**Draft Minutes**

**Tuesday, June 22, 2021**  
Zoom Meeting

**Wednesday, June 23, 2021**  
Zoom Meeting

**Executive Session: 8:30am**  
The Commission will meet in Executive Session to discuss acquisition priorities and opportunities, and potential litigation. The Executive Session will be held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e) and (h), and is closed to the public.

**Business Meeting: 9:00am**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commission Member</th>
<th>OPRD Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Allen, Commission Chair</td>
<td>Chrissy Curran, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Dawson, Commission</td>
<td>Tanya Crane, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Blasher, Commission</td>
<td>JR Collier, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Deur, Commission</td>
<td>Katie Gauthier, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicki Berger, Commission</td>
<td>Ross Kihls, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Grasty, Commission</td>
<td>Daniel Killam, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liz Hill, Commission</td>
<td>Dennis Comfort, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Sumption, OPRD Director</td>
<td>Matt Rippee, OPRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Shipsey, Counsel for Commission, DOJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Warburton, OPRD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Havel, OPRD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commission Business**

a) Welcome and Introductions (Information)
b) Commission Selection of Vice-chair (Action)  
c) ACTION: Commissioner Blasher nominated Lisa Dawson as the Commission Vice-chair. For the term up until she is off of the Commission. Commissioner Deur seconded. The motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 00:03:32 and ends at 00:06:12)  
d) Approval of April 2021 Minutes (Action)

ACTION: Commissioner Berger moved to approve the April 2022 minutes Commissioner Dawson seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 00:06:24 and ends at 00:06:53)
2. Public Comment:
   Public comment was given by:
   Burt Sperling
   Tom Brown
   Patty Brandt

3. Director’s Update
   a) Agency Update/Covid-19 (Information)
   b) Legislative Update (Information)
   c) Salmonberry Update (Information)

4. Budget
   a) Budget Update (Information)

5. Property
   a) Wallowa Update (Information)
   b) Gleason Park Update (Information)
   c) Prineville Agreement (Information)

6. Community Engagement
   a) Scenic Bikeways/Cycle Oregon Partnership (Information)
   b) Driftwood Energy Cable Update (Information)
   c) County Opportunity Grant Awards (Action)

   ACTION: Commissioner Blasher moved to approve the County Opportunity Grant Awards as presented by staff. Commissioner Deur seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 03:17:03 and ends at 03:21:10)

   d) ATV Program ODF & OSP Transfer of Funds Contracts (Information)

   e) ATV New Committee Members (Action)

   ACTION: Commissioner Grasty moved to approve the new ATV Committee Members as presented by staff. Commissioner Deur seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 03:22:20 and ends at 03:24:03)

   f) ATV Grant Recommendations (Action)

   ACTION: Commissioner Blasher moved to approve the ATV Grant Recommendations presented by staff. Commissioner Dawson seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 03:24:13 and ends at 03:25:24)

7. Heritage
   a) Heritage Division Updates (Information)
8. Park Development Division  
a) 2021-2023 Facility Investment Program Project List (Information)

9. Rulemaking  
a) Request to Amend: 736-018-0045, Pilot Butte Master Plan (Action)  
ACTION: Commissioner Grasty moved to amend the Pilot Butte Master Plan. Commissioner Deur seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 03:26:20 and ends at 03:31:14)

b) Request to Adopt: OAR 736-008-0005 to 736-008-0055, Land and Water Conservation Fund Grant Rules (Action)  
ACTION: Commissioner Berger moved to adopt the Land and Water Conservation Fund Grant rules. Commissioner Grasty seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 03:31:20 and ends at 03:39:22)

c) Request to Adopt OAR 736-050-0220 through 736-050-0270 National Register of Historic Places Program Rules (Action)  
ACTION: Commissioner Grasty moved to adopt the National Register of Historic Places Program Rules with the corrections and edits presented by staff (PowerPoint Presentation). Commissioner Blasher seconded. Motion passed, 7-0. (Topic starts at 00:08:25 and ends at 01:05:03)

10. Reports (Information)  
a) Actions Taken Under Delegated Authority  
   i) Contracts and Procurement  
   ii) Ocean Shores and Scenic Waterway Permits  
   iii) Timber Harvest Revenue  
b) OPAC Marine Rocky Habitat Update

11. Commission Planning Calendar (Information)

Commissioner Blasher moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Berger seconded. Motion passed, 6-0 (Commissioner Allen had to leave the meeting). The meeting adjourned at 1:37pm.

*The services, programs and activities of the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department are covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need special accommodations to participate in this meeting, please contact the commission assistant Denise Warburton at (503) 779-9729 or Denise.warburton@oregon.gov at least 72 hours prior to the start of the meeting.*
Draft Agenda

Tuesday, September 14, 2020
Zoom Meeting

WORK-SESSION / TRAINING: 9 – 11 a.m.
- Government Ethics and Executive Meeting Training

Wednesday, September 15, 2020
Zoom Meeting

Executive Session: 8:30am
The Commission will meet in Executive Session to discuss acquisition priorities and opportunities, and potential litigation. The Executive Session will be held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e) and (h), and is closed to the public.

Business Meeting: 9:30am

1. Commission Business
   a) Welcome and Introductions (Information)
   b) Approval of June Minutes (Action)
   c) Approval of September Agenda (Action)

2. Public Comment (Information)
   Please submit written public comments no later than 5 p.m. September 10 to chris.havel@oregon.gov.

3. Director’s Update
   a) Agency Return to Office/Covid Update (Information)
   b) Legislative Update (Information)
   c) Fires Update (Information)
   d) Union Contract Update (Information)

4. Budget
   a) 2021 - 23 Budget Update (Information)
5. **Property**  
a) Oswald West – Easements to North Coast Land Conservancy (Rainforest Reserve) (Information)  
b) John Yeon SNS – Property Transfer from Clatsop County (Information)  
c) Cascadia SP – Potential Transfer to Linn County (**Action**)  
d) Fogarty Creek SRA – Dimick Property (Information)  
e) North Santiam SRA and Marion County Management (Information)  

6. **Community Engagement**  
a) Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Planning Grant Request (**Action**)  
b) Centennial and Vendor Partnerships Update (Information)  

7. **Heritage**  
a) Heritage Division Updates (Information)  

8. **Park Development Division**  
a) Detroit Water Reservoir (**Action**)  

9. **Rulemaking**  
a) Request to open 736-140-0005 to 0015 Confidentiality and Mediation  

10. **Reports** (Information)  
a) Actions Taken Under Delegated Authority  
i) Contracts and Procurement  
ii) Ocean Shores and Scenic Waterway Permits  
iii) Timber Harvest Revenue  

11. **Commission Planning Calendar - 2022** (Information)  

The services, programs and activities of the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department are covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need special accommodations to participate in this meeting, please contact the commission assistant Denise Warburton at (503) 779-9729 or Denise.warburton@oregon.gov at least 72 hours prior to the start of the meeting.
Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission

September 15, 2021

Agenda Item: 2

Public Comment Allowed: Yes

Topic: Public comment

Presented by: Chris Havel

As a courtesy. The Oregon State Parks and Recreation Commission welcomes comments near the beginning of the meeting for any topic not on the agenda. While not required, people who wish to speak to the commission are encouraged to register by following the instructions online at https://bit.ly/oregonparkscommission.

To comment on agenda items, check first to see if that item accommodates public comment by reading the briefing paper for that item or the overall meeting agenda. If the item includes a time for public comment, note the agenda item number. While not required, people who wish to speak on an agenda item are encouraged to register online by following the instructions at https://bit.ly/oregonparkscommission. Comments on agenda items normally happen with the agenda item after the staff presentation, rather than at the beginning of the meeting.

For all public comment, time is usually limited to three minutes per person. Email written copies at least three days in advance to Commission Executive Assistant Denise Warburton at denise.warburton@oregon.gov.

Prior Action by Commission: None,

Action Requested: None.

Attachments: None.

Prepared by: Chris Havel
Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission

September 15, 2021

Agenda Item: 9b Information

Public Comment Allowed: Yes

Topic: Legislative Update

Presented by: Katie Gauthier, Government Relations and Policy Manager

The 2021 legislative session adjourned sine die on June 26, 2021. Over 2,500 bills were introduced during the session, OPRD staff identified nearly 200 that had a potential impact on the agency.

This unique session with all legislator visits and committee hearings being virtual presented new challenges for conveying information to legislators. As an agency we started the session with seven proactive bills. At the end of the session, only two of those bills passed, but numerous other actions included in legislation will impact the agency. Below is a summary of the status of bills that have the most significant impact on OPRD. Bill numbers in bold are the OPRD sponsored bills.

Enacted:

Heritage

- **HB 2123**- OPRD’s bill creating a rolling definition of "historic cemetery" as a burial place containing remains of one or more persons who died more than 75 years before the current date. This bill passed through both chambers and was signed by the Governor on May 12. It became effective upon her signature.

Operations

- **HB 2290**- Rep. Wilde’s bill requiring OPRD to install electric vehicle charging stations in state parks. This bill passed both chambers and was signed by the Governor on May 12. It is effective on January 1, 2022. Agency rulemaking may be necessary to clarify processes as part of the implementation of this bill.
- **HB 2521**- Requires all lodging providers to give customers a receipt or other similar document showing the sum of all transient lodging taxes charged. This bill is effective on September 25, 2021.
- **HB 2560**- Requires governing bodies, to extent reasonably possible, to make all meetings accessible remotely via video or telephone and provide opportunity for members of general public to remotely submit oral and written testimony, if they accept public testimony. This bill is effective September 25, 2021.
• HB 2603- Rep. Gomberg’s bill regulating undersea fiber optic telecommunication cables. A multi-agency panel presented information on the cable permitting process during a hearing. An amended version of the bill was passed and becomes effective September 25, 2021.

• HB 3115- Requires that city and county ordinances regulating sitting, lying, sleeping or keeping warm and dry outdoors on public property be objectively reasonable as to time, place and manner with regards to persons experiencing homelessness. This bill follows recent court decisions and is effective on September 25, 2021.

• HB 3124- Increases time that written notice must be posted before removal of homeless individuals from established camping site to 72 hours and includes new provisions about where personal property must be stored. This bill becomes effective September 25, 2021.

• HB 5006- This was an end of session bill that included a number of items impacting OPRD including $10 million in lottery bonds for Main Street grant programs, general fund appropriations to pay debt service on $50 million in general obligation bonds for park operations. The bill also included $1 million for Kam Wah Chung Interpretive Center, $250,000 for Tryon Creek and $400,000 for the Vietnam Memorial. The bill is effective September 25, 2021, but the bond sale dates vary. Additional details on this bill will be covered during the budget report.

• HB 5025- OPRD’s budget bill had hearings in Ways & Means, Natural Resources Subcommittee in early February and passed out of the sub-committee in early June. The final bill was signed by the Governor in mid-July. Specifics of the budget will be covered in the budget report.

• SB 109- OPRD’s bill seeking permission to adopt rules managing the take-off and landing of drones on state park property. This bill passed overwhelmingly in both chambers and becomes effective on January 1, 2022. Agency rulemaking will begin as required by the bill.

• SB 289- Governor’s bill supported by the Racial Justice Council’s Environmental Equity Committee to prohibit individuals convicted of a first- or second-degree bias crime on public lands or waters from entering state parks for up to five years. Individuals would also have their boating safety education cards, waterway access permits, hunting, angling and shellfish licenses revoked and be ineligible for five years. The bill becomes effective on January 1, 2022. Agency rulemaking will be necessary to determine implementation process.

• SB 319- Dedicates a portion of the State Capitol State Park to build a Vietnam War memorial. This bill was effective upon the Governor’s signature on July 14, 2021.

• SB 794- Sen. Taylor’s bill to increase fees for RV sites for out-of-state residents by 25%. This bill becomes effective on September 25, 2021. Agency rulemaking will be necessary to implement new rates.

Recreation
• HB 2171- Governor’s bill implementing many of the Office of Outdoor Recreation Governor’s task force recommendations to advance access to recreation. Also includes funding for ODFW’s Conservation and Recreation Fund. This bill is effective September 25, 2021. The bill requires OPRD develop design standards for universal access and report back to the legislature by September 2022. The bill also makes changes to the Outdoor Recreation Advisory Council which will require administrative rule changes.
Bills that Died in Committee:

Heritage

- **SB 108** - OPRD’s bill to revise Special Assessment for Historic Properties program to become more efficient and effective for commercial properties. Two other bills, HB 2447 and SB 156, would have extended the sunset on the program without changes for six years. This concept has had hearings in the Senate and House. A workgroup of the House Revenue committee was appointed to recommend a committee bill for the 2022 legislative session.

Operations

- **HB 2125** - OPRD’s bill to study agency operations and funding models. The bill included a $100 million bonding request. This bill passed out of the House Economic Recovery and Prosperity Committee and died in Ways and Means. A portion of the bonding included in this bill ended up in a close of session bill.
- **SB 29** - DAS bill to transfer management of the State Capitol State Park from OPRD to DAS. This bill passed out of Housing and Development Committee and died in Ways and Means.
- **SB 534** - Sen. Findley and Girod’s bill to expand the Special Access Pass program for free camping in state parks to family members of soldiers killed in action. The bill was moved from the Senate Veterans Committee to Ways and Means without recommendation where it died at the end of session.

Grants and Community Programs

- **HB 3281** - Rep. Brock Smith’s bill to provide general fund to develop the Oregon Coast Trail. The bill would also direct the agency to spend funding dedicated to signature trails on the development of the Oregon Coast Trail. The bill died in Ways and Means.
- **SB 106** - OPRD’s bill requiring Class IV, “side-by-sides” ATV operators to have a safety education card. This bill had a hearing in the Joint Transportation Committee, but was not enacted.
- **SB 107** - OPRD’s bill modifying definition of Class IV ATVs and removes requirement that ATVs be equipped with windshield wipers. This bill had a hearing in the Joint Transportation Committee, but died in committee.

Prior Action by Commission: April 2020, Approved legislative concepts.

Action Requested: Information

Attachments: None

Prepared by: Katie Gauthier
Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission

September 15, 2021 Meeting

Agenda Item: 3c        Information

Public Comment Allowed:   Yes

Topic:   Wildland Fires 2021 update

Presented by:  Craig Leech, Department Forester

The season of wildland fires have become more frequent and larger in size along with extended periods of extreme dry conditions for the opportunity for fire starts to begin. Due to these increase frequencies and impactful incidents the department has the need to monitored and follow them closely as they are affecting Parks Operations Statewide throughout the summer. The department has deployed the Agency Operations Coordination group to review current weather forecasts, updates and status of active wildland fires throughout Oregon, and current fire restrictions. The group communicates and shares information on how these Statewide/local incidents are or may impact Parks operations. This information is then used to better communicate to staff the current fire impacts throughout our busy visitor season.

2021 Oregon Wildfire Statistics (8/12):

- 20 large (>100 ac.) wildfires
- 1,502 total wildfires
- 547,140 acres burned

Prior Action by Commission:  None

Action Requested:  None

Prepared by:  Craig Leech
INFORMATION ONLY:

The negotiation process for the 2021-23 Collective Bargaining Agreements with both our union partners is complete. These are two-year terms for both collective bargaining agreements (CBA) consistent with the biennium [7/1/2021 through 6/30/2023]. Consistent with the last year, these contracts are historical based on the generous salary increases of 5.6% over the next two years, changes to holidays, pandemic recognition pay, along with various salary differentials for essential workers and high work. There are a significant number of hours put into the negotiation process with our union partners by both management and labor employees. Those representing OPRD at the various tables were: Daniel Killam, Kristi Fox, Cindy Vinton, Preson Phillips, Mark Shaw and Brian McBeth. The list below is a summary of the tentative agreements reached and does not include the language in all articles. The tentative agreements are in the process of ratification by members. After ratification OPRD HR will partner with management to deliver info sessions and training to communicate changes and management expectations surrounding implementing the contract changes.

- SEIU ODOT Coalition Table came to TA on Wednesday, 6/30/21, at 8:17pm
- SEIU Central Table came to TA on Friday, 7/16/21, 9:01pm
- AEE came to TA on Wednesday, 8/18/21, 9:03 pm
- Personnel file of record.
  - Added language confirming the DAS CHRO Human Resources system [currently Workday] file is the personnel file of record.
- Salary Increase
  - Effective 10/1/2021 a 2.5% COLA or minimum of $80/month if union membership ratifies by 10/1/2021, to be paid 11/1/2021; otherwise, the COLA will be effective the first of the month following ratification.
  - Effective 12/1/2022 a 3.1% COLA or minimum of $100/month to be paid 1/2/2023
- Holidays
  - Adds Juneteenth as recognized holiday
  - Change Special Day [Governor’s Leave Day] to a floating holiday, usable any time during a calendar year.
• Working Remotely
  o Oregon state government encourages working remotely where it is a viable option that benefits both the employee and the agency. Use of remote work options promote the health and safety of Oregonians; ensures high-quality work and optimal use of resources for agencies; ensures cultural, equity and accessibility issues are addressed in a meaningful way; and supports flexibility and work-life balance for employees. It also offers the opportunity to be more flexible in interactions with the Oregonians we serve and decreases an agency's impact on the environment. Remote work arrangements are subject to the Working Remotely State Policy (50.050.01) and the terms and conditions of this collective bargaining agreement.

  o Where an employee’s duties can be successfully performed away from their primary duty station, an employee is eligible for remote work, upon agency approval.

• Position Descriptions and Performance Evaluations
  o Changes “performance evaluations” to “quarterly check-ins”
  o Check-ins will be conducted quarterly; if missed, employee can request check-in for missed time period and supervisor will complete within 30 days
  o Employee shall provide input during the quarterly check-in

Letters of Agreement:
Wildfire Season LOA to Natural Disaster Leave LOA:
• An employee who has lost their primary residence or lost the use of his/her primary residence or lost access to their primary residence due to a natural disaster:
  o Shall have up to 80 hours of paid administrative leave
  o This leave can be used intermittently
  o An employee who has used the 80 hours of leave may request donated leave up to the amount needed to cover the absence.
  o Donors may donate vacation leave or compensatory leave

Creating Healthy Worksites LOA:
• Agree to date of 12/31/21 for each agency to establish an internal complaint procedure [OPRD’s complaint procedure has been in place since 2020]
• For agencies with a complaint procedure already in place, the procedure should be reviewed with SEIU leadership and/or LMC by 12/31/21
• Add language: through HRIS employees can provide feedback regarding their direct supervisors

Hiring Advisory Committee LOA:
• An advisory committee will be formed to:
• Survey and review agency practices and metrics around hiring
• Survey workforce to learn about perceptions, attitudes and experience of workers and managers
• Issue a report on current Agency practices and the opinions of employees and managers of the practices
• Issue a series of recommendations on best practices and the resources necessary to implement the practices .. in recruitment; interviews & selection process; safeguards against cronyism, nepotism, and other forms of inappropriate bias
Frontline Workers Pay LOA:
- A two-tiered payment arrangement allowing a lump sum to be paid to frontline employees who worked on site (non-telecommuting hours) between March 8, 2020 and June 30, 2021:
  - Employees who worked between 480 hours to 1039 hours will be paid $1,050
  - Employees who worked 1040 hours or more will be paid $1,550

Staff & Career Development Committee LOA:
- A management/labor committee will be formed to study and recommend best practices regarding workforce and career development systems that reflect the State’s commitment to equity, quality public services, and building the skills and abilities of the state workforce.

