



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Report

Dispositions

Coos County (2025)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority



Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

Mission ♦ Vision ♦ Goals ♦ Values

JJIS Mission

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

JJIS Vision Statement

Goals in Support of Vision Statement

♦ Provides a comprehensive view of information about youth across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.	♦ Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; ♦ Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about youth in their agency; and ♦ Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.
♦ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual youth cases and tracking youth through the justice process.	♦ Support the assessment of risks and needs of youth; ♦ Support individual case plans; ♦ Track youth through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and ♦ Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.
♦ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce youth crime.	♦ Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing youth crime; ♦ Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination; ♦ Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and ♦ Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.
♦ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	♦ Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS; ♦ Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; ♦ Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital; ♦ Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements; ♦ Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS; ♦ Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently; ♦ Ensure consistent data integrity; ♦ Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; ♦ Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA's Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and ♦ Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.

Revisions adopted 9/20/2017

JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA and OJDDA serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- ♦ Represents the best interests of Oregon's juvenile justice system as a whole;
- ♦ Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- ♦ Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- ♦ Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- ♦ Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.



JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee is the governance body providing guidance to oversight of JJIS activities. The committee represents Oregon's 36 counties, the Oregon Youth Authority, and other statewide partners.

Oversight responsibilities include monitoring appropriate use of JJIS and its data, prioritizing development of software features, making policy decisions, and authorizing access to statewide data for research and program evaluation.

The committee meets monthly to ensure that JJIS is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of the JJIS partnership.

Members (March 2026)

Molly Rogers, Director

Wasco County Youth Services
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair

Deborah Martin, Interim Government Relations Manager

Oregon Youth Authority
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair

Jennifer Cearley, Director

Washington County Juvenile Department

Denessa Martin, Interim Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority, Facility Services

Ted Martinez, Director

Malheur County Juvenile Department

Patti Robb, Director

Lane County Juvenile Department

Sandra Santos, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority, Community Services

Matthew Thenell, Director

Tillamook County Juvenile Department

External partners and other interested parties frequently attend meetings and participate in discussions, but do not have voting rights on committee recommendations.

OYA Staff

Aparna Thomas, Chief Information Officer

Oregon Youth Authority Information Services

Sachin Prajapati, Manager

JJIS Development & Reports, OYA Information Services

Douglas Thomas, Manager

JJIS Policy & Implementation, OYA Information Services

JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

The JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee is a standing committee of the JJIS Steering Committee, contributing to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations regarding the use of data. The subcommittee supports the juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine and annual reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses.

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

Oregon's juvenile justice system is dedicated to delivering effective and efficient services that promote public safety, ensure justice for victims, and reduce recidivism among youth.

The way referrals are processed and resolved within the juvenile justice system offers critical insights into how cases are handled. Understanding case resolutions is essential for evaluating the system's effectiveness and identifying areas for improvement.

Contents of this report

For all reports, dispositions are grouped into categories and are listed from least to most intense level of juvenile justice intervention. For example, a disposition of a youth being petitioned to court and placed on probation is more intense than a warning (See Appendix B.). This report contains four tables describing dispositions:

Disposition of Youth by Demographics

The number of unique youth who received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year, categorized by youth demographics and disposition intensity.

Youth are reported in the most intense disposition category imposed for that youth during the year in the county that imposed the disposition. The youth is counted only once in the table, regardless of the number of dispositions a youth received during the year. If there was more than one disposition with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.

Disposition of Youth by Offense Category

The number of unique youth who received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year, categorized by offense severity and disposition intensity.

Youth are reported according to the most severe offense on the most intense disposition category imposed for that youth during the year in the county that imposed the disposition. The youth is counted only once in the table, regardless of the number of dispositions a youth received during the year. If there was more than one disposition with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.

Dispositions by Youth Demographics

The total number of unique dispositions imposed by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year, categorized by youth demographics.

Every disposition imposed by the county during the year is counted and categorized by disposition intensity and the demographics of the youth who received the disposition. The table counts unique dispositions, not unique youth. If a youth received multiple dispositions in the reporting year, they are counted multiple times in the table (once for every disposition).

Dispositions by Offense Category

The total number of dispositions imposed by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year, categorized by the most severe offense on the disposition.

