Rulemaking: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

Public comments received
Oct. 30 through Nov. 5, 2021
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</strong></th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First name:</strong></td>
<td>Daniel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last name:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your public comment about this rule:</strong></td>
<td>I've camped many times at the Oregon State Parks, and they are very nice looking. However, adding an &quot;Out of State&quot; user fee or tax is counter productive as it focuses on charging more for not being a resident. A better approach would be to have a resident discount instead. I am willing to pay for these nicely maintained State Parks, but not a 25% non-resident tax.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** e10061e1-2603-482d-966e-c5b31262899b

**Record ID:** 1045
THAT DOES SEEM ANTI-FRIENDLY! ANTI-TOURIST? WE HAVE ENJOYED YOUR PARKS EVERY TIME WE GO THRU OREGON.

FOR US SENIORS, IT SEEMS A BIT UNFAIR, CUZ OUR SOCIAL SECURITY DOES NOT KEEP UP WITH ALL THE VARIED PRICE INCREASES

EVERY TIME WE TURN AROUND. IT IS NO WONDER SO MANY SENIORS JUST STAY AT HOME & DEHYDRATE! SAD. SAD. SAD!!!

I CERTAINLY DON’T HAVE THE ANSWERS FOR SOLVING THE REPAIRS NEEDED, INCREASED PRICES OF SUPPLIES ETC, SO …

GUESS THE ONLY ANSWER IS TO INCREASE THE ENTRY FEE/SITE FEE. BUT I MIGHT BE GRUMBLING…. Arlene Hansen
Dear Mr. or Ms.

I, as a Canadian, will not be staying at any state parks any more, it’s not the 25% surcharge. It’s that I am being discriminated against not being a person from your state. I feel that we share our parks with others at the same price I pay. It’s too bad that people in power think that we are different than you.

Thanks for reading,

Gerald Quinn. Retired camper being priced out of the market.

Sent from my iPad
As a California resident I find your proposal both prejudiced and very poorly thought out. Many people that own rv's and do not reside in Oregon enjoy your State Parks. While they are in your State Parks, they patronize your local economies. Many of us from outside Oregon are not willing to camp in your state with the increased surcharge. This act or bill will not help your state parks, but will, in fact hurt their local economies. If it is necessary to raise fees, raise fees for everyone. Penalizing out of state campers sets a precedent and will hurt tourism in Oregon.

Morris Weisbart

>)())°>~~~>)()))°>~~~
That is ok so I can make sure not to visit or spend money in Oregon. I am sure I will not be the only one. Will go to states that like us spending money in their states and not punish us for visiting.
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: | 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules  
---|---
First name: | Jackie  
Last name: | Bourdin  
Email: | ronjac@mymts.net  
Your public comment about this rule: | We are frequent visitors to Oregon and feel that if there is a 25% surcharge for us to come and camp we will probably camp in other states instead. I question if this decision will discourage others from travelling to Oregon. This decision would impact the tourism trade for the small businesses and in my opinion it would be an unwise decision for the state.

**Submission ID:** d4aaf80e-f916-4c8f-8c4e-b0e077a2abb7  
**Record ID:** 1043
Tell us which rule you want to comment on:  

| 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules |

First name: Millicent
Last name: Butterworth
Email: millicentbutterworth@yahoo.com

Your public comment about this rule: I am surprised that with all the volunteer hours provided by out-of-state volunteers working at the Oregon State Parks that you would penalize out-of-staters with added fees. We stay & pay at your campgrounds other than the ones where we volunteer.

Submission ID: 6ab0922b-abd9-488f-b419-126a435ff560
Record ID: 1041
Tell us which rule you want to comment on:

| 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules |

First name:

Last name:

Email:

Your public comment about this rule:

I don't agree with the proposed increase for out of state RV fees. I personally will avoid overnight or any extended stays in Oregon due to this fee increase. Tourism will suffer due to this rule which will negatively affect restaurants, fast food, grocery stores, fuel sales and many other business establishments in Oregon. You will find that this will lose money for Oregon state instead of increasing which is the ultimate goal.

Submission ID: 77df69fe-eb14-4a2d-be5f-dc892dd7846f

Record ID: 1038
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: | 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules
---|---
First name: | First
Last name: | Last
Email: |
Your public comment about this rule: | Stop trying to charge more money and manage the money you do have properly.