Trauma-Informed and Suicide Prevention Training LOA:
- Agencies will continue to offer/provide at least one trauma-informed training during term of Agreement
- Information on available trauma-informed and suicide prevention trainings will be shared at local LMCS
- Training will be offered on an on-going basis and made available to all employees
- Employees will be in paid status for trainings

**ODOT Coalition**

Sensitive and Difficult Clients
- When an employee experiences behavior from members of the public that to a reasonable person is intimidating, hostile, threatening, violent, or abusive, the employee shall immediately report the incident to their supervisor. In the absence of the supervisor, the incident shall be immediately reported …. The supervisor shall take appropriate action immediately to aid and ensure the safety of the employee in accordance with (CBA, policies, and procedures).
- An employee who is required to be in contact with members of the public who the employee reasonably believes poses a risk of engaging in intimidating, hostile, threatening, violent or abusive behavior shall express their safety-related concerns to their supervisor. The supervisor and the employee shall then meet and confer about the employee’s concerns. If the risk is significant, the supervisor and the employee shall develop a plan to address the employee’s safety-related concerns in accordance with (CBA, policies, and procedures).

Uniforms, Protective Clothing, and Tools
- Increase tool reimbursement allowance from $700/biennium to $1000

******************************************************************************

Mandatory Vaccines for State Employees:
Both SEIU and AEE filed demands to bargain regarding the Governor’s mandate on vaccinations for state workers. Since this is now a bargaining issue, we are asking that management not engage in discussions on this topic with represented employees nor within earshot of represented employees. We recognize this may be a challenging time and we need to trust the process for resolve in moving forward with the Governor’s Executive Order. We are providing information resources to OPRD employees as they become readily available.
Prior Action by Commission: None

Action Requested: None

Attachments: None

Prepared by: Kristi Fox, HR Director
The September 2021 Lottery forecast was released August 25, 2021. It reflects an increase in Lottery Fund revenue for the Department of $3,637,822. Since the close of session (June 2021), the Lottery Fund revenue forecast has increased by $3,637,822. The Department’s budget was built on a Lottery Fund revenue forecast of $123.9 million and the September 2021 forecast is $127.5 million. The table below reflects the change in the Lottery revenue forecast for the 2021-23 biennium and beyond.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecast Date</th>
<th>2021-23 Forecast</th>
<th>Change from Prior Forecast</th>
<th>2023-25 Forecast</th>
<th>Change from Prior Forecast</th>
<th>2025-27 Forecast</th>
<th>Change from Prior Forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2021 Forecast (close of session)</td>
<td>$123,859,554</td>
<td>$131,901,148</td>
<td>$142,152,455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2021 Forecast (released 8-25-2021)</td>
<td>$127,497,376</td>
<td>$3,637,822</td>
<td>$132,844,336</td>
<td>$943,188</td>
<td>$143,291,786</td>
<td>$1,139,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cumulative Change</td>
<td>$3,637,822</td>
<td>$943,188</td>
<td>$1,139,331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the Office of Economic Analysis (OEA), video lottery sales continue at near record level sales. Even as the delta variant surges, there has been little slowdown in video lottery sales. Oregonians have money and there is pent up demand for entertainment options. OEA expects a return to more “normal” sales in the late fall and beyond.
2021-23 Biennium Budget:

Governor Brown has signed bills from the 2021 Legislative session and the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department’s (OPRD) budget was impacted by HB 5025 (the agency’s budget bill), SB 5506 (capital construction) and HB 5006 (close of session bill). Below is a summary of the Department’s Legislatively Adopted Budget (LAB) by Summary Cross Reference (SCR):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budgets by SCR (including packages):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Construction</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director's Office</td>
<td>2,769,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services</td>
<td>44,553,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Development</td>
<td>23,088,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Services</td>
<td>132,634,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Support/Grants</td>
<td>66,937,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>319,983,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions*</td>
<td>1,684,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Construct/Maintain*</td>
<td>14,527,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Grants</td>
<td>14,688,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30,899,518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lottery Fund only

The budget has been entered into the statewide budget system. As part of the audit process, it was discovered that a number of section and subsection references in HB 5006, the close of session bill, did not line up with the section and subsections in HB 5025, OPRD’s budget bill. The result is some mismatched adjustments. OPRD is working with the DAS Chief Financial Office (CFO) and the Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO) to determine the best course of action to record the adjustments in ORBITS (the statewide budget system). It is expected that technical adjustments will be necessary during the February 2022 short legislative session.

The Department’s budget includes the following:

1. Operation cost increases and grant program carryover limitation that the agency requested, Operating Costs $862,749; Grant Carryover $14,151,187
2. DEI (diversity, equity and inclusion) position that the Governor added to OPRD’s budget, $216,073
3. Federal limitation for projects at Prineville that will carryover from 2019-21 due to COVID-19 impacts, $80,000
4. A variety of technical adjustments OPRD requested to better align the budget with operational structures, net zero impact
5. Undo the fund shift of $2M from Other Funds (Park User Fees) to Lottery Funds included in the Governor’s Budget, net zero impact
6. Adjustments for the Attorney General and DAS State Government Service Charges/Other charges, AG $<10,342>; DAS $<2,769,984>
7. Lottery Bond funding for the Main Street grant program, $10,000,000
8. General Fund for a limited duration position, services and supplies to establish statewide standards for future recreation projects to ensure accessibility for those with mobility issues as required by HB 2171, $316,480
9. General Obligation Bond proceeds in a 6-year Capital Construction appropriation for the capital costs of making improvements to facilities in multiple Oregon state parks, $50,000,000
10. Debt Service associated with the Main Street and General Obligation bonds. Main Street $414,812 (Non M76 Lottery); GO Bonds $2,232,560 (General Fund)
Local Government Grant Program – the budget includes limitation for the required 12% ($14,688,154) of OPRD’s share of the Parks and Natural Resources Fund. Because the close of session forecast indicated that the trigger moving the percentage to 25% would occur during the 2021-23 biennium, OPRD and LFO have reserved the difference between 12 and 25% in the ending balance ($16,101,743) to have the funds available should the trigger actually occur (i.e. the forecast holds above the trigger amount, $122,185,455).

The budget does not include $500K General Fund (program dollars and one position) included in the Governor’s Budget for the Office of Outdoor Recreation.

The charts below show the Department’s beginning and ending projected cash balances, projected revenues and policy packages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beginning Balances:</th>
<th>Revenues:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt Grants</td>
<td>8,006,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV County Grants</td>
<td>675,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR Prop Mgmt Acct</td>
<td>80,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street</td>
<td>1,654,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV Funds</td>
<td>3,538,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust/Dedicated Funds</td>
<td>11,719,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow*</td>
<td>20,950,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Dedicated</td>
<td>24,324,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>70,950,727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| *Cash flow breakdown: | |
|-----------------------| |
| From DAS - M76        | 123,859,554 |
| From DAS - non M76    | 3,325,195   |
| From Business Oregon  | 260,000     |
| From Marine Board     | 400,000     |
| From ODOT             | 57,020,697  |
| Transfer to Counties  | (15,634,249) |
| Transfer to State Police | (894,602) |
| Transfer to Forestry  | (1,787,438) |
| Transfer to ODOT      | (715,483)   |
| **Total**             | 331,785,523 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ending Balances:</th>
<th>82,753,043</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt Grants</td>
<td>8,181,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV County Grants</td>
<td>195,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR Prop Mgmt Acct</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV Funds</td>
<td>2,739,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust/Dedicated Funds</td>
<td>11,284,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow*</td>
<td>27,257,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve - Salary/Wages</td>
<td>9,165,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve - LGGP</td>
<td>16,101,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Dedicated</td>
<td>7,826,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>82,753,043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| *Cash flow breakdown: | |
|-----------------------| |
| OR Prop Mgmt Acct     | 125,000    |
| ATV Funds             | 5,742,000  |
| Trust/Dedicated Funds | 1,290,484  |
| General Operations    | 20,100,000 |
| **Total**             | 27,257,484 |
High level budget allocations have been finalized by the Director’s Team; allocation is now going on at the various management levels of the organization.

To close the budget cycle loop, below are tables that show OPRD’s budget at each approval point in the budget building process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021-23 Agency Request Budget</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Lottery</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Director's Office</td>
<td>1,676,642</td>
<td>1,331,393</td>
<td>3,008,035</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services</td>
<td>23,546,605</td>
<td>20,126,614</td>
<td>43,673,219</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Development</td>
<td>20,184,465</td>
<td>3,422,473</td>
<td>1,892,853</td>
<td>25,499,791</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Services</td>
<td>57,202,219</td>
<td>70,765,911</td>
<td>2,335,941</td>
<td>130,304,071</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>475.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Support/Grants</td>
<td>17,552,037</td>
<td>21,486,735</td>
<td>18,493,835</td>
<td>57,532,607</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120,161,968</td>
<td>117,133,126</td>
<td>22,722,629</td>
<td>260,017,723</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>595.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021-23 Governor's Budget</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Lottery</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Director's Office</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>1,887,362</td>
<td>1,325,772</td>
<td>3,713,134</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services</td>
<td>22,304,699</td>
<td>18,822,587</td>
<td>41,127,286</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Development</td>
<td>20,184,465</td>
<td>3,422,473</td>
<td>1,892,853</td>
<td>25,499,791</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Services</td>
<td>59,574,176</td>
<td>67,926,461</td>
<td>2,335,941</td>
<td>129,836,578</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>475.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Support/Grants</td>
<td>18,901,518</td>
<td>21,486,735</td>
<td>18,493,835</td>
<td>58,882,088</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122,852,220</td>
<td>112,984,028</td>
<td>22,722,629</td>
<td>259,058,877</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>597.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021-23 Legislatively Adopted Budget</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Lottery</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Construction</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director's Office</td>
<td>316,480</td>
<td>1,516,564</td>
<td>936,428</td>
<td>2,769,472</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services</td>
<td>2,232,560</td>
<td>22,391,204</td>
<td>19,930,100</td>
<td>44,553,864</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Development</td>
<td>16,211,364</td>
<td>4,983,803</td>
<td>1,892,853</td>
<td>23,088,020</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>483.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Services</td>
<td>59,096,649</td>
<td>71,121,977</td>
<td>2,415,941</td>
<td>132,634,567</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Support/Grants</td>
<td>19,865,280</td>
<td>28,578,169</td>
<td>18,493,835</td>
<td>66,937,284</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>597.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Emergency Board Meetings**: Since Oregon voters approved annual legislative sessions, the Oregon Legislature will return to session in February 2022. Between now and then, meetings of the Interim Joint Committee on Ways and Means have been scheduled for September 2021, November 2021 and January 2022. This body will hear issues and make recommendations to the full body for action in the February 2022 session.

**Prior Action by Commission**: The 2021-23 Agency Request Budget was approved at the June 2020 meeting. A brief update was provided in November 2020, the Governor’s Budget was presented at the February 2021 meeting and a brief update was verbally presented at the June 2021 meeting.

**Action Requested**: None.

**Attachments**: None.

**Prepared by**: Tanya Crane, Budget Manager
North Coast Land Conservancy (NCLC) is a land trust working “...to conserve and connect landscape of the Oregon Coast from the Columbia River to northern Lincoln County by acquiring or otherwise managing lands for their habitat value.”* The Rainforest Reserve is a project by NCLC to preserve approximately 3,500 acres of property located to the northeast of Oswald West State Park. Identified by former Oregon State Parks Director, Samuel H. Boardman, as a possible addition to Oswald West State Park (then known as ‘Short Sand Beach State Park’), the Rainforest Reserve property includes beautiful basalt cliffs, lush forest and mountain peaks. (https://nclctrust.org/creating-a-rainforest-reserve/)

NCLC has requested easement rights to cross two short legs of private roadway on State Parks property. Both roadways are located off of the Northbound lanes of Highway 101, travel northeast from the highway, and are currently utilized by timber companies to access their land holdings via non-exclusive easements. NCLC requests easements for land and grant management purposes only and do not intend for the public to access their property via these proposed access points. OPRD has issued an access permit to NCLC for interim road use but conditions of several of the project’s grant funds will require these rights be secured via easement.

NCLC has held five public open houses and hundreds of tours of the property to discuss public access on the proposed Rainforest Reserve. They envision that the Rainforest Reserve property will be open to the public for pedestrian access but are still working with the National Parks Service and adjoining landowners to develop a public recreation plan for the property.

* www.NCLCtrust.org/about-us/

Prior Action by Commission: None

Action Requested: None

Attachments: 5a Map (1)

Prepared by: Tabitha Henricksen
5a. Oswald West -
Easements to North Coast Land Conservancy (Rainforest Reserve)

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the usability of the information.
Clatsop County would like to transfer two parcels of property, each about an acre, to Oregon Parks and Recreation Department. The parcels are located on the Ocean Shore - north of Chapman Point, south of Ecola State Park and surrounded by the lands of John Yeon State Natural Site. Consolidation of management and consistent enforcement in this difficult to access area will help both government entities. If acquired, OPRD will include the property in John Yeon SNS and manage it as a natural site.

OPRD has requested that Clatsop County work to vacate the remaining public right of way in the area prior to consideration of transfer of the property. (Other area right of way has already been vacated, leaving fractional pieces of the platted Pine and Alder Streets remaining.) Due to its location at the edge of the City limits of Cannon Beach, vacations will need to be processed with both the County and the City. This process will take some time. OPRD has accepted management of the property via agreement with Clatsop County until the vacation processes can be completed and the transfer officially considered by the Commission at a later date.

Prior Action by Commission: None

Action Requested: None

Attachments: 5b Map (1)

Prepared by: Tabitha Henricksen
This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the usability of the information.

Oregon Statewide Imagery Program (OSIP) - Oregon Imagery Framework Implementation Team

Oregon Parks and Recreation Dept.
725 Summer St. NE, Suite C
Salem OR, 97301
In an effort to more effectively and efficiently manage the properties in our portfolio, OPRD strives to seek out and develop opportunities to consolidate the operation of parks, wherever it serves the public interest. This can mean pursuing property agreement, exchanges, or transfers in or out of agency management. As you may know, Cascadia State Park has been operated by Linn County since March of 2019 with the ultimate intention to request a permanent transfer of the property to them if all went well under the operations agreement. Linn County has successfully operated Cascadia State Park for two years. With Cascadia being nestled in among other Linn County operations, but quite a distance from even the closest OPRD property, operation appears to be more efficient under Linn County with little to no impact to the recreating public.

OPRD and Linn County have worked through the details of transferring Cascadia so that this, one of Oregon’s special places, will continue to be protected and will provide the same outstanding natural, scenic, cultural, historic, and recreational enjoyment under Linn County ownership for generations to come as it has these past two years under their operational management.

The deed that is currently being drafted will not only transfer ownership of the property to Linn County, but will also transfer other important responsibilities, such as compliance with the same cultural and historic rules and statutes that OPRD is governed by, including consultation with SHPO and, ultimately, Land and Water Conservation Fund protection. There will be no monetary consideration for this transaction, but OPRD will include language that the property will revert back to us if it is used for any purpose that is not public outdoor recreation.

We present this action item to the commission and request that you allow us to record a deed transferring Cascadia to Linn County. As you prepare to vote, we welcome all questions you might have.

**Prior Action by Commission:** None

**Action Requested:** Allow OPRD to Deed Cascadia SP to Linn County

**Attachments:** Map (1)

**Prepared by:** Ladd Whitcomb, Right of Way Agent
This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the usability of the information.
Lincoln County contacted Dennis Comfort, Coastal Region Manager, when they became aware that the owner of an inholding at Fogarty Creek State Recreational Area had interest in selling the property. As OPRD was interested in acquiring this inholding, the Property Unit was tasked with contacting the property owner to determine if an agreement could be reasonably reached for the transfer of this property into OPRD ownership. The property owner was not interested in donating the property to OPRD but indicated that they would be willing to sell the property to OPRD for a price based on the approximate market value of the property.

OPRD is conducting due diligence to ascertain such things as environmental quality, market value, and marketability of title. Should the findings be acceptable to OPRD, we would move toward a formal agreement with the owner for the purchase & sale of this property.

This briefing is presented as information only. When due diligence has been completed and a Purchase & Sale Agreement is formalized, we will request Commission approval to complete the acquisition of this property and cure the inholding at Fogarty Creek. We welcome any questions you might have at this time.

Prior Action by Commission: None

Action Requested: None

Attachments: Map (1)

Prepared by: Ladd Whitcomb, Right of Way Agent
This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the usability of the information.
North Santiam State Recreation Area (SRA) is ~ 151 acre property 30 miles east of Salem on Highway 22. The property has a picnic shelter and tables, horseshoe pits, short trails, and nine primitive campsites. A boat ramp to the North Santiam River is popular. The park normally serves an estimated 70,000-80,000 day visits a year and rents 700-750 campsites a year, for an occupancy rate of around 54%. The site is supported by a volunteer host and staff from the Detroit Lake management unit 23 miles east.

Marion County gave the original park land to the state in 1937. Private purchases and a gift added to the area in 1952, 1958, and 1965, and the State Highway Division transferred a final piece of land in 1968. No deed restrictions apply to the park. Portions of the park have burned at least twice in the last five years, with the catastrophic 2020 wildfires doing the most damage. The park is currently open for day-use services, but not camping.

North Santiam SRA is ringed by county parks, with Niagara, Packsaddle, and Minto parks 8-12 miles east, and the popular North Fork and other county parks 16+ miles west. In August 2021, Marion County representatives approached Oregon Parks and Recreation Department Director Lisa Sumption with a request to enter into a management agreement that would entail:

- County management of the North Santiam State Recreation Area for public recreation.
- Occasional use of the property for non-recreational purposes, such as a temporary residence for people evacuated due to regional natural disasters or other hardships.
- Preservation and improvement of cultural and natural resources.

Marion County has a critical need for economic, recreational, and humanitarian responses to the 2020 fires and COVID health emergency, and newly-dedicated funds from federal and other sources. The management agreement for North Santiam is being negotiated by District Manager Sarah Steele, likely in advance of the 2022 season. Actual disposition of the property would require Oregon State Parks and Recreation Commission action; the management agreement will explain the possible paths to that request.
Prior Action by Commission: None

Action Requested: None.

Attachments: Map of North Santiam SRA, Director’s letter to Marion County commissioners

Prepared by: Chris Havel
Dear commissioners,

I am writing to express my intent to draft and adopt an agreement between the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) and Marion County related to management and ownership of state park property in the Santiam Canyon region.

I will direct OPRD staff to immediately begin consultation with county staff so we may establish an agreement that allows, at minimum:

- Management of the North Santiam State Recreation Area for public recreation.
- Occasional use of the property for non-recreational purposes, such as a temporary residence for people evacuated due to regional natural disasters or other hardships.
- Preservation and improvement of cultural and natural resources.

The agreement will address OPRD and county roles and obligations for park operations in the short- and medium term, and lay out a path for transferring ownership of the property from the state to the county, subject to approval by the Oregon State Parks and Recreation Commission. During the process of entering into an agreement, it will be crucially important that both the county and OPRD engage park visitors, neighbors, tribal governments, and other entities to ensure a smooth transition.