Every disposition imposed by the county during the year is counted and categorized by the disposition intensity and the most severe offense on the disposition. This table counts

unique dispositions, not unique youth. If a youth received multiple dispositions in the reporting year, they are counted multiple times in the table (once for every disposition).

Notes about the information

Changes for the 2024 Reporting Year: Starting with the 2024 reporting year, the Disposition of Referrals by Demographics and Disposition of Referrals by Offense Category tables have been replaced by the new Dispositions by Demographics and Dispositions by Offense Category tables. The new tables provide a more complete picture by counting every disposition imposed during the reporting period.

Rounding: Totals are rounded to the nearest whole number and therefore may not add up to 100%.

Offense Categories and Severity: The offenses on each disposition are categorized according to Oregon Revised Statute (ORS), Criminal Code of Oregon. Offense severity is determined by each offense's category, type, and class (*See Appendix A.*).

Disposition Categories and Intensity: The report includes dispositions of felony, misdemeanor, non-criminal violation, and dependency status allegations during the reporting year. Local ordinances are not included. Dispositions are categorized and ranked by intensity according to standards developed by the JJIS Data and Evaluation Committee (*See Appendix B.*).

Counts: Youth may have received a disposition in more than one county. On the Statewide report, the Disposition of Youth by Demographics and the Statewide Disposition of Youth by Offense Category tables count the youth only once in the county that imposed the most intense disposition. The Dispositions by Youth Demographics and Dispositions by Offense Category tables count all dispositions by all counties.

County: The county recorded is the county responsible for assigning the youth's disposition. If the county was recorded on the disposition, it was selected as the responsible county.

Demographics: The JJIS Steering Committee recognizes that reporting race, ethnicity, sex, and gender in JJIS may not accurately represent everyone, due in part to a need to comply with federal reporting requirements. We respect all individuals, but also acknowledge we cannot capture how individuals may self-identify in these areas.

- **Race and Ethnicity:** The JJIS Steering Committee defines Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on available data. Race is recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of race.
- **Sex:** The options for reporting sex currently include Male, Female, or Unknown. There are current state and national discussions about new ways to document sex that are respectful and inclusive of all. The JJIS Steering Committee will continue to engage in those discussions and make updates in this area as available.

March 2026

2025 Dispositions by Youth Demographics

01/01/2025 To 12/31/2025 Dispositions by Youth Demographics Coos	Totals	% of Grand Total	Sex			Age at Disposition			Race/Ethnicity					
			Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Under	13-15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/ Unknown	White
	Total Dispositions		55	77	0	10	52	70	1	1	1	1	16	112
Not Petitioned	63	47.73%	28	35	0	8	27	28	1	1	0	0	11	50
Review and Close	50	37.88%	22	28	0	5	21	24	0	0	0	0	9	41
Review and Close	2	1.52%	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Intake Office Contact and Close	6	4.55%	5	1	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5
Rejected by District Attorney/Juvenile Department	40	30.30%	16	24	0	2	20	18	0	0	0	0	8	32
Alternative Process	2	1.52%	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Authorized Diversion or Other Informal Disposition	13	9.85%	6	7	0	3	6	4	1	1	0	0	2	9
Informal Sanction(s)/Supervision	1	0.76%	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Formal Accountability Agreement	12	9.09%	5	7	0	2	6	4	1	1	0	0	2	8
Petitioned	69	52.27%	27	42	0	2	25	42	0	0	1	1	5	62
Dismissed	2	1.52%	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Dismissed	2	1.52%	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Alternative Process	21	15.91%	9	12	0	1	7	13	0	0	0	0	2	19
Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	21	15.91%	9	12	0	1	7	13	0	0	0	0	2	19
Adjudicated Delinquent	46	34.85%	17	29	0	1	17	28	0	0	1	1	3	41
Formal Sanction	22	16.67%	7	15	0	0	7	15	0	0	0	0	2	20
Probation	17	12.88%	9	8	0	1	7	9	0	0	0	1	1	15
Probation & OYA Commitment for Community Placement	2	1.52%	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
OYA Commitment for YCF	5	3.79%	1	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	5

