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- Check in -

Session 4 highlights
Crowdsourcing update
Session 5 agenda
Session 4 highlights

- Reviewed Crowdsourcing assessment through February 15, 2017
- Conducted a team exercise to identify resources associated with waterway Rules
- Individual and group exercise used to suggest improvements to current Rules, or development of new Rules
- Preview of the Interim Report outline, intent, and content
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Crowdsourcing update

- Through February 25, 2017 we’ve received/reviewed = 235 responses
- Received/yet to be reviewed = approximately 66 responses
- Update to Crowdsourcing page sets final input date as April 12, 2017; 3 weeks from today
- Noted proportionate increases in every current Trend Category
- Adding a new Trend Category: Assess Impacts
- Dividing a current Trend Category: Advocating Protections
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Trend Categories

- Impact Assessments
- Process Concerns
- Limiting Development
- Advocating Protections
- Increasing Development
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Process Concerns

- No Waterway Education for Public
- Poor survey design
- Input process too difficult
- Violate public meeting laws
- UDAG a ridiculous circus
- Do not take private lands
- Intensity of river use & access
- Regulations abuse
- Values managed differently
- Manipulate the law
- Usurp the will of the voters
- Government corruption
- Ignoring experienced people
- Lack of transparency
- Bogus crowdsourcing method
- Review excludes homeowners
- Excludes those most impacted

UDAG 55.06
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[Bar chart showing increasing development needs, with bars indicating support levels for various statements like Humans & Wildlife do co-exist, Should accommodate increased use, Increase distributed use, Serve the community, Support use and access for all, Expand dog off-leash areas, Keep pace with land use, Support river trail expansion, Support proposed bridge.]
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Limiting Development

- To establish precedent
- Inappropriate developer actions
- Keep wild & scenic
- Safety a principal concern
- Additional access not needed
- Demand does not equal need
- Already unlimited recreation
- Negative scenic impacts
- Destruction of fish habitat
- Due to environmental impacts
- Against proposed bridge
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Advocating Protections

- To slow human & pet intrusion
- To save for future generations
- To keep water accessibility
- To slow trail degradation
- To prevent trespassing
- To protect private property
- To assess user capacities
- To ban low-flying devices
- To ban snowmobiles
- To prohibit weapons
- To expand no-shooting zones
- To keep preservation measures
- To improve water levels
- To enforce the rules
- To keep river values relevant
- To keep Segment 4S unchanged
- To sustain personal well-being
- To sustain habitats
- To mitigate development
- To safeguard the environment
- To keep dog off-leash areas
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Session 5 agenda

Focal point: - Oregon Goal 5 overview:
Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Spaces

Open Forums 1/2: - Oregon Goal 5 discussion:
Scenic Waterway and Recreational Trail Resources

- Segment 4 discussion:
Sub-Segment 4G – Scenic Sub-Segment Boundary

Linking point: - Objectives for Concluding Sessions
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- Focal Point -

Oregon Goal 5 Overview

Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Spaces
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Goal 5 Highlights

- Broad statewide planning goal that covers more than a dozen resources, including wildlife habitats, scenic waterways, and recreational trails.
- Goal and related Oregon Administrative Rules (Chapter 660, Divisions 16 and 23) describe how cities and counties are to plan and zone land to conserve resources listed in the goal.

Note: Goal 5 planning and implementation guidelines address a wide-range of ‘carrying capacity’, ‘physical limitations of land’, ‘stream flow and water levels’, and ‘development techniques’.
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Five-Step Planning Process

1. Inventory local occurrences of resources listed in Goal 5 and decide which ones are important;
2. Identify potential land uses on or near each resource site and any conflicts that might result;
3. Analyze economic, social, environmental, and energy (ESEE) consequences of such conflicts;
4. Decide whether the resource should be fully or partially protected, and justify the decision;
5. Adopt measures such as zoning to put that policy into effect.

Note: Process was established by rules adopted in 1982 (OAR 660, Division 16), and revised in 1996 (OAR 660, Division 23), which tailored the process to the individual resources covered by the Goal.
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New Rules affect on ‘Waterway’ and ‘Trail’ Resources

- Scenic Waterways (OAR 660-023-130):
  ▪ With Oregon Parks & Recreation Commission (OPRC) ‘management plan’ in place, local government must make its local plan consistent with that plan by next ‘periodic review’ or when they amend their current land-use plan or ordinances.

- Recreation Trails (OAR 660-023-150):
  ▪ Local governments may rely on state programs to protect such trails or develop additional protections using the Goal 5 process.

Note: Intent of OAR 660, Division 23 was to simplify the Goal 5 process for local governments.
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- Open Forum 1 -

Oregon Goal 5 Discussion

Scenic Waterway and Recreational Trail Resources
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**Items for Discussion**

- In the local area, are there any land use conflicts on, near, or between the identified Goal 5 Resources (i.e. Scenic Waterway or Recreation Trail)? [See OAR 660-023-0010(1)]
- If land use conflicts exist, is the Goal 5 ESEE decision process needed to help determine what the geographic limits are of impact areas involved? [See OAR 660-023-040]
- Does local government have appropriate programs in place to achieve Goal 5 even if land use conflicts exist? [See OAR 660-023-0050]
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- Break -
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- Open Forum 2 -

Segment 4 Discussion

Sub-Segment 4G - Scenic Sub-Segment Boundary
Items for Discussion

- The current boundary between River Community sub-segment 4G and the Scenic River sub-segment 4F is located at the South City of Bend Urban Growth Boundary (UGB), river mile 172.
- Based on UDAG discussions thus far, is there need to change the boundary location?
- If this boundary location was to change, where would a potential new boundary be located?
- What are the potential impacts on Waterway ORV (Values) should this boundary change?
- Do Goal 5 ESEE consequences need to be addressed should this boundary change?
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- Linking Point -

Objectives for Concluding Sessions
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Objectives include, but are not limited to the following

1. Document participants’ positions on the relevance of sub-segment 4G as part of the SSW;
2. Define participants’ top issues on which they can reach consensus;
3. Detail the principal contentious issue remaining for and/or amongst participants.
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- Check Out -

Conclusions and Scheduling