With regards,

Lisa Sumption, Director
Oregon Parks and Recreation Department

Cc by email:
Jan Fritz, Marion County Chief Administrative Officer, JFRITZ@co.marion.or.us
Brian Nicholas, Marion County Public Works Director, BNicholas@co.marion.or.us
Ross Kihs, OPRD Region Manager, ross.kihs@oregon.gov
Sarah Steele, OPRD District Manager, sarah.steele@oregon.gov
Bob Rea, OPRD Park Manager, Robert.rea@oregon.gov
Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission

September 15, 2021

Agenda Item: 6a  Action

Public Comment Allowed: Yes

Topic: Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Planning Grant Request

Presented by: Daniel Killam, Deputy Director of Administration

Background:

Congress established the LWCF in 1964 to create parks and open space; protect wilderness; wetlands and refuges; preserve habitat; and enhance recreational opportunities through matching grants to States and local governments. The federal funds for this program come, primarily from Outer Continental Shelf revenues derived from leasing oil and gas sites in coastal waters. Over time, Oregon has received over $70 million from this source.

Categories of eligible projects include acquisition, development, rehabilitation and planning grants for developing a Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP). Project Sponsors must provide at least 50% funding match.

In order to maintain eligibility to participate in the LWCF program, every State must produce a SCORP at least once every five years. The 2019-2023 SCORP for Oregon was completed by Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) and accepted by the National Park Service (NPS) in April 2019.

The attached narrative for the recommended 2024-2028 SCORP planning grant was developed as a result of joint consultations between OPRD and the National Park Service. OPRD is requesting an LWCF grant to complete the SCORP.

Prior Action by Commission: None, other than LWCF approvals through time.

Action Requested: Staff requests that the Commission approve distribution of LWCF grant funds in the amount of $216,048 to OPRD for purposes of producing the 2024-2028 SCORP.


Prepared by: Nohemi Enciso
Background

The last Comprehensive Statewide Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP) for Oregon was completed by the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) and accepted by the National Park Service (NPS) in April 2019. A primary focus of the planning effort was to provide up-to-date, high-quality information to assist recreation providers with park system planning in Oregon. With the completion of this plan, the state maintains eligibility to participate in the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) through May 2024.

OPRD is currently in the process of preparing a new five-year SCORP plan for the state. The plan will continue the contributions of previous plans by quantifying and identifying the ways in which outdoor recreation provides benefits to Oregonians. In addition, the plan will address important issues facing outdoor recreation providers in the coming years. The main themes of the plan will be:

1. Outdoor recreation is important to Oregonians and provides many benefits
2. Over-crowding and pressure on resources are increasing
3. Access, opportunity, and participation are still inequitable

A critical objective of the 2024-2028 Oregon SCORP planning effort is to provide outdoor recreation managers with usable information to address ongoing changes and issues in Oregon. The following provides background on each theme and how the SCORP will address these issues.

Outdoor recreation is important to Oregonians and provides many benefits.

In the 2019-23 Oregon SCORP, the Oregon Outdoor Recreation Survey showed that 95% of Oregonians participated in at least one outdoor recreation activity in Oregon during 2017. The survey also showed that participation was high across a number of demographic groups. The 2019-23 SCORP focused on the following sub-populations of Oregonians: young old (ages 60-74) and middle old (ages 75-84), low income (annual household income < $25,000), Asian, Latino1, male/female, rural/suburban/urban, and families with children. In each of these groups, outdoor recreation participation was 82.5% or higher (most groups had participation rates over 90%).

This high rate of participation provides many benefits to Oregonians. The 2019-23 SCORP included two studies that demonstrated health benefits and economic value derived directly from outdoor recreation activities. One research project entitled, “Health Benefits Estimates for Oregonians from Their Outdoor Recreation Participation in Oregon,” calculated the energy expenditure from physical activity related to outdoor recreation participation by residents in kilocalories (kcal) expended or burned and cost of illness savings. The study found that total energy expended by Oregonians for the 30 outdoor recreation activities included in the analysis is a conservative 503 billion kcal per year – equivalent to 144 million pounds of body fat. The total annual Cost of Illness savings to Oregon from Oregonians’ participation in 30 outdoor recreation activities is conservatively calculated to be $1.416 billion. According to the study, this Cost of Illness Savings is approximately 3.6% of total health care expenditures in the state, or 17% of expenditures in treating cardiovascular diseases, cancers, diabetes, and depression.

1 Ideally, the plan would have provided insights on all racial/ethnic minority populations but data limitations only enabled focus on Asian and Latino communities.
Another research project entitled, “Total Net Economic Value from Resident’s Outdoor Recreation Participation in Oregon,” calculated the total net economic value for recreation participation in Oregon to Oregonians from their participation in 56 outdoor recreation activities in 2017. Total net economic value or benefits (i.e., total economic value net of the costs) is a measure of the contribution to societal welfare for use in cost-benefit analysis. The study found that the total net economic value associated with outdoor recreation participation in Oregon by Oregonians is $54.2 billion (2018 USD) annually, based on 2017 use levels.

These reports clearly demonstrate that parks and recreation providers have a role in increasing the public health and wellbeing of Oregonians. This SCORP will continue to examine participation trends and the benefits associated with outdoor recreation. The plan will provide detailed, up-to-date information to lead Oregon’s recreation providers in fulfilling the needs of Oregonians (e.g. which activities are most popular, provide the most health benefits, and result in the highest economic value). This information can guide acquisition, facility development, and service provision across the state.

**Over-crowding and pressure on resources are increasing.**

Visits to the outdoors are increasing across Oregon, especially in recent years. From 2010-19, annual visitation to state parks in Oregon grew from 41.5 million visits to 49.9 million visits (a 20% increase). This rise in visitation has not been unique to state parks; Crater Lake National Park² and John Day Fossil Beds National Monument³ experienced 57% and 46% increases in annual visits during 2010-19. With the increase in visitors, there are more and more reports of over-crowding at parks across Oregon, especially in popular areas like the Columbia River Gorge, Central Oregon, and the Oregon Coast.

In general, the growth in outdoor recreation is a positive development due to the associated public health and economic benefits. However, there are a number of problems associated with outdoor areas being over-crowded. First, visitor experience is likely to diminish if locations exceed their social carrying capacity. Second, as more people pour into parks, it will likely lead to more natural resource damage. Examples of this damage include erosion, spread of weeds, disturbance/displacement of wildlife, damage to natural vegetation, and soil compaction. Harm to natural resources can further diminish visitor experience by diminishing the natural beauty of outdoor locations.

Recreation providers across Oregon and the U.S. are considering a number of options to manage crowds, including permits, quotas, time limits, and rate increases. For instance, the Deschutes and Willamette National Forests are implementing a day-use fee to reduce crowding at popular wilderness areas in Oregon’s Central Cascades⁴. Agencies are also putting more thought into communication and marketing strategies to encourage visits to historically less-popular areas across the state.

---
² NPS Stats. *Crater Lake NP Annual Park Recreation Visits.* [https://irma.nps.gov/STATS/SSRSReports/Park%20Specific%20Reports/Annual%20Park%20Recreation%20Visitatio n%20(1904%20-%20Last%20Calendar%20Year)?Park=CRLA](https://irma.nps.gov/STATS/SSRSReports/Park%20Specific%20Reports/Annual%20Park%20Recreation%20Visitatio n%20(1904%20-%20Last%20Calendar%20Year)?Park=CRLA)
³ NPS Stats. *John Day Fossil Beds NM Annual Park Recreation Visits.* [https://irma.nps.gov/STATS/SSRSReports/Park%20Specific%20Reports/Annual%20Park%20Recreation%20Visitatio n%20(1904%20-%20Last%20Calendar%20Year)?Park=JODA](https://irma.nps.gov/STATS/SSRSReports/Park%20Specific%20Reports/Annual%20Park%20Recreation%20Visitatio n%20(1904%20-%20Last%20Calendar%20Year)?Park=JODA)
Oregon’s 2024-28 SCORP will analyze this issue and provide guidance to help recreation providers balance conservation and increased recreation. The plan will examine the decision-making process of visitors to better understand how visitors choose destinations. There will also be a review of different management techniques and communication/marketing strategies that could be employed when areas are over-crowded. Ideally, the SCORP will identify how Oregon residents feel about balancing conservation and recreation and how land managers can approach the issue to support the long-term well-being of outdoor recreation in Oregon.

**Access, opportunity, and participation are still inequitable.**

The Oregon population is becoming more diverse, as racial and ethnic minorities are making up a larger proportion of the overall population\(^5\,^6\). Asian, Black, and Hispanic populations are the fastest growing in the state. In addition, every one of Oregon’s 36 counties is more diverse now than in 2010. Minority racial/ethnic groups may be growing fastest in the general population, but these groups are underrepresented in outdoor recreation in Oregon. The 2019-23 SCORP found that the Oregon Latino and Asian populations are underserved populations in Oregon when examining the average number of days of outdoor recreation participation across the year. There is also less diversity at Oregon State Parks compared to the Oregon population. The graph below displays the racial/ethnic composition of OPRD visitors and the Oregon population\(^7\,^8\). Other than White/Caucasian visitors, visitors from each racial/ethnic group make up a smaller share of visitors compared to their share of the Oregon population.

![Racial/Ethnic Makeup of OPRD Visitors and Oregon Population](image)

Additionally, barriers to the outdoors exist for other sub-populations or Oregonians, such as people with limited incomes and people with disabilities. The 2019-23 SCORP found that the Oregon low-income population is underserved in terms of outdoor recreation participation. The survey also identified that


\(^8\) Oregon Parks and Recreation internal data from visitor surveys (2011-17) and overnight camping (2018).
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an extremely high percentage (45%) of low-income respondents indicated that they or someone in their household had a disability – twice as high as reported by the general population (23%).

These participation trends (and other factors) have pushed diversity, equity, and inclusion to the forefront of outdoor recreation issues. The 2019-23 SCORP provided a number of recommendations to address these participation gaps and the 2024-28 SCORP will continue to advance this topic. Specifically, the plan will build on the evolving outdoor inclusion discourse to directly address topics such as:

- Barriers to day use and camping
- Diverse representation in staff/decision makers, as well as marketing/advertising materials
- Partnerships with diversity advocates
- Parks serving as venues for cultural events and festivals
- Rules (and rulemaking processes) that unnecessarily create barriers

The objective is to provide tangible recommendations that help recreation providers promote participation among underrepresented communities so that all Oregonians can enjoy the benefits of outdoor recreation.

Project Objectives

The 2019-2023 Oregon Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan has been in place since April 2019. The plan still provides relevant and useful information but, as a general rule, planning documents of this type have a usable shelf life of five years. As a result, there is a need to update the plan to address new issues and changes that are occurring in the state.

The objectives of this SCORP planning effort include:

- qualifying the state of Oregon for assistance from LWCF programs,
- assessing the needs and opinions of Oregon’s citizens as they related to outdoor recreation opportunities and management;
- establishing priorities for expenditures from the LWCF and Local Government Grant Programs and other applicable funding sources administered by OPRD and provide guidance for other public and private funding administrators who allocate resources to outdoor recreation projects;
- developing strategic guidance for state, federal local, private and non-profit organizations with responsibilities related to the provision of outdoor recreation opportunities in Oregon;
- recommending actions that enhance the provision of outdoor recreation opportunities to all public and private providers in Oregon;
- providing a common understanding of Oregon’s outdoor recreation issues, needs, and opportunities to facilitate coordination among providers; and
- assisting Oregon’s park providers in conducting needs assessments for park and recreation planning for their jurisdictions.
- producing data to show trends in outdoor recreation participation – as well as associated health and economic benefits – through time

Planning Components

The five-year Oregon SCORP plan includes the following components:

1. **SCORP Plan Advisory Committee**
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OPRD will establish an advisory committee to assist the department in the planning process. Advisory committee members will be selected to ensure adequate agency/organizational and geographic coverage.

OPRD will ask Steering Committee Members to assist with the following planning tasks:
- reviewing the basic planning framework;
- determining the basic plan outline;
- recommending actions that enhance outdoor recreation opportunities in the state;
- reviewing survey instruments, research findings, and reports; and
- reviewing final planning documents.

A limited number of Steering Committee Members will be selected by OPRD to recommend a set of project evaluation criteria for the OPRD-administered LWCF and Local Grant Programs.

2. Update Oregon Outdoor Recreation Participation Survey
The Oregon Outdoor Recreation Participation survey will be developed to remain consistent with past SCORPs will also addressing new issues in Oregon. The survey will continue to provide insight on outdoor recreation participation trends, priorities, and values across different demographic groups in Oregon. Nonetheless, the survey will be updated in a number of ways. There will be changes to better measure participation in outdoor activities to establish meaningful targets for increasing involvement. Moreover, the survey will be updated to inform study on balancing conservation and recreation use. There will be new questions that focus on the following items:
- Tradeoffs to visiting less-popular locations
- Information sources when looking for new areas to visit (e.g. role of social media)
- Key factors when deciding where to visit (e.g. convenience, popularity, specific activities, specific features)
- Opinions on conservation vs. recreation

In addition, the survey will be updated to advance the understanding of inclusion issues in the outdoors. There will be new questions that directly address the following items:
- Barriers to day use and camping
- Diverse representation in staff/decision makers, as well as marketing/advertising materials
- Partnerships with diversity advocates
- Parks serving as venues for cultural events and festivals
- Rules (and rulemaking processes) that unnecessarily create barriers

3. Update Research on Health Benefits of Outdoor Recreation
As people engage in outdoor recreation activities, they accrue many types of benefits. Measuring these benefits are indicators of public support for public land system by demonstrating the real benefits flows to people, communities, and the state through healthy lifestyles, lower health care costs, and overall quality of life. The 2019-23 SCORP included a study that quantified health benefits derived directly from outdoor recreation activities. The research project entitled, “Health Benefits Estimates for Oregonians from Their Outdoor Recreation Participation in Oregon,” found that total energy expended by Oregonians from 30 outdoor recreation activities was a conservative 503 billion kcal per year. The total annual Cost of Illness savings to Oregon from Oregonians’ participation in these activities was conservatively calculated to be $1.416 billion.
The 2024-28 Oregon SCORP will update this type of analysis using participation data from the Oregon Outdoor Recreation Participation Survey. Furthermore, the SCORP will include recommendations on how the outdoors can increase health equity across Oregon.

4. Update to Oregon Outdoor Recreation Economic Impact Study
Another important project component will be to provide current data on the role of outdoor recreation on statewide, regional and local economies. A recent study, produced in partnership between OPRD, Travel Oregon, and the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, found that in 2019 outdoor recreation supported 224,000 full and part-time jobs in statewide and accounted for $13 billion of Oregon’s GDP. Results were also shared regarding other measures such as tax and wage contributions, as well as local impacts (at the county level, for example).

This analysis relied on a variety of data sources, chief among them being the last Oregon Outdoor Recreation Participation Survey which provides an efficiency in updating this report as a part of the overall SCORP. Doing so will also allow analysis of the state of Oregon’s outdoor recreation economy as we work to emerge from a pandemic-induced recession and recover from an historic wildfire season in 2020.

5. Report on Balancing Conservation and Recreation
The 2024-28 SCORP will contain a report to guide recreation providers in the balance of conservation and recreation. The report will cover the recreation impacts on natural areas (e.g. natural resource damage). In addition, there will be a section on management techniques for dealing with over-crowding. Responses from the Outdoor Recreation Participation Survey will inform discussion on how to identify which less-visited locations may be appealing for new visitors and how to incentivize visitors to travel to new destinations. Furthermore, the report will contain a section on the expansion of recreation opportunities, covering topics such as acquisition and protection of natural areas, private land access, and improved connectivity across jurisdictions.

This report will be guided by the SCORP Advisory Committee and will bring in relevant research and practitioner perspectives.

6. Report on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the Oregon Outdoors
The 2024-28 SCORP will include a report on outdoor inclusion issues in Oregon and provide recommendations to help recreation providers promote participation amongst underrepresented communities. This report will be heavily informed by survey responses to inclusion-focused questions in the Outdoor Recreation Participation survey (see component #2 above). In addition, the report will discuss metrics that can be used to assess progress. Development of this report may require consultation with practitioners and organizations beyond the advisory council, whose core expertise includes advancing equity, diversity and inclusion in the outdoors.

7. Recreation Data Standards and Integration
This project will be focused on developing a framework for recreation use and amenities that can be adopted by agencies across Oregon. An outcome of the project will be a shared map with recreation site

information, as well as recommendations for counting recreation use which could be adopted across jurisdictions. This map can be used to produce a statewide recreation inventory and gap analysis as recommended by the Oregon Governor’s Task Force on the Outdoors: 2020 Framework for Action\textsuperscript{10}. Furthermore, the mapping component can be used by the public and could be beneficial during statewide emergencies (e.g. COVID-19 pandemic, September 2020 wildfires). OPRD plans to pursue this project as a sub-component of SCORP, and also through the Oregon Framework Program which is a GIS effort to produce integrated datasets of statewide significance\textsuperscript{11}. Currently no such dataset for outdoor recreation exists in Oregon, though in some ways this effort will build off of the Parkland Mapping component of the 2019-2023 Oregon SCORP.

8. Assessment of Recreational Needs and Priorities
The Oregon Outdoor Recreation Participation Survey will ask survey respondents to rate several items for investment by park and forest agencies. Planning components #3 and #4 will identify which outdoor recreation activities/facilities provide the most health and economic benefits. Planning component #5 will identify key strategies to address the balance of conservation and recreation as outdoor recreation continues to grow in popularity. Planning component #6 will provide insight for targeted investments that address inequities in outdoor recreation access, opportunity, and participation. In addition, near the end of the project, there will be three public engagements (e.g. public workshops, virtual information sessions, surveys) to connect with the public around relevant recreation issues that are captured in the 2024-28 SCORP.

9. SCORP planning website
Early in the planning process, OPRD staff will develop a SCORP planning website to provide current information about the planning process. One of the primary objectives of the website will be to build interest in the plan through the course of the planning process. The site will also be used to disseminate major planning results, gather issue comments, and review preliminary draft materials.

10. Guidelines and rating system criteria for evaluating LWCF grant proposals
To allocate statewide LWCF Grant Program funds in an objective manner, a revised set of Project Selection Criteria will be developed for evaluating grant proposals. A substantial number of the total evaluation points available will be tied directly to the new 2024-2028 SCORP findings.

11. Wetlands priority plan
The Oregon Wetlands Priority plan, developed by the Division of State Lands, meets the requirements of Section 303 of the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986. A SCORP chapter will illustrate how the plan meets requirements and summarize the findings of the current plan.

12. Potential grant sources for outdoor recreation projects in Oregon
This section will include a listing and discussion of available grant funding sources for outdoor recreation and open space projects in Oregon. Ideally, there will be an online database so that potential applicants can more easily find grant opportunities.

13. Oregon Administrative rules for administering the LWCF grant program

\textsuperscript{11} Oregon Framework Development Program https://www.oregon.gov/geo/Pages/fit.aspx
This section will include a current set of Oregon Administrative rules for distribution of LWCF funding assistance to units of local governments for public outdoor recreation.