Submission ID: f4240312-4f17-4cd2-800a-aca31b0ca14d
Record ID: 1037
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Ray

Last name: Moreland

Email: PVSman@juno.com

Your public comment about this rule: RE: RV 25% surcharge for out of state. It is sad when states decide to price as if we are not one nation of US citizens. If McDonald's priced higher for out of state patrons, most would say it is not fair so please price each citizen the same at RV parks. Thank you

Submission ID: 1e27ea84-97df-4f54-bbe3-b799bfb167b3

Record ID: 1036
**Tell us which rule you want to comment on:**

2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

**First name:**

Eric

**Last name:**

Resweber

**Email:**

eresweber@gmail.com

**Your public comment about this rule:**

As a non-Oregon citizen of another state I see the rate increase for out of state visitors as something other states will adopt also. It lessens my desire to visit Oregon. Our state charges its citizens other fees that go to our parks and campgrounds and so far we don't make our of state visitors pay more. So go ahead and raise fees for out of state visitors, just don't expect me and my family to visit or spend any other money in Oregon.

**Submission ID:** 089a64be-9d01-4b53-abfc-0a45bbab548e

**Record ID:** 1034
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Dan

Last name: Rucker

Email: drucker4917@gmail.com

Your public comment about this rule: I think 25% is too high, but I think 10% would be fair. If you need more revenue try opening more or expanding existing campgrounds. I have camped in Oregon but in the past 3 years, I have not been able to get into a state park.

Submission ID: a7f74d73-9ee2-46d0-9e3e-7d89d259d0e9

Record ID: 1033
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Tom

Last name: Gutzke

Email: tomgutzke@yahoo.com

Your public comment about this rule: While many may not consider charging an out-of-state camper "fair" I would say that it is fair. Residents pay taxes to the state and part of that money is used for State Parks, including campsite development. As I have NOT paid anything to develop these sites but just pay to "rent" them for a night's stay I fully understand why there should be a two-tire system for payment - resident and non-resident. While I would not want to pay more for a campsite than anyone else, it could be considered fair to charge me more as I don't pay any tax dollars in the form of income taxes. Sure, sites are basically identical, but a person has to;look at the overall cost and who is paying part of that cost without even going camping. I say "thank you" for developing campsites in state parks. By the way, I'm approaching my 77th birthday early next year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Sterling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Sterling.judy@gmail.com">Sterling.judy@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>The extra vehicle fee is so unfair. I pay more for two people to camp in a van with extra car, yet a single 45 foot class A bus with 6 people in it pays nothing extra. My combined vehicles are 25 ft and we put much less strain on park resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** f899a231-c1f6-47a0-b2e1-df56b654f294

**Record ID:** 1030
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: cheryl

Last name: taylor

Email: 25% surcharge for RV sites occupied by out-of-state residents

Your public comment about this rule:
We have been traveling to Oregon since the 70's in our RV and have always loved staying at Oregon SP's. We spend at least a month in the state during each trip. One of our favorite CG's is Beverly Beach SP and I am disappointed to see how the bathrooms and showers have deteriorated due to lack of maintenance. And now you want to charge me a 25% surcharge to occupy an RV site because I am an out-of-state resident. We contribute to Oregon economy each month we spend in your state. I am AGAINST Senate Bill 794. If it passes we will find somewhere other than Oregon to spend our money; you have a beautiful state but you don't have the only beautiful state.

Submission ID: 2cf30739-b791-480d-80a9-34598914510f

Record ID: 1029
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Mitch

Last name: Davis

Email: cruiser3023@gmail.com

Your public comment about this rule: It sounds like Oregon doesn't want my tourism dollars. We make 2-3 trips to Oregon each year in our 5th wheel. The money we spend a lot of money on fuel, food, and lodging which helps local businesses. Maybe from now on I well think about another state.

Submission ID: bfa9c0ee-2c88-4676-8f2e-59d9074cb011

Record ID: 1027
Tell us which rule you want to comment on:

| 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules |

First name: jim

Last name: Christian

Email: s_Christian@Hotmail.com

Your public comment about this rule:

1. The proposed bias crime discriminates against me being allowed to say what I am thinking or believing is one that should be stricken from this and other laws. I am allowed to have 1st amendment rights and as such be able to express my opinion. I am a Christian and I can see the hand writing on the wall that says I will be restricted from speaking the Word of God because it violates some one's feelings. Well, I have feelings also and I can be offended by what someone says but I am not going to restrict their right to speak their mind. We all have so far the 1st amendment freedom of most speech to an extent. I can see my rights being stripped from me and I completely am against it. Besides, if one is convicted of the crime, they have already paid the penalty for their crime and it is over and done with. Be wise and think ahead to more than just yourself but also the rights of others.
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Jessica
Last name: Burkhart
Email: Burkhart_family4@hotmail.com

Your public comment about this rule: I’m not understanding the out of state stipulation. Is this to discourage out of state visitors. Not only will the up cost in out of state residents deter visitors, it will take away from the locals. More money spent on camping, less money spent in the community. Treating out of state locals differently is not very welcoming. Highly recommend this portion be dismissed.

