized landscape for budgeting purposes from 1 biennium to the other. And this does not capture at all what we're trying to dive into.”

He continued and stated the following: “So, rest assured, I already met with the financial offices, and it's not this place to have that detailed discussions, but I can assure you that this is not an act, this is what it does, is what Chad is trying to do, is to just give it the totals of this and this does not capture the details of the financial situation we're in.”

He stated that he thought we should just move forward. And added that “like what Rob had said, things are in place now in the process. Unfortunately, we were hoping to get it done before this meeting, but the different agencies working together, there's just different moving parts that we just can't get it done today. So again, I hope that assures everybody with this, but this is just a snapshot. Think of it as a general view of the Cascade Mountains. And really, it just gives that capture for the general purposes of the director's report and so we can still continue with this and go into this rabbit hole, but I think for the purposes of this meeting, it just, it doesn't go into that deep dive. And so I apologize, but we can move on or you can decide, President Gaskill, what to do next.”

President Gaskill recognized member Johnson.

Member Johnson agreed with Vice President Canizares and mentioned a possible meeting in August to delve into this deeper.

Director Dresselhaus continued discussing details of his report.

President Gaskill asked if there was a motion to approve the Executive Directors Report. He recognized member Johnson.

Member Johnson so moved. Member Naigus seconded.
President Gaskill asked those in favor to signify by saying aye. All others were aye, and the motion passed unanimously. Those voting in favor: Members Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter

D. Public Comments
President Gaskill read a prepared statement to address public comments.

He then started discussion regarding scheduling a date for the next meeting to address the budget options.

AAG DesChamps confirmed it is going to be a public meeting, and to the extent you have any legal questions that you'd like me to opine upon, that portion would be subject to executive session.

Director Dresselhaus interjected and stated that he had a narrative that he'd like to share. He read a prepared statement related to the budget shortfall. He stated the following: "I'd like to provide a brief update on the follow-up from our June special board meeting regarding the budget shortfall. I wanted to share this at the beginning of general session, so you have an understanding of the progress that has been made since our June discussion. I also want to let you know that we will be scheduling a special board meeting in August to present the completed analysis, recommendations, and the FAQ. With that context, I'd like to provide a brief update before we continue with the remainder of the general session agenda. Since our June meeting, I have been focused on reviewing the circumstances that contributed to the budget shortfall and the underlying financial information, identifying opportunities to strengthen our budget development, and monitoring processes, and evaluating additional internal controls and reporting practices. Throughout this work, the priority has been ensuring that any conclusions and recommendations are accurate, well supported, and based on verified information. While significant progress has been made, there are still financial reconciliations, reporting details, and implementation discussions that need to be completed with Dash Shared Financial Services and our other financial partners. Completing that work will ensure the analysis and recommendations presented to the board at the August special board meeting are comprehensive, accurate, and supported by validated financial data. On July 8th, Aaron and I met with our financial partners and later that day with DAS shared financial administrator. During those discussions, we agreed to work collaboratively to establish additional checks and balances that will strengthen financial oversight moving forward. Those improvements include DAS shared financial service, providing financial presentations to the board, at least twice each year, which was recommended during our last special board meeting, as well as developing two additional finance financial, sorry, additional financial reporting tabs, one dedicated to the IDP funds, revenue, expenditures, and cash balance, and another dedicated to the agency's operating and administrative account. As these improvements are implemented, we will continue meeting regularly with DAS shared financial service staff and ensure the new processes provide greater transparency, stronger financial monitoring, and more timely information for both management and the board. We will also continue meeting regularly with CFO and LFO and establish a framework for a periodic licensing fee increases to help ensure the situation does not occur again. One of the board's requests was a written FAQ addressing questions such as how we arrived at this point, what

contributed to the situation, and what steps are being taken to help ensure we do not encounter a similar issue in the future."