Planning Grant Deliverables
This grant’s products include:
1. Survey report – Findings on outdoor recreation participation trends, priorities, and values across different demographic groups in Oregon.
2. Research report- Health benefits of outdoor recreation
3. Research report- Outdoor recreation economic impacts
4. Research/survey report- Balancing conservation and recreation in Oregon
5. Research/survey report- Diversity, equity, and inclusion in the Oregon outdoors
6. Report with recommendations – recreation inventory and data integration
7. The 2024-28 Oregon SCORP plan including
   o Project Selection Criteria
   o Wetlands Priority Plan

Oregon 5-Year Plan Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Tasks</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish the SCORP Advisory Committee</td>
<td>10/1/2021</td>
<td>10/31/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop survey methodologies &amp; instruments</td>
<td>10/1/2021</td>
<td>11/30/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold first SCORP Advisory Committee Meeting</td>
<td>12/1/2021</td>
<td>12/31/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Outdoor Recreation Participation surveys</td>
<td>1/1/2022</td>
<td>3/31/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data coding &amp; analysis of survey results</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>10/31/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Outdoor Recreation Health Benefits Study</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>3/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Data Standards and Integration Project</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>8/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Outdoor Recreation Economic Impact Study</td>
<td>10/1/2022</td>
<td>2/28/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Report on Balancing Conservation and Recreation</td>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>4/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Report on Outdoor Inclusion Issues</td>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>4/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold second SCORP Advisory Committee Meeting</td>
<td>3/1/2023</td>
<td>3/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine key strategic planning actions</td>
<td>3/1/2023</td>
<td>4/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a rating system for evaluating LWCF grant proposals</td>
<td>3/1/2023</td>
<td>4/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct 3 public engagements/workshops</td>
<td>4/1/2023</td>
<td>5/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete draft SCORP report</td>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td>5/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public review of draft SCORP report</td>
<td>6/1/2023</td>
<td>6/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS review of the draft SCORP report</td>
<td>7/1/2023</td>
<td>7/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final revisions on the draft SCORP report</td>
<td>8/1/2023</td>
<td>8/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORP report layout and publication</td>
<td>8/1/2023</td>
<td>8/31/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of final SCORP report</td>
<td>9/1/2023</td>
<td>9/30/2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oregon 2024-28 SCORP Planning Grant Cost Estimates

OPRD will contract with Oregon State University (OSU) for research coordination and project administration. The following list shows the items that OSU will cover and the associated cost. The total contract is $227,600. The attached scope of work and budget justification provides more details. Throughout the project, OPRD will be approving work performed by OSU or working in coordination with OSU (further details of OPRD’s work with OSU are detailed below in the staff details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSU RESEARCH COORDINATION AND PROJECT ADMINISTRATION- Details</th>
<th>Estimated Hours</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESEARCH COORDINATION - SCORP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORP Planning Overview &amp; Strategic Statement</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORP Advisory Committee</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORP Inventory</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-State Survey Pre-Letter</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Work &amp; Analysis</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Workshops</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$5,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report (Prep &amp; Final + Printing)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor Coordination &amp; Management</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OREI Administrative Support</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>$227,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The economic impact study (planning component #4) will be completed outside the OSU scope of work. This project is expected to cost $60,000; OPRD is planning to pay $20,000 and to receive $40,000 from partner organizations. In addition, OPRD will commit 0.10 FTE of Caleb Dickson’s time for the 2 years of the project. There will also be $50,000 of GIS work done by OPRD staff on data standards and integration. Chris Havel and Cailin O’Brien-Feeney will each commit 0.05 FTE for 2 years. The roles of each OPRD staff member in SCORP are described below. Furthermore, the budget includes travel to 2 advisory committee meetings for advisory committee members (approximately 30) and OPRD staff. Advisory committee members are volunteers and will only receive reimbursement for travel expenses. Advisory committee member travel is estimated at $9,525 and OPRD staff travel is estimated at $1,428.

Caleb Dickson, OPRD Research Analyst (0.10 FTE 2 years)

- Works with OSU on research and methodology to ensure that the survey, analysis, and reports align with SCORP objectives
  - Meetings
  - Survey methods and instrument review
  - Report outlining
- Contributes to reports as needed (e.g. background research, survey analysis, and report writing)
- In particular, Caleb’s work will primarily focus on the following:
  - Survey report – Findings on outdoor recreation participation trends, priorities, and values across different demographic groups in Oregon.
  - Research/survey report- Balancing conservation and recreation in Oregon
  - Research/survey report- Diversity, equity, and inclusion in the Oregon outdoors
- Attends advisory meetings
  - Coordinates with OSU to plan discussion around research topics
Cailin O’Brien-Feeney, Director of Oregon Office of Outdoor Recreation (0.05 FTE 2 years)
- Provides leadership on the project to ensure SCORP meets statewide objectives and needs
- Reviews research methods and report planning
- Organizes economic impact study
- Organizes data standards and integration component
- Participates in final report review cycles
- Attends advisory meetings
  - Determines objectives of meetings and ensures objectives are met

Chris Havel, OPRD Associate Director (0.05 FTE 2 years)
- Provides leadership on the project to ensure SCORP meets statewide objectives and needs
- Reviews research methods and report planning
- Coordinates work between OPRD and OSU
- Participates in final report review cycles
- Attends advisory meetings
  - Determines objectives of meetings and ensures objectives are met

GIS technician ($50k in fully loaded salary, FTE to be determined)
- Provides GIS expertise on data standards and integration component
- Develops data standards that can be adopted by agencies across Oregon to create a statewide map of recreation sites
- Builds statewide map integrating recreation data from across the state
- Attends advisory meetings
  - Coordinates with OSU to plan discussion on statewide mapping
- Additional salary/time covered by DAS grant

Nohemi Enciso, LWCF Grant Program Coordinator
- Supports the project as a grant administrator and liaison to NPS
- Time is not being charged to the grant

The full budget is summarized below. The total grant cost is estimated at $410,581. The NPS share is $205,291 and will be used to pay for services from OSU. OPRD’s share consists of $112,028 in salary, $60,000 for the economic impact study, $22,309 for services from OSU, and $10,953 for travel.
# Oregon SCORP 2024-28 Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Item</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSU RESEARCH COORDINATION AND PROJECT ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td>$227,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Contribution to Econ Impact Study</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other state agency, partner contributions to econ impact study</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Salary Caleb Dickson (0.10 FTE, 2 years)</td>
<td>$27,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Salary GIS technician</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Salary Cailin O'Brien-Feeney (0.05 FTE, 2 years)</td>
<td>$15,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Salary Chris Havel (0.05 FTE, 2 years)</td>
<td>$19,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee Travel</td>
<td>$9,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Travel</td>
<td>$1,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Project Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$410,581</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPS Share</td>
<td>$205,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRD Share</td>
<td>$205,291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) has been developing a marketing strategy while simultaneously implementing related programs. Each component has a strong focus on inclusion and accessibility. Specifically, the Communications Division team has recently developed the following programs: 1.) Open Shield Concept 2.) Park Explorer Series and 3.) Identity/Branding. The programs are informed by the Communication Division’s mission: Bring dynamic, engaging and exciting Oregon State Park experiences to everyone.

Open Shield Concept:
The Oregon State Parks Shield continues to be recognized and beloved by staff and customers alike. But misuse has also been going on for many years, with the shield appearing in unofficial locations such as ice creameries, cafes, eBay and Craigslist. Therefore, it was imperative that we create a consumer version of the brand – one that could be sold on merchandise without a representation of “authority.”

This new look uses a modern, open shield to symbolize that each visitor may experience their parks in their own way. The shape subtly reminds us that Oregon’s state park system is open to all. It is a framing device, allowing the visitor to fill in their experiences vs. us telling them how they should experience us. The unique typography of the words “Oregon State Parks” is reminiscent of the hand routed signage of the earliest era of state parks in Oregon. Together, the symbols merge past and present, befitting of the nature of the state park mission. It is trademarked and placed into our library as an asset one can apply to use.

This open shield concept also informs the work the Communications Division team is currently producing. Most recently, a project brief to artist, El Tran, was simply stated: Rethink our day use parking permit hangtag through the lens of the open shield. “How do you see the parks now and in the future?” We asked them to see it through their framing of the open shield.

Park Explorer Series:
OPRD and Oregon’s Office of Outdoor Recreation (OREC) aligned early 2020, creating the first of many programs to remove barriers in outdoor recreation. Qualitative and quantitative research indicates we have work to do to achieve this goal. Specifically, the data shows that while minority racial and ethnic groups — American Indian, Asian, Black, and Latinx — are growing
fast in Oregon, these groups are underrepresented in outdoor areas in Oregon and across the country. Qualitative research indicates barriers also exist for people with limited incomes, people with disabilities and LGBTQ+ people.

Visiting our parks should never be a privilege – we want parks to be accessible for everyone, anytime, anywhere. That is what we want the next 100 years to look like. The Park Explorer Series aims to help achieve this renewed mission. We are currently developing multiple programs within the series, including Cabins and Yurts; Gender Neutral Restrooms; Park Portal and Partnerships.

**Park Explorer Series: Cabins and Yurts** is a competitive opportunity for organizations to apply to coordinate one of eight small group camping trips for communities that are underrepresented in outdoor recreation. Each recipient organization will be awarded five cabins or yurts for a two-night weekend stay at select parks. Trips are scheduled for weekends in July, August and September 2021. The organizations are responsible for selecting occupants (program participants) of each site and supporting them in preparation for the camping trip.

The program aims to remove barriers to camping for underrepresented groups and create a meaningful and positive experience for participants that will hopefully lead to many more park visits. We selected eight parks close to populated areas in order to make this program accessible to as many people as possible. We selected popular parks with large cabin or yurt areas, as these facilities are an entry point for people new to camping or who lack camping gear, but also may not be accessible to our target demographic due to cost and limited availability. These facilities are commonly booked six months in advance, excluding anyone who does not have the luxury of planning and paying that far in advance.


**Park Explorer Series: Gender Neutral Restrooms**, offers parks an opportunity to offer single-occupancy, gender-neutral restrooms while phasing out multi-stall, gender specific facilities. Most recently, both Nehalem Bay State Park and South Beach State Park have converted unused laundry facilities to fully-accessible single-occupancy restrooms that are large enough to maneuver if you have a wheelchair or other mobility equipment. The new signage displays icons — shower, toilet, urinal, sink and/or changing station — that show the facility’s amenities and identify which are accessible rather than specifying gender. Parks can now fully customize these signs depending on the amenities of each facility via an e-store platform recently developed and implemented.

**Park Explorer Series: Park Portal** continues to be developed by the Communications and Information Systems teams. The Park Portal will make it possible for anyone to have authentic, exciting and enriching state park experiences by bringing our parks to a digital platform. From interactive 360 park tours to digital ranger programming to at-home activities and opportunities for direct, digital engagement, this new online portal will open our public parks to all, like never before. It provides visual access when we are unable to provide physical access, while simultaneously complementing the experience for those able to be physically present in our parks.

**Park Explorer Series: Partnerships** support the mission and vision of the series both programmatically and financially. We currently have Belmont Blanket, Danner, Parks Project and Pendleton as official partners. Each partnership has an agreement, granting licensing rights in exchange for a percentage of sales to support the Park Explorer Series. Centennial themed
items as well as a broader line specific to the Park Explorer Series have already started generating funds, with broader support committed for the foreseeable future.

Oregon Lottery continues to partner with us and agreed to continued media support throughout the summer of 2022. Historically, our partnership offered a commemorative pin to those in attendance for a specific day. For 2021, we focused on a sticker for everyone able to join us in every park throughout the summer. We will continue this model through our Centennial year. Lottery will also partner with Pendleton and Danner to offer a promotion for customers to win respective items. The goal is to offer opportunities for everyone to share in the celebration, both onsite and digitally. Lottery has also agreed to invest in our efforts to provide 360 immersive experiences through our Park Portal programming.

Proceeds from Park Explorer Series: Partnerships will help to support all programs noted above, as well as programs in development such as In A Landscape, an outdoor concert series opening up opportunities within our parks for new audiences.

**Identity/Branding: Centennial**
The Communications Division developed and trademarked a Centennial identity. This identity takes queues from our existing shield while honoring our last 100 years of history. The shield is behind this identity, pushing us forward and holding us together. The identity has been trademarked and is available for licensing agreements, with companies such as Danner, Parks Project and Pendleton already approved.

**Identity/Branding: Heritage and Grants**
Branding efforts are underway to create distinct identities for OPRD’s grant programs — ATV and Heritage. The designs for these identities will take queues from the shield, allowing us to showcase the projects around the state that are supported by OPRD grant programs.

**Prior Action by Commission:** None
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**Attachments:** None

**Prepared by:** Jason Resch
Registration Open for the 2021 Oregon Main Street Conference 2021

Oregon Heritage will present the Virtual Oregon Main Street Conference on October 6-8. The conference theme this year is Inviting Spaces, Friendly Faces, Authentic Places which reflects the impact our Oregon Main Street communities are having in place centered economic development that engages people from all walks for life. Keynote speakers are Patrice Frey, President and CEO of the National Main Street Center, who will share reflections on the Main Street movement and observations on the future, and Peter Kageyama, author of For the Love of Cities: The Love Affair Between People and Their Places, the follow ups, Love Where You Live: Creating Emotionally Engaging Places, and The Emotional Infrastructure of Places, and Erik Dominguez. Erik’s keynote address, The Required Art, and following workshop will focus on how to use public speaking to achieve personal and professional breakthroughs giving you practical tools for confident, powerful, and joyful communication. For more information visit https://www.oregon.gov/oprd/OH/Pages/omsconference.aspx.

Oregon National Register of Historic Places

Every July Oregon Heritage reports to the Oregon Legislature on our progress in identifying and recognizing Oregon’s important historic places. For the fiscal year beginning July 1 2020 through June 30, 2021, Oregon continued to perform well when compared against neighboring western states, listing more properties in the National Register than either Idaho or Nevada combined, and six more properties than Washington, a more populous state with similar historic resources. Nineteen new properties were added to the list in Oregon, including the 1927 Williams Avenue YWCA, a gathering place for the African American Community, and the Darcelle XV club, a prominent landmark for the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer (LGBTQ) community, both in Portland. Other notable properties listed in the National Register include a segment of the Oregon Trail in Union County, a former rail bridge in Mill City now rehabilitated as a pedestrian crossing, and Forest Grove’s downtown historic district. Two properties were removed...
from the National Register due to extensive alterations over time. A total of 2,106 properties, including 163 historic districts, located across the state’s 36 counties and representing many aspects of our rich history, are now listed in the National Register. Despite a 30% cutback in staff and budget during the last fiscal year, the total number of nominations in Oregon increased from 11 for fiscal year 2020 to 19 for fiscal year 2021. Primary drivers for program participation included increasing public interest and OPRD.

Efforts over the last several years under the Oregon Historic Preservation Plan and Oregon Heritage Plan focused on reaching out to underrepresented populations to achieve greater geographic and thematic diversity in the stories represented by our recognized historic places. This effort will continue into the next fiscal year, supported by agency grants to local jurisdictions and office-led efforts. The agency recently completed a study for the Oregon Trail, and will complete documents identifying properties associated with African American history and historic properties associated with the 1930s New Deal federal programs. The agency is also supporting an effort to list four rural, historic movie theaters in Oregon using a grant from the National Park Service. These theaters are often the architectural and business center of their communities, and their recognition will bring attention to their importance and investment to these places. grant-funded projects that enabled local governments to identify, document, and list properties in the National Register.

Oregon Heritage Grant Open for Applications

The Oregon Heritage Commission opened grants for qualified projects for the conservation, development, and interpretation of Oregon's cultural heritage. Awards typically range between $5,000 and $20,000. Projects that may be funded by the Oregon Heritage Grant include collections preservation and access, exhibits, oral history projects, public education events, organizational archives projects, films and theatrical performances, teaching traditional practices, public history interpretation, organizational planning that supports heritage resources. Previously-awarded projects include a partnership between the Chetco Historical Memorial Committee and local tribes to create an interpretive area that incorporated the Tribal story; Linn County Museum worked with Oregon Black Pioneers to incorporate African American history into the museum’s permanent exhibit, the Tillamook County Pioneer Museum developed the "Her-Story; The Women's Suffrage Movement in Oregon and What It Means Today,” exhibit and a companion book of essays and interviews with Oregon women of today; and Portland’s Oregon Rail Heritage Foundation installed a historic turntable for railcars at their facility. Oregon Heritage will offer workshops to assist applicants complete their applications. The grant application deadline is October 20, 2021.

Prior Action by Commission: none

Action Requested: none

Attachments: none

Prepared by: Ian P. Johnson, Associate Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission

February 25, 2021

Agenda Item: 8a  Action

Public Comment Allowed: Yes

Topic: Detroit Water Reservoir (Construction Approval)

Presented by: Matt Rippee, Central Park Services Manager

Title: Detroit Water Reservoir Tank (2020 Wildfire Replacement Tank)

Location: Detroit State Park

Description: Scope is to demolish existing redwood water reservoir; foundation and associated utilities were severely damaged from 2020 wildfires in the Santiam Canyon. Construct a new water reservoir including foundation, water reservoir, plumbing, electrical and security fencing. We are currently waiting for Department of Justice (DOJ) review.

Project Manager: Darrell Monk, Construction Project Manager

Project Number: 35676  Project Budgets (Estimated): $700,000
Procurement Number: 8934  Procurement Budget (Estimated): $475,000

Schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advertise</th>
<th>Bid Close</th>
<th>Contract Award</th>
<th>Contract Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September, 2021</td>
<td>October, 2021</td>
<td>October, 2022</td>
<td>February, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior Action by Commission: None.

Action Requested: Request approval, contingent on DOJ clearance, of construction of the Detroit Water Reservoir that lays ground work for the contract award to a future apparent successful bidder.

Attachments: Map

Prepared by: Ross Kihs, Valley Region Manager
Agenda Item: 9a
Public Comment Allowed: Yes

Topic: Request to open rulemaking – Collaborative Dispute Resolution Model Rules (Chapter 736 Division 140)

Presented by: Jo Niehaus, Strategic Development and Partnerships Coordinator

The Administrative Procedures Act (APA) requires state agencies to adopt rules of procedure in rulemaking, contested cases and other proceedings. It also directs the Oregon Attorney General to prepare Model Rules of procedure for state agencies.

Under the APA and at the request of the Oregon Department of Justice (DOJ) and Senior Assistant Attorney General Steven Shipsey, OPRD will need to update OAR Chapter 736 Division 140 to reflect the DOJ’s Model Rule language in OAR Chapter 137 Division 5.

The DOJ’s Chapter 137 Division rules were last updated in November 2018 to correct statutory references and to correct a grammatical mistake. More substantive changes were made in 2015.

Staff plan to plan to add OAR 736-140-0000, OAR 736-140-0001, OAR 736-140-0002, OAR 736-140-0003, OAR 736-140-0004 and OAR 736-140-0006. OAR 736-140-0005 will be deleted and replaced with OAR 736-140-0010. OAR 736-140-0015 will have amendments. OAR 736-140-0020 and OAR 736-140-0025 will be added.

OAR 736-140-0000 through OAR 736-140-0006 (excluding OAR 736-140-0005, which will be retired), will address use of collaborative dispute resolution processes, the assessments of these processes, the assessments of these processes in complex public policy controversies, agreements to collaborate and the selection and procurement of the providers.

OAR 736-140-0005 will be deleted and replaced with OAR 736-140-0010 with model DOJ language in OAR 137-005-0052.

OAR 736-140-0015 was amended to take out language not included in OAR 137-005-0054 including reporting requirements and exemption for confidentiality in mediation communication relating to child abuse and elder abuse. The proposed language added in 736-140-0015(7)(k) and 736-140-0015(7)(l) addresses this need.
The addition of OAR 736-140-0020 and 736-140-0025 reflect the model DOJ language in OAR 137-005-0060 and 137-005-0070. 736-140-0020 language define mediation and 736-140-0025 define contract clauses specifying dispute resolution. All of the proposed language must follow the model DOJ language and cannot be amended.

Prior Action by Commission: In November 2009, the Commission moved to adopt the Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Mediation Communications, OAR 736-140-0010 and 736-140-0020.

Action Requested: Staff requests approval to open rulemaking to add, amend and delete in OAR Chapter 736 Division 140 to reflect the DOJ’s model language at the request of the DOJ in OAR Chapter 137 Division 5. A copy of the proposed rules is included in Attachment A.