Submission ID: 375ab173-4355-4daf-96cd-0ced29530213
Record ID: 1024
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Gene

Last name: Richardson

Email: gene940@msn.com

Your public comment about this rule: I understand why you would want for out of state rvs to pay an additional fee for camping but 25% may be a little steep, But I do not know your fees. I think perhaps 10% may be accepted better than 25%. You do not want your upcharge to be so high that it discourages RVers to your state. Just my personal thoughts

Submission ID: 4ea7c0a7-5a60-4c5a-af01-1fe682d8d673

Record ID: 1023
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Mike
Last name: Cornell
Email: roadking@grimjack.com

Your public comment about this rule: While I understand the need to generate revenue along with ensuring that state residents have a priority to facilities, I am opposed to the 25% surcharge for out of state residents. Many multi-state travelers like me will go to other states instead, resulting in a reduction in revenue. I please hope you would reconsider this negative approach.

Submission ID: 1d41e2d7-2897-4502-a2ff-d70de5e8a93c
Record ID: 1021
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Jerry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Liszak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jliszak@msn.com">jliszak@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>I understand Oregon will charge a 25% surcharge for out of state campers at Oregon state parks. Part of the reason I hear is because Oregon residents already pay a fee with their auto license fees to support Oregon state parks. I live in Washington State and in Washington we residents also help pay for our state parks through taxes and an annual pass. So I suppose if you raise fees for out of state residents other states will have to start doing the same thing. I love camping in both Washington and Oregon every summer but this will put a damper on my Oregon camping since I am a senior citizen living on Social Security. If this law passes, I will encourage our state to pass a similar law. Thank you for your consideration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** d3d6a6e1-454e-4a99-8432-c30cf6ba6495  
**Record ID:** 1019
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Phil

Last name: Strong

Email: Philstrong1959@gmail.com

Your public comment about this rule: Charging out of state campers more than posted rate sends the message that Oregon does not want out of state visitors. It is the message not the amount. I think the legislature is overlooking the overall impact of tourism dollars spent.

**Submission ID:** 39cd8ef4-07b2-4ba5-9df2-6bffdc55231

**Record ID:** 1018
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Al

Last name: Lehman

Email: Al.lehman@icloud.com

Your public comment about this rule: Senate bill 794 regarding 25% surcharge for out of state visitors. My wife and I have been Oregon State Parks for the last 24 years and have been a park volunteer since 2015. For the past 10 years we have spent four to five months each summer mostly along the Oregon coast. If this new rule passes we will no longer visit Oregon nor volunteer at the parks. We will take our money to a state that does not discriminate against out of state visitors.

Submission ID: 6d047d65-838c-43fa-96ca-381b529afe38

Record ID: 1017
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: | 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules
---|---
First name: | Philip
Last name: | Sponable
Email: | speedyshor@aol.com
Your public comment about this rule: | I am a non-resident. Extra 25% fee is fine.

**Submission ID:** 8c41a03c-d126-4704-9163-98927a5bf323

**Record ID:** 1016
**From:** oregon-gov-web-services@egov.com  
**To:** PUBLICCOMMENT * OPRD  
**Subject:** Public comment: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules  
**Date:** Sunday, October 31, 2021 9:19:57 AM  
**Attachments:** formsubmission.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Billy and Abby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Jergins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:abjergins@hotmail.com">abjergins@hotmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>Dear Oregon Parks and Recreation, we are planning to travel Oregon, to view the many sites. in the near future. Our plans may change depending on how expensive it will be to stay at RV parks while there. Limited budgets are the normal these days for all retired couples. Adding a 25% surcharge to RV camping may be a deal breaker for us and so many like us. Thank you Billy and Abby Jergins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** e70a2053-437d-4651-b311-aab5e85e7b67  
**Record ID:** 1014
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Frank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Erlitz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fderlitz@yahoo.com">fderlitz@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>Senate Bill 794 Increasing the rate discourages people with RV'S from using state camping sights and will cause a lost of revenue in camping plus other purchases in the state such as gas, food, and fees for many other items. Not very smart to discourage people from vising your state</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** 2c83cbdb-754f-4a0c-b971-6683584be83c

**Record ID:** 1015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Tom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Mauldin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tcmauldin2@gmail.com">tcmauldin2@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>I was in Oregon a few years ago in my RV. I stayed a few of your park which were nice, but if you make me pay 25% more than a resident, I won't be staying in them any more. The price increase will bring the cost of staying in the park close to the cost of staying in a private park. So why should I pay for a park that give me less amenities than a private park.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submission ID: ca6f5a58-8a7c-40ba-9272-33c9a78100d8  
Record ID: 1011
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First name:</th>
<th>Doug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>McGrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Doug.mcgrew@yahoo.com">Doug.mcgrew@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your public comment about this rule: I am against the change that requires out of state campers to pay more than in state campers. I am from Idaho and we also pay an additional fee to access state campgrounds. I believe the number of Oregon campers using our state would also then need to pay additional fees when using our campgrounds. This simply creates an increase in user fees for all campers. I wonder if the next money grab will be to charge out of state vehicles a fee to use your roads?

