

## Water Conservation, Reuse and Storage Grant Program Grant Application Evaluation Worksheet

<b>Application #</b>	G		15	<b>Applicant:</b>	
<b>Amount Requested from OWRD:</b>			\$		
<b>Type of Planning Study:</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Water Conservation <input type="checkbox"/> Reuse <input type="checkbox"/> Above-Ground Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Storage Other than Above-Ground (including ASR)			
<b>Reviewer's Name:</b>					
<p><b>INSTRUCTIONS:</b> The application evaluation worksheet is divided into two sections: Section A-Common Criteria (criteria common to all planning studies) and Section Unique Criteria (criteria unique to the type of grant requested). Write/type comments, as appropriate. <b>Assign a score for each question within the range provided in the Scoring Criteria column, with a higher score representing a better answer.</b> When you have scored each question, enter subtotals for each section and the Grand Total on page 10. Provide your overall evaluation and your funding recommendations on the last page.</p>					

### Section A – Common Criteria

Criteria	Comments	Scoring Criteria	Score
<b>Readiness and Ability to Execute (1 of 3)</b> Applicant clearly describes how and on what schedule the planning study will be performed. The description should include: identification of key personnel and associated tasks, timelines for tasks to be accomplished, and identification and specific role(s) of entities that have a part in completing the study.		<b>1a. Organization (0-5)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well defined schedule recognizing all key tasks and clear identification of the resources necessary for accomplishing the key tasks (4-5)</li> <li>• Steps missing or not scheduled. Did not identify all necessary resources to accomplish key tasks (2-3)</li> <li>• Rough outline (0-1)</li> </ul>	
		<b>1b. Implementation Schedule (0-10)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can begin immediately (10)</li> <li>• Within reasonable time (6-8)</li> <li>• Other tasks must be completed first (0-4)</li> </ul>	

<p><b>Readiness and Ability to Execute (2 of 3)</b> Applicant demonstrates the capability to accomplish the study with available or anticipated human resources.</p>		<p><b>2. Human Resources (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very qualified/ experienced (4-5)</li> <li>• Qualified/experienced (2-3)</li> <li>• Somewhat qualified/ experienced (1)</li> <li>• Not qualified/ experienced or unclear (0)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Readiness and Ability to Execute (3 of 3)</b> Applicant demonstrates that no government approval and/or permits are needed to conduct the planning study. If the applicant has determined that government approval and/or permits are necessary to conduct the planning study, the applicant demonstrates that they have obtained or are in the process of obtaining necessary permits and/or government approval to conduct the planning study.</p>		<p><b>3 &amp; 4. Permits/Government Approval (0-5)</b> Applicant has thoroughly examined the need for government approval and/or permits to conduct the planning study and determined that government approval and/or permits are necessary to conduct the planning study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant has identified all necessary permits. (0-2) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All (2)</li> <li>▪ Some (1)</li> <li>▪ None (0)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<p><b>Readiness and Ability to Execute (3 &amp; 4 of 4)</b> (continued from above)</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant has applied for all necessary permits (0-1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Yes (1)</li> <li>▪ No (0)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Applicant has all necessary permits (0-2) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All (2)</li> <li>▪ Some (1)</li> <li>▪ None (0)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<p><b>Planning Study Achieves Goal (1 of 2)</b> Applicant clearly articulates how the study will bring the entity closer to an established or stated goal. The established or stated goal must be based on evaluating the feasibility of developing a water conservation, reuse or storage project.</p>		<p><b>5. Goal (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear connection between the study and achieving goal (7-10)</li> <li>• Moderate or unclear connection between the study and achieving goal (4-6)</li> <li>• Limited or lack of connection between the study and achieving goal (0-3)</li> </ul>	