Attachments: Attachment A – proposed rule amendments- marked copy

Prepared by: Jo Niehaus
Chapter 736

Division 140

COLLABORATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION MODEL RULES

CONFIDENTIALITY AND INADMISSIBILITY OF MEDIATION COMMUNICATIONS

736-140-0000
Use of Collaborative Dispute Resolution Processes

(1) Unless otherwise precluded by law, the agency may, in its discretion, use a collaborative dispute resolution process in contested cases, rulemaking proceedings, judicial proceedings, and any other decision-making or policy development process or controversy involving the agency. Collaborative dispute resolution may be used to prevent or to minimize the escalation of disputes and to resolve disputes once they have occurred.

(2) Nothing in this rule limits innovation and experimentation with collaborative or alternative forms of dispute resolution, with negotiated rulemaking or with other procedures or dispute resolution practices not otherwise prohibited by law.

(3) The collaborative means of dispute resolution may be facilitated negotiation, mediation, facilitation or any other method designed to encourage the agency and the other participants to work together to develop a mutually agreeable solution. The agency may also consider using neutral fact-finders in an advisory capacity.

(4) The agency shall not agree to any dispute resolution process in which its ultimate settlement or decision making authority is given to a third party, including arbitration or fact-finding, without prior written authorization from the Attorney General.

(5) Nothing in this rule obligates the agency to offer funds to settle any case, to accept a particular settlement or resolution of a dispute, to alter its standards for accepting settlements, to submit to binding arbitration, or to alter any existing delegation of settlement or litigation authority.

736-140-0001
Assessment for Use of Collaborative DR Process

(1) Before instituting a collaborative dispute resolution process, the agency may conduct an assessment to determine if a collaborative process is appropriate for the controversy and, if so, under what conditions.
(2) A collaborative DR process may be appropriate if:

(a) The relationship between the parties will continue beyond the resolution of the controversy and a collaborative DR process is likely to have a favorable effect on the relationship;

(b) There are outcomes or solutions that are only available through a collaborative process;

(c) There is a reasonable likelihood that a collaborative process will result in an agreement;

(d) The implementation and durability of any resolution to the controversy will likely require ongoing, voluntary cooperation of the participants;

(e) A candid or confidential discussion among the disputants may help resolve the controversy, and OAR 137-005-0050 may provide for such candor or confidentiality;

(f) Direct negotiations between the parties have been unsuccessful or could be improved with the assistance of a collaborative DR provider;

(g) No single agency or jurisdiction has complete control over the issue and a collaborative process is likely to be effective in reconciling conflicts over jurisdiction and control; or

(h) The agency has limited time or other resources, and a collaborative process would use less agency resources, take less time or be more efficient than another type of process.

(3) A collaborative DR process may not be appropriate if:

(a) The outcome of the controversy is important for its precedential value, and a collaborative DR process is unlikely to be accepted as an authoritative precedent;

(b) There are significant unresolved legal issues in this controversy, and a collaborative DR process is unlikely to be effective if those legal issues are not resolved first;

(c) The controversy involves significant questions of agency policy, and it is unlikely that a collaborative DR process will help develop or clarify agency policy;

(d) Maintaining established policies and consistency among decisions is important, and a collaborative DR process likely would result in inconsistent outcomes for comparable matters;

(e) The controversy significantly affects persons or organizations who are not participants in the process or whose interests are not adequately represented by participants;

(f) A public record of the proceeding is important, and a collaborative DR process cannot provide such a record;

(g) The agency must maintain authority to alter the disposition of the matter because of changed circumstances, and a collaborative DR process would interfere with the agency’s ability to do so;
(h) The agency must act quickly or authoritatively to protect the public health or safety, and a collaborative dispute resolution process would not provide the necessary speed and authority to do this.

(i) The agency has limited time or other resources, and a collaborative process would use more agency resources, take longer or be less efficient than another type of process; or

(j) None of the factors in section (2) apply.

(4) The assessment may also be used to:

(a) Determine or clarify the nature of the controversy or the issues to be resolved;

(b) Match a dispute resolution process to the objectives and interests of the disputants;

(c) Determine who will participate in the process;

(d) Estimate the time and resources needed to implement a collaborative DR process;

(e) Assess the potential outcomes of a collaborative DR process and the desirability of those outcomes;

(f) Determine the likely means for enforcing any agreement or settlement that may result;

(g) Determine the compensation, if any, of the dispute resolution provider;

(h) Determine the ground rules for the collaborative DR process; and

(i) Determine the degree to which the parties and the agency wish, and are legally able, to keep the proceedings confidential.

(5) The agency may contract with a collaborative DR provider pursuant to OAR 137-005-0040 to assist the agency in conducting the assessment and may request that the provider prepare a written report summarizing the results of the assessment.

736-140-0002
Assessment for Use of Collaborative DR Process in Complex Public Policy Controversies

(1) For the purposes of this rule, “complex public policy controversy” means a multi-party controversy that includes at least one governmental participant and that affects the broader public, rather than only a single group or individual.

(2) Before using a collaborative process to resolve a complex public policy controversy, the agency may conduct an assessment to determine if a collaborative DR process is appropriate and, if so, under what conditions. In addition to the factors in OAR 137-005-0020, the agency may use the assessment to consider if:
(a) The agency is interested in joint problem solving or in reaching a consensus among participants, and not solely in obtaining public comment, consultation or feedback, which may be addressed through other processes;

(b) The persons, interest groups or entities significantly affected by the controversy or by any agreement resulting from the collaborative DR process

(A) Can be readily identified;

(B) Are willing to participate in a collaborative process; and

(C) Have the time, resources and ability to participate effectively in a collaborative process and in the implementation of any agreement that may result from the collaborative process;

(c) The persons identified as representing the interests of a group of persons or of an organization have sufficient authority to negotiate a durable agreement on behalf of the group or organization they represent; or

(d) There are ongoing or proposed legislative, political or legal activities that would significantly undermine the value of the collaborative process or the durability of any collaborative agreement.

(3) The agency may contract with a collaborative DR provider pursuant to OAR 137-005-0040 to assist the agency in conducting all or part of the assessment under section (1) and may request that the provider prepare a written report summarizing the results of the assessment.

736-140-0003

Agreement to Collaborate

In preparation for, or in the course of, a collaborative DR process the agency and the other participants may enter into a written agreement to collaborate. This agreement may include:

(1) A brief description of the dispute or the issues to be resolved;

(2) A list of the participants;

(3) A description of the proposed collaborative DR process;

(4) An estimated starting date and ending date for the process;

(5) A statement whether the collaborative DR provider will receive compensation and, if so, who will be responsible for its payment;

(6) A description of the process, including, but not limited to: the role of witnesses, and whether and how counsel may participate in the process;
(7) Consistent with applicable statute and rules, a statement regarding the degree to which the proceedings or communications made during the course of the collaborative DR process are confidential; and

(8) A description of the likely means of enforcing any agreement or settlement that may result.

736-140-0004  
Selection and Procurement of Dispute Resolution Providers

(1) The agency may select the collaborative DR provider or may opt to select the provider by consensus of the participants.

(2) A collaborative DR provider who has a financial interest in the subject matter of the dispute, who is an employee of an agency in the dispute, who has a financial relationship with any participant in the collaborative DR process or who otherwise may not be impartial is considered to have a potential bias. If, before or during the dispute resolution process, a provider has or acquires a potential bias, the provider shall so inform all the participants. Any participant may disqualify a provider who has a potential bias if the participant believes in good faith that the potential bias will undermine the ability of the provider to be impartial throughout the process.

(3) If the collaborative DR provider is a public official as defined by ORS 244.020(15), the provider shall comply with the requirements of ORS Chapter 244.

(4) If the agency procures the services of a collaborative DR provider, the agency must comply with all procurement and contracting rules provided by law. A roster of collaborative DR providers and a simplified mediator and facilitator procurement process developed by the Department of Justice may be used by the agency when selecting a collaborative DR provider.

(5) If the collaborative DR provider is a mediator or facilitator who is not an employee of the agency, the participants shall share the costs of the provider, unless the participants agree otherwise or the provider is retained solely by the agency or by a non-participant.

(6) Whenever the agency compensates a provider who is not an employee of the agency, the state must execute a personal services contract with the provider. If the agency and the other participants choose to share the cost of the collaborative DR provider's services, the non-agency participants may enter into their own contract with the provider or may be a party to the contract between the agency and the provider, at the discretion of the agency. The agency's contract with a provider must state:

(a) The name and address of the provider and the contracting agency;

(b) The nature of the dispute, the issues being submitted to the collaborative DR process and the identity of the participants, as well as is known at the time the contract is signed;

(c) The services the provider will perform (scope of work);
(d) The compensation to be paid to the provider and the maximum contract amount;

(e) The beginning and ending dates of the contract and that the contract may be terminated by the agency or the provider upon mutual written consent, or at the sole discretion of the agency upon 30 calendar days notice to the provider or immediately if the agency determines that the DR process is unable to proceed for any reason.

(7) A student, intern or other person in training or assisting the provider may function as a co-provider in a dispute resolution proceeding. The co-provider shall sign and be bound by the agreement to collaborate specified in OAR 137-005-0030, if any, and, if compensated by the agency, a personal services contract as specified in section (6) of this rule.

736-140-0006
Confidentiality of Collaborative Dispute Resolution Communications

(1) For the purposes of this rule,

(a) “Agreement to mediate” means a written agreement to mediate executed by the parties establishing the terms and conditions of the mediation, which may include provisions specifying the extent to which mediation communications will be confidential.

(b) “Mediation” means a process in which a mediator assists and facilitates two or more parties to a controversy in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of the controversy and includes all contacts between a mediator and any party or agent of a party, until such time as a resolution is agreed to by the parties or the mediation process is terminated.

(c) “Mediation agreement” means an agreement arising out of a mediation, including any term or condition of the agreement.

(d) “Mediation communication” means:

(A) All communications that are made, in the course of or in connection with a mediation, to a mediator, a mediation program or a party to, or any other person present at, the mediation proceedings; and

(B) All memoranda, work products, documents and other materials, including any draft mediation agreement, that are prepared for or submitted in the course of or in connection with a mediation by a mediator, a mediation program or a party to, or any other person present at, mediation proceedings.

(e) “Mediator” means a third party who performs mediation. Mediator includes agents and employees of the mediator or mediation program.

(f) “Party” means a person or agency participating in a mediation who has a direct interest in the controversy that is the subject of the mediation. A person or agency is not a party to a mediation
solely because the person or agency is conducting the mediation, is making the mediation available or is serving as an information resource at the mediation.

(2) If the agency is a party to a mediation or is mediating a dispute as to which the agency has regulatory authority:

(a) The agency may choose to adopt either or both the Model Rule for Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Mediation Communications in OAR 137-050-0052 or the Model Rule for Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Workplace Interpersonal Mediation Communications in 137-050-0054, in which case mediation communications shall be confidential to the extent provided in those rules. The agency may adopt the rules by reference without complying with the rulemaking procedures under ORS 183.335. Notice of such adoption shall be filed with the Secretary of State in the manner provided by ORS 183.355 for the filing of rules.

(b) If the agency has not adopted confidentiality rules pursuant to ORS 36.220 to 36.238, mediation communications shall not be confidential unless otherwise provided by law, and the agency shall inform the parties in the mediation of that fact in an agreement to collaborate pursuant to OAR 137-005-0030 or other document.

(3) If the agency is mediating a dispute as to which the agency is not a party and does not have regulatory authority, mediation communications are confidential, except as provided in ORS 36.220 to 36.238. The agency and the other parties to the mediation may agree in writing that all or part of the mediation communications are not confidential. Such an agreement may be made a part of an agreement to collaborate authorized by OAR 137-005-0030.

(4) If the agency and the other participants in a collaborative DR process other than a mediation wish to make confidential the communications made during the course of the collaborative DR process:

(a) The agency, the other participants and the collaborative DR provider, if any, shall sign an agreement to collaborate pursuant to OAR 137-005-0030 or any other document that expresses their intent with respect to:

(A) Disclosures by the agency and the other participants of communications made during the course of the collaborative DR process;

(B) Disclosures by the collaborative DR provider of communications made during the course of the collaborative DR process;

(C) Any restrictions on the agency’s use of communications made during the course of the collaborative DR process in any subsequent administrative proceeding of the agency; and

(D) Any restrictions on the ability of the agency or the other participants to introduce communications made during the course of the collaborative DR process in any subsequent judicial or administrative proceeding relating to the issues in controversy with respect to which the communication was made.
(b) Notwithstanding any agreement under subsection (4)(a) of this rule, communications made during the course of a collaborative DR process:

(A) May be disclosed if the communication relates to child abuse and is made to a person who is required to report abuse under ORS 419B.010 to the extent the person is required to report the communication;

(B) May be disclosed if the communication relates to elder abuse and is made to a person who is required to report abuse under ORS 124.050 to 124.095 to the extent the person is required to report the communication;

(C) May be disclosed if the communication reveals past crimes or the intent to commit a crime;

(D) May be disclosed by a party to a collaborative DR process to another person if the party’s communication with that person is privileged under ORS Chapter 40 or other provision of law;

(E) May be used by the agency in any subsequent proceeding to enforce, modify or set aside an agreement arising out of the collaborative DR process;

(F) May be disclosed in an action for damages or other relief between a party to a collaborative DR process and a DR provider to the extent necessary to prosecute or defend the matter; and

(G) Shall be subject to the Public Records Law, ORS 192.311 to 192.478, and the Public Meetings Law, ORS 192.610 to 192.690.

c) If a demand for disclosure of a communication that is subject to an agreement under this section is made upon the agency, any other participant or the collaborative DR provider, the person receiving the demand for disclosure shall make reasonable efforts to notify the agency, the other participants and the collaborative DR provider.

736-140-0005

736-140-0010
Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Mediation Communications Mediation
Confidentiality

(1) The words and phrases used in these rules have the same meaning as given to them in ORS 36.110 and 36.234. In addition, as used in this rule, unless the context requires otherwise:

(a) “Agency” or “the agency” means Oregon Parks and Recreation Department or OPRD.

(b) “Director” means the Director of the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department.
“State agency” may refer to Oregon Parks and Recreation Department or could refer to a state agency other than the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department if more than one state agency is party to the mediation.

(2) Nothing in this rule affects any confidentiality created by other law. Nothing in this rule relieves a public body from complying with the Public Meetings Law, ORS 192.610 to 192.690. Whether or not they are confidential under this or other rules of the agency, mediation communications are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Law to the extent provided in ORS 192.311 to 192.410 to 192.505.

(3) This rule applies only to mediations in which the agency is a party or is mediating a dispute as to which the agency has regulatory authority. This rule does not apply when the agency is acting as the "mediator" in a matter in which the agency also is a party as defined in ORS 36.234.

(4) To the extent mediation communications would otherwise compromise negotiations under ORS 40.190 (OEC Rule 408), those mediation communications are not admissible as provided in 40.190 (OEC Rule 408), notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary in section (89) of this rule.

(5) Mediations Excluded. Sections (6)–(910) of this rule do not apply to:

(a) Mediation of workplace interpersonal disputes involving the interpersonal relationships between this agency's employees, officials or employees and officials, unless a formal grievance under a labor contract, a tort claim notice or a lawsuit has been filed; or

(b) Mediation in which the person acting as the mediator will also act as the hearings officer in a contested case involving some or all of the same matters; or

(c) Mediation in which the only parties are public bodies; or

(d) Mediation involving two or more public bodies and a private entity are parties if the laws, rules or policies governing mediation confidentiality for at least one of the public bodies provide that mediation communications in the mediation are not confidential; or

(e) Mediation involving 15 or more parties if the agency has designated that another mediation confidentiality rule adopted by the agency may apply to that mediation.

(6) Disclosures by Mediator. A mediator may not disclose or be compelled to disclose mediation communications in a mediation and, if disclosed, such communications may not be introduced into evidence in any subsequent administrative, judicial or arbitration proceeding unless:

(a) All the parties to the mediation and the mediator agree in writing to the disclosure; or
(b) The mediation communication may be disclosed or introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding as provided in subsections (c)–(d), (j)–(l) or (o)–(p) and (r)–(s) of section (8) of this rule.

of section (9) of this rule.

(7) Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Mediation Communications. Except as provided in sections (8)–(9) of this rule, mediation communications are confidential and may not be disclosed to any other person, are not admissible in any subsequent administrative, judicial or arbitration proceeding and may not be disclosed during testimony in, or during any discovery conducted as part of a subsequent proceeding, or introduced as evidence by the parties or the mediator in any subsequent proceeding so long as:

(a) The parties to the mediation sign an agreement to mediate specifying the extent to which mediation communications are confidential; and,

(b) If the mediator is the employee of or acting on behalf of a state agency, the mediator or an authorized representative of the agency signs the agreement.

(8) Written Agreement. Section (7) of this rule does not apply to a mediation unless the parties to the mediation agree in writing, as provided in this section, that the mediation communications in the mediation will be either confidential; or non-discoverable and inadmissible; or both confidential and non-discoverable and inadmissible. If the mediator is the employee of and acting on behalf of a state agency, the mediator or an authorized agency representative must also sign the agreement. The parties' agreement to participate in a confidential mediation must be in substantially the format outlined in the OPRD form entitled: “Agreement to Participate in A Confidential Mediation” available from the agency. This form may be used separately or incorporated into an "agreement to mediate."

(9) Exceptions to Confidentiality and Inadmissibility.

(a) Any statements, memoranda, work products, documents and other materials, otherwise subject to discovery that were not prepared specifically for use in the mediation are not confidential and may be disclosed or introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding.

(b) Any document that, before its use in a mediation, was a public record Any mediation communications that are public records, as defined in ORS 192.311(5) remains subject to disclosure to the extent provided by ORS 192.311 to 192.478 and may be introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding.

ORS 192.410(4), and were not specifically prepared for use in the mediation are not confidential and may be disclosed or introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding unless the substance of the communication is confidential or privileged under state or federal law.
(c) A mediation communication is not confidential and may be disclosed by any person receiving the communication to the extent that person reasonably believes that disclosing the communication is necessary to prevent the commission of a crime that is likely to result in death or bodily injury to any person. A mediation communication is not confidential and may be disclosed in a subsequent proceeding to the extent its disclosure may further the investigation or prosecution of a felony crime involving physical violence to a person.

(d) Any mediation communication related to the conduct of a licensed professional that is made to or in the presence of a person who, as a condition of his or her professional license, is obligated to report such communication by law or court rule is not confidential and may be disclosed to the extent necessary to make such a report.

(e) The parties to the mediation may agree in writing that all or part of the mediation communications are not confidential or that all or part of the mediation communications may be disclosed and may be introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding unless the substance of the communication is confidential, privileged or otherwise prohibited from disclosure under state or federal law.

(f) A party to the mediation may disclose confidential mediation communications to a person if the party's communication with that person is privileged under ORS Chapter 40 or other provision of law. A party to the mediation may disclose confidential mediation communications to a person for the purpose of obtaining advice concerning the subject matter of the mediation, if all the parties agree.

(g) An employee of the agency may disclose confidential mediation communications to another agency employee so long as the disclosure is necessary to conduct authorized activities of the agency. An employee receiving a confidential mediation communication under this subsection is bound by the same confidentiality requirements as apply to the parties to the mediation.

(h) A written mediation communication may be disclosed or introduced as evidence in a subsequent proceeding at the discretion of the party who prepared the communication so long as the communication is not otherwise confidential under state or federal law and does not contain confidential information from the mediator or another party who does not agree to the disclosure.