He continued and stated the following: "I want to acknowledge that I have not yet finalized that document. That was a deliberate decision because I wanted the FAQ to reflect the work and analysis completed since July, rather than provide preliminary responses that might later require revision. By the August special board meeting, we expect to have the benefit of the remaining reconciliations, and validated financial data from Dash Shared Financial Services, allowing us to provide more complete and well-supported responses. The FAQ remains a priority and will outline the contributing factors, lessons learned, corrective actions already underway, and the longer-term process improvements designed to strengthen financial planning, monitoring, and transparency. My goal is to provide the board with a document that serves not only as a response to your questions, but also as a resource to support future oversight and governance. I will provide that document at the August special board meeting, and as I finalize it, I will also consult regularly with the board officers to ensure it fully addresses the board's questions and expectations."

He continued and stated the following: "While there is still work to complete, I wanted the board to know that significant progress has been made since June. I appreciate the board's patience as we complete the remaining work, and I look forward to bringing you a comprehensive report and FAQ at the August special board meeting. And I guess the last thing I just want to say, if Board members have reached out to me individually with particular questions, and sometimes that has resulted in meetings, in one-on-one meetings. So if you have questions in the interim, please feel free to reach out to me, and I will work to address those questions. Otherwise, the plan is for schedule a special board meeting in August to have what I have covered here and have a more well-rounded and knowledgeable and thoughtful discussion moving forward."

President Gaskill thanked Director Dresselhaus and suggested mid-August for the next meeting to discuss the budget shortall.

President Gaskill acknowledged Vice President Canizares.

Vice President Canizares addressed the fact that he had not been made aware of Chad's deliberate intention to not follow through with the Board's direction from the June 23, 2026 Board meeting.

He stated the following: "It was very clear in the public meeting in June that there was specific instructions to the executive director that a narrative is to be established by this board meeting, and you have explained that you had deliberately done that, I quote. When were you planning to inform the board officers? Or the board members that you made that decision?"

He continued and stated the following: "Because I hope you understand, we were very explicit in the last board meeting. That we have, it's due today. And you already said in your statement, you deliberately made that decision."

He asked President Gaskill if President Gaskill had been informed that Director Dresselhaus was not going to be prepared to submit it by today.

President Gaskill stated the following: “So, there was some confusion in our conversation between another letter and that one. And I can't deny or say absolutely that I said yes to him holding off on that deal. I was going to talk to them privately about this and figure out what's going on there. But on the other hand, we will be following up with this privately and at another time, Ruggie. So, if we could just go ahead and move on from here. And yes, this is this was specifically asked for and it didn't happen. My understanding is the impression I gave is that that would be fine.

He continued and stated the following: “So, as a I've heard more information about it so it could be on me too that I that that happened. So, if Ruggie, we could please just get this date set and understand that you and I are going to be having other conversations with Chad and Aaron to further this discussion.”

Vice President stated the following: “All right, I just want to be on the record that I'm surprised, thoroughly surprised about this decision without being appraised about this. So I just want to put that on record.”

President Gaskill moved forward with trying to schedule a date for the next meeting.

Board members asked approximately how long the next meeting was expected to take.

President Gaskill stated that it depends on how accurate our information is.

Sherry Lauer with DAS HR asked Director Dresselhaus about rulemaking deadlines.

Director Dresselhaus deferred to staff member Cowell.

Staff member Cowell indicated the timeline needs to be submitted by July 27th. He stated the following: “Depending on the fee structure and option six that was selected, that information in that proposal has to be submitted by July 27th. And then from there, we have a certain amount of time to do other steps.”

President Gaskill acknowledged that the Board would need to meet before the 27th.

Staff member Cowell confirmed this was correct. He stated the following: “correct, otherwise, if we submit a publish, we submit the notice of proposed rulemaking and something changes, that will have to be retracted. I'm sure there's a process to do that, but it will postpone all of the future steps as well.”

Director Dresselhaus stated that technically we have until 7/31.

Vice President Canizares stated the following: ” I want to remind the whole board because I dove into the financials. If you get lucky, maybe we will be having cash to pay the bills by October. There's a potential as early as September, we will be out of cash.”

President Gaskill recognized staff member Christopher.

Staff member Christopher stated the following: “I just heard the mention about being out of cash sometime in October. We were initially discussing September, but after reviewing the board meeting from January, which I unfortunately was not able to be part of, but reviewing that, Aaron, you had mentioned that we were able to claw back, I think was the phrasing, claw back some funds and essentially that there is not a crisis that will be occurring in September or October, but that we were essentially stable until January, or not January, slow down here, June 30 of June.