<p><b>Planning Study Achieves Goal (2 of 2)</b> Applicant describes the technical aspects of the study and explains why the technical approaches are appropriate for accomplishing the stated goal of the study.</p>		<p><b>6. Technical Aspects (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly appropriate (4-5)</li> <li>Somewhat appropriate (2-3)</li> <li>Not appropriate (0-1)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Local, Regional State Involvement, Interest and/or Commitment</b> Taking into account the type of entity that is applying and the size and scope of the study, applicant describes an appropriate level of involvement, interest and/or commitment in the study by outside entities, and explains how the study and/or associated project will benefit/impact these entities.</p> <p>Applicant provides letters of support from appropriate entities, taking into account the type of entity that is applying and the size and scope of the study.</p>		<p><b>7. Local, Regional, State Interest and Commitment (0-10)</b> Considering the entity that is applying and the size and scope of the study, has the applicant demonstrated a level of involvement, interest and commitment by outside entities appropriate for the study? (For studies of substantial size and scope, it would be expected that there would be involvement, interest and/or commitment from a variety of outside entities.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong involvement, interest and commitment (7-10)</li> <li>Moderate involvement, interest and commitment (4-6)</li> <li>Little or no involvement, interest and commitment (0-3)</li> </ul>	
<b>Sub-Total for Section A, Common Criteria</b>			

## Section B – Water Conservation or Reuse

Criteria	Comments	Scoring Criteria	Score
<p><b>SB 1069 Priority</b> Applicant clearly demonstrates that the associated project has been identified by the Department in a statewide water assessment and inventory. Or, has provided the applicable information on page 18.</p>		<p><b>1. SB 1069 Priority (0 or 10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Already on the assessment and inventory or the applicant has submitted their project for placement on the Department’s statewide water assessment and inventory (10)</li> <li>• Not on the assessment and inventory (0)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (1 of 3)</b> Applicant clearly describes how the associated project will mitigate the need to develop new water supplies and/or use water more efficiently.</p>		<p><b>2. Relies upon Solid Water Availability and Needs Data/Analysis (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High (7-10)</li> <li>• Medium (4-6)</li> <li>• Low (0-3)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (2 of 3)</b> Applicant provides documentation and/or examples of the success of similar or comparable water conserve/reuse projects.</p>		<p><b>3. Record of success (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High (4-5)</li> <li>• Moderate (2-3)</li> <li>• Low (0-1)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (3 of 3)</b> Applicant provides the percentage of water need(s) that the associated project is intended to meet.</p>		<p><b>4. Degree to which the project will meet water supply need(s) (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully (5)</li> <li>• &gt; 50% (3-4)</li> <li>• &lt; 50% (0-2)</li> </ul>	

<p><b>Addresses Source Water Impacts</b>  Applicant provides data and information relevant for gaging of the potential impacts of the project associated with the planning study on the project's source(s) of water supply, and water bodies and water right holders downstream of associated and/or affected return flows.</p>		<p><b>5. Project and Source Water Data (0-20)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Substantial and adequate data and information to gage potential impacts (14-20)</li> <li>• Less than adequate data and information to gage potential impacts (8-12)</li> <li>• Little or no data and information to gage potential impacts (0-6)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Sub-Total for Section B, Unique Criteria – Water Conservation and Reuse</b></p>			

## Section B – Above Ground Storage

Criteria	Comments	Scoring Criteria	Score
<p><b>SB 1069 Priority</b> Applicant provides the information necessary to determine that the planning study should be prioritized as required by statute (SB 1069/Chapter 13, 2008 Laws)—information that the project associated with the planning study includes provisions for using stored water to augment in-stream flows to conserve, maintain and enhance aquatic life, fish life or other ecological values.</p>		<p><b>1. SB 1069 Priority (0 or 10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meets statutory requirement for prioritization (10)</li> <li>• Does not meet statutory requirement for prioritization (0)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (1 of 2)</b> Applicant clearly demonstrates that the project associated with the planning study is intended to meet an important and/or critical local, regional, or statewide water supply need(s). Areas of water supply need may include, but are not limited to: economic, environmental, agricultural, livestock, municipal, electric generation, industrial, manufacturing, water quality protection, and augmentation of source water resources (surface or ground).</p>		<p><b>2. Relies upon Solid Water Availability and Needs Data/Analysis (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High (7-10)</li> <li>• Medium (4-6)</li> <li>• Low (0-3)</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Proportion of water supply need(s) the project is intended to meet (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully (5)</li> <li>• &gt; 50% (3-4)</li> <li>• &lt; 50% (0-2)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (2 of 2)</b> Applicant presents convincing argument that alternatives to the project associated with the planning study cannot reasonably be expected to meet the water supply need(s).</p>		<p><b>4. Lack of Alternatives (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convincing argument (4-5)</li> <li>• Somewhat convincing argument (2-3)</li> <li>• Unconvincing or weak argument (0-1)</li> </ul>	