(i) In any proceeding to enforce, modify or set aside a mediation agreement, a party to the mediation may disclose mediation communications and such communications may be introduced as evidence to the extent necessary to prosecute or defend the matter. At the request of a party, the court may seal any part of the record of the proceeding to prevent further disclosure of mediation communications or agreements to persons other than the parties to the agreement.

(j) In an action for damages or other relief between a party to the mediation and a mediator or mediation program, mediation communications are not confidential and may be disclosed and may be introduced as evidence to the extent necessary to prosecute or defend the matter. At the request of a party, the court may seal any part of the record of the proceeding to prevent further disclosure of the mediation communications or agreements.
(k) When a mediation is conducted as part of the negotiation of a collective bargaining agreement, the following mediation communications are not confidential and such communications may be introduced into evidence in a subsequent administrative, judicial or arbitration proceeding:

(A) A request for mediation; or

(B) A communication from the Employment Relations Board Conciliation Service establishing the time and place of mediation; or

(C) A final offer submitted by the parties to the mediator pursuant to ORS 243.712; or

(D) A strike notice submitted to the Employment Relations Board.

(l) To the extent a mediation communication contains information the substance of which is required to be disclosed by Oregon statute, other than ORS 192.311 to 192.478192.410–192.505, that portion of the communication may be disclosed as required by statute.

(m) Written mediation communications prepared by or for the agency or its attorney are not confidential and may be disclosed and may be introduced as evidence in any subsequent administrative, judicial or arbitration proceeding to the extent the communication does not contain confidential information from the mediator or another party, except for those written mediation communications that are:

(A) Attorney-client privileged communications so long as they have been disclosed to no one other than the mediator in the course of the mediation or to persons as to whom disclosure of the communication would not waive the privilege or

(B) Attorney work product prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial or

(C) Prepared exclusively for the mediator or in a caucus session and not given to another party in the mediation other than a state agency or

(D) Prepared in response to the written request of the mediator for specific documents or information and given to another party in the mediation or

(E) Settlement concepts or proposals, shared with the mediator or other parties.

(n) A mediation communication made to the agency may be disclosed and may be admitted into evidence to the extent the Director or designee determines that disclosure of the communication is necessary to prevent or mitigate a serious danger to the public's health or safety, and the communication is not otherwise confidential or privileged under state or federal law.

(o) The terms of any mediation agreement are not confidential and may be introduced as evidence in a subsequent proceeding, except to the extent the terms of the agreement are exempt from disclosure under ORS 192.311 to 192.478192.410–192.505, a court has ordered the terms
to be confidential under ORS 17.095-30.402 or state or federal law requires the terms to be confidential.

(p) In any mediation in a case that has been filed in court or when a public body’s role in a mediation is solely to make mediation available to the parties the mediator may report the disposition of the mediation to that public body or court at the conclusion of the mediation so long as the report does not disclose specific confidential mediation communications. The agency conducting the mediation or making the mediation available or the mediator may use or disclose confidential mediation communications for research, training or educational purposes, subject to the provisions of ORS 36.232. The mediator may report the disposition of a mediation to the agency at the conclusion of the mediation so long as the report does not disclose specific confidential mediation communications. The agency or the mediator may use or disclose confidential mediation communications for research, training or educational purposes, subject to the provisions of ORS 36.232(4).

(q) An agreement to mediate is not confidential and may be introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding.

(r) Any mediation communication relating to child abuse that is made to a person required to report child abuse under ORS 419B.010 is not confidential to the extent that the person is required to report the communication.

(s) Any mediation communication relating to elder abuse that is made to a person who is required to report elder abuse under ORS 124.050 to 124.095 is not confidential to the extent that the person is required to report the communication.

(944) When a mediation is subject to section (7) of this rule, the agency will provide to all parties to the mediation and the mediator a copy of this rule or a citation to the rule and an explanation of where a copy of the rule may be obtained. Violation of this provision does not waive confidentiality or inadmissibility.

[ED. NOTE: Forms referenced are available from the agency.]

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 36.224 & 390.124
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 36.224, 36.228, 36.230 & 36.232
History:
PRD 22-2009, f. & cert. ef. 12-8-09

736-140-0015
Confidentiality of Workplace Mediations
Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Workplace Interpersonal Dispute Mediation Communications

(1) This rule applies to workplace interpersonal disputes, which are disputes involving the interpersonal relationships between this agency's employees, officials or employees and officials.
This rule does not apply to disputes involving the negotiation of labor contracts or matters about which a formal grievance under a labor contract, a tort claim notice or a lawsuit has been filed.

(2) The words and phrases used in this rule have the same meaning as given to them in ORS 36.110 and 36.234. In addition, as used in this rule, unless the context requires otherwise:

(a) “Agency” or “the agency” means Oregon Parks and Recreation Department or OPRD.

(b) “Director” means the Director of the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department.

(c) “State agency” may refer to Oregon Parks and Recreation Department or could refer to a state agency other than the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department if more than one state agency is party to the mediation.

(3) Nothing in this rule affects any confidentiality created by other law.

(4) To the extent mediation communications would otherwise compromise negotiations under ORS 40.190 (OEC Rule 408), those mediation communications are not admissible as provided in 40.190 (OEC Rule 408), notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary in section (9) of this rule.

(5) Disclosures by Mediator. A mediator may not disclose or be compelled to disclose mediation communications in a mediation and, if disclosed, such communications may not be introduced into evidence in any subsequent administrative, judicial or arbitration proceeding unless:

(a) All the parties to the mediation and the mediator agree in writing to the disclosure; or

(b) The mediation communication may be disclosed or introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding as provided in subsections (c) or (h)–(jl) of section (7) of this rule.

(6) Confidentiality and Inadmissibility of Mediation Communications. Except as provided in section (7) of this rule, mediation communications in mediations involving workplace interpersonal disputes are confidential and may not be disclosed to any other person, are not admissible in any subsequent administrative, judicial or arbitration proceeding and may not be disclosed during testimony in, or during any discovery conducted as part of a subsequent proceeding, or introduced into evidence by the parties or the mediator in any subsequent proceeding so long as:

(a) The parties to the mediation and the agency have agreed in writing to the confidentiality of the mediation; and

(b) The person agreeing to the confidentiality of the mediation on behalf of the agency:

(A) Is neither a party to the dispute nor the mediator; and

(B) Is designated by the agency to authorize confidentiality for the mediation; and
(C) Is at the same or higher level in the agency than any of the parties to the mediation or who is a person with responsibility for human resources or personnel matters in the agency, unless the agency head or member of the governing board is one of the persons involved in the interpersonal dispute, in which case the Governor or the Governor's designee.

(7) Exceptions to Confidentiality and Inadmissibility.

(a) Any statements, memoranda, work products, documents and other materials, otherwise subject to discovery that were not prepared specifically for use in the mediation are not confidential and may be disclosed or introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding.

(b) Any mediation communications that are public records, as defined in ORS 192.311(5), ORS 192.410(4), and were not specifically prepared for use in the mediation are not confidential and may be disclosed or introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding unless the substance of the communication is confidential or privileged under state or federal law.

(c) A mediation communication is not confidential and may be disclosed by any person receiving the communication to the extent that person reasonably believes that disclosing the communication is necessary to prevent the commission of a crime that is likely to result in death or bodily injury to any person. A mediation communication is not confidential and may be disclosed in a subsequent proceeding to the extent its disclosure may further the investigation or prosecution of a felony crime involving physical violence to a person.

(d) The parties to the mediation may agree in writing that all or part of the mediation communications are not confidential or that all or part of the mediation communications may be disclosed and may be introduced into evidence in a subsequent proceeding unless the substance of the communication is confidential, privileged or otherwise prohibited from disclosure under state or federal law.

(e) A party to the mediation may disclose confidential mediation communications to a person if the party's communication with that person is privileged under ORS Chapter 40 or other provision of law. A party to the mediation may disclose confidential mediation communications to a person for the purpose of obtaining advice concerning the subject matter of the mediation, if all the parties agree.

(f) A written mediation communication may be disclosed or introduced as evidence in a subsequent proceeding at the discretion of the party who prepared the communication so long as the communication is not otherwise confidential under state or federal law and does not contain confidential information from the mediator or another party who does not agree to the disclosure.

(g) In any proceeding to enforce, modify or set aside a mediation agreement, a party to the mediation may disclose mediation communications and such communications may be introduced as evidence to the extent necessary to prosecute or defend the matter. At the request of a party, the court may seal any part of the record of the proceeding to prevent further disclosure of mediation communications or agreements to persons other than the parties to the agreement.
(h) In an action for damages or other relief between a party to the mediation and a mediator or mediation program, mediation communications are not confidential and may be disclosed and may be introduced as evidence to the extent necessary to prosecute or defend the matter. At the request of a party, the court may seal any part of the record of the proceeding to prevent further disclosure of the mediation communications or agreements.

(i) To the extent a mediation communication contains information the substance of which is required to be disclosed by Oregon statute, other than ORS 192.311 to 192.478ORS 192.410 to 192.505, that portion of the communication may be disclosed as required by statute.

(j) The mediator may report the disposition of a mediation to the agency at the conclusion of the mediation so long as the report does not disclose specific confidential mediation communications. The agency or the mediator may use or disclose confidential mediation communications for research, training or educational purposes, subject to the provisions of ORS 36.232(4).

(k) Any mediation communication relating to child abuse that is made to a person required to report abuse under ORS 419B.010 is not confidential to the extent that the person is required to report the communication.

(l) Any mediation communication relating to elder abuse that is made to a person who is required to report abuse under ORS 124.050 to 124.095 is not confidential to the extent that the person is required to report the communication.

(8) The terms of any agreement arising out of the mediation of a workplace interpersonal dispute are confidential so long as the parties and the agency so agree in writing. Any term of an agreement that requires an expenditure of public funds, other than expenditures of $1,000 or less for employee training, employee counseling or purchases of equipment that remain the property of the agency, may not be made confidential.

(9) When a mediation is subject to section (6) of this rule, the agency will provide to all parties to the mediation and to the mediator a copy of this rule or an explanation of where a copy of the rule may be obtained. The mediation confidentiality agreement must also refer to this rule. Violation of this provision does not waive confidentiality or inadmissibility.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 36.224 & 390.124
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 36.230(4)
History:
PRD 22-2009, f. & cert. ef. 12-8-09
137-005-0060
736-140-0020
Mediation
(1) Unless otherwise provided by law, mediation is a voluntary process from which the agency and other participants may withdraw at any time.

(2) The mediator does not represent the interests of any of the participants or offer legal advice. Likewise, the mediator is not a judge and has no decision making power to impose a settlement on the participants or to render decisions.

(3) The person participating in the mediation on behalf of the agency shall be knowledgeable about the issues in dispute and have authority to effectively recommend settlement options to the agency.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 183.341 & 183.502
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 183.502
History:
JD 3-1997, f. 9-4-97, cert. ef. 9-15-97
JD 1-1997, f. 3-28-97, cert. ef. 4-1-97

736-140-0025
Contract Clauses Specifying Dispute Resolution

(1) The agency may specify or require any form of dispute resolution except binding arbitration as a condition of a contract.

(2) The agency may specify binding arbitration by contract only if the Attorney General has approved the contract containing the clause specifying binding arbitration and the clause itself for legal sufficiency.

(3) The agency may provide for the resolution of technical, scientific or accounting matters of fact by requiring the submission of such matters to a neutral fact finder selected and appointed as specified in a contract clause.

(4) The specification of a method of dispute resolution in a contract clause does not:

(a) Remove the requirement to provide notices or filings or to meet deadlines otherwise required by law, regulation or contract provision;

(b) Constitute a waiver of the sovereign immunity of the State of Oregon; or

(c) Prohibit the participants from entering into an agreement to use any other method of dispute resolution that appears to be more suitable for the particular dispute in lieu of or in addition to the method specified by contract.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 183.341 & 183.502
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 183.502
History:
JD 1 1997, f. 3-28-97, cert. ef. 4-1-97
Agenda Item: 9b

Action

Public Comment Allowed: Yes


Presented by: Katie Gauthier, Government Relations and Policy Manager

Legislation passed during the 2021 session resulted in the need to update administrative rules to implement legislative directives.

Changes in three bills are included in this proposed rulemaking: SB 289 prohibiting individuals convicted of a bias crime on public property from entering state parks; SB 794 requiring a 25% surcharge on RV sites for nonresidents and HB 2171 making changes to the Outdoor Recreation Advisory Council.

SB 289 is effective January 1, 2022. It requires individuals convicted of a bias crime committed on public property used for outdoor recreation or on a public waterway to be prohibited from entry to state park properties for up to five years. The agency will be notified by the court system when an individual qualifying under this statute is convicted. The proposed rules are necessary to establish the process for issuing exclusions.

SB 794 requires that nonresidents be charged a 25% surcharge for recreational vehicle sites. This bill is effective September 25, 2021. A portion of the registration fees Oregon residents pay to license their RV funds the department. Proposed rules will outline which site types are subject to the surcharge.

HB 2171, effective September 25, 2021, includes a number of provisions implementing recommendations from the Governor’s Task Force on the Outdoors. The legislation requires the director, in consultation with the Commission to establish the number of members of the Outdoor Recreation Advisory Council. The Governor will then appoint members of the council taking into consideration the geographic, racial, ethnic and gender diversity of Oregon and ensuring that a majority of the members represent historically underserved communities. Our current OAR establishing the council will meet statutory requirement to determine the number of members, but an amendment is needed to change the appointment process to reflect the Governor’s involvement.
A Rule Advisory Committee (RAC) has been appointed to make recommendations on specific language. The draft language in Attachment A will be a starting point for the committee to consider. The RAC includes members from diverse backgrounds who were involved in development of legislation or frequently utilize state parks. They plan to meet in September.

If approved for opening, the public comment on the rule changes would open in October. Staff would plan virtual public hearings in addition to public notices seeking comment.


**Action Requested:** Staff requests permission to open rulemaking to amend OAR 736-010-0020, 736-015-0006, 736-015-0020, 736-015-0040, and 736-002-0170 to implement changes based on legislation passed in the 2021 legislative session.

**Attachments:** Attachment A Marked Copy

**Prepared by:** Katie Gauthier
(1) The director may establish seasons, overnight lengths of stay, camper checkout times and procedures to adjust daily park property opening and closing times, and portions of a park property that are permanently closed or limited to specific uses or activities by the public. These may differ from park property to park property and from time to time, but shall be indicated on the state park website, published maps, brochures, the current state parks guide booklet, or on posted signs at the park property.

(2) Unless otherwise specifically established by the director the following apply:

(a) The maximum length of stay for campers is 14 consecutive nights in a 17 night span. After three nights out of the park, campers may return to start a new stay.

(b) The maximum length of stay for hiker/biker sites is three consecutive nights in a seven night period per park.

(c) Unless otherwise posted or specifically open for an event or activity, the hours of operation for a day use area are dawn to dusk.

(3) The director, by written agreement, may cooperatively exercise jurisdiction and authority over a park property with a county, city, or political subdivision thereof for the purposes of enforcing state park rules, and applicable state, county or city laws.

(4) A person shall observe and abide by all instructions, warnings, restrictions, and prohibitions on posted signs and notices and from park employees.

(5) A park manager or park employee may seek compliance from the public with any state park rule.

(6) A park manager or department enforcement officer may order any person that violates any state park rule to leave a park property.

(7) A park manager or a department enforcement officer may exclude a person that violates any state park rule from the park property or multiple park properties for a specified period of time.

(8) A peace officer may seek compliance from the public with any state park rule and may order a person who violates one or more state park rules to leave a park property.

(9) A peace officer may exclude or recommend that the park manager exclude a person who violates any state park rule; federal, state, county, or city law; or court order from a park property or multiple park properties for a specified period of time.

(10) A park manager or designated park employee may protect the safety or health of the public or protect park resources. This authority includes actions that may temporarily:
(a) Permit or limit specific activities or uses in designated portions of a park property;
(b) Designate a location within a park for a single use to avoid conflicts between users;
(c) Restrict access to or close an entire park property;
(d) Restrict access to or close a portion of a park property; or
(e) Exclude a person from a park property.

(11) Pursuant to ORS XXX.XXX, when the department is notified by the court of a person convicted of ORS 165.155 or 165.165 committed while on the waters of this state or on publicly owned land used for outdoor recreation, the director or designee will exclude the person for up to five years.
(a) Exclusions issued under (11) do not apply to the grounds of the State Capitol State Park.
(b) Exclusions may be waived while performing community-service under the supervision of a park employee.

(11) A person excluded from a park property may contest the exclusion notice by filing a written appeal within seven days of the exclusion date. The person excluded must submit the appeal to the District Manager responsible for the park where the notice of exclusion was issued.

(12) The following situations are criminal trespass in the second degree, a Class C misdemeanor, per ORS 164.245:
(a) A person ordered to leave a park property that remains present as a visitor;
(b) A person excluded from a park property that enters or remains present as a visitor;
(c) A person enters a closed or restricted portion of a park property; and
(d) A person engages in an activity that has been specifically prohibited or restricted at a park property or a portion of a park property.

736-015-0006
Definitions

As used in this division, unless the context requires otherwise:

(1) "Adoptive Foster Families" means one or more persons who have adopted one or more foster children pursuant to ORS 418.285. At least one of the children must currently be under 18 years of age and living with the Adoptive Foster Family.

(2) "Commission" means the Oregon State Parks and Recreation Commission.

(3) "Department" means the Oregon State Parks and Recreation Department.

(4) "Director" means the director of the department.
(5) "Enforcement Officer" means a peace officer or park employee specifically designated by the director under ORS 390.050 to investigate observed or reported violations, and to issue oral or written warnings or citations to enforce park area rules.

(6) "Foster Families" means persons with their foster children, who currently maintain:

(a) A Foster Home, a Relative Home for Children or a Foster Home for Children with Developmental Disabilities, as described in ORS 418.625 or 443.830;

(b) A Foster Home certified by the Oregon Youth Authority under OAR chapter 416, division 530;

(c) A Foster Home certified by any of the nine federally-recognized tribal governments as listed in ORS 172.110; or

(d) A therapeutic Foster Home for Children with Developmental Disabilities provided through a third-party provider that has been certified by the Department of Human Services.

(7) "In Kind Services" means a group or person who provides, at the direction of park staff, materials or services whose value to the park area equals or is greater than the normal fees.

(8) "Marketing and Promotion" generally are agency-sponsored events that are of regional or statewide significance promoting tourism or partnerships with local communities, other agencies or economic development.

(9) "Motor Vehicle" as defined in ORS 801.360 means a vehicle that is self-propelled or designed for self-propulsion. ORS 801.590 further defines "vehicle" as "any device in, upon or by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a public highway and includes vehicles that are propelled or powered by any means."

(10) "Non-Profit Entity" means a group having a 501c(3) exempt status filed with the US Department of Internal Revenue Service.

(11) “Nonresident vehicle” means a vehicle not registered in the state of Oregon.

(11) "Park Area" means any state park, wayside, corridor, monument, historic, or recreation area, except portions of ocean shore recreation areas not abutting a state park or wayside, under the jurisdiction of the department.

(12) "Park Employee" means an employee of the department.

(13) "Park Facility" includes but is not limited to individual and group campsites, day use areas and shelters, cabins, yurts, tepees, meeting halls, lodges, pavilions, and other amenities of the department.

(14) "Park Manager" means the supervisor or designated park employee in charge of a park area.