Submission ID: de502425-a932-4772-802b-3959dcb1e5f5

Record ID: 1010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Linda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Candreva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lcandreva@aol.com">lcandreva@aol.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>Do this, and Oregon will be a easy state to bypass for RV'rs. Is this classified as discrimination??</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** 0c1582a1-98fb-4f70-ae47-f79d7c43a340

**Record ID:** 1009
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tande12506@comcast.net">tande12506@comcast.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>For more than 40 years I have been visiting your state and staying in various state campgrounds. Is you add a 25% surcharge for out of state campers I will never stop and visit Oregon again.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** 5e49a8b7-d423-4524-b0de-83013ad64932

**Record ID:** 1008
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Marybeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Almand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mbalmand@yahoo.com">mbalmand@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>OPRD has been operating under a 20+ dollar deficit. They really need the money for equipment used in the day to day operations of the properties. With RV sales skyrocketing, first time campers are clogging up parks nationwide. Residents already pay their fair share for their parks, but the quantity of out of state campers use the same resources, just more. Many of the &quot;No Shows&quot; are out of state people. No Show reservations should hurt. No refunds should be the norm. I also think that ALL DAY USE designated areas should pay a day use fee. Even an entry fee to the park property itself should be considered. The ones that don't, have a contingent of daily regulars that fill up parking spaces, use the toilet paper, dump their trash in (and out) of the trash cans, using resources that others pay for.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** 02e2d09e-0ea9-4c3b-9f2e-80fc460c2dc7  
**Record ID:** 1007
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Gary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Gilmore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:garygilmore@gmail.com">garygilmore@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>If you raise out of state RV rates 25%, I will NEVER vacation in Oregon again. You will lose my camping dollars, and supporting businesses will too.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** aed050c2-d1a5-42eb-95c3-1a337507cf8e

**Record ID:** 1006
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Joe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Petro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joegpetro@msn.com">joegpetro@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>I believe the 25% surcharge for out of state campers to be fair and I am an out of state camper.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** c81fa991-c026-4711-b713-d295344e1dda  

**Record ID:** 1004
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Gary

Email: Glwcell@hotmail.com

Your public comment about this rule: Bill 794 Against - Raising out of state registration fees. Discouraging out of state tourism is a bad idea. Out of state visitors spend money and support all of our local businesses that many of the small communities depend on.

Submission ID: db45974e-f7af-41b5-9ace-d23d782417e9

Record ID: 1003
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First name:</th>
<th>Leonard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>Rempel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:leonardrempel@gmail.com">leonardrempel@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>As a Canadian spending my winters in the beautiful Southern U.S., I understand the need to increase revenues. If you need to charge us out of Staters more for camping, I have ZERO issue with it. Let's be real for a minute; RV'ing is truly a first world problem. If I (or anyone else) can afford an RV or an RV lifestyle, we can afford campground fee's, whatever they are. There are a lot more pressing issues that we all face than a few bucks more for a campground site. Cheers, Stay safe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submission ID: 26ac7dae-7af6-493c-a510-bb45ef854e13

Record ID: 1002
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Pete

Last name: Morris

Email: peterb4u@msn.com

Your public comment about this rule: Senate Bill 794. NO I lived in Oregon for 20 years and love it. I still vacation there often; I'll not pay an extra 25% surcharge!!!

Submission ID: 65766f51-dbc1-4105-83fc-67eb0a2ad255

Record ID: 1000
Tell us which rule you want to comment on: 2021 Legislative Implementation Rules

First name: Eric

Last name: Elliott

Email: es99cobra@hotmail.com

Your public comment about this rule: Re: Senate Bill 794, is your intent to keep out of state RVers from visiting your state? The Pacific northwest is on our list to travel to in the very near future, but I can bypass Oregon entirely if it costs me my arm AND my leg to camp there. A 25% 'fee' on out-of-staters? Wouldn't you want to attract people to your state? I don't see the logic in that bill at all

Submission ID: 85d145c1-3cbc-438c-be18-2fa99f69e96c

Record ID: 999
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tell us which rule you want to comment on:</th>
<th>2021 Legislative Implementation Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
<td>Ed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:avah2@nqcialis.com">avah2@nqcialis.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your public comment about this rule:</td>
<td>I am not happy with the proposal to charge out of State Campers a surcharge. I have visited several states that do this and after the first night I leave as I feel I am being treated as a second class citizen. My home state does this also and I encourage my out of state friends and family to park in the National and county parks when visiting. I had plans on visiting the West Coast in 2022 on my way to Alaska and will now be re-planning this trip to avoid Western Oregon. Still have not investigated Washington yet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission ID:** 73e2a502-a8f6-48bb-9e33-35ab14214fca

**Record ID:** 998