Staff member Cowell confirmed and stated the following: “That's correct. The claw back in addition to shared financial services deferring a required desk payment out a few months. There are some other situations, though, that we haven't talked about. There's only one primary one, but that could change things. But that would have to be discussed in the special board meeting.”

President Gaskill stated that he remembered that too.

There was consensus to schedule the next meeting for 9am on 7/24. for special meeting.

Sherry Lauer with DAS HR asked and stated the following: “Is the FAQ and all of those materials expected to be ready by that meeting? And so then just checking with Chad, if that is the expectation of the board, ensuring that that's enough time to work on getting all of that input.”

Director Dresselhaus stated the following: “If that's expectation, I will, I will meet it.”

President Gaskill moved forward with the meeting.

E. General Discussion & Action Items

1. Board Best Practices Vote for FY 2025

Director Dresselhaus briefly discussed this topic and asked Board members to get those back to staff member Cowell by end of business today.

2. Administrative Fee Schedule for 2026

Staff Member Christopher reminded the Board members that this was in their packet.

Secretary-Treasurer Porter made a comment that she thinks the NSF fee is extremely low.

Director Dresselhaus agreed to research the internal cost to OMCB and to represent at the next board meeting.

3. Accounts Receivable Update

Staff member Cowell stated the following: “Okay, at the last board meeting, there was one case with three respondents. Two of the respondents had civil penalties and notices of proposed disciplinary action sent. Those were both paid in full. Our overall accounts receivable balance is now at $105,588. There is 1 remaining write-off request that I'm going to bring to the board next session. I was able to locate paperwork that indicated that DAS actually sent it back to us and it was eligible for us to write off. So, I'm going to do that. There's just one more rabbit hole I want to go down with Douglas County to make sure there's nothing there. But and if you approve that write off request next meeting, that will be a significant amount to come off the books.”

There were no questions or comments.

4. Executive Appointments Update
Director Dresselhaus mentioned that Kevin Loveland was reappointed for second term ending in 2030 and the President Gaskill and member Harris will be terming off at the end of this year.

5. Newsletter
Director Dresselhaus mentioned that the Newsletter is being delayed because of things he needed to work on.

6. OFDA Convention Recap
Those who attended provided positive feedback about the event.

OMCB Board member Holly Houston termed off after 10 years with the OFDA.

President Gaskill mentioned that member Harris can party pretty good.

Member Harris stated that she can party with the best of you.

Member Johnson mentioned there was good attendance and mentioned creating a new Board within the OFDA to put together a museum.

7. IDP Advisory Committee Update
Director Dresselhaus stated the following: “I sent an e-mail out, I believe, to all of you that included the e-mail that Aaron sent out recruiting for IDP committee members. And I think, and I think as I shared also, as been asked by many funeral directors in the industry, I reached out to the Hospice Association, wasn't sure what kind of response I would get. They responded actually very quickly, and we have a name of a person who will participate as a representative of the Hospice Association on the IDP Advisory Committee, which I think will really hopefully be, well, I believe will really be helpful because that information can be shared accurately and hopefully there will be kind of the trickle-down effect of that person representing hospice can share the actual information of what IDP is intended for, the requirements, et cetera, the purpose. So I'm excited by that. And the interested people have until Thursday, July 16th by 5 P.m. to submit their interest. And then we will submit all of those names to the board officer to determine what a good diverse group will be, how many, that type of thing, and then we'll move forward with scheduling meetings and starting the committee. So, I'm encouraged and excited about the review of the program. So, unless there's any questions.

President Gaskill stated that he thought it was good to reach out to hospice. He acknowledged staff member Christopher.

Staff member Christopher asked if we could get some indication as to what this committee will be doing differently from the IDP committee that was formed to do this back in 2020?

President Gaskill stated the following: "It's always good to review and update things, and that's what we're doing."

President Gaskill concluded the first portion of the general session at 10:04 am.