01/01/2025 To 12/31/2025

Total Dispositions	132
---------------------------	------------

[illegible]

2025 Youth Dispositions by Demographics

01/01/2025 To 12/31/2025 Youth Dispositions by Demographics and Most Intense Disposition Received Coos	Totals	% of Grand Total	Sex			Age at Disposition			Race/Ethnicity					
			Female	Male	Unknow n	12 and Under	13-15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/ Unknown	White
	Total Number of Unique Youth													
Not Petitioned	50	56.18%	22	28	0	5	22	21	1	1	0	0	9	39
Review and Close	39	43.82%	18	21	0	4	17	17	0	0	0	0	8	31
Alternative Process	1	1.12%	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Review and Close	1	1.12%	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rejected by District Attorney/Juvenile Department	32	35.96%	13	19	0	2	16	14	0	0	0	0	7	25
Intake Office Contact and Close	5	5.62%	4	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	4
Authorized Diversion or Other Informal Disposition	11	12.36%	4	7	0	1	5	4	1	1	0	0	1	8
Formal Accountability Agreement	11	12.36%	4	7	0	2	5	4	1	1	0	0	1	8
Petition	39	43.82%	14	25	0	6	16	23	0	0	1	1	3	34
Alternative Process	3	3.37%	0	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	3	3.37%	0	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Dismissed	2	2.25%	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Dismissed	2	2.25%	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Adjudicated Delinquent	34	38.20%	13	21	0	4	13	21	0	0	1	1	3	29
Formal Sanction	15	16.85%	6	9	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	0	2	13
Probation & OYA Commitment for Community Placement	2	2.25%	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
OYA Commitment for YCF	5	5.62%	1	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	5
Probation	12	13.48%	6	6	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	1	1	10

01/01/2025 To 12/31/2025

Youth Dispositions by Most Severe Offense
on Most Intense Disposition Received
Coos

[illegible]

Appendix A JJIS Severity Scores

(adopted, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association, May 18, 2000)

Crime Category	Class & Type	Severity Score
Person	Murder*	19
Person	A Felony	18
Person	B Felony	17
Person	C Felony	16
Person	U Felony	15
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	A Felony	14
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	B Felony	13
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	C Felony	12
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	U Felony	11
Person	A Misdemeanor	10
Person	B Misdemeanor	9
Person	C Misdemeanor	8
Person	U Misdemeanor	7
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	A Misdemeanor	6
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	B Misdemeanor	5
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	C Misdemeanor	4
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	U Misdemeanor	3
Non Criminal	Violation	2
	Status Offense	1

* Aggravated Murder, Murder, Murder by Abuse, Murder in the Course of a Crime, Murder Intentional, Criminal Homicide, and Treason have the Type of Murder (instead of Felony), in order to obtain the highest severity score.

** Inchoates:

Attempts and Solicitations = 1 Class lower
Conspiracies = Same Class and Type

Appendix B Disposition Categories

These reports categorize dispositions according to a standard developed by the JJIS Data and Evaluation Committee and modeled after national reporting standards. Detailed dispositions have been grouped into the disposition reporting categories.

For all reports, dispositions are grouped into categories consistent with national reporting categories as follows. Categories are listed from least to most intense level of juvenile justice intervention.

Not Petitioned	Review and Close	No Jurisdiction
		Referred to Another Agency
		Review & Close
		Warning
		Divert & Close
		Intake Office Contact & Close
		Rejected by DA/Juvenile Department
		Alternative Process
	Authorized Diversion Programs or Other Informal Disposition	Diversion Supervision
		Diversion – Youth Court
		Diversion – Traffic/Municipal Court
		Informal Sanction(s)/ Supervision
		Formal Accountability Agreement
Petitioned	Dismissed	Dismissed
	Alternative Process	Plea Bargain or Alternative Process
	Adjudicated Delinquent	Formal Sanction
		Probation
		Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)
		Probation and Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)
		Probation and Youth Authority Commitment for Community Placement
		Youth Authority Commitment for Youth Correctional Facility Placement
	Adult Court	Waived/Transfer
		Adult Sentence