(15) "Peace Officer" means a sheriff, constable, marshal, municipal police officer, member of the Oregon State Police, and other persons as may be designated by law.
(16) "Person" includes individuals, a public or private corporation, an unincorporated association, a partnership, a government or a governmental instrumentality.

(17) "Reduced Service Level" means a reduction in the normal level of service that a person may reasonably expect due to the department's action/inaction or park facility failure lasting longer than 24 hours.

(18) "Reservation Cancellation" means the person requests an existing reservation be ended without the creation of a new reservation.

(19) "Reservation Change" means a modification to an existing reservation by a person that changes the arrival or departure dates, a complete change to reservation dates, or changes the type of site from the original request.

(20) "Special Events" may be an activity sponsored or co-sponsored by the department, an event that provides entertainment to park visitors, or other activities that promote the mission of the department or Oregon tourism.

(21) "Traditional Tribal Activities" generally means traditional, spiritual, natural and cultural resource practices that would have been or which still are conducted by a federally recognized tribe or its members.

(22) "Fee Range" means a range of fees that may be charged for a campsite. The Director will set the actual fee for each campsite within that range.

**736-015-0020**

**Overnight Rentals**

The director is authorized by the commission to include transient lodging taxes in the nightly rental rate and to increase the rental rate to the nearest whole dollar. The department shall retain the additional revenue. Campsite Rental rates (per night per site before tax):

(1) Full Hookup Campsite: Provides campsite with individual water supply, electrical and sewage hookups, table, stove, and access to a restroom. Fee Range: $26-40. **Pursuant to ORS 390.124(2)(a) nonresident vehicles will be charged a 25-percent surcharge for this site type.**

(2) Electrical Hookup Campsite: Provides campsite with individual water supply and electrical hookups, table, stove, and access to a restroom. Fee Range: $24-38. **Pursuant to ORS 390.124 (2)(a) nonresident vehicles will be charged a 25-percent surcharge for this site type.**

(3) Tent Campsite: Provides campsite with water supply nearby but does not have electricity or sewage hookup. Provides table, stove, and access to a restroom. Fee Range: $17-22

(4) Primitive Campsite: Provides campsite with table and stove; water and sanitary facilities may be some distance away. All primitive campsites Fee Range: $10-15.

(5) Yurt: Rustic units provide a temporary tent structure, covered deck, heat, lights and beds along with outdoor picnic facilities. Deluxe units add kitchen facilities, bathrooms and showers.
(a) Rustic: Fee Range: $42-62.

(b) Deluxe: Fee Range: $81-99.

(6) Cabin: Rustic units provide a hard-walled wooden structure, covered deck, heat, lights and beds along with outdoor picnic facilities. Totem units are primitive log units. Deluxe 1 units add kitchen facilities, bathrooms and showers.

(a) Totem: Fee Range: $26-46.

(b) Rustic: Fee Range: $42-62.

(c) Deluxe 1: Fee Range: $81-99.

(7) Tepee: Tepee replica units vary in diameter from 18' to 26' and provide heat, lights and beds along with outdoor picnic facilities. All tepees: Fee Range: $42-62.

(8) Hiker/Boater/Bicyclist Campsite: Provides cleared area for campers without motor vehicles; water and sanitary facilities may be some distance away. All hiker/boater/bicyclist campsites: Fee Range: $7-12 per camper per night.

(9) Extra Vehicle in Campground: An additional rental rate of $7 per vehicle is charged when an extra vehicle is driven into the campground and remains overnight.

(10) Extra Motorcycle in Campground: If the initial campsite rental is to a person riding a motorcycle, and the first extra vehicle is a motorcycle, the second motorcycle will not be charged. Additional motorcycles in the site will be charged $7 as an extra vehicle. The $7 extra vehicle charge will allow up to two motorcycles per extra vehicle charge.

(11) Pre-Registration (where available): The department may allow a person with a reservation for individual tent, electrical or full hook-up campsites to expedite the check-in process by registering online prior to or upon arrival at the park area.

(12) Pursuant to ORS 105.672 to 105.696, overnight rental charges under this rule are for use of the assigned area or park facility of the state park land for camping and not for any other recreational purpose or area of state park land. The immunities provided under ORS 105.682 apply to use of state park land for any other recreational purpose.

736-015-0040
Miscellaneous Rentals and Products

(1) Firewood: Where conditions permit, firewood will be sold.

(2) Boat Moorage Facilities — $10 per day per boat: Where boat moorage facilities are provided they may only be reserved with other campsite reservations.

(3) Showers — $2 per person: Charged where showers are available to non-campers in a campground.
(4) Horse Camping Area:

(a) Non-hookup site: Fee Range: $17-22 per night per camper unit;

(b) Hookup site: Fee Range: $26-40 per night per camper unit; pursuant to ORS 390.124(2)(a) nonresident vehicles will be assessed a 25-percent surcharge for hookup sites.

(c) Group site (accommodates 3-5 units): Fee Range: $51-66 per night;

(d) Double site: Fee Range: $43-69 per night per two camper units;

(e) A camper unit consists of a motor home, trailer, tent or camper.

(5) Group Tent Camps: Small group tent areas available in some parks which are designed to accommodate approximately 25 people. Water and toilet facilities are provided nearby, but shower facilities may be some distance away.

(a) Base rate (0-25 people): Fee Range: $70-90 per night;

(b) Charges for persons in excess of the 25 person base rate will be $3 per person per night.

(6) Group RV Camp: Special camp area designed to accommodate RV’s requiring hookups in a group setting. The camp has electrical hookups available, water, table, stove, and access to a restroom.

(a) Base rate (up to 10 units): Fee Range: $100-120 per night; pursuant to ORS 390.124(2)(a) nonresident vehicles will be assessed a 25-percent surcharge.

(b) Charges for units in excess of the 10-unit base rate: $10 per unit per night.

(7) Pets Staying Overnight in Facilities (Yurts, Cabins, Tepees): Not more than two pets (cat or dog only) staying overnight in facilities: $10 per night.

(8) Youth Camp (Silver Falls): Large capacity group camp facility with cabins, commercial kitchen facilities, dining hall, showers, meeting halls and swimming facilities. Minimum fee of $800 per night for up to 80 persons and $10 per person per night thereafter up to a maximum occupancy of 250 persons.

(9) Lodge/Community Hall: Large meeting facility with kitchen and restroom facilities which may be reserved overnight: Fee Range $200-250 per night.

(10) Meeting Hall: Small meeting facility, generally associated with a campground, which may have limited kitchen facilities and restrooms: Fee Range $75-125 per day.

(11) Pavilion: A large, covered day-use facility for group use: Minimum fee range of $100-150 per event for up to 50 people, and $1 per person thereafter up to the maximum occupancy of the facility.
(12) Shore Acres Garden: All facility prices, no matter which facility or combination of facilities are booked, start with a minimum of 50 persons per event. Additional people beyond the minimum of 50 are $1 per person up to a maximum of 100 people per event.

(a) Event Site: A lawn area outside the formal garden or a section of the formal garden (NOTE: sites in the garden must be booked in conjunction with another facility): Fee Range $100-150 per event.

(b) Pavilion (inside the formal garden and must be booked with an event site or the garden house): Fee Range $100-150 per event.

(c) Garden House (inside the formal garden and must be booked with the Pavilion): Fee Range: $200-250 per event.

736-002-0170
Outdoor Recreation Advisory Council: Membership and Function

(1) The State Parks and Recreation Commission (commission) may appoint an Outdoor Recreation Advisory Council (council) to the Oregon Office of Outdoor Recreation (OREC). The purpose of the Outdoor Recreation Advisory Council (council) is to support the Oregon Office of Outdoor Recreation’s (OREC)’s duty to promote and facilitate efforts to coordinate outdoor recreation policy and priorities across the state, and with government and nongovernmental entities, as provided in ORS 390.233 and section (7).

(2) Members of the council will be appointed by the Governor taking into consideration the geographic, racial, ethnic and gender diversity of the state and ensuring that a majority of the council members represent historically underserve communities. is composed of The membership should include:

(a) At least three outdoor recreation participants that reflect the indigenous heritage, cultural richness, varied physical ability, socioeconomic status, or geographic diversity of this state and the many forms of recreation enjoyed here;

(b) At least three representatives from Oregon’s outdoor recreation sector such as brands, manufacturers, retailers, outfitters, guides and community-based organizations or non-profits;

(c) Two members from a federally-recognized Indian tribe in Oregon.

(d) Ex-officio Membership on the council is limited to those individuals and organizations that have or potentially have significant in-kind or other resources to contribute to the purpose provided in section (1). Ex-officio members may fully participate in discussions and deliberations of the council. Ex-officio membership shall include, but is not limited to, the director or the director’s designee of the following agencies:

(A) One member designated by the Travel Oregon;

(B) One member designated by the Oregon Business Development Department;

(C) One member designated by the Department of Fish and Wildlife;
(D) One member designated by the Oregon State Marine Board;

(E) One member designated by the Oregon Department of Forestry;

(F) One member designated by the Oregon Department of Transportation;

(G) One member designated by the Oregon Health Authority;

(H) One member designated by the Oregon Department of State Lands

(I) One member designated by Oregon State University Extension Service;

(J) One member designated by the Association of Oregon Counties.

(e) Other members deemed necessary by the Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission (commission) in consultation with OREC.

(3) Members appointed under section (1) may serve two consecutive three-year terms on the council. Members are eligible for reappointment and the commission may fill vacancies.

(4) The commission shall appoint the chair from the council membership, considering the recommendations of the council.

(5) The council shall meet at times and places specified by the call of OREC.

(6) A majority of council members appointed under subsections (2)(a), (b), (c), and (e) constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business.

(7) Function and duties of the council. Upon the request of OREC, the council may assist in efforts to:

(a) Work with public, private and non-profit sectors to advocate for conservation and stewardship of land, air, water, and wildlife, and for public access to them.

(b) Educate and empower Oregon residents and visitors on the importance and interrelatedness of a healthy environment, outdoor recreation and a vibrant economy.

(c) Facilitate public-private partnerships to enhance public outdoor recreational access, infrastructure improvements, and conservation efforts.

(d) Coordinate outdoor recreation policy, as mandated in ORS 390.233, through a consensus-oriented approach:

(A) Within the administrative divisions of the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (department) and between and among the department and federal, state, regional and local government entities, special districts, and nongovernmental entities.

(B) Assist in developing or updating the outdoor recreation management strategies of the department.
(C) Collaborate with Travel Oregon and the Oregon Travel Information Council to create effective forums for communicating recreation-based initiatives and for sharing best practices. Serve as a clearinghouse and information center for outdoor recreation stakeholders.

(D) Develop data, independently or through contracts with appropriate public or private agencies, on the social, economic and resource impacts of outdoor recreation in this state.

(E) Promote the health and social benefits of outdoor recreation in coordination with other related state programs and initiatives.

(e) Collaborate with the Oregon Business Development Department and Travel Oregon, representatives of regional and local governments, the outdoor recreation industry and other outdoor recreation stakeholders to promote a robust economic cluster focusing on the outdoor industry and outdoor recreation participation. In furtherance of promoting economic development, OREC may recommend, adopt or assist in the implementation of policies and initiatives that:

(A) Maximize public and private investment in outdoor recreation activities and in the outdoor recreation industry in this state.

(B) Develop and implement state policies and programs to bolster outdoor recreation for locals and visitors.

(C) Work with partners to improve, manage or develop recreational opportunities that yield economic returns through participation and travel spending.

(D) To balance improved or expanded outdoor recreation access and opportunities with resource protection.

(f) Strive to enhance quality of life and economic vibrancy in communities across the state.

(g) Aim to strike a sensitive balance between development and preservation of the unique natural experiences provided by Oregon’s outdoor recreation resources, and between motorized and non-motorized outdoor recreation activities.

(h) Seek a proactive approach to enhancing regional and local outdoor recreation infrastructure.

(i) Establish one and five-year work plans within the department that involves policy guidance and strategic planning for grants, recreation trails, Engage-Relate-Adapt, Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP), and state park service delivery.

(j) Develop cooperating agreements with Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Forestry, Oregon State Marine Board, Department of State Lands, Department of Land Conservation and Development, Travel Oregon, Oregon Health Authority, and Business Oregon and other entities.

(k) Make recommendations for legislation, on policies and initiatives to OREC for inclusion in an annual report submitted by OREC to the Legislative Assembly.
(8) Reporting structure:

(a) The council shall solicit and consider input from agencies and organizations that it identifies as being involved in implementing any recommendations, providing the opportunity to identify any statutory, regulatory, logistical, budgetary or staffing issues that may not be apparent.

(b) OREC will submit any final joint outdoor recreation policy, legislative, and strategic plan recommendations developed by council for review by any other affected agency or organization for a period of 30 days prior to OREC submitting recommendations for review to the commission.

(c) When commission action includes recommendations that fall under the purview of another state, local or federal government agency, it shall provide a referral to those bodies for their consideration.

(9) Expectations:

(a) Recommendations from the council are expected to help to shape outdoor recreation policy and strategy across the state without regards to jurisdiction or public/private boundaries. Council recommendations, however, are not a mandate for any government or nongovernment organization to implement joint policies, legislative agendas, or strategic plans unless the affected organization agrees to do so.

(b) All joint outdoor recreation policies, legislative concepts, and strategic plans developed through council will include analysis of additional resources that may be needed, and provide recommendations for producing those resources through public and private means.

(c) OREC shall maintain regular communications with the council around legislative considerations.

(10) Administrative Entity: OREC operates as an entity within the department, which is responsible for the administration and enforcement of the duties, functions and powers imposed by law upon OREC.

(11) By-Laws: the council shall adopt by-laws consistent with its duties to conduct its affairs. By-Laws shall be created and administered by the council, OREC and under the department and the commission. By-Laws shall reflect that the council is an advisory body to OREC.
The attached report includes:

- 6 New agreements for a total of $300,075
- 25 New contracts for total of $22,913,242
- 51 Amendments for a total of $5,380,055

Action Requested: None.