IV Executive Session as authorized by ORS 192.660(2)(a), (b), (f), (h), (i) and / or (l)
At 10:19 am, President Gaskill directed the Board to enter into executive session. The executive session was held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(a), (b), (f), (h), (i) and / or (l). If present, representatives of the news media and designated staff would have been allowed to attend the executive session, but all other members of the audience would have been asked to leave the room. Representatives of the news media would have been specifically directed not to report on any of the deliberations during the executive session, except to state the general subject of the session as previously announced. Note that no decision can be made in executive session. At the end of the executive session, the Board returned to open session. Executive session adjourned at 2:37 pm.

IV General Session
President Gaskill called the general session of the Board back to order at 2:51 pm.

Staff member Christopher took Roll Call.

F. Approval of Executive Session Meeting Minutes
- **April 14, 2026**

President Gaskill asked if there was a motion to approve this agenda item. He recognized Porter.

Member Porter moved. Member Naigus seconded.
President Gaskill asked if there was discussion. Hearing none, he then asked those in favor to signify by saying aye. All others were aye and the motion passed unanimously.
Those voting in favor: Members Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Those voting no: None. Those abstaining: None.

G. Board Inspection Report (BIR)
President Gaskill asked if there was a motion to approve this agenda item. He recognized Member Porter.

Member so Porter moved. Member Naigus seconded.
President Gaskill asked if there was discussion. Hearing none, he then asked those in favor to signify by saying aye. All others were aye and the motion passed unanimously.

Those voting in favor: Members Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Loveland, Naigus, Porter.
Those voting no: None. Those abstaining: None.

H. Licensing Actions Issued
President Gaskill asked if there was a motion to approve this agenda item. He recognized Member Porter.

Member Porter so moved. Member Naigus seconded.
President Gaskill asked if there was discussion. Hearing none, he then asked those in favor to signify by saying aye. All others were aye and the motion passed unanimously.
Those voting in favor: Members Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Loveland, Naigus, Porter.
Those voting no: None. Those abstaining: None.

I. Special Request
i. Lenarz, Vanessa: Request for Extension of Apprenticeship

President Gaskill asked if there was a motion to approve this agenda item. He recognized Member Porter.

Member Porter so moved. Member Naigus seconded.
President Gaskill asked if there was discussion. Hearing none, he then asked those in favor to signify by saying aye. All others were aye and the motion passed unanimously.
Those voting in favor: Members Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Those voting no: None. Those abstaining: None.

J. Investigations
1. 26-1002 A1 – Action Reprimand – violation of ORS 432.158(6) in that the decedent was interred (whole body) without being accompanied by a permit authorizing disposition.
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: Canizares.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 8-1

26-1002 B1 – Action – Reprimand and $1000 civil penalty – violation of OAR 830-030-0090 in that the respondent's employee presented the decedent for burial without providing the cemetery sexton with a disposition permit.
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1002 C1 – Action – Reprimand and $1000 civil penalty – violation of OAR 830-030-0090(2) in that principals are responsible for the actions of employees related to the operation of a licensed facility.
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1002 D1 – Action – Reprimand and $1000 civil penalty – violation of OAR 830-030-0090 in that the decedent was presented for burial without providing the cemetery sexton with a disposition permit.
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1003 – Table and 26-1006 – Table
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1004 – Ratify
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: Leder
Motion Passes: 8-0

26-1005 – Ratify
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1007 – Action – Reprimand – violation of OAR 830-030-0010(4) in that respondent failed to submit a form notifying the Board by the 21st day of responsibility for human remains that a disposition had not taken place.
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1009 A1 and B1- No action – no violation
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

1010 A1 A2 B1 and B2 - No action – no violation
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1011 – Ratify
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1013 A1 A2 B1 and B2 – No Action – no violation
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1014 A1 and B1 – No action – no violation
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None.
Motion Passes: 9-0

26-1015 A1 B1 and C1 – No action – no violation
Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: Johnson.
Motion Passes: 8-0

26-1016 A1 A2 A3 B1 B2 B3 C1 C2 and C3 – No action – no violation

Moved: Porter; Second: Naigus
For: Canizares, Collins, Gaskill, Harris, Houston, Johnson, Leder, Naigus, Porter.
Against: None.
Recused: None
Motion Passes: 9 - 0.

President Gaskill thanked everyone and adjourned the meeting.

V Adjournment - the meeting adjourned at 3:07 pm.