



Community Resource Unit


IMPACT Statistics

Monica Moran, CRU Manager

7/16/2026



The Developmental Approach





**Hard on Data,
Intentional with
People**

We challenge the
information, not the
individual.

Healthy tension
strengthens our
thinking.



**If You Don't
Know Your Data,
You Don't Know
Your Business**

Understanding our
metrics is a shared
responsibility.

Knowing your data
builds credibility
and informs better
decisions.



**Transparency
Builds Trust**

Sharing successes
and struggles
strengthens our
collective
effectiveness.



**Can't Manage a
Secret**

No one has to carry
the issues alone.

Open
communication
enables
accountability and
shared solutions.



**Complexity is the
Enemy of
Execution**

Simplicity and
clarity accelerate
progress.

Keep it
understandable,
actionable, and
measurable.



**Collective
Accountability**

We rise together.
Data ownership
matters, but
improvement is a
team sport.

We turn data into direction — not just to report, but to refine, learn, and improve



What we do

Ensure safe, effective community services for OYA youth by:

- **Providing oversight and contract administration** to community providers
- **Facilitating communication** and support with agency staff and partners
- **Adjusting resources** based on agency needs
- **Coordination** of diversion services, juvenile crime prevention funding, and individual services reimbursements to juvenile departments throughout the state

Residential Service Types

- Behavior Rehabilitation Services
- Youth Care Center and Detention Based
- Culturally Relevant/Supportive
- Transitional Housing and Re-Entry
- Psychiatric Residential Treatment
- Behavioral Health Treatment Foster Care

Non-Residential Programs

- Youth Advocacy Program (YAP)
- Community Intervention Services (CIS)
- Working Theory Farm




CRU in Context


**48% of OYA Field Youth are in an
OYA Substitute Care Placement**

**89% of OYA Substitute Care Youth
are in a CRU Placement**

OYA Field Population by Location Type



OYA Substitute Care Population



(as of 6/30/2026)




Youth in CRU Placements


(as of 6/30/26)

Total Youth: 190


OYA Status




Gender




Age



Most Serious Offense



Race/Ethnicity






Current Time in Placement

(as of 6/30/26)


Most youth have been in their current CRU placement for < 6 months






Service Types

Non-BRS placements have increased in recent years



2025 Placements by Service Type






Supplemental – Non-BRS Placements by Race/Ethnicity

Among Youth of Color, 23% of CRU placements were for non-BRS services


% of Non-BRS Placements by Race/Ethnicity





Supplemental – Non-Residential Services

184 youth have received non-residential services since 2022





Insight | Measurement | Performance | Accountability
Continuous Improvement | Transparency




Performance Metrics



Utilization

76% of physical capacity was used in Quarter 2 of 2026






Utilization – Psychiatric Residential

Psychiatric Residential Bed utilization declined last month


Average Daily Population of Youth in Psychiatric Residential Beds
(Contract Capacity = 4)





Utilization – Non-BRS Placements

4% of last month's CRU Placements were for service types other than BRS




Total CRU placements starting in June 2026 = 49



Safety – Youth Self-Report


95% of youth in CRU placements felt safe last quarter





Safety – PSO Complaints

Eleven PSO Complaints were received last month




CRU Average Daily Population, June 2026 = 196



Supplemental – PSO Details


Last month, one complaint was Level 1 (most serious), one was Level 4, and nine were Level 7 (least serious)





Youth Incident Reports


Two youth behavior problems were reported last month





Runaways by Month

Seven youth ran from CRU placements last month




CRU Average Daily Population, June 2026 = 196



Runaway Rate by Year


2026 (YTD) Runaway Rate is similar to recent years





Next Location – Exits to Home



Of the 55 youth who left a CRU placement last month, 36% returned directly to home





Exits to Home by Status and Year

Exits to home have been less common in 2026 (YTD) than in previous years





Closing Updates



High Priority Work

Is there any high-priority work happening in your department that we don't see reflected in some way in these slides?



Employee Engagement

Updates on employee engagement efforts and areas of focus.



Placement Continuum Survey

Results of June 2026 Community Resource Unit request for assistance to prioritize next additions to contracted service array

- 79 Respondents
 - OYA Field (39%)
 - County Juv Dept (32%)
 - OYA YCF (22%)
 - Other (7.6%)


Option	Total Votes	1st choice votes
A program for older youth struggling with their mental health	65	26
A program for youth that have experience being sexual exploited	44	5
A short-term detention-based program setting (similar to NORCOR)	44	1
A Residential program for youth that are gang impacted	43	9
Short-term stabilization in the valley	36	5
An ILP female program	35	12
Mentors/Advocates (non-residential)	26	8
An ILP in the southern Oregon area	21	6
A culturally supportive program for Native and indigenous youth	15	0
A culturally supportive program for LGBTQ+ youth of all ages	14	2
A culturally supportive program for youth of color in Multnomah	12	3
An ILP program in Central Oregon	11	1
An ILP program on the coast	9	1
A program for Monolingual Spanish speaking youth over the age of 18	7	0



Insight | Measurement | Performance | Accountability
Continuous Improvement | Transparency



v20260107



Help us improve

To share your insights, ask questions, or provide feedback,
scan the QR code or enter this link into your browser:

bit.ly/oyaimpactsurvey