Attachments: Procurement Report

Prepared by: Jayme Jones
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executed</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>FIP</th>
<th>Original Contract $</th>
<th>Current Amendment</th>
<th>Amendments To Date</th>
<th>Current Contract Value</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/04/21</td>
<td>Slice Recovery</td>
<td>Umpqua Lighthouse State Park and William M. Tugman State Park in Coos and Douglas Counties</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 increases price per bundle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/05/21</td>
<td>Slice Recovery</td>
<td>Harris Beach State Recreation Area in Curry County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 increases price per bundle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/05/21</td>
<td>Slice Recovery</td>
<td>South Beach State Park in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 increases the price per bundle and extends the contract end date.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/21</td>
<td>JB Firewood Co</td>
<td>Beverly Beach State Park in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/21</td>
<td>JB Firewood Co</td>
<td>Beachside State Recreation Site in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$60,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$60,800</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/21</td>
<td>JB Firewood Co</td>
<td>Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/21</td>
<td>Wood River Timber Consulting LLC</td>
<td>Collier State Park in Klamath County</td>
<td>Fire salvage timber sale</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/07/21</td>
<td>Slice Recovery</td>
<td>Bullards Beach State Park in Coos County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Amendment 3 increases the price per bundle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/14/21</td>
<td>J&amp;C Firewood LLC</td>
<td>Detroit Lake State Recreation Area in Marion County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/14/21</td>
<td>J&amp;C Firewood LLC</td>
<td>LaPine State Park in Deschutes County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$20,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,700</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/14/21</td>
<td>J&amp;C Firewood LLC</td>
<td>Tumalo State Park in Deschutes County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/14/21</td>
<td>Slice Recovery</td>
<td>Carl G. Washburne Memorial State Park in Lane County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 increases the price per bundle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/21/21</td>
<td>Alpenglow Forestry Consulting LLC</td>
<td>Ben and Kay Dorris State Park in Lane County</td>
<td>Fire salvage timber sale</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>New revenue contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/21</td>
<td>Friends of Historic Butteville</td>
<td>Champoeg State Heritage Area in Marion County</td>
<td>Historic Butteville Store concession services</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Amendment 4 extends contract through 12/31/2023 and updates OPRD’s contract administrator.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07/21</td>
<td>L3Harris Technologies, Inc.</td>
<td>Smith Rock State Park in Deschutes County</td>
<td>Trunked radio system two-way wireless radios</td>
<td>$15,435</td>
<td>$15,435</td>
<td></td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08/21</td>
<td>Slice Recovery</td>
<td>Cape Blanco State Park and Humbug Mountain State Park in Curry County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/09/21</td>
<td>Maksimum Security</td>
<td>Tou Velle State Recreation Site and Valley of the Rogue State Park in Jackson County</td>
<td>Rule enforcement and security patrol services</td>
<td>$61,872</td>
<td>$23,991</td>
<td>$88,128</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Amendment 5 extends the contract and increases the budget.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/21</td>
<td>Bay Area Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>Sunset Bay State Park in Coos County</td>
<td>Janitorial services</td>
<td>$13,782</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,782</td>
<td>Amendment 1 reinstates contract, extends contract date, and updates contacts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/16/21</td>
<td>O’Malley Brothers Corporation</td>
<td>L.L. &quot;Stub&quot; Stewart Memorial State Park in Washington County</td>
<td>Timber thinning</td>
<td>$5,027</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,027</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract date.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/21</td>
<td>Four Elements Forestry LLC</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln and Tillamook Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with no price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executed</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>FIP</td>
<td>Original Contract $</td>
<td>Current Amendment $</td>
<td>Amendments To Date</td>
<td>Current Contract Value</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/21</td>
<td>Habitat Contracting LLC</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln and Tillamook Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$18,400</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with no price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/21</td>
<td>Todd Boswell dba Boswell Consultants</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln, and Tillamook Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with no price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/21</td>
<td>Institute for Applied Ecology</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln and Tillamook Counties. Mountain Region in Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Gillam, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Jefferson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake, Lane, Malheur, Sherman, Union, Umatilla, Wasco, Wheeler and Wallowa Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with approved price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/23/21</td>
<td>Willamette Valley Forestry</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln and Tillamook Counties. Mountain Region in Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Gillam, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Jefferson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake, Lane, Malheur, Sherman, Union, Umatilla, Wasco, Wheeler and Wallowa Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with approved price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/23/21</td>
<td>Oregon Woodland Cooperative</td>
<td>Nehalem Bay State Park in Tillamook County</td>
<td>Firewood and Delivery</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/21</td>
<td>DW Retail Services, LLC</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Online store fulfillment services</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 adds ATV permit fulfillment services at an estimated cost of $25,000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/21</td>
<td>Branch Enterprises 7 Inc.</td>
<td>Mountain Region in Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Gillam, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Jefferson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake, Lane, Malheur, Sherman, Union, Umatilla, Wasco, Wheeler and Wallowa Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with no price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/21</td>
<td>Tim Browning (TBI)</td>
<td>Mountain Region in Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Gillam, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Jefferson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake, Lane, Malheur, Sherman, Union, Umatilla, Wasco, Wheeler and Wallowa Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with no price changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28/21</td>
<td>Oregon Woodland Cooperative</td>
<td>Champoeg State Heritage Area in Marion County</td>
<td>Firewood and delivery</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>New price agreement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/21</td>
<td>Bay Area Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>Sunset Bay State Park in Coos County</td>
<td>Janitorial services</td>
<td>$13,800</td>
<td>$22,572</td>
<td>$22,572</td>
<td>$36,372</td>
<td>Amendment 2 updates funding.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/21</td>
<td>Integrated Resource Management</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln and Tillamook Counties. Mountain Region in Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Gillam, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Jefferson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake, Lane, Malheur, Sherman, Union, Umatilla, Wasco, Wheeler and Wallowa Counties</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with approved price changes and updates OPRD contract administrators.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executed Date</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>FIP</td>
<td>Original Contract</td>
<td>Current Amendment</td>
<td>Amendments To Date</td>
<td>Current Contract Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/12/21</td>
<td>Coverall North America Inc</td>
<td>Cabin cleaning services</td>
<td>L.L. &quot;Stub&quot; Stewart State Park in Washington County</td>
<td>$39,780</td>
<td>$39,780</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/14/21</td>
<td>Institute for Applied Ecology</td>
<td>Native seed to support habitat restoration efforts</td>
<td>Sunset Beach State Recreation Site in Clatsop County</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends contract date.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/14/21</td>
<td>SOLVE</td>
<td>Ocean Shores and Riverside clean-up events</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 updates contacts, extends contract date, adds funding and modifies services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/19/21</td>
<td>Adaptive Preservation, LLC</td>
<td>Model asset inventory and implementation guidance</td>
<td>City of Cottage Grove in Lane County</td>
<td>$14,130</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends contract date.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21/21</td>
<td>Center for Natural Lands Management</td>
<td>Seed production fields and nursery beds</td>
<td>Sunset Beach State Recreation Site in Clatsop County</td>
<td>$14,853</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends contract date.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/26/21</td>
<td>Ricoh USA, Inc.</td>
<td>Copier lease</td>
<td>Valley of the Rogue State Park in Jackson County</td>
<td>$8,275</td>
<td>$8,275</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/21</td>
<td>Green Ridge Resources LLC</td>
<td>On-call vegetation management services</td>
<td>Coastal Region in Clatsop, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln and Tillamook Counties</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends contract through 12/31/2022 with approved price changes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/14/21</td>
<td>Silver Falls Hospitality, LLC</td>
<td>Concession and hospitality services at Smith Creek Village and The Ranches</td>
<td>Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>New contract. Contract amount represents estimated direct revenue to OPRD from concession sales.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/28/21</td>
<td>Cove Palisades Resort, Inc.</td>
<td>Concession services</td>
<td>The Cove Palisades State Park in Jefferson County</td>
<td>$780,000</td>
<td>$780,000</td>
<td>New contract. Contract amount represents estimated direct revenue to OPRD from concession sales.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/21</td>
<td>Twenty Four 7, Inc.</td>
<td>ATV/OHV re-branding services</td>
<td>Headquarters in Marion County</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends contract to 7/31/21 and adds brand identity phase 2 work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/15/21</td>
<td>Parametric, Inc.</td>
<td>Trail planning services</td>
<td>Oregon Coast Trail in Clatsop, Tillamook, Lincoln, Lane, Douglas, Coos and Curry Counties</td>
<td>$204,175</td>
<td>$204,175</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/22/21</td>
<td>Roli Fabrication L.L.</td>
<td>Pressure wash and stain cabins</td>
<td>L.L. Stub Stewart State Park in Washington County</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$20,677,000</td>
<td>New contract. Corrected entry from Mar - Apr 2021 delegated authority report.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/03/21</td>
<td>Guido Construction Inc</td>
<td>Restroom and shower building</td>
<td>Jessie H. Honeyman State Park in Lane County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$1,326,322</td>
<td>Amendment 7 to increase quantities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/21</td>
<td>Great Western Corporation</td>
<td>Replace structural posts at Whistle -stop shelter</td>
<td>Buxton Trail Head in Washington County</td>
<td>$43,824</td>
<td>$43,824</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/21</td>
<td>Road and Driveway Company</td>
<td>Pave loops &quot;H&quot; and &quot;I&quot;</td>
<td>South Beach State Park in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$156,406</td>
<td>Change order 2 for additional work and true up material quantities and costs for contract closeout.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/21</td>
<td>7 Peaks Paving</td>
<td>Paving improvements</td>
<td>Prineville Reservoir State Park in Crook County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$134,650</td>
<td>Change order 1 to add work required due to changed conditions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/21/21</td>
<td>DSL Builders, LLC</td>
<td>North Falls Visitor Center building renovations, Phase 2</td>
<td>Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$799,600</td>
<td>Change order 1 to add glulam replacement and raker wall removal and replacement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/21</td>
<td>Bayview Asphalt, Inc</td>
<td>Paving improvements</td>
<td>Fort Stevens State Park in Clatsop County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$289,905</td>
<td>Change order 1 for additional work and to extend substantial and final completion dates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/21</td>
<td>DSL Builders, LLC</td>
<td>North Falls Visitor Center building renovations, Phase 2</td>
<td>Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$799,600</td>
<td>Amendment 1 to extend substantial and final completion dates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/21</td>
<td>Pleasant Hill Development Company, LLC</td>
<td>New concrete pad and fuel tank installation</td>
<td>Carl G. Washburne Memorial State Park in Lane County</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$34,568</td>
<td>Amendment 1 to extend substantial and final completion dates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executed</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>FIP</td>
<td>Original Contract $</td>
<td>Current Amendment $</td>
<td>Amendments To Date $</td>
<td>Current Contract Value $</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18/21</td>
<td>7 Peaks Paving</td>
<td>Prineville Reservoir State Park in Crook County</td>
<td>Paving improvements</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
<td>($7,483)</td>
<td>$25,853</td>
<td>$160,853</td>
<td>Change order 2 to add work and true up material quantities and costs for contract closeout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/21</td>
<td>Guido Construction Inc.</td>
<td>Jessie H. Honeyman State Park in Lane County</td>
<td>Restroom and shower building</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$1,326,322</td>
<td>$3,380</td>
<td>$176,858</td>
<td>$1,503,180</td>
<td>Amendment 8 to increase quantities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/21</td>
<td>Morello Construction, LLC.</td>
<td>Detroit Lake State Recreation Area in Marion County</td>
<td>Paving improvements</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$238,557</td>
<td>$13,204</td>
<td>$13,204</td>
<td>$251,761</td>
<td>Change order 1 to add additional work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/21</td>
<td>Morello Construction, LLC.</td>
<td>Detroit Lake State Recreation Area in Marion County</td>
<td>Paving improvements</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$238,557</td>
<td>$19,040</td>
<td>$32,244</td>
<td>$270,801</td>
<td>Change order 2 to add additional work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/07/21</td>
<td>Davis Geoarchaeological Research, LLC</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Archaeological sensitivity model</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>New contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/21</td>
<td>Westech Engineering, Inc.</td>
<td>Beverly Beach State Park in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Electrical improvements</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
<td>$20,300</td>
<td>New contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/21</td>
<td>Landis Corporation dba Landis Consulting</td>
<td>Beverly Beach State Park in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Electrical upgrades design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$22,005</td>
<td>$14,704</td>
<td>$58,365</td>
<td>$80,370</td>
<td>Amendment 2 adds work, increases compensation and extends term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/21</td>
<td>Southern Oregon University</td>
<td>Wolf Creek Inn State Heritage Site in Josephine County</td>
<td>Archaeological investigations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$25,317</td>
<td>$25,317</td>
<td>$25,317</td>
<td>$25,317</td>
<td>New contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/21</td>
<td>Branch Engineering, Inc.</td>
<td>Detroit Lake State Recreation Area &amp; Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>Replacement, design, and installation of water reservoirs</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$24,500</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends the contract term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/21</td>
<td>KPFF, Inc.</td>
<td>Bullards Beach State Park in Coos County</td>
<td>Sewer rehabilitation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$99,875</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,143</td>
<td>$118,018</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends the contract term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/21</td>
<td>Branch Engineering, Inc.</td>
<td>Jessie M. Honeyman Memorial State Park in Lane County</td>
<td>Geotechnical and structural engineering services</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 reinstates contract, extends date and updates contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/29/21</td>
<td>Parametriv, Inc.</td>
<td>Prineville Reservoir State Park in Crook County</td>
<td>ADA boardwalk design</td>
<td></td>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends the contract term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/21</td>
<td>Windsor MEP Engineers, LLC.</td>
<td>Oswald West State Park in Tillamook County</td>
<td>Park water system improvement design</td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>Amendment 1 modifies terms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/21</td>
<td>Anderson Shirley Architects, Inc.</td>
<td>Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>North Falls Office Complex design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$69,405</td>
<td>$99,405</td>
<td>Amendment 6 extends term, updates contact and adds funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/14/21</td>
<td>Alta Planning + Design, Inc.</td>
<td>Guy W. Talbot State Park in Multnomah County</td>
<td>Permitting assistance for bridge installation and replacement of concrete stairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,026</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$22,026</td>
<td>Amendment 2 reinstates contract, extends term, adds funding and updates contacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/15/21</td>
<td>Institute for Applied Ecology</td>
<td>L.L. &quot;Stub&quot; Stewart Memorial State Park in Washington County</td>
<td>Systematic survey at the Nelson’s Chekermallow Conservation area</td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,018</td>
<td>$34,018</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21/21</td>
<td>Pali Consulting, Inc.</td>
<td>Mayer State Park in Wasco County</td>
<td>Geologic hazard evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/21</td>
<td>Willamette Cultural Resources Associates LTD</td>
<td>Amanda’s Trail in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Archaeological monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td>$56,817</td>
<td>$56,817</td>
<td>New contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/21</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Maintenance of park roads</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,243,044</td>
<td>$1,168,461</td>
<td>$1,168,461</td>
<td>$2,411,505</td>
<td>Amendment 5 adds reduced level of revenue, extends date and updates ODOT contact information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/21</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Use of state park properties as motorist rest opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,554,706</td>
<td>$2,058,363</td>
<td>$2,058,363</td>
<td>$4,613,069</td>
<td>Amendment 2 adds reduced level of revenue, extends date and updates ODOT contact information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executed</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>FIP</td>
<td>Original Contract $</td>
<td>Current Amendment</td>
<td>Amendments To Date</td>
<td>Current Contract Value</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/20/21</td>
<td>Clatsop County</td>
<td>Del Rey Beach State Recreation Site, Gearhart Ocean State Recreation Area and Sunset Beach in Clatsop County</td>
<td>Maintain vehicle beach access</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>New agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/21</td>
<td>Oregon Commission for the Blind, Business Enterprise Program</td>
<td>Silver Falls State Park in Marion County</td>
<td>Concession services at South Falls Lodge</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/26/21</td>
<td>Oregon State Police</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Law enforcement services</td>
<td></td>
<td>$132,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$385,900</td>
<td>$517,900</td>
<td>Amendment 2 updates the hourly rates for patrol services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/27/21</td>
<td>Oregon State University</td>
<td>Driftwood Beach State Recreation Site in Lincoln County</td>
<td>Grid-connected wave energy testing project, PaciWave South</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>New agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/21</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Smith Rock State Park in Deschutes County</td>
<td>ODOT radio TRS subscription</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>New agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/21</td>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>All OPRD and County properties within Jackson County</td>
<td>Parking permit exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>Amendment 1 extends date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08/21</td>
<td>University of Oregon</td>
<td>SHPO Headquarters in Marion County</td>
<td>Multiple Property Documentation Form for African American Resources in Oregon (MPDF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>New agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/15/21</td>
<td>Clatsop County</td>
<td>Ocean Shore and Park Recreation Area in Clatsop County</td>
<td>Law enforcement services</td>
<td></td>
<td>$193,040</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$293,040</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends the contract end date and increases funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/15/21</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Forestry</td>
<td>State Forest Lands in Tillamook and Clatsop Counties</td>
<td>Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) program operations ATV Program</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,111,531</td>
<td>$1,551,340</td>
<td>$2,925,515</td>
<td>$4,037,046</td>
<td>Amendment 2 extends the contract end date and increases funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/16/21</td>
<td>Oregon State Marine Board</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Maintenance Assistance Program (MAP) for improved marine facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>$215,075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$215,075</td>
<td>New agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pursuant to a duly adopted delegation order, and acting in accordance therewith, the Director, or her designee, has approved the following actions on behalf of the Oregon Parks & Recreation Commission:

**SCENIC WATERWAYS NOTIFICATION**

June 9, 2021, a Notification of Intent 16-95-21 was approved to Stimson Lumber Company on the Nestucca River Scenic Waterway to harvest 29.32 acres within the scenic waterway boundary. Permit was approved because the trees on the adjacent property between the harvest unit and the river (390’ to 1200’ buffer) will remain to provide screening of the harvest unit.

On June 22, 2021, a Notification of Intent 53-230-21 was approved for Oregon Metro to construct a new ADA compliant parking and river viewing area adjacent to existing recreation facilities within Oxbow Regional Park in Gresham along the Sandy River Scenic Waterway. The improvements were permitted because the project is associated with existing day-use facilities needed for outdoor recreation at the public park. The project is designed to blend into the setting and all removed vegetation (up to four trees) will be replaced with native trees along with other native habitat improvements.

July 1, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2B-1077-21 was approved to Paul and Joanne Trese on the Upper Deschutes Scenic Waterway for the construction of a residential home with a detached garage within the scenic waterway corridor. It was approved because the construction exceeds the minimum setback and height limitation and utilizes existing vegetation and exterior materials and colors that blend in and help the structure be less visible and cohesive with the surrounding environment.

July 1, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2B-1078-21 was approved to Bradley Smith in the Upper Deschutes Scenic Waterway for the construction of a new cabin. It was approved because the project meets all requirements including exceeding setbacks from the river and maintaining natural vegetation between the home and the river. The structure will be finished in muted colors that blend in with the surrounding environment.
On July 1, 2021, a Notification of Intent 53-231-21 was approved for Claudia Becker to replace a home lost to fire within the Sandy River State Scenic Waterway. The project was approved because it involves replacing a structure that was lost to fire and it meets all the requirements of the scenic waterway rules. The project is screened by a substantial amount of vegetation and is outside of the “area of greatest visual effect” designated on the Sandy River.

July 13, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2A-277-21 was approved to Jeff and Anna Phelps in the Scenic River Area of the Middle Deschutes Scenic Waterway for the construction of a new single-family residence. The proposal was approved because the structure exceeds setback limitations from the river, will be finished in muted and natural materials that will blend in with the surrounding environment. Additionally, mature evergreen vegetation exists between the home and the river to help screen the structure from view of the river.

July 13, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2B-1079-21 was approved to Sunriver Owners Association in the Upper Deschutes Scenic Waterway for improvements to an existing pedestrian pathway. The pathway will be converted from dirt to compacted aggregate and widened. The work was approved because it will not be visible from view of the river due to existing and additional screening that will be planted between the trail and the river.

July 19, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2B-1080-21 was approved to Hilda and Hermann Gockler in the Upper Deschutes Scenic Waterway for the construction of a new single-family residence. The construction was approved because it meets the setback requirements and will include landscaping installation to help screen the home from view of the river. The proposal included confirmation that exterior materials and colors that blend with the surrounding environment. Structure is also below maximum height limitations.

July 27, 2021, a Notification of Intent 9-418-21 was approved for Portland General Electric (PGE) to conduct a habitat restoration project which involves gravel mining and placement of gravel on the Clackamas Scenic Waterway. This restoration work is a continuation of project NOI 9-389-15 and is required by FERC to address issues related to dams owned and operated by PGE. The placement of gravel is necessary for resource protection and as such is an allowable activity following review by OPRD. Sediment mining to acquire the necessary gravel will occur at an existing upland PGE owned mining site (terrace) above the placement area and is designed to not be visible from the river. Mining in this stretch of river is allowable because there is “substantial vegetative screening” which currently exists in the area, obscuring the terrace from the view as seen from the river.

July 30, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2B-1081-21 was approved to George and Menoula Stanitsas for the construction of a new single-family residence on the Upper Deschutes Scenic Waterway. The proposal was approved because it exceeds setback limitations, is below height limitations and ample mature native vegetation exists between the proposed home and the river to screen the home from view of the river.

July 30, 2021, a Notification of Intent 2A-278-21 was approved to the Deschutes Palisades Trust for the construction of a new single-family residence on the Middle Deschutes Scenic Waterway. The proposal was approved because it exceeds the rimrock setback limitations, the structures will be finished in materials and colors that blend with the surrounding environment and the owners
will install additional evergreen vegetation to help screen the new structure from view of the river.

On August 9, 2021, a Notification of Intent 17-75-21 was approved for John O’Connor to replace a shed lost to fire and construct a shade structure within the North Umpqua State Scenic Waterway. The project was approved because it involves replacing a structure that was lost to fire and it meets all the requirements of the scenic waterway rules of the river community area on the North Umpqua.

**OCEAN SHORES ALTERATION DECISIONS**

On June 25, 2021, Ocean Shore Permit #2963 was issued to Charles and Susan Taylor, to enlarge an existing shoreline protective structure. The project would modify the existing riprap revetment by increasing its height to approximately 28 feet along 50 feet of shoreline, as necessary to mitigate active and continuous bluff erosion at the site. The project would provide additional shoreline protection to the middle and upper bluff face in accordance with the recommendation of the project’s Certified Engineering Geologist. The completed structural improvements would be covered with sand and topsoil, and planted with beach grass and native coastal vegetation including salal to mitigate visual impacts. The property is located at 380 Pearl St., Gleneden Beach, and the subject property is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-16DB as tax lot 8800.

On July 12, 2021, staff issued six (6) ocean shore permits to reconstruct a riprap structure along an 850-foot section of shoreline in the Salishan development near Gleneden Beach, where the previous riprap was destroyed or severely damaged in January of 2021 as the result of extremely large surf during a King tide event. The new, engineered riprap would replace a temporary riprap structure currently in place, which was authorized under emergency circumstances during and immediately following the storm event. The new, continuous riprap structure would have a height of approximately 30 feet, a width of 64 feet, and a slope of 2:1 (Width to Height). Below is a list of the six permits issued:

- Ocean Shore Permit #2964 was issued to Salishan Leaseholders, Inc, along 10 feet of shoreline as part of the larger project. The property is located within a private community beach access pathway between the homes at 20 Spouting Whale St. and 26 Spouting Whale St., and is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-3CC as tax lot 233.

- Ocean Shore Permit #2968 was issued to Nicole Fox along approximately 123 feet of shoreline as part of the larger project. The property is located at 26 Spouting Whale Lane and is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-3CC as tax lot 208.

- Ocean Shore Permit #2969 was issued to Leatrice Freed along approximately 125 feet of shoreline as part of the larger project. The property is located at 28 Spouting Whale Lane, and the subject property is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-3CC as tax lot 215.
Ocean Shore Permit #2970 was issued to David Browne along 305 feet of shoreline as part of the larger project. The property is located at 20 Spouting Whale Lane and is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-3CC as tax lot 201.

Ocean Shore Permit #2971 was issued to Richard Royse and Alan Blumhagen along 90 feet of shoreline as part of the larger project. The property is located at 24 South Lagoon Lane and is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-3CC as tax lot 202.

Ocean Shore Permit #2972 was issued to James Baker along 90 feet of shoreline as part of the larger project. The property is located at 20 South Lagoon Lane and is identified on Lincoln County Assessor’s Map #8-11-3CC as tax lot 204.

**TIMBER HARVEST REVENUE**

On August 12, 2021, OPRD received $225,000 in timber revenue for 50% of estimated timber volume salvaged from Ben & Kay Dorris State Park.

Prior Action by the Commission:  None

Action Requested:  None

Attachments:  None

Prepared by:  Central Park Resource Section Staff
Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission
DRAFT - Planning Dates
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<table>
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</tr>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

July

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

August

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

September

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

October

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

December

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2022 Commission Meeting

February - Willamette Valley
April - Coos Bay
June - Central Oregon
September - Gorge
November - Willamette Valley
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