<p><b>Addresses Source Water Impacts (1 of 2)</b>  Applicant provides data and information relevant for gaging the potential impacts of the project associated with the planning study on the project's source(s) of water supply.</p>		<p><b>5. Project and Source Water Data (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Substantial and adequate data and information to gage potential impacts (7-10)</li> <li>• Less than adequate data and information to gage potential impacts (4-6)</li> <li>• Little or no data and information to gage potential impacts (0-3)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Source Water Impacts (2 of 2)</b>  Applicant provides a solid review of the local, state, and/or federal permitting requirements and issues posed by the implementation of the project associated with the planning study.</p>		<p><b>6. Anticipates local, state, and/or federal project permitting requirements and issues (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comprehensive review (7-10)</li> <li>• General but less than comprehensive review (4-6)</li> <li>• Lack of adequate review (0-3)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Sub-Total for Section B, Unique Criteria – Above-Ground Storage</b></p>			

## Section B - Storage Other Than Above-Ground [including Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR)]

Criteria	Comments	Scoring Criteria	Score
<p><b>SB 1069 Priority</b> Applicant clearly demonstrates that the associated project has been identified by the Department in a statewide water assessment and inventory.</p>		<p><b>1. SB 1069 Priority (0 or 10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Already identified by the Department on the assessment and inventory or the applicant has submitted their project for placement on the Department's statewide water assessment and inventory (10)</li> <li>• Not on the assessment and inventory (0)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (1 of 2)</b> Applicant clearly demonstrates that the project associated with the planning project is intended to meet an important and/or critical local, regional, or statewide water supply need(s). Areas of water supply need may include, but are limited to: economic, environmental, agricultural, municipal, electric generation, industrial, manufacturing, and protection (i.e., water quality) and/or augmentation of source water resources (surface or ground).</p>		<p><b>2. Relies upon Solid Water Availability and Needs Data/Analysis (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High (7-10)</li> <li>• Medium (4-6)</li> <li>• Low (0-3)</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Degree to which the project will meet water supply need(s) (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully (5)</li> <li>• &gt; 50% (3-4)</li> <li>• &lt; 50% (0-2)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Water Supply Need(s) (2 of 2)</b> Applicant presents convincing argument that there are no other reasonably achievable alternatives that will be able to meet the water supply need(s).</p>		<p><b>4. Lack of Alternatives (0-5)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convincing argument (4-5)</li> <li>• Somewhat convincing argument (2-3)</li> <li>• Weak and unconvincing or weak argument (0-1)</li> </ul>	

<p><b>Addresses Source Water Impacts (1 of 2)</b> Applicant provides data and information relevant for gaging the potential impacts of the project associated with the planning study on the project's source(s) of water supply and on groundwater resources.</p>		<p><b>5. Project and Source Water Data (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Substantial and adequate data and information to gage potential impacts (7-10)</li> <li>• Less than adequate data and information to gage potential impacts (4-6)</li> <li>• Little or no data and information to gage potential impacts (0-3)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Addresses Source Water Impacts (2 of 2)</b> Applicant provides a solid review of the local, state, and/or federal permitting requirements and issues posed by the implementation of the project associated with the planning study.</p>		<p><b>6. Anticipates local, state, and/or federal project permitting requirements and issues (0-10)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comprehensive review (7-10)</li> <li>• General but less than comprehensive review (4-6)</li> <li>• Lack of adequate review (0-3)</li> </ul>	
<b>Sub-Total for Unique Criteria – Storage Other Than Above-Ground (including ASR)</b>			

<b>Section A Sub-Total</b>	
<b>Section B Sub-Total</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>	

**Overall Evaluation (Describe the MAIN strengths and weaknesses of the application):**

**Funding Recommendation:**

Fund ⇒  High     Medium     Low

Do Not Fund

Fund with